

Evaluation

Johan Montelius

KTH

VT21

We will define a small subset of the Elixir language and describe the *operational semantics*.

Warning - this is not exactly how Elixir works ... but it could have been.

1 / 1

2 / 1

expressions

The language is described using a BNF notation.

$\langle atom \rangle ::= :a \mid :b \mid :c \mid \dots$

$\langle variable \rangle ::= x \mid y \mid z \mid \dots$

$\langle literal \rangle ::= \langle atom \rangle$

$\langle expression \rangle ::= \langle literal \rangle \mid \langle variable \rangle \mid \{ \langle expression \rangle , \langle expression \rangle \}$

Examples: $\{ :a, :b \}$, $\{ x, y \}$, $\{ :a, \{ :b, z \} \}$

Simple expressions are also referred to as *terms*.

3 / 1

patterns

A *pattern* is a syntactical construct that uses almost the same syntax as terms.

$\langle pattern \rangle ::= \langle literal \rangle$
 $\quad \mid \langle variable \rangle$
 $\quad \mid _$
 $\quad \mid \{ \langle pattern \rangle , \langle pattern \rangle \}$

The $_$ symbol can be read as “don’t care”.

4 / 1

$\langle match \rangle ::= \langle pattern \rangle '=' \langle expression \rangle$
 $\langle sequence \rangle ::= \langle expression \rangle$
 | $\langle match \rangle ';' \langle sequence \rangle$

examples:

- $x = :a; \{ :b, x \}$
- $x = :a; y = \{ :b, x \}; \{ :a, y \}$

When we *evaluate* sequences, the result will be a structure.

$$\text{Atoms} = \{a, b, c, \dots\}$$

$$\text{Structures} = \text{Atoms} \cup \{ \{s_1, s_2\} \mid s_i \in \text{Structures} \}$$

An evaluation can also result in \perp , called “bottoms”, this represents a failed evaluation.

5 / 1

6 / 1

For every atom a , there is a corresponding structure s .

We write $a \mapsto s$.

$:foo \mapsto foo$
 $:gurka \mapsto gurka$

For every digit $1, 2, 3$ (or I, II, III) there is a corresponding number $1, 2, 3$.

Our language could have structures that do not have corresponding terms.

A sequence is evaluated given an *environment*, written σ (sigma).

The environment holds a set of variable substitutions (bindings): $v/s \in \sigma$, v is a variable and s is a structure.

An evaluation of a sequence e given an environment σ is written $E\sigma(e)$.

We write:

$$\frac{\text{prerequisite}}{E\sigma(\text{expression}) \rightarrow \text{result}}$$

where *result* is a *structure*.

7 / 1

8 / 1

We have the following rules for evaluation of expressions:

Evaluation of an atom:

$$\frac{a \mapsto s}{E\sigma(a) \rightarrow s}$$

Evaluation of a variable:

$$\frac{v/s \in \sigma}{E\sigma(v) \rightarrow s}$$

Evaluation of a compound structure:

$$\frac{E\sigma(e_1) \rightarrow s_1 \quad E\sigma(e_2) \rightarrow s_2}{E\sigma(\{e_1, e_2\}) \rightarrow \{s_1, s_2\}}$$

What if we have $E\sigma(v)$ and $v/s \notin \sigma$?

$$\frac{v/s \notin \sigma}{E\sigma(v) \rightarrow \perp}$$

assume: $\sigma = \{x/\{a, b\}\}$

$$\begin{array}{ll} E\sigma(:c) & \rightarrow c \\ E\sigma(x) & \rightarrow \{a, b\} \end{array}$$

assume: $\sigma = \{x/a, y/b\}$

$$E\sigma(\{x, y\}) \rightarrow \{a, b\}$$

The result of evaluating a *pattern matching* is an extended environment. We write:

$$P\sigma(p, s) \rightarrow \theta$$

where θ (theta) is the extended environment.

Match an atom:

$$\frac{a \mapsto s}{P\sigma(a, s) \rightarrow \sigma}$$

Match an unbound variable:

$$\frac{v/t \notin \sigma}{P\sigma(v, s) \rightarrow \{v/s\} \cup \sigma}$$

Match a bound variable:

$$\frac{v/s \in \sigma}{P\sigma(v, s) \rightarrow \sigma}$$

Match ignore:

$$\overline{P\sigma(_, s) \rightarrow \sigma}$$

What do we do with $P\sigma(a, s)$ when $a \not\rightarrow s$?

$$\frac{a \not\rightarrow s}{P\sigma(a, s) \rightarrow \text{fail}}$$

$$\frac{v/t \in \sigma \quad t \neq s}{P\sigma(v, s) \rightarrow \text{fail}}$$

A *fail* is not the same as \perp .

If the pattern is a compound pattern, the components of the pattern are matched to their corresponding sub structures.

$$\frac{P\sigma(p_1, s_1) \rightarrow \sigma' \quad P\sigma'(p_2, s_2) \rightarrow \theta}{P\sigma(\{p_1, p_2\}, \{s_1, s_2\}) \rightarrow \theta}$$

Note that the second part is evaluated in σ' .

Example: $P\{\{\{x, \{y, x\}\}, \{a, \{b, c\}\}\}$

Match a compound pattern with anything but a compound structure will fail.

13 / 1

14 / 1

assume: $\sigma = \{y/b\}$

- $P\sigma(x, a) \rightarrow \{x/a\} \cup \sigma$
- $P\sigma(y, b) \rightarrow \sigma$
- $P\sigma(y, a) \rightarrow \text{fail}$
- $P\sigma(\{y, y\}, \{a, b\}) \rightarrow \text{fail}$

Pattern matching can *fail*.

fail is different from \perp

We will use failing to guide the program execution, more on this later.

15 / 1

16 / 1

A new *scope* is created by removing variable bindings from an environment.

$$\frac{\sigma' = \sigma \setminus \{v/t \mid v/t \in \sigma \wedge v \text{ in } p\}}{S\sigma(p) \rightarrow \sigma'}$$

A sequence is evaluated one pattern matching expression after the other.

$$\frac{E\sigma(e) \rightarrow t \quad S\sigma(p) \rightarrow \sigma' \quad P\sigma'(p, t) \rightarrow \theta \quad E\theta(\text{sequence}) \rightarrow s}{E\sigma(p = e; \text{sequence}) \rightarrow s}$$

`x = :a; y = :b; {x,y}`

Erlang and Elixir differ in how this rule is defined.

17 / 1

18 / 1

We have defined the semantics of a programming language (not a complete language) by defining how expressions are evaluated.

Important topics:

- set of data structures: atoms and compound structures
- environment: that binds variables to data structures
- expressions: term expressions, pattern matching expressions and sequences
- evaluation: from expressions to data structures $E\sigma(e) \rightarrow s$

Why do we do this?

19 / 1

20 / 1

What is missing:

- evaluation of *case* (and *if* expressions)
- evaluation of function applications

```
case x do
  :a -> :foo
  :b -> :bar
end
```

21 / 1

22 / 1

$\langle \text{expression} \rangle ::= \langle \text{case expression} \rangle \mid \dots$

$\langle \text{case expression} \rangle ::= \text{'case' } \langle \text{expression} \rangle \text{'do' } \langle \text{clauses} \rangle \text{'end'}$

$\langle \text{clauses} \rangle ::= \langle \text{clause} \rangle \mid \langle \text{clause} \rangle \text{';' } \langle \text{clauses} \rangle$

$\langle \text{clause} \rangle ::= \langle \text{pattern} \rangle \text{'->' } \langle \text{sequence} \rangle$

$$\frac{E\sigma(e) \rightarrow t \quad C\sigma(t, \text{clauses}) \rightarrow s}{E\sigma(\text{case } e \text{ do clauses end}) \rightarrow s}$$

$C\sigma(s, \text{clauses})$ will select one of the clauses based on the patterns of the clauses and then continue the evaluation of the sequence of the selected clause.

23 / 1

24 / 1

$$\frac{S\sigma(p) \rightarrow \sigma' \quad P\sigma'(p, s) \rightarrow \theta \quad \theta \neq \text{fail} \quad E\theta(\text{sequence}) \rightarrow s}{C\sigma(s, p \rightarrow \text{sequence}; \text{clauses}) \rightarrow s}$$

$$\frac{S\sigma(p) \rightarrow \sigma' \quad P\sigma'(p, s) \rightarrow \text{fail} \quad C\sigma(s, \text{clauses}) \rightarrow s}{C\sigma(s, p \rightarrow \text{sequence}; \text{clauses}) \rightarrow s}$$

$$\frac{S\sigma(p) \rightarrow \sigma' \quad P\sigma'(p, s) \rightarrow \text{fail}}{C\sigma(s, p \rightarrow \text{sequence}) \rightarrow \perp}$$

$$E\{x/\{a, b\}\}(\text{case } x \text{ do } :a \rightarrow :a; \{_, y\} \rightarrow y \text{ end}) \rightarrow$$

$$E\{X/\{a, b\}\}(x) \rightarrow \{a, b\}$$

$$C\{X/\{a, b\}\}(\{a, b\}, :a \rightarrow :a; \{_, y\} \rightarrow y) \rightarrow$$

$$P\{x/\{a, b\}\}(:a, \{a, b\}) \rightarrow \text{fail}$$

$$C\{x/\{a, b\}\}(\{a, b\}, \{_, y\} \rightarrow y) \rightarrow$$

$$P\{x/\{a, b\}\}(\{_, y\}, \{a, b\}) \rightarrow \{y/b, x/\{a, b\}\}$$

$$E\{y/b, x/\{a, b\}\}(y) \rightarrow$$

$$b$$

25 / 1

26 / 1

Are all syntactical correct sequences also valid sequences?

A sequence must not contain any *free variables*.

A free variable in a <sequence> is bound by the pattern matching expressions in the sequence <pattern> = <expression>, <sequence> if the variable occurs in the <pattern>.

A free variable in a <sequence> is bound by the pattern matching expressions in the clause <pattern> -> <sequence> if the variable occurs in the <pattern>.

$x = :a; \{y, z\} = \{x, :b\}; \{x, y, z\}$

$\{y, z\} = \{x, :b\}; \{x, y, z\}$

$x = \{ :a, :b \}; \text{case } x \text{ do } \{ :a, z \} \rightarrow z \text{ end}$

27 / 1

28 / 1

A lot of work for something that simple - why bother, it could not have been done differently.

```
x = {:a, :b};  
y = case x do  
      {:a, z} -> {:c, z}  
    end;  
{y, z}
```

This is not allowed in our language, z in $\{y, z\}$ is a free variable. However is allowed in Erlang and was until changed allowed in Elixir (fixed in v1.5).

29 / 1

30 / 1

Handle lambda expressions, closures and function application.

31 / 1