

## Lambda calculus

Johan Montelius

KTH

VT21

- a domain:  $\mathbb{Z}$  i.e.  $\dots -2, -1, 0, 1, 2\dots$
- a set of primitive functions:  $+$ ,  $-$ ,  $*$ ,  $\text{mod}$ ,  $\text{div}$
- syntax: symbols, precedence, parentheses i.e. a way to write expressions

1 / 1

2 / 1

evaluation of expressions

how about this

- $(3 + 5) * (6 - 3)$
- $8 * (6 - 3)$
- $8 * 3$
- 24

- $(3 + 5) * (6 - 3)$
- $(3 + 5) * 3$
- $8 * 3$
- 24

- $(3 + 5) * (6 - 3)$
- $(3 + 5) * 3$
- $(9 + 15)$
- 24

$5 * (4 + 2)$

$17 \text{ mod } 5$

$7 \text{ mod } 0$

3 / 1

4 / 1

$5 \bmod 0 \equiv \perp$

$\perp$  is called *bottoms*, *undefined* or ... *exception*

We extend the domain:  $\mathbb{Z} \cup \{\perp\}$

How should we interpret:  $5 * \perp$

A function that is defined to be  $\perp$  if any of its arguments is  $\perp$ , is called a *strict function*,

All of our regular arithmetic functions are strict.

5 / 1

6 / 1

What is the value of:  $(x - x) * 5$

- $(\sqrt[3]{3 + 5^4}) * (6 - 6)$
- $(\sqrt[3]{3 + 5^4}) * 0$
- 0

- $(512 \text{ div } 0) * (6 - 6)$
- $(512 \text{ div } 0) * 0$
- 0
- hmmm, not so good

7 / 1

8 / 1

If all functions are strict:

- then all arguments of the function must be evaluated
- the order does not matter,... or does it?

Assume we have a function *if*(*test*, *then*, *else*) with the obvious definition.

Do we want this function to be a *strict function*?

9 / 1

10 / 1

Too make life more interesting, we introduce

variables:  $x$ ,  $y$ ,

and functions:  $\lambda x. x + 5$

*Most often written  $\lambda x. x + 5$  but we will use  $\rightarrow$ .*

*So far, functions do not have names.*

- $\lambda x. x + 5$
- $(\lambda x. x + 5) 7$
- $(7 + 5)$
- 12

11 / 1

12 / 1

We *apply* a function to an argument (or *actual arguments*),

- $(\lambda x \rightarrow \langle E \rangle)7$

by *substituting* the parameter (or *formal argument*) of the function with the argument.

- $[x/7]\langle E \rangle$

- $[x/7]\langle x + 5 \rangle \quad 7 + 5$
- $[x/7]\langle \lambda y \rightarrow y + x \rangle \quad \lambda y \rightarrow y + 7$
- $[x/(\lambda z \rightarrow z + 2)]\langle \lambda y \rightarrow (xy) * 2 \rangle \quad \lambda y \rightarrow ((\lambda z \rightarrow z + 2)y) * 2$

But, things could go wrong.

13 / 1

In an expression  $\lambda x \rightarrow \langle E \rangle$ , the *scope* of  $x$  is  $\langle E \rangle$ .

We say that  $x$  is *free* in  $\langle E \rangle$  but *bound* in  $\lambda x \rightarrow \langle E \rangle$ .

We can write  $\lambda x \rightarrow (\lambda x \rightarrow (x * x))$ , which does complicate things.

15 / 1

A substitution  $[x/\langle F \rangle]\langle E \rangle$  is possible if  $\langle F \rangle$  does not have any free variables ...  
... that become bound in  $[x/\langle F \rangle]\langle E \rangle$ .

$$(\lambda x \rightarrow (\lambda y \rightarrow (y + x)))(y + 5)$$

$$[x/(y + 5)](\lambda y \rightarrow (y + x))$$

$$\lambda y \rightarrow (y + (y + 5))$$

$$(\lambda x \rightarrow (\lambda z \rightarrow (z + x)))(y + 5)$$

$$[x/(y + 5)](\lambda z \rightarrow (z + x))$$

$$\lambda z \rightarrow (z + (y + 5))$$

We have to be careful but renaming variables solves the problem.

14 / 1

16 / 1

A function is:

... a many to one mapping from one domain to another:  $A \mapsto B$

... a description of the expression that should be evaluated:  $\lambda x \rightarrow x + 2$

In mathematics we can work with functions even if we do not know how to compute them.

- The  $\lambda$  calculus was introduced in the 1930s by Alonzo Church.
- Easy to define:
  - only three types of expressions: variable, lambda abstraction, application
  - only one rule: evaluation of application
  - you don't even need data structures nor named functions
- Anything that is *computable* can be expressed in  $\lambda$  calculus, it is as powerful as a *Turing machine*.
- We will use some extensions to the language when we describe functional programming.

17 / 1

18 / 1

A function of two arguments, can be described as function of one argument that evaluates to another function of a second argument.

- $(\lambda x \rightarrow (\lambda y \rightarrow x + y))\ 7\ 8$
- $(\lambda y \rightarrow 7 + y)\ 8$
- $7 + 8$

We can write:

- $\lambda xy \rightarrow x + y$

- $\lambda x \rightarrow (x + 2) + (x + 2)$  do we have to evaluate  $(x + 2)$  twice?
- $\lambda x \rightarrow ((\lambda y \rightarrow y + y)(x + 2))$   $(x + 2)$  only evaluated once
- $\lambda x \rightarrow \text{let } y = x + 2 \text{ in } y + y$  more readable

19 / 1

20 / 1

- $\lambda x \rightarrow \text{let } y = x + y \text{ in } y + y$       What does this mean?
- $\lambda x \rightarrow ((\lambda y \rightarrow y + y)(x + y))$

- $\lambda x \rightarrow \text{let } y = x + 2, z = y + 5 \text{ in } z + z$
- $\lambda x \rightarrow ((\lambda y \rightarrow (\lambda z \rightarrow z + z)(y + 5))(x + 2))$

So is this,

- $\lambda x \rightarrow \text{let } y = x + 2, y = y + 5 \text{ in } y + y$
- $\lambda x \rightarrow ((\lambda y \rightarrow (\lambda y \rightarrow y + y)(y + 5))(x + 2))$

21 / 1

- $\lambda$ -calculus
  - not the best syntax - not important
  - no “data structures” - functions are all you need
  - no need for named functions
  - no defined evaluation order
- functional programming languages:
  - different syntax, some good some strange
  - almost always provide built-in or user defined data structures
  - named function i.e. the program
  - defines the evaluation order

*All functional programming languages have a core that can be expressed in  $\lambda$ -calculus.*

23 / 1

- uses the Erlang virtual machine
- a Ruby like syntax
- a small set of built-in data structures, no user defined
- an “eager evaluation” order i.e. arguments are evaluated before the function is applied

*Elixir/Erlang is extended to be able to model concurrency. In the first part of this course we will only use the functional subset.*

22 / 1

24 / 1

## lambda expression

$\lambda x \rightarrow 2 + x$       `fn x -> 2 + x end`

$(\lambda y \rightarrow 2 + y)4$       `(fn y -> 2 + y end).(4)`

$\lambda x \rightarrow \text{let } y = x + 2, y = y + 5 \text{ in } y + y$

`fn x -> y = x + 2; y = y + 5; y + y end`

## let expression

`let x = 2, y = x + 3 in y + y`

`x = 2; y = x + 3; y + y`

25 / 1

26 / 1

## difference Erlang/Elixir

`x = 2; x = 3; x + x`

`let x = 2, x = 3 in x + x`

$(\lambda x \rightarrow (\lambda x \rightarrow x + x)3)2$

$(\lambda z \rightarrow z + z)3$

`3 + 3`

Erlang: not allowed, interpreted as `2 = 3, ...`

## function definition

$inc \equiv \lambda x \rightarrow x + 1$

`def inc(x) do x + 1 end`

27 / 1

28 / 1

## multiple arguments

$add \equiv \lambda xy \rightarrow x + y$

```
def add(x, y) do x + y end
```