



Basic Representation Theory

Learning and Thinking

Author: Yang Yihan

Institute: Department of Mathematics, Nankai University

Date: September 7, 2024

Email: matyyh@163.com



Beauty is the first test: there is no permanent place in the world for ugly mathematics

— G.H. Hardy

Contents

Chapter 1	Representations of Finite Groups	2
1.1	Group representations	2
1.2	Character of Representations	7

Introduction

This note is made from the Basic Representation Theory in Nankai University in Fall, 2024.

Textbook: Lectures on Representation Theory, J-S Huang.

Recommended Video: Bilibili: Representation theory, R.Borchard

Topic:

- Rep of finite groups
- Rep of complex semisimple Lie algebra
- Rep of compact Lie groups

Grading:

- 50% Quiz(open-book) in class
- 50% Take home final assignments:Exercises,Report/Eassy
- Bonus: 20% presentation on Undergraduate Forum

Abbreviation:

- rep : representation
- irre : irreducible

Chapter 1 Representations of Finite Groups


1.1 Group representations


Definition 1.1 (representation)

Let G be a finite group. A **representation** (π, V) of G on a finite-dimensional vector space V over \mathbb{C} is a group homomorphism

$$\pi : G \rightarrow \text{GL}(V) \simeq \text{GL}(n, \mathbb{C})$$



 **Note** The dimension of V is sometimes called the **degree** of π .

 **Exercise 1.1** Is $\text{GL}(n, \mathbb{Z})$, $\text{GL}(n, \mathbb{R})$, $\text{GL}(n, \mathbb{H})$ a group?

Remark If π is injective (faithful rep) ($G \hookrightarrow \text{GL}(n, \mathbb{C})$), then π identify G as a subgroup (called a linear matrix group) of $\text{GL}(n, \mathbb{C})$.

Remark π induces a linear group action on V : $gv := \pi(g)v$.

Example :

- Trivial reps: G a group, $V = \mathbb{C}$, $\pi : G \rightarrow \text{GL}(1, \mathbb{C})$, $g \mapsto 1 \iff \pi(g)v = v$.

- $G = S_3$, $V = \mathbb{C}^3 = \text{Span}\{\mathbf{e}_1, \mathbf{e}_2, \mathbf{e}_3\}$, then $\pi : G \rightarrow V$

$$e \mapsto \begin{pmatrix} 1 & & \\ & 1 & \\ & & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad (1, 2) \mapsto \begin{pmatrix} & 1 & \\ 1 & & \\ & & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad (2, 3) \mapsto \begin{pmatrix} 1 & & \\ & & 1 \\ & 1 & \end{pmatrix}, \quad (1, 3) \mapsto \begin{pmatrix} & & 1 \\ & 1 & \\ 1 & & \end{pmatrix}$$

$$(1, 2, 3) \mapsto \begin{pmatrix} & & 1 \\ 1 & & \\ & 1 & \end{pmatrix}, \quad (1, 3, 2) \mapsto \begin{pmatrix} & 1 & \\ & & 1 \\ 1 & & \end{pmatrix}$$

Then π is a rep of S_3 , s.t. $\pi(\sigma)\mathbf{e}_i = \mathbf{e}_{\sigma(i)}$, $\sigma \in S_3$.

- $V' = \mathbb{C}$, $\pi' : S_3 \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$, $\sigma \mapsto \det(\pi(\sigma)) = \text{sgn } \sigma = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{even} \\ -1, & \text{odd} \end{cases}$ is a (1-dim) rep.
- $V = \mathbb{C}^3 = V_1 \oplus V_2$, $V_1 = \text{Span}\{(1, 1, 1)^T\}$, $V_2 = \text{Span}\{(a, b, c)^T : a + b + c = 0\}$.
 Note that V_1 and V_2 are invariant subspace under G

Definition 1.2

Two representations (π, V) and (π', V') of G are said to be **equivalent** if there is an isomorphism of vector spaces $T : V \rightarrow V'$ which is compatible with the operation of G :

$$\pi'(g)T(v) = T(\pi(g)v), \quad \text{for all } g \in G \text{ and } v \in V$$


$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 V & \xrightarrow{\pi(g)} & V \\
 \downarrow T & & \downarrow T \\
 V' & \xrightarrow{\pi'(g)} & V'
 \end{array}$$

Definition 1.3

Let (π, V) a representation of G . A subspace W of V is called **G -invariant**, if $\pi(g)W \subset W$ for all $g \in G$. And we call (π, W) a **subrepresentation**.

Definition 1.4

The representation (π, V) is said to be **irreducible** if there is no non-trivial G -invariant subspace, i.e., the only G -invariant subspaces are $\{0\}$ and V .

 **Exercise 1.2** Prove (π, V_2) is irreducible.


Goal: Classify finite abelian group; **Methods:** Schur's Lemma & Character

Theorem 1.1 (Schur's Lemma)

Let (π, V) and (π', V') be two irreducible representations of G . Suppose there is a linear transformation $T : V \rightarrow V'$ such that

$$\pi'(g)T(v) = T(\pi(g)v), \quad \text{for all } g \in G \text{ and } v \in V$$

Then T is either zero or an isomorphism.

 **Exercise 1.3** (Also Motivation) Find matrices commuting with all $n \times n$ matrices.

Proof For $\forall v \in \text{Ker } T$, we have

$$T(\pi(g)v) = \pi'(g)T(v) = 0$$

So we know $\text{Ker } T$ is G -invariant, and given $\text{Ker } T$ is irreducible, we know $\text{Ker } T = 0$ or V .


(1) $\text{Ker } T = 0$, we have $V \simeq \text{Im } T \subset V'$, and we have $\text{Im } T \neq \{0\}$, so due to V' is irreducible, and for $v = T(u)$, $\pi'(g)v = T(\pi(g)u) \in \text{Im } T$, so $\text{Im } T$ is G -invariant, so we have $V = \text{Im } T = V'$. Therefore T is an isomorphism.

(2) $\text{Ker } T = V$, we can get $T = 0$. □

Definition 1.5 (G -equivariant)

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 V & \xrightarrow{\pi(g)} & V \\
 \downarrow T & \text{commutes} & \downarrow T \\
 V' & \xrightarrow{\pi'(g)} & V'
 \end{array} \iff G\text{-equivariant}$$

Corollary 1.1

(π, V) is irre, $T : V \rightarrow V$ is G -equivariant linear transformation, then $T = \lambda I$, $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$. 


Proof As \mathbb{C} is algebraic closed, T has an eigenvalue $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$. There exists an eigenvector v , s.t. $(T - \lambda I)(v) = 0$, i.e., $\text{Ker}(T - \lambda I) \neq 0$.

Moreover, for any $v \in V$, we have


$$(T - \lambda I)(\pi(g)v) = T(\pi(g)v) - \lambda I(\pi(g)v) = \pi(g)T(v) - \pi(g)(\lambda I(v)) = \pi(g)(T - \lambda I)(v)$$

$T - \lambda I$ is G -equivariant, by Schur's Lemma, $\text{Ker}(T - \lambda I) = V$, that is $T = \lambda I$. 

Corollary 1.2

(π_1, V_1) , (π_2, V_2) are irreducible reps, $L_1, L_2 : V \rightarrow V'$ are invertible G -equivariant ((π_1, V_1) , (π_2, V_2) are equivalent), then $L_2 = \lambda L_1$. 

Theorem 1.2

G (finite) is abelian group, (π, V) is irre rep of G , then V is 1-dim. 

Proof As G is abelian, we have

$$\pi(x)\pi(g) = \pi(xg) = \pi(gx) = \pi(g)\pi(x), \quad \forall x, g \in G$$

For any fixed $x \in G$, $\pi(x)$ is G -equivariant, by Schur's Lemma(Cor 1.1), $\pi(x) = \lambda I$.

So every subspace of V is G -invariant, so V is 1-dim. 

Definition 1.6


A Hermitian form on a vector space V over the complex field \mathbb{C} is a function $f : V \times V \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ such that for all $u, v, w \in V$ and all $a, b \in \mathbb{R}$,

$$(1) f(au + bv, w) = af(u, w) + bf(v, w).$$

$$(2) f(u, v) = \overline{f(v, u)}.$$

Here, the bar indicates the complex conjugate. It follows that

$$f(u, av + bw) = \overline{a} f(u, v) + \overline{b} f(u, w)$$

which can be expressed by saying that f is antilinear on the second coordinate. Moreover, for all $v \in V$, $f(v, v) = \overline{f(v, v)}$ which means that $f(v, v) \in \mathbb{R}$ 



Note An example is the dot product of \mathbb{C}^n , defined as

$$(u_1, \dots, u_n) \cdot (v_1, \dots, v_n) = \sum_{i=1}^n u_i \overline{v_i}$$

Every Hermitian form on \mathbb{C}^n is associated with an $n \times n$ Hermitian matrix A such that

$$f(\mathbf{X}, \mathbf{Y}) = \mathbf{XAY}^T$$

for all row vectors \mathbf{X} and \mathbf{Y} of \mathbb{C}^n . The matrix associated with the dot product is the $n \times n$ identity matrix.

Definition 1.7 (unitary)

A representation (π, V) is called **unitary** if there is a positive definite hermitian form \langle, \rangle which is G -invariant, i.e., it satisfies

$$\langle \pi(g)u, \pi(g)v \rangle = \langle u, v \rangle, \quad \text{for all } g \in G \text{ and } u, v \in V$$

**Theorem 1.3**

Let (π, V) be a rep of a finite group G . Then there exists a G -invariant, positive definite hermitian form \langle, \rangle on V . We say that (π, V) is unitarizable.



Proof Let $(,)$ be an hermitian inner product on V , define an "averaging" inner product \langle, \rangle on V by

$$\langle u, v \rangle = \frac{1}{|G|} \sum_{g \in G} (\pi(g)u, \pi(g)v)$$

We can have

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \pi(g_0)u, \pi(g_0)v \rangle &= \frac{1}{|G|} \sum_{g \in G} (\pi(gg_0)u, \pi(gg_0)v) \\ &= \frac{1}{|G|} \sum_{g' \in G} (\pi(g')u, \pi(g')v) \\ &= \langle u, v \rangle \end{aligned}$$

□

Definition 1.8

Let (π, V) and (π', V') be two reps of G . We can make the direct sum $V \oplus V'$ a rep of G by

$$(\pi \oplus \pi')(g)(v + v') = \pi(g)v + \pi'(g)v'$$

**Proposition 1.1**

Let (π, V) be a unitary representation of G and let W be a G -invariant subspace. Then the orthogonal complement W^\perp is also G -invariant. So $V = W \oplus W^\perp$ as subrep.



Proof Easy to know W^\perp is G -invariant, and we know $V = W \oplus W^\perp$ by linear algebra.

□

Motivation

- All reps of finite abelian groups are 1-dim.
- Any reps of finite group is direct sum of irre reps.
 - (1) Any reps of finite groups is unitary.
 - (2) Unitary \implies completely reducible.
- Classify all irre reps of finite abelian group.

Proposition 1.2

(π, V) is unitary rep of a finite group G , $W \subset V$ is a subrep of (π, V) . Then the orthogonal complement W^\perp is also G -invariant, i.e. $V = W \oplus W^\perp$ is a direct sum of $\pi|_W \oplus \pi|_{W^\perp}$.




Proof For any $v \in W^\perp$, we have $\langle v, W \rangle = 0$, so we know that $\langle \pi(g)v, W \rangle = \langle \pi(g)v, \pi(g)W \rangle =$

$$\langle v, W \rangle = 0.$$

So we know W^\perp is G -invariant, so $V = W \oplus W^\perp$ is a direct sum of $\pi|_W \oplus \pi|_{W^\perp}$. \square


Theorem 1.4

Every unitary representation (π, V) of a finite group G is a direct sum of irreducible reps. 

Proof (1) If V is irre rep, the case is done.

(2) If V is not irre rep, there exists subrep $W \subsetneq V$. As (π, V) is unitary, we know $(\pi, v) = (\pi, W) \oplus (\pi, W^\perp)$ from proposition 1.2.

As $\dim V$ is finite, both W and W^\perp can be decomposed until irre reps by induction. \square

 **Exercise 1.4** $G = \mathbb{R}$ as an additive group, $V = \mathbb{C}$, $x \mapsto \begin{pmatrix} 1 & x \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$, π is not completely irre and its only 1-dim subrep is $\mathbb{C} \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}$.

Proposition 1.3

G is a finite abelian group, (π, v) is a n -dim rep. There exists a basis \mathcal{B} of V ,

$$\text{s.t. } \pi(g)|_{\mathcal{B}} = \begin{pmatrix} * & & & \\ & * & & \\ & & \ddots & \\ & & & * \end{pmatrix} \text{ for } \forall g \in G, \text{ with } |*| = 1.$$

Proof G is finite, so for any $g \in G$, we have $g^N = e$, where $N = |G|$.


Then we have $(\pi(g))^N = \pi(g^N) = \pi(e) = I$, which tells us that minimal polynomial $p(x)$ of $\pi(g)$ satisfies $f(x)|x^N - 1$, so we know all roots of $f(x)$ are distinct, i.e., $\pi(g)$ is diagonalizable.

As G is also abelian, we have $\pi(g_1)\pi(g_2) = \pi(g_2)\pi(g_1)$, which tells us that they are simultaneously diagonalizable.

And the rep is unitary, so $|*| = 1$. \square

Motivation

- Build new rep from an original one.

 **Note** Direct sum $(\pi, V), (\pi', V')$, we have

$$\pi \oplus \pi'(g)|_{(\mathcal{B}_1 \mathcal{B}_2)} = \begin{pmatrix} \pi(g) & O \\ O & \pi'(g) \end{pmatrix}$$

Definition 1.9 (Dual rep)

Dual rep $V^* = \{f : V \rightarrow \mathbb{C} | f \text{ is linear function}\}$, then we define

$$\pi^*(g)f(v) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} f(\pi(g^{-1})v)$$

Motivation of inverse

- Why inverse?

$$\pi^*(g_1 g_2) \cdot f(v) = \pi^*(g_1)(\pi^*(g_2) \cdot f)(v) = \pi^*(g_2) \cdot f(\pi(g_1^{-1})v) = f(\pi(g_2^{-1} g_1^{-1})v)$$

- G -action on V , V^* cancel?

$$(\pi^*(g)f)(\pi(g)v) = f(\pi(g^{-1})\pi(g)v) = f(v)$$

- Suppose π is unitary, $\pi^*(g) : \langle \cdot, w \rangle \mapsto \langle \cdot, \pi(g)w \rangle$.

Proof: As π is unitary, there exists unique $w \in V$ s.t. $f = \langle \cdot, w \rangle$. So we have

$$(\pi^*(g)f)v = f(\pi(g)^{-1}v) = \langle \pi(g^{-1})v, w \rangle = \langle v, \pi(g)w \rangle$$

- Linear algebra interpretation: $\pi(g)^* = \pi(g)^{-1}$.

Proof: Choose an orthogonal basis \mathcal{B} of V and orthogonal basis of V^* , then

$$\langle v, w \rangle = \langle [v]_{\mathcal{B}}, [w]_{\mathcal{B}} \rangle = [w]_{\mathcal{B}}^* [v]_{\mathcal{B}}$$

As $\langle \pi(v), \pi(w) \rangle = \langle v, w \rangle$, we know

$$w^* \pi(g)^* \pi(g)v = w^* v, \forall v, w \in V$$


So we know $\pi^*(g) = \pi(g^{-1})$.

This also tells us that π is unitary $\implies \pi^*$ is unitary.

$$\langle \langle \cdot, v \rangle, \langle \cdot, w \rangle \rangle_* \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \overline{\langle v, w \rangle} = \langle w, v \rangle$$

- Complex conjugate rep $(\bar{\pi}, \bar{V})$, where $\bar{V} := V$ as an abelian group but $i \cdot \bar{v} = -i \cdot v$ (new number multiplication with $1 \cdot \bar{v} = 1 \cdot v$), and

$$\langle u, v \rangle_{\bar{V}} := \langle v, u \rangle, \quad \bar{\pi}(g)v := \pi(g)v$$

 **Exercise 1.5** $T : \bar{V} \rightarrow V^*$, $v \mapsto \langle \cdot, v \rangle$ is an equivalent of $(\bar{\pi}, \bar{V})$ and (π^*, V^*) .

1.2 Character of Representations

Definition 1.10 (Tensor product of vectors)

Tensor product of vectors: If $x, y \in V$ and have length M and N respectively, then the tensor product $x \otimes y = (x_i y_j)_{ij} = xy^T$, which is an $M \times N$ matrix.



Similarly, we can generalize vector into matrix (which is vector in fact in matrix space).

Definition 1.11 (Tensor product of matrices)

Tensor product of matrices: If X, Y are matrices, then $X \otimes Y = (x_{i,j} Y)_{ij}$, where each $x_{i,j} Y$ is a block matrix.

$$X \otimes Y = \begin{pmatrix} x_{11}Y & x_{12}Y & \cdots & x_{1n}Y \\ x_{21}Y & x_{22}Y & \cdots & x_{2n}Y \\ \vdots & \vdots & & \vdots \\ x_{n1}Y & x_{n2}Y & \cdots & x_{nn}Y \end{pmatrix}$$



The definition of the tensor product of two "vector spaces" follow the above definitions.

Definition 1.12 (Tensor product of vector space)

Tensor product of vector space: Given V, W vector spaces. Then their tensor product is the set:

$$V \otimes W = \left\{ \sum_{i,j} c_{ij} v_i \otimes w_j : c_{i,j} \in \mathbb{C} \right\}$$

with some relation:

$$(1) (c_1 v_1 + c_2 v_2) \otimes w = c_1 (v_1 \otimes w) + c_2 (v_2 \otimes w);$$

$$(2) v \otimes (d_1 w_1 + d_2 w_2) = d_1 (v \otimes w_1) + d_2 (v \otimes w_2).$$

One can check that the tensor product of two vector space is still a vector space. and naturally we can expect that the basis of $V \otimes W$, $\mathcal{B}_{V \otimes W} = \{v_i \otimes w_j : v_i \in \mathcal{B}_V, w_j \in \mathcal{B}_W\}$.


Definition 1.13 (Tensor product)

$V = \text{Span}\{\mathbf{e}_i\}$, $V' = \text{Span}\{\mathbf{f}_j\}$, then we define

$$V \otimes V' = \text{Span}\{\mathbf{e}_i \otimes \mathbf{f}_j\}$$

and

$$(\pi_1 \otimes \pi_2)(g)(v \otimes v') = \pi(g)v \otimes \pi'(g)v'$$



Exercise 1.6 π, π' is unitary $\implies \pi \otimes \pi'$ is unitary, where

$$\langle v \otimes v', w \otimes w' \rangle_{\otimes} := \langle v, v' \rangle \cdot \langle w, w' \rangle$$

Example 1.1 V, V' : 1-dim, basis $\{\mathbf{e}\}, \{\mathbf{f}\}$, then we have

$$(\pi \otimes \pi')(\mathbf{e} \otimes \mathbf{f}) = (\pi(g)\mathbf{e}) \otimes (\pi'(g)\mathbf{f}) = (\pi(g) \otimes \pi'(g))(\mathbf{e} \otimes \mathbf{f})$$

thanks to the equation : $(AB) \otimes (CD) = (A \otimes C)(B \otimes D)$.

Implies that $(\pi \otimes \pi')(g) = \pi(g) \otimes \pi'(g)$ as matrix.

Definition 1.14 (Character)

A function $\chi : G \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ defined by $\chi(g) = \text{tr}(\pi(g))$ is called the **character** of (π, V) .



Remark Fix a basis of V , let $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n$ be eigenvalues of matrix $\pi(g)$, then $\chi(g) = \sum_{k=1}^n \lambda_k$.

Proposition 1.4

Let χ_V be the character of a representation (π, V) of a finite group G . Then

(1) $\chi_V(e)$ is the dimension of V .

(2) $\chi_V(g) = \chi_V(hgh^{-1})$ for all $g, h \in G$.

(3) $\chi_{V^*}(g) = \chi_V(g^{-1}) = \overline{\chi_V(g)}$ for all $g \in G$.

(4) If $\chi_{V'}$ be the character of another representation (π', V') , then the character of $(\pi \oplus \pi', V \oplus V')$ is $\chi_V + \chi_{V'}$.

(5) The character of $(\pi \otimes \pi', V \otimes V')$ is $\chi_V \cdot \chi_{V'}$.



Proof Fix a basis of V and regard $\pi(g)$ and $\pi'(g)$ as matrices.

(1) $\chi(e) = \text{tr}(I) = \dim V$.

(2) $\pi(hgh^{-1}) = \pi(h)\pi(g)\pi(h)^{-1}$, thus we have $\chi(hgh^{-1}) = \chi(g)$.

(3) We know $\pi^*(g) \sim \pi(g^{-1})$, so we know

$$\chi_{V^*}(g) = \chi_V(g^{-1}) = \overline{\chi_V(g)}$$

(4) As $(\pi \oplus \pi')(g)|_{(\mathcal{B}_1 \oplus \mathcal{B}_2)} = \begin{pmatrix} \pi(g) & O \\ O & \pi'(g) \end{pmatrix}$, we know $\chi_{V \oplus V'}(g) = \chi_V(g) + \chi_{V'}(g)$.

(5) Similarly, we know the eigenvalues of $(\pi \otimes \pi')$ are $\lambda_i \mu_j$, so we know

$$\chi_{V \otimes V'} = \sum_{i,j} \lambda_i \mu_j = \left(\sum_i \lambda_i \right) \left(\sum_j \mu_j \right) = \chi_V \cdot \chi_{V'}$$

□

Definition 1.15 (Class function)

A complex function $\phi : G \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$, which is constant on each conjugacy class is called a class function.



Definition 1.16

We define $C(G)$ the space of all class functions of G , and

$$\langle \chi, \chi' \rangle := \frac{1}{|G|} \sum_{g \in G} \chi(g) \overline{\chi'(g)}, \quad \chi, \chi' \in C(G)$$



Theorem 1.5

G is a finite group with $|G| = N$ and $(\pi_1, V_1), \dots, (\pi_r, V_r)$ are distinct isomorphism classes of irre reps of G . Let χ_i be the character of π_i and $d_i = \dim V_i$, then we have:

(1) $\langle \chi_i, \chi_j \rangle = \delta_{ij}$.

(2) The irre characters form an orthogonal basis of $(C(G), \langle, \rangle)$.

(3) $\#\{\text{isomorphism classes of irre reps}\} = \#\{\text{conjugacy classes in the group}\}$.

(4) $\sum_{k=1}^r d_k^2 = |G|$.



Proof wait

□

Application of theorem 1.5

- (3) \Rightarrow Classify irre reps of finite abelian group.

- Classification of finite abelian group $G \simeq \mathbb{Z}_{n_1} \oplus \dots \oplus \mathbb{Z}_{n_k}$, $n_i | n_{i+1}$.

- Irre reps of cyclic group:

$\mathbb{Z}_n = \{0, 1, \dots, n-1\}$ is cyclic group and $\pi : \mathbb{Z}_n \rightarrow \text{GL}(1, \mathbb{C}) \simeq C^* = C \setminus \{0\}$.

$\pi(0) = 1$, $\pi(1) = C$ (determines the rep) so $\pi(m) = C^m$, which leads to $1 = \pi(n) = C^n$, indicating that $C = e^{\frac{k}{n} 2\pi i}$, $k = 0, 1, \dots, n-1$.

By (3), there are n distinct isomorphism classes of irre reps of \mathbb{Z}_n .

- Irre reps of non-cyclic

Let π be an irreducible rep of $\mathbb{Z}_n \oplus \mathbb{Z}_m$, consider the subgroup $\mathbb{Z}_n \oplus \{0\}$, $\{0\} \oplus \mathbb{Z}_m$, we have

$$\pi(a, b) = \pi[(a, 0) + (0, b)] = \pi(a, 0) \cdot \pi(0, b) = e^{\frac{k_1}{n} 2\pi i} e^{\frac{k_2}{m} 2\pi i}$$

Where $k_1 \in \mathbb{Z}_n, k_2 \in \mathbb{Z}_m$, by induction we can get that irre rep π of $\mathbb{Z}_{n_1} \oplus \mathbb{Z}_{n_2} \oplus \cdots \oplus \mathbb{Z}_{n_k}$

$$\pi \xleftrightarrow[1-1]{\quad} (m_1, \dots, m_k) \text{ lattice on 'sphere', } m_i \in \mathbb{Z}_{n_i}$$

Remark All irreducible reps form a group $\simeq G$.

Definition 1.17 (Dual group)

$G^* := \{\chi : G \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^*\}$ where χ are homomorphisms, $(\chi_1\chi_2)(g) := \chi_1(g)\chi_2(g)$ is called the dual group of G .



Theorem 1.6 (Pontryagin duality)

For a finite abelian group G , we know $G^* \simeq G$.

