

# Who are the decision makers CJEU?

Silje Synnøve Lyder Hermansen

March 1, 2019

# Why care?

**If who makes the decision has a bearing on the quality of the judgment,**

## Why care?

**If who makes the decision has a bearing on the quality of the judgment, then we should know who they are.**

## Why care?

**If who makes the decision has a bearing on the quality of the judgment, then we should know who they are.**

⇒ *There is variation among judges.*

# Why care?

**We can link:**

# Why care?

## We can link:

- ▶ Legal quality: legal competence

# Why care?

## We can link:

- ▶ Legal quality: legal competence
- ▶ Content:

# Why care?

## We can link:

- ▶ Legal quality: legal competence
- ▶ Content:
  - ▶ preferences (political, legal)



# Why care?

## We can link:

- ▶ Legal quality: legal competence
- ▶ Content:
  - ▶ preferences (political, legal)
  - ▶ incentives (career)

# Why care?

## We can link:

- ▶ Legal quality: legal competence
- ▶ Content:
  - ▶ preferences (political, legal)
  - ▶ incentives (career)
  - ▶ panel effects

# Why care?

## We can link:

- ▶ Legal quality: legal competence
- ▶ Content:
  - ▶ preferences (political, legal)
  - ▶ incentives (career)
  - ▶ panel effects

# Data availability

**The CJEU publishes the names and roles of those who participate in the deliberations.**

# Data availability

**The CJEU publishes the names and roles of those who participate in the deliberations.**

- ▶ It is a transparency measure

# Data availability

**The CJEU publishes the names and roles of those who participate in the deliberations.**

- ▶ It is a transparency measure
- ▶ ... in the absence of information on voting and dissent

# Data availability

**The CJEU publishes the names and roles of those who participate in the deliberations.**

- ▶ It is a transparency measure
- ▶ ... in the absence of information on voting and dissent
- ▶ ... implies accountability

# Data collection

**We collected information on both higher and lower formation of the Court.**



# Data collection

**We collected information on both higher and lower formation of the Court.**

- ▶ 182 different judges in

# Data collection

**We collected information on both higher and lower formation of the Court.**

- ▶ 182 different judges in
- ▶ 18571 judgments

# Data collection

**We collected information on both higher and lower formation of the Court.**

- ▶ 182 different judges in
- ▶ 18571 judgments
- ▶ total a data set with 91812 panelists (1952-2018)

# Data collection

**We collected information on both higher and lower formation of the Court.**

- ▶ 182 different judges in
- ▶ 18571 judgments
- ▶ total a data set with 91812 panelists (1952-2018)

⇒ *Can be connected to other meta-level data*

# Panel deliberations over time

# Variation among judges

**There is variation among judges**

# Variation among judges

## **There is variation among judges**

- ▶ the roles they have

# Variation among judges

## **There is variation among judges**

- ▶ the roles they have
- ▶ the influence they have




# Variation among judges


## **There is variation among judges**

- ▶ the roles they have
- ▶ the influence they have

# Participation



# Preparing judgments: The rapporteur



# Variation among judges

**If there is variation, we should ask**

# Variation among judges

**If there is variation, we should ask**

- ▶ how it “came about”

# Variation among judges

## **If there is variation, we should ask**

- ▶ how it “came about”
- ▶ what it leads to

# Variation among judges

## **If there is variation, we should ask**

- ▶ how it “came about”
- ▶ what it leads to

**Thank you!**