Physical Modelling of Musical Instruments for Real-Time Applications

Ph.D. Dissertation Silvin Willemsen

Thesis submitted: February 18, 2021

PhD Supervisor: Prof. Stefania Serafin

Aalborg University

PhD Committee: Prof. X, Y University

Prof. X, Y University Prof. X, Y University

PhD Series: Technical Faculty of IT and Design, Aalborg University

Department: Department of Architecture, Design and Media Technology

ISSN: xxxx-xxxx

ISBN: xxx-xx-xxx-xxx-x

Published by: Aalborg University Press Skjernvej 4A, 2nd floor DK – 9220 Aalborg Ø Phone: +45 99407140 aauf@forlag.aau.dk forlag.aau.dk

© Copyright: Silvin Willemsen

Printed in Denmark by Rosendahls, 2021

Curriculum Vitae

Silvin Willemsen



Here is the CV text.

Curriculum Vitae

Abstract

English abstract

Abstract

Resumé

Danish Abstract

Resumé

Cı	ırricı	ılum Vitae	iii							
Αl	ostra	ct	v							
Re	esum	é	vii							
Co	nten	ts	ix							
Pr	eface		xv							
Ι	Int	roduction	1							
1	Phy	sical Modelling of Musical Instruments	3							
	1.1	Physical Modelling Techniques	3							
	1.2	Thesis Objectives and Main Contributions	4							
	1.3	Thesis Outline	4							
2	An	Introduction to FDTD Methods	5							
	2.1	The Mass-Spring System	6							
	2.2	The 1D Wave Equation	7							
	2.3	Energy Analysis	7							
	2.4	Stability Analysis	7							
II	Re	esonators	9							
3	Stif	f string	13							
-	3.1	Adding Losses	13							
4	2D Systems									
	4.1	2D Wave Equation	15							
	4.2	Thin plate	15							

	4.3	Stiff membrane	15
5	Bras	os .	17
II	I E	xciters	19
6	Unn 6.1 6.2	Initial conditions Signals 6.2.1 Pulse train	23 23 23 23
7 IV 8	7.1 7.2 7.3	6.2.2 Noise delled Excitations Hammer The Bow 7.2.1 Static Friction Models 7.2.2 Dynamic Friction Models Lip-reed 7.3.1 Coupling to Tube nteractions Rigid connection	23 25 25 25 25 26 26 27 31 31
	8.2	Spring-like connection	31
9	9.1 9.2	lisions Classic models	33 33 33
V	D	ynamic Grids	35
10	10.1 10.2	Background and Motivation Method 10.2.1 Cubic interpolation 10.2.2 Sinc interpolation 10.2.3 Displacement correction Analysis and Experiments 10.3.1 Interpolation technique 10.3.2 Interpolation range	37 37 37 37 38 38 38 38

	10.3.3 Location	38 38
V	I Real-Time Implementation and Control	39
11	Real-Time Implementation	43
12	Control	45
	12.1 Sensel Morph	45
	12.2 Phantom OMNI	45
V	II Complete Instruments	47
13	Large Scale Modular Physical models	51
	13.1 Bowed Sitar	51
	13.2 Dulcimer	51
	13.3 Hurdy Gurdy	52
14	Tromba Marina	53
	14.1 Introduction	53
	14.2 Physical Model	53
	14.2.1 Continuous	53
	14.2.2 Discrete	53
	14.3 Real-Time Implementation	53
	14.3.1 Control using Sensel Morph	53
	14.3.2 VR Application	53
15	Trombone	55
	15.1 Introduction	55
	15.2 Physical Model	55
	15.2.1 Continuous	55
	15.2.2 Discrete	55
	15.3 Real-Time Implementation	55
Re	eferences	57

Todo list

Γ	Different title here?															23
Ī	check if is still true.															37

Preface

Starting this PhD, I did not have a background in mathematics, physics or computer science, which were three equally crucial components in creating the result of this project. This is why I decided to write this thesis a bit more pedagogical than what could be expected. As I felt that the literature lacks a lot of intuition I wanted to give that to the reader. Some basic calculus knowledge is assumed.

I wanted to show my learning process and (hopefully) explain topics such as *Energy Analysis*, *Stability Analysis*, etc. in a way that others lacking the same knowledge will be able to understand.

Make physical modelling more accessible to the non-physicist.

Interested in physically impossible manipulations of now-virtual instruments.

Preface

Part I Introduction

Chapter 1

Physical Modelling of Musical Instruments

The history of physical modelling of musical instruments

Exciter-resonator approach.

The time-evolution of dynamic systems can be conveniently described by differential equations. Examples of a dynamic systems are a guitar string, a drum-membrane, or a concert hall; three very different concepts, but all based on the same types of equations of motion.

Though these equations are very powerful, only few have a closed-form solution. What this means is that in order for them to be implemented, they need to be approximated. There exist different approximation techniques to do this

1.1 Physical Modelling Techniques

- Modal Synthesis
- Finite-difference Time-domain methods
- Digital waveguides
- Mass-spring systems
- · Functional transformation method
- State-space
- Wave-domain
- · Energy-based

1.2 Thesis Objectives and Main Contributions

The main objective of this thesis is to implement existing physical models in real time using FDTD methods. Many of the physical models and methods presented in this thesis are taken from the literature and it is thus not Secondly, to combine the existing physical models to get complete instruments and be able to control them in real time.

As FDTD methods are quite rigid, changing parameters on the fly, i.e., while the instrument simulation is running, is a challenge. Other techniques, such as modal synthesis, are much more suitable for this, but come with the drawbacks mentioned in Section 1.1. Therefore, a novel method was devised to smoothly change parameters over time, introducing this to FDTD methods.

1.3 Thesis Outline

- · Physical models
 - Resonators
 - Exciters
 - Interactions
- Dynamic Grids
- Real-Time Implementation and Control
- Complete instruments
 - Large-scale physical models
 - Tromba Marina
 - Trombone

Notes

- Think about how to define real-time.
- Create an intuition for different parts of the equation
- Talk about input and output locations and how that affects frequency content (modes).

One over number \rightarrow reciprocal of number

Example: When the waveform consists entirely of harmonically related frequencies, it will be periodic, with a period equal to the reciprocal of the fundamental frequency (from An Introduction to the Mathematics of Digital Signal Processing Pt 2 by F. R. Moore)

Chapter 2

An Introduction to FDTD Methods

This chapter introduces some important concepts needed to understand the physical models presented later on in this document. By means of a simple mass-spring system and the 1D wave equation, the notation (and terminology) used throughout this document will be explained, together with some important analysis techniques. Before we dive into the mathematics, let us go over some useful terminology.

Differential equations

As mentioned in Chapter 1 differential equations are used to describe the motion of dynamic systems. A characteristic feature of these equations is that, rather than the absolute position (or displacement) of an object, the time derivative of its position – its velocity – or the second-order time derivative – its acceleration – is described. From this, the displacement of the system can be computed.

This displacement is usually described by the letter u which is (nearly) always a function of time, i.e., u=u(t). If the system is distributed in space, u also becomes a function of space, i.e., u=u(x,t), or with two spatial dimensions, u=u(x,y,t), etc. Though this work only describes systems of up to two spatial dimensions, one could potentially extend to systems of infinite spatial dimensions evolving over time!

If u is only a function of time, the differential equation that describes the motion of this system is called an *ordinary differential equation* (ODE). If u is also a function of at least one spatial dimension, the equation of motion is a called a *partial differential equation* (PDE).

The literature uses different types of notation for taking (continuous-time)

partial derivatives. Applied to a state u these can look like

 $\frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial t^2}$ (classical notation) u_{tt} (subscript notation) $\partial_t^2 u$ (operator notation)

all of which mean a second-order derivative with respect to time t, i.e., u's acceleration. In this document, the operator notation will be used.

Note: difference between 1D, 2D spatial, and 1D, 2D displacement (polarisation). Transverse displacement of 1D wave here

Now that an equation has been established, how

Discretisation using FDTD methods

Finite-difference time-domain (FDTD) methods essentially subdivide a continuous equation in discrete points in time and space, a process called *discretisation*.

Once an ODE or PDE is discretised using these methods it is now called a *Finite-Difference Scheme* (FDS).

Implementation

In the following

- Continuous-time
- Discrete-time
- Implementation (update equation)

Something something newton's second law

2.1 The Mass-Spring System

Though a complete physical modelling field on its own (see Chapter 1), mass-spring systems are also sound-generating systems themselves.

Continuous-time

The ODE of a simple mass-spring system is defined as

$$\frac{d^2u}{dt^2} = -\omega_0^2 u \tag{2.1}$$

Discrete-time

The , u is approximated using

$$u(t) \approx u^n \tag{2.2}$$

where t = nk

Implementation

2.2 The 1D Wave Equation

The simplest and arguably the most important PDE in the field is the 1D wave equation

Continuous-time

The state of the system u=u(x,t) meaning that is on top of being defined in time t it is distributed over space x. The 1D wave equation is defined as follows

$$\partial_t^2 u = c^2 \partial_x^2 u. (2.3)$$

Discrete-time

Boundary Conditions

When a system is distributed in space,

Output sound

After the system is excited (see III), one can listen to the output

2.3 Energy Analysis

Debugging physical models.

2.4 Stability Analysis

Finding stability condition

Chapter 2. An Introduction to FDTD Methods

Part II Resonators

Resonators

Though the physical models described in the previous part are also considered resonators, they are *ideal* cases. In other words, you would not be able to find these "in the wild" as they do not includes effects such as losses or dispersion in the case of the 1D wave equation.

- Bars and Stiff Strings
- 2D Models
- Brass

Chapter 3

Stiff string

Stiff string and stuff
Boundary conditions need to be extended...

3.1 Adding Losses

Chapter 3. Stiff string

Chapter 4

2D Systems

- 4.1 2D Wave Equation
- 4.2 Thin plate
- 4.3 Stiff membrane

Chapter 4. 2D Systems

Chapter 5

Brass

This will be the first appearance of a first-order system.

Part III

Exciters

Exciters

Now that a plethora of resonators have been introduced in part II, different mechanisms to excite them will be introduced here. First, different examples of

- Simple pluck ((half) raised-cos)
- Hammer
- Bow Models
- Lip reed

Unmodelled Excitations

Different title here?

6.1 Initial conditions

Hammer

Full raised cosine

Pluck

- Cut-off raised cosine
- Triangle (for string)

6.2 Signals

6.2.1 Pulse train

For brass

6.2.2 Noise

Noise input

Chapter 6. Unmodelled Excitations

Modelled Excitations

7.1 Hammer

Hammer modelling

7.2 The Bow

The bow...

7.2.1 Static Friction Models

In static bow-string-interaction models, the friction force is defined as a function of the relative velocity between the bow and the string only. The first mathematical description of friction was proposed by Coulomb in 1773 [?] to which static friction, or *stiction*, was added by Morin in 1833 [?] and viscous friction, or velocity-dependent friction, by Reynolds in 1886 [?]. In 1902, Stribeck found a smooth transition between the static and the coulomb part of the friction curve now referred to as the Stribeck effect [?]. The latter is still the standard for static friction models today.

7.2.2 Dynamic Friction Models

As opposed to less complex bow models, such as the hyperbolic [source] and exponential [source] models, the elasto-plastic bow model assumes that the friction between the bow and the string is caused by a large quantity of bristles, each of which contributes to the total amount of friction.

7.3 Lip-reed

Lip-reed model

7.3.1 Coupling to Tube

Part IV Interactions

The models described in part II already sound quite convincing on their own. However, these are just individual components that can be combined to approximate a fully functional (virtual) instrument. The following chapters will describe different ways of interaction between individual systems. Chapter 8 describes ways to connect different systems and Chapter 9 describes collision interactions between models.

Newtons third law (action reaction)

Connections

Something about connections

- 8.1 Rigid connection
- 8.2 Spring-like connection

Chapter 8. Connections

Collisions

Something about collisions

- 9.1 Classic models
- 9.2 Michele's tricks

Chapter 9. Collisions

Part V Dynamic Grids

Dynamic Grids

10.1 Background and Motivation

Simulating musical instruments using physical modelling – as mentioned in Part I– allows for manipulations of the instrument that are impossible in the physical world. Examples of this are changes in material density or stiffness, cross-sectional area (1D), thickness (2D) and size. Apart from being potentially sonically interesting, there are examples in the physical world where certain aspects of the instrument are manipulated in real-time.

check if is still true

Tension in a string is changed when tuning it

Some artists even use this in their performances [1].

A more relevant example is that of the trombone, where the size of the instrument is changed in order to play different pitches. Modelling this using FDTD methods would require

In his thesis, Harrison points out that in order to model the trombone, grid points need to be introduced

10.2 Method

Iterations have been:

- Interpolated boundary conditions
- Linear interpolation

10.2.1 Cubic interpolation

10.2.2 Sinc interpolation

10.2.3 Displacement correction

The displacement correction can be interpreted as a spring force pulling u_M^n and w_0^n to the average displacement.

$$u_{M}^{n+1} = 2u_{M}^{n} + \lambda^{2}(u_{M-1}^{n} - 2u_{M}^{n} + u_{M+1}^{n}) - K\left(u_{M}^{n} - \frac{u_{M}^{n} + w_{0}^{n}}{2}\right)$$

$$w_{0}^{n+1} = 2u_{M}^{n} + \lambda^{2}(w_{-1}^{n} - 2w_{0}^{n} + w_{1}^{n}) - K\left(w_{0}^{n} - \frac{u_{M}^{n} + w_{0}^{n}}{2}\right)$$

$$(10.1)$$

$$u_M^{n+1} = 2u_M^n + \lambda^2 (u_{M-1}^n - 2u_M^n + u_{M+1}^n) + \frac{K}{2} (w_0^n - u_M^n)$$

$$w_0^{n+1} = 2u_M^n + \lambda^2 (w_{-1}^n - 2w_0^n + w_1^n) - \frac{K}{2} (w_0^n - u_M^n)$$
(10.2)

with
$$K = K(\alpha)$$

$$K = (1 - \alpha)^{\epsilon}. \tag{10.3}$$

10.3 Analysis and Experiments

10.3.1 Interpolation technique

10.3.2 Interpolation range

10.3.3 Location

... where to add and remove points
Using the whole range, we can still add/remove points at the sides.

10.4 Discussion and Conclusion

Part VI

Real-Time Implementation and Control

Real-Time Implementation and Control

It's all fun and dandy with all these physical models, but what use are they if you can't control them in real time?!

Real-Time Implementation

JUCE Give overall structure of code

Implementation of the physical models using FDTD methods

As mentioned in Chapter 1, FDTD methods are used for high-quality and accurate simulations, rather than for real-time applications. This is due to their lack of simplifications.

Usually, MATLAB is used for simulating

Here, we define an application as being real-time when

Control of the application generates or manipulates audio with no noticeable latency.

Also the application needs to be controlled continuously

Chapter 11. Real-Time Implementation

Control

12.1 Sensel Morph

150 Hz

12.2 Phantom OMNI

Chapter 12. Control

Part VII Complete Instruments

Complete Instruments

This part will give several examples of full instrument models that have been developed during the PhD. Chapter ?? shows a three instrument-inspired case-studies using a large-scale modular environment, Chapter 14 describes the implementation of the tromba marina and Chapter 15 that of the trombone.

Large Scale Modular Physical models

In the paper "Real-Time Control of Large-Scale Modular Physical Models using the Sensel Morph" [2] we presented a modular physical modelling environment using three instruments as case studies.

13.1 Bowed Sitar

- Stiff String
- Thin Plate
- Pluck
- Bow
- Non-linear spring connections

13.2 Dulcimer

- Stiff String
- Thin Plate
- Hammer (simple)
- Non-linear spring connections

13.3 Hurdy Gurdy

- Stiff String
- Thin Plate
- Pluck
- Bow
- Non-linear spring connections

Tromba Marina

- 14.1 Introduction
- 14.2 Physical Model
- 14.2.1 Continuous
- 14.2.2 Discrete
- 14.3 Real-Time Implementation
- 14.3.1 Control using Sensel Morph
- 14.3.2 VR Application

Chapter 14. Tromba Marina

Trombone

- 15.1 Introduction
- 15.2 Physical Model

Most has been described in Chapter 5

- 15.2.1 Continuous
- 15.2.2 Discrete
- 15.3 Real-Time Implementation

Unity??

Chapter 15. Trombone

References

- [1] J. Gomm, 2011. [Online]. Available: https://www.youtube.com/watch? v=nY7GnAq6Znw
- [2] S. Willemsen, N. Andersson, S. Serafin, and S. Bilbao, "Real-time control of large-scale modular physical models using the sensel morph," *Proc. of the 16th Sound and Music Computing Conference*, pp. 275–280, 2019.