

# Missing data

Silje Synnøve Lyder Hermansen

2023-05-11

## Recap: our course

## Recap: our course

**We are entering the last part of this course**

1. R-skills (week 1-3)
2. Limited and categorical outcome variables (GLMs) (week 4-10)
3. **Data structures** (week 11-14)

## The purpose of this course

# The purpose of this course

*⇒ The purpose of this course is to find solutions when the assumptions of the linear model are not satisfied*

## Two assumptions in ordinary regression

# Two assumptions in ordinary regression

**Linear models (OLS) rely on two assumptions that are often violated**

1. **Assumption 1:** outcomes are continuous and unbounded (week 4-10)
2. **Assumption 2:** observations are independent and identically distributed (iid) (week 11-14)
  - ▶ independent: probability of observing one unit is not dependent on observing another
  - ▶ identically distributed: observations come from the same data generating process/probability distribution

⇒ *strategies for when these are not satisfied*

# Solutions to violations of those assumptions

**1. Assumption 1:** Limited and categorical outcome variables (GLMs): - recode the dependent variable and describe the data generating process w/probability distribution - choice of model depends on the data generating process - e.g. logit, multinomial, ordinal, poisson, neg.bin, zero-inflated, coxph...

**2. Assumption 2:** Observations are not iid: - hierarchical/nested data - missing data

⇒ *what do we do when observations are not iid?*



## Today (week 13 and 14)

## Sources of missing data

# Sources of missing data

## Most data contain missing observations

- ▶ missing data (NA) is the result of a “lurking” variable that :
  - ▶ assigns NA to some of the other variables
  - ▶ ... possibly affecting both  $x$  and  $y$
- ▶ the “lurking” means that the assignment mechanism is not observed
  - ▶ think about the data generating process of the NA
  - ▶ we have to theorize/make assume

⇒ *addressing/reducing the problem is often easier than what we think*

## Classifications of missing data:

# Take 1

## The original classification by Rubin (1979)

- ▶ **MCAR** (Missing Completely at Random)
  - ▶ probability of NA is the same for all cases
- ▶ **MAR** (Missing at Random):
  - ▶ probability of NA depends on *observable* data (known sources)
- ▶ **MNAR** (Missing Not at Random)
  - ▶ probability of NA depends on *unobservable* data (unknown sources)

⇒ *these are assumptions that we can never test*

# Why is it a problem

- ▶ **statistical power (MCAR):** only a problem if it reduces the N too much → *a representative sample*
- ▶ **information bias (MAR, MNAR):** we only record parts of a phenomenon (recall bias, missclassification, observer bias. . . )
  - ▶ independent variables:
    - ▶ we might not get the full “elasticity” of the variable
  - ▶ dependent variable: do we underestimate our phenomenon?
- ▶ **selection bias (MAR, MNAR):**
  - ▶ our estimate is biased because the unobserved assignment of NA affects both  $x$  and  $y$

## Take 2

### We can subdivide the last category

- ▶ **MCAR** (Missing Completely at Random)
    - ▶ NA are *not* dependent on any predictors (observed or not): not conditional → *you can ignore the problem, unless you have too little statistical power*
  - ▶ **MAR** (Missing at Random):
    - ▶ NA depends on the value of other observed predictors: it is conditional → *ignorability; you can condition on the other predictors*
  - ▶ **MNAR** (Missing Not at Random)
    - ▶ NA depends on unobserved data
    - ▶ NA depends on the value of *the predictor itself* (e.g. censoring)
- *NA must be modeled, or you will have to accept a biased estimate*

# Strategies



## Simple strategies

# Discard data

## Ignore the problem

- ▶ complete case analysis:
  - ▶ the usual “listwise.exclusion”
- ▶ available data analysis:
  - ▶ analyze subsets of data separately
  - ▶ exclude variables with missing observations
- ▶ weighing of NA according to predictors
  - ▶ common in surveys → *some cases may be underrepresented in the data, because of NA*

# Replace each NA by a single value

**We can also infer the missing values in fairly simple ways**

- ▶ **mean imputation:**
  - ▶ replace the missing data by the variable mean
- ▶ **conditional mean imputation:** use information from other variables
  - ▶ group mean, regression predictions

⇒ *still possible to insert bias, and doesn't take into account the uncertainty from our estimate*

# Multiple imputation

# Multiple imputation

**Multiple imputation generates several predictions for each missing value to account for the uncertainty**

- ▶ step 1: make predictions for the missing values by adding some random noise for each model

→ *we end up with several data sets (5-20 frames)*

- ▶ step 2: estimate the main model on all the different data sets

→ *pool over the regression parameters*

# EM algorithm

# EM algorithm

**The EM is the base-line approach, and only has one data frame in the end**

- ▶ we have several variables
- ▶ E-step:
  - ▶ give your NA some initial values
  - ▶ predict your  $x_{miss}$  using the observed values and initial values of  $x$  (and all other predictors)
- ▶ M-step:
  - ▶ re-do until your predictions of  $x_{miss}$  don't change any more (set a value at which you stop)

⇒ *classic maximum likelihood with a twist*

## Multiple Imputation via Chained Equations (MICE)



# Multiple Imputation via Chained Equations (MICE)

**We assume a set of variables are correlated, and use them to predict for each other in turn (a cycle)**

Imagine  $x$ ,  $y$  and  $z$ :

- ▶ cycle 1:
  - ▶  $x \propto \alpha + \beta_1 y + \beta_2 z$ : give  $y$  and  $z$  some starting value; regress  $x$  on all other models
  - ▶  $y \propto \alpha + \beta_1 \hat{x} + \beta_2 z$ : replace missing values of  $x$  by predicted  $\hat{x}$
  - ▶  $z \propto \alpha + \beta_1 \hat{x} + \beta_2 \hat{y}$ : same
- ▶ cycle 2-...: rinse and repeat until nothing changes (convergence)



Figure 1: Mice thrive in holes...



# Literature