

Validate the SameSite cookie option #1051

 Closed bobjflong wants to merge 3 commits into rack:master from bobjflong:BL/validatesamesite

New issue

Conversation 11 Commits 3 Checks 0 Files changed 2 +50 -9

bobjflong commented on 17 Apr 2016

Contributor

⋮

The [draft spec](#) for the SameSite option mentions two configuration options: Strict & Lax. This commit introduces validation of the associated same_site attribute.

The main motivation for validating this value is ensuring that awry option values don't cause unexpected behaviour. As this is a sensitive security option, I think validation is warranted.

The main drawback of validating the option value is that Rack won't immediately support new options.

Validate the SameSite cookie option

⋮

✖ 56d56bc

jeremy commented on 17 Apr 2016

Member

⋮

👍

to validate the attribute value now that it's required by spec, too. This [changed in draft 7](#). Draft 6 assumed Strict then downgraded to Lax; draft 7 treats the entire cookie as invalid and ignores it unless the attribute value is Strict or Lax.

Looks like this came up @ <https://bugs.chromium.org/p/chromium/issues/detail?id=600983>

/cc @mastahyeti

jeremy commented on 17 Apr 2016

Member

⋮

Ref #1033

jeremy commented on 17 Apr 2016

Member

⋮

Draft 7 is a little confusing now. It allows SameSite with no attribute value in 3.1 and says that cookies with an invalid SameSite value should be treated as Strict in 3.2, yet 4.1 makes it clear that User Agents must ignore cookies with a SameSite attribute whose value is not Strict or Lax.

3.1

samesite-av = "SameSite" / "SameSite=" same-site-value

3.2

If the "SameSite" attribute's value is "Strict", or if the value is invalid, the cookie will only be sent along with "same-site" requests.

4.1

1. If "cookie-av"'s "attribute-value" is not a case-sensitive match for "Strict" or "Lax", ignore the "cookie-av".

/cc @mikewest

jeremy reviewed on 17 Apr 2016

View changes

lib/rack/utilis.rb

Outdated

255 + unless ["Lax", "Strict"].include?(same_site_rule)
256 + raise ArgumentError, "Unrecognized cookie header value for SameSite option: #{same_site_r
257 + end
258 + "; SameSite=#{same_site_rule}"
255 259 else
256 260 "; SameSite"
257 261 end

jeremy on 17 Apr 2016 Member ⋮

We can dial this back to a stricter whitelist now that the spec requires valid values, e.g.

same_site =
case value[:same_site]
when false, nil
nil
when :lax, :lax'
'; SameSite=Lax'.freeze
when true, :strict, 'Strict'
'; SameSite=Strict'.freeze
else
raise ArgumentError, "Invalid SameSite value: #{value[:same_site].inspect}"
end

bobjflong on 17 Apr 2016 Author Contributor ⋮

cool 👍 added the uppercase symbols too

simpler whitelist for SameSite options

✖ fc96b0a

jeremy reviewed on 17 Apr 2016

View changes

test/spec_response.rb

Outdated

120 - response.set_cookie "foo", { :value => "bar", :same_site => Object.new}
121 - response["Set-Cookie"].must_equal "foo=bar; SameSite"
122 - end
123 -
124 118 it "can set SameSite cookies with string value" do
125 119 response = Rack::Response.new
126 120 response.set_cookie "foo", { :value => "bar", :same_site => "Lax"}

jeremy on 17 Apr 2016 Member ⋮
Let's add specific test coverage for the allowed values, too.

bobjflong on 17 Apr 2016 Author Contributor ⋮
done!

bobjflong force-pushed the bobjflong:BL/validatesamesite branch from 591eeb8 to 56f4016 on 17 Apr 2016

spec coverage for all allowed SameSite options

✖ 8a14474

bobjflong force-pushed the bobjflong:BL/validatesamesite branch from 56f4016 to 8a14474 on 17 Apr 2016

mikewest mentioned this pull request on 17 Apr 2016

3.1 and 3.2 disagree with 4.1 mikewest/internetdrafts#11 Closed

mikewest commented on 17 Apr 2016

⋮

@Jeremy: Thanks! Looks like it's time for an -08 draft. :)

The text in 4.1 is what I intended throughout, and matches Chrome's behavior in S1: SameSite and SameSite=blah both cause the cookie to be ignored (which gives us some options for extensibility in the future, and feature-detection in the present). I'll adjust the document accordingly in mikewest/internetdrafts#11.

👍 1

jeremy commented on 18 Apr 2016

Member

⋮

Merged @ f9f828c . Thanks @bobjflong!

jeremy closed this on 18 Apr 2016

reedloden commented on 18 Apr 2016 • edited

⋮

Could we get a new rack release that includes SameSite support? :)

Also, doesn't this need to be backported to 1-6-stable (as per #1037)?

👍 1

jeremy commented on 18 Apr 2016

Member

⋮

Backported @ 6712b86

bobjflong mentioned this pull request on 2 May 2016

Add laxSameSiteSessions and strictSameSiteSessions yesodweb/yesod#1226 Merged

jeremy mentioned this pull request on 1 Nov 2018

Add SameSite to Cookies rails/rails#28297 Closed

ashawley mentioned this pull request on 4 Feb

Add support for SameSite cookie to 1.4.7 #1547 Closed

Reviewers

No reviews

Assignees

No one assigned

Labels

None yet

Projects

None yet

Milestone

No milestone

Linked issues

Successfully merging this pull request may close these issues.

None yet

4 participants

Sign up for free

to join this conversation on GitHub. Already have an account? [Sign in to comment](#)