

Tutorial 3

Łukasz Magnuszewski

3 kwietnia 2023

Exercise 1

$$\forall x. a(x) \implies \exists y. c(y) \wedge y > x$$

1 Exercise 2

$$\begin{aligned} \forall x, y. b(x) \wedge b(y) \wedge x < y \wedge (\forall z. x < z < y \implies a(z)) \wedge \exists O, E. \wedge (suc(x, y) \vee (\forall z. x < z < y \iff z \in O \oplus z \in E. \wedge \exists xsy. suc(x, xs) \wedge suc(yp, y) \wedge xs \in O \wedge ys \in E. \wedge \\ \forall z, zs. x < z < zs < y \wedge suc(z, zs). z \in O \iff zs \in E \wedge z \in E \iff zs \in O)) \end{aligned}$$

Exercise 3

We can transform automaton to be without epsilon transitions.

Our states is powerset of old states. Initial/Accepting state is powerset of old initial/accepting states.

Transition between two states is possible if all old states belonging to our state can travel somewhere. If yes, then we transform all old states to their all transitions. And into our new state.

Exercise 4

definicja $suc(x, y)$

$$\forall z. z > x \implies z = y \vee z > y$$

definicja $x < y$

$$\exists P. x \in P \wedge y \in P \wedge (\forall z \in P. \neg (suc(z, x) \vee suc(y, z))) \wedge (\forall z \in P. x \neq z \implies \exists p. suc(p, z)) \wedge (\forall z \in P. y \neq z \implies \exists s. suc(z, s))$$

So all 3 are equivalent, which wouldn't be true in FSO.

Exercise 6

pure is easily contained in MSO define all 3 constructions.

MSO is definable in pure we just need singleton quantifiers. $kwant(x).(\forall y.y \subseteq x \implies x = y \vee y = \emptyset)$

Exercise 7

We are doing the same proof as on lecture. But Instead X_0, \dots, X_{m-1} . We define sets B_i which tell us for each x , does X_k corresponding to x has i -th bit set to $\text{true}(\text{bit}_i(k))$?