More definitions

- parameterized decision problem
- fixed-parameter tractable
- FPT

Example of a Parameterized Decision Problem: *k*-Vertex Cover

- Input: An undirected graph G = (V,E), a positive integer k
- Parameter: k
- Question: Does there exist a vertex cover $V' \subseteq V$ for G that is of size at most k, that is $|V'| \le k$?

Parameterized Decision Problems

- A parameterized decision problem is a decision problem with additionally an identified parameter.
- A parameter can be a part of the problem's input, a property of the problem's input or a combination of several parameters
- The running time analysis of an algorithm solving a parameterized decision problem is done in terms of the input size, n, and its parameter, k

Fixed parameter tractable parameterized decision problems & the parameterized complexity class FPT

A parameterized decision problem with input size n and parameter k that can be solved by an algorithm in time $O(f(k) n^c)$, where c > 0 is a constant, is called fixed-parameter tractable, and is a member of the class *FPT*, the class of fixed-parameter tractable parameterized decision problems.

f denotes any (computable) function. That is, f may be exponential or worse, but depends only on k.

Examples: $f(k) = 2^k$, $f(k) = k^k$, $f(k) = k^{128k}$

Observation

A parameterized decision problem with input size n and parameter k that can be solved by an algorithm in time $O(f(k) + n^c)$, where c > 0 is a constant, is fixed-parameter tractable.

- Observation: Given are graph G = (V,E) and integer k > 0. If G has a vertex cover V of size at most k, and if there exists a vertex $v \in V$ with deg(v) = 0, then $v \notin V$.
- This results in the following preprocessing step for solving k-Vertex Cover.
- Rule 1. Clean-up singletons:
 while there exists v ∈ V with deg(v) = 0 do
 G ← G-v

- Observation: Given are graph G = (V,E) and integer k > 0. If G has a vertex cover V of size at most k, and if there exists a vertex $v \in V$ with $\deg(v) > k$, then $v \in V$.
- This results in the following preprocessing step for solving k-Vertex Cover.
- Rule 2. Pick high-degree vertices: while there exists $v \in V$ with deg(v) > k do

$$V' \leftarrow V' \cup \{v\}$$

$$k \leftarrow k-1$$

$$G \leftarrow G-v$$

- Note that if Rule 2 cannot be applied, then every vertex has degree at most k. Further:
- Given are graph G = (V,E) and integer k > 0 where Rule 2 cannot be applied. If G has a vertex cover of size at most k, then $|V| \le k^2 + k$.

- Observation: Given are graph G = (V,E) and integer k > 0. If there exists a vertex $v \in V$ with deg(v) = 1, then v's neighbour w can be included in any vertex cover, that is $w \in V$ '.
- This results in the following preprocessing step for solving k-Vertex Cover.
- Rule 3. Pick neighbours of pendant vertices: while there exists $v \in V$ with deg(v) = 1 do

$$V' \leftarrow V' \cup N(v)$$

 $k \leftarrow k-1$
 $G \leftarrow G-v-N(v)$

- Observation: Given are graph G = (V,E) and integer k > 0. If there exists a vertex $v \in V$ with deg(v) = 2, $N(v) = \{w,z\}$, and edge $(w,z) \in E$ then v's neighbours w and z can be included in any vertex cover, that is $w,z \in V$ '.
- This results in the following preprocessing step for solving k-Vertex Cover.
- Rule 4. Pick neighbours of pendant vertices: while there exists $v \in V$ with deg(v) = 2, $N(v) = \{w,z\}$, and edge $(w,z) \in E$ do

$$V' \leftarrow V' \cup N(v)$$

 $k \leftarrow k-2$
 $G \leftarrow G-v-N(v)$

- We summarize the polynomial-time preprocessing as follows.
- repeat until no change occurs:
 - while Rule 1 applies do apply Rule 1
 - while Rule 2 applies do apply Rule 2
 - while Rule 3 applies do apply Rule 3
 - while Rule 4 applies do apply Rule 4

- Further, once none of the preprocessing rule applies we can make the following decision, since if the graph has a vertex cover of size at most k then $|V| \le k^2 + k$:
- if $|V| > k^2 + k$ then answer "no"

 After the preprocessing steps are done, and the algorithm didn't return "no", the bounded search tree algorithm can be run next to decide the problem.

• This results in a running time of $O(kn + 2^kk^2)$.