Regular Expressions

The $regular\ expressions$ over an alphabet Σ are exactly all strings over the alphabet $\Sigma \cup \{(,),\emptyset,\cup,*\}$ that can be obtained as follows:

- 1. \emptyset ϵ , and the members of Σ are regular expressions.
- 2. If E and F are regular expressions, then so is $E \cdot F$ and so is $E \cup F$.
- 3. If E is a regular expression, then so is E^* .
- 4. If E is a regular expression, then so is (E).
- * has the highest precedence, followed by \cdot , followed by \cup . Both \cdot and \cup are associative.

Languages Represented by Regular Expressions

Every regular expression represents a language. We define L to be a function which maps any regular expression to a language, as follows:

- $L(\emptyset) = \emptyset$, and $L(a) = \{a\}$ for all $a \in \Sigma$.
- If E and F are regular expressions, then L(EF) = L(E)L(F), and $L(E \cup F) = L(E) \cup L(F)$.
- If E is a regular expression, then $L(E^*) = (L(E))^*$.
- If E is a regular expression, then L((E)) = L(E).
- We often don't write the L but just put the E to refer to the language corresponding to E.

Examples of Languages Defined by Regular Expressions

- $\{w \in \{0,1\}^* : w \text{ ends with an } 1\}.$
- $\{w \in \{0,1\}^* : w \text{ does not contain the substring } 10\}.$

Equivalence of FA's and Regular Expressions

- Theorem: A language is regular ⇔ if and only if some regular expression describes it.
- **Proof:** We show each direction separately.
- ullet First, we show that if a language is described by a regular expression R then there is an NFA which recognizes it.

Base Case

- $R = \emptyset$.
- $R = \epsilon$.
- $R = a, a \in \Sigma$.

Induction

Suppose E, F are regular expressions. We assume for induction that there are NFAs M_E, M_F such that $L(E) = L(M_E)$ and $L(F) = L(M_F)$. For each case below, we need to construct a NFA M_R such that $L(M_R) = L(R)$.

1.
$$R = E \cup F$$

2.
$$R = E \cdot F$$

3
$$R = E^*$$

4.
$$R = (E)$$

Example - Regular Expression to NFA

Consider the regular expression $(ab \cup aba)^*$

1. NFA's for ab and aba:

2. NFA for $(ab \cup aba)$

Example - Regular Expression to NFA

1. NFA for $(ab \cup aba)^*$

Mapping NFA's to Regular Expressions

 $(\Rightarrow$ We will now show that if a language A is regular, then it is described by a regular expression R such that L(R) = L(A).

Observation: Given an NFA M we can construct an equivalent NFA with:

- 1. exactly one accept state;
- 2. no arrows into the start state;
- 3. no arrows out of the accept state and the accept state is not the same as the start state.

Building a regular expression

Given a NFA in the prescribed form, we can create a *generalized non-deterministic FA* (GNFA) $(Q, \Sigma, \delta, q_{start}, q_{accept})$ where

- Edges are labeled by regular expressions (i.e. $\delta: Q \times Q \to \mathsf{RegExp}(\Sigma)$)
- There are no arrows into q_{start} and no arrows out q_{accept} (and there is only one accept state).
- A GNFA accepts a string $w=w_1w_2w_3...w_k$ where $w_i\in \Sigma^*$ if there is a sequence of states $q_0,q_1,q_2,...,q_k$ such that $w_i\in L(R_i)$ and $R_i=\delta(q_{i-1},q_i)$ and $q_0=q_{start}$ and $q_k=q_{accept}$.

What is the language of a GNFA with only two states?

Goal: Remove all but two states from the GNFA while keeping the same language

Let $G=(Q,\Sigma,\delta,q_{start},q_{accept})$ be a GNFA containing at least one state q_t , where $q_t \neq q_{start},q_{accept}$. We define $G'=(Q',\Sigma,\delta',q_{start},q_{accept})$ as follows

- 1. $Q' \leftarrow Q \{q_t\}$
- 2. For any $q_i \in Q' \{q_{accept}\}, q_j \in Q' \{q_{start}\}, let$

$$\delta'(q_i, q_j) = (R_1)(R_2)^*(R_3) \cup (R_4)$$

where $R_1 = \delta(q_i, q_t)$, $R_2 = \delta(q_t, q_t)$, $R_3 = \delta(q_t, q_i)$, and $R_4 = \delta(q_i, q_i)$.

3. For any $q_a, q_b \notin \{q_i, q_j, q_t\}$, $\delta'(q_a, q_b) = \delta(q_a, q_b)$

$$L(G') = L(G)$$

Lemma: The language accepted by G' equals the language accepted by G. Proof:

- Every string accepted by G along a path which didn't pass through q_t is unaffected.
- Otherwise, if we remove q_t from an accepting sequence of states in G, we get an accepting sequence of states in G': say we have $\ldots, q_i, q_t, \ldots, q_t, q_j, \ldots$ in G. By the construction, $\delta'(q_i, q_t)$ will include any substring recognized in this subsequence, so \ldots, q_i, q_j, \ldots will be an accepting computation in G'
- If G' accepts a string it must have been accepted by G since the new label corresponds to the concatenation of labels on a path in G.

Theorem: The regular expression on the label of the arrow from q_{start} to q_{accept} after all other states have been removed describes the language of the original machine G.

Proof is by induction on the number of states.

Example - NFA to Regular Expression

$$N=(Q,\Sigma,\delta,q_1,F)$$
 where $Q=\{q_1,q_2\}$ $\Sigma=\{a,b\},$ $F=\{q_2\},$ and δ is specified by a *transition table* as follows:

 $\begin{array}{|c|c|c|c|c|}\hline q & a & b \\ \hline \end{array}$

| q | a | О |
|-------|-----------|-----------|
| q_1 | $\{q_1\}$ | $\{q_2\}$ |
| q_2 | $\{q_2\}$ | $\{q_2\}$ |