

CSC 370 — Database Systems
Summer 2015
Assignment No. 4
Version 1.1 (Jun 9, 2015)

Note 1 **This assignment is to be done individually**

Note 2 Working with other people is prohibited.

- Due date: June 12, 2015, 9:30.
- This assignment is worth 1% of your total course mark.
- Submit in paper your queries, and their corresponding relational algebra.
- Submit electronically the SQL queries in a single **text** file.

Objectives

After completing this assignment, you will have experience:

- Use aggregation.

Your task, should you choose to accept it

1. Answer the following questions, both in relational algebra, and SQL. **nRelational algebra queries should match SQL.** For SQL queries provide the query and the result. One query per question. Your query should only use the information provided in the question. **You cannot use the LIMIT keyword in your queries.**
1. Let us analyze TV shows. In this case, we want to list the TV-shows with episodes that have ratings in at least 4 different seasons. To limit the number of tuples, let us narrow our search to those TV shows that have an average rank of their episodes > 8 (only the episodes) and have an average number of votes for their episodes of 1,000 votes or more. List the id of their production (episodeof), their average rank, their average number of votes, the number of episodes with ratings and the number of different seasons with ratings. Order the result by average rank descending first and in case of collisions by average number of votes descending second. Hint: use count(distinct attr) to count the number of different values of a given attribute.

Solution:

Create a table that contains the summary of the episodes of a series

$$Summ = \gamma_{avg(votes) \rightarrow avotes, count(distinct\ season) \rightarrow cseasons, count(*) \rightarrow cepisodes, avg(rank) \rightarrow arank} (E \bowtie R)$$

Then select those with $avotes \geq 1,000$ and $arank \geq 8$ then project:

$$\Pi_{episodeof, arank, avotes, cepisodes, cseasons} \sigma_{avotes \geq 1000 \text{ and } cseasons = 4 \text{ and } arank \geq 8} Summ$$

```

WITH summ AS (
    SELECT episodeof, avg(votes) as avotes, count(distinct season) as cseasons,
           count(*) as cepisodes, avg(rank) as arank
    FROM episodes NATURAL JOIN ratings
    GROUP BY episodeof)
SELECT episodeof, arank, avotes, cepisodes, cseasons
FROM summ
WHERE avotes >= 1000 AND cseasons >= 4 AND arank >= 8.0
ORDER BY arank desc;

```

episodeof	arank	avotes	cepisodes	cseasons
"Person of Interest" (2011)	8.99186046511628	1168.7441860465116279	86	4
"House M.D." (2004)	8.68579545454545	1178.9659090909090909	176	8
"Supernatural" (2005)	8.65446009389671	1557.0000000000000000	213	10
"Lost" (2004)	8.60762711864407	2280.9067796610169492	118	6
"Dexter" (2006)	8.58125	2316.4895833333333333	96	8
"Prison Break" (2005)	8.58024691358025	1177.5308641975308642	81	4
"The Sopranos" (1999)	8.57674418604651	1673.6162790697674419	86	6
"Game of Thrones" (2011)	8.5275	9614.2250000000000000	40	4
"Friends" (1994)	8.50635593220339	1089.3347457627118644	236	10
"The Walking Dead" (2010)	8.46119402985075	5075.4029850746268657	67	5
"Homeland" (2011)	8.41041666666667	1670.0000000000000000	48	4
"Fringe" (2008)	8.393	1049.4300000000000000	100	5
"Breaking Bad" (2008)	8.37903225806452	8616.6935483870967742	62	5
"Community" (2009)	8.35544554455445	1039.3267326732673267	101	6
"The Big Bang Theory" (2007)	8.14972067039107	1323.4189944134078212	179	8
"How I Met Your Mother" (2005)	8.12884615384615	1446.8846153846153846	208	9
"Buffy the Vampire Slayer" (1997)	8.09103448275862	1138.9724137931034483	145	7
"South Park" (1997)	8.08560311284046	1079.7470817120622568	257	18
"American Horror Story" (2011)	8.06666666666667	1656.3137254901960784	51	4
"Doctor Who" (2005)	8.04887218045113	2421.2556390977443609	133	8
"The X Files" (1993)	8.03681592039801	1252.2985074626865672	201	9

(21 rows)

2. Of the movies with at least 50,000 votes, list the one(s) with the highest rank. List its title, year, rank, and votes.

Solution:

Find movies with at least 50k votes and with their ratings:

$$A = \sigma_{\text{attr is NULL} \wedge \text{votes} \geq 50,000} Ra \bowtie P$$

Find the maximum rank:

$$M = \gamma_{\text{max(rank)} \rightarrow \text{rank}} A$$

Join to find which movie has that rank:

$$\Pi_{\text{title, year, rank, vote}} A \bowtie M$$

```

WITH A as (select * from ratings
           natural join
           productions where attr is NULL and votes >= 50000),
M as (select max(rank) as rank from A)
select title, year, rank, votes from A natural join M ;

```

title	year	rank	votes
The Shawshank Redemption	1994	9.3	1424596

(1 row)

3. This query is restricted to movies with a rank of at least 8 and at least 50,000 votes. Find the **pid** of persons who have been in at least 10 of these movies. List their **pid**, number of such movies, and average rating of such movies. Order by average rank.

Solution:

We can start by joining Productions, Ratings and Roles

$$A = P \bowtie R \bowtie Ra$$

Select only those with 50k votes or more and rank ≥ 8 :

$$B = \sigma_{votes \geq 50000 \text{ AND } rank \geq 8} A$$

Now compute the count, sum, and average:

$$C = \gamma_{count(id) \rightarrow count, avg(rank) \rightarrow avg}^{pid} B$$

and narrow it to those with at least 10 movies

$$\sigma_{count \geq 10} C$$

and since we don't care about order-by in relational algebra, we are done.

```
WITH A as (SELECT * from productions
           NATURAL JOIN
           ratings
           NATURAL JOIN
           roles),
  B as (SELECT * from A
       WHERE attr IS NULL and
             votes >= 50000 and
             rank >= 8),
  C as (select pid, count(id) as count, avg(rank) as avg FROM B
       GROUP BY pid)
SELECT * from C where count >= 10
ORDER by avg
```

pid	count	avg
Tovey, Arthur	10	8.27
Lynn, Sherry (I)	11	8.27272727272727
Jackson, Samuel L.	10	8.28
Ratzenberger, John	11	8.29090909090909
Flowers, Bess	12	8.30833333333333
De Niro, Robert	10	8.36

(6 rows)

4. For movies with at least 50,000 votes, and rank of at least 7.1 (the median for the rank of movies with at least 50,000 episodes): list the person (or persons) that has appeared in the most of such movies, the id of the movie, their billing, and their character. Result should contain pid, id, billing, and character.

Solution:

First we compute A , a big table with the roles of movies that have had at least 50,000 votes:

$$A = \sigma_{attr \text{ is } NULL \text{ AND } votes \geq 50,000 \text{ AND } rank \geq 7.1} (P \bowtie R \bowtie Ra)$$

the number of times that a person has appeared in these movies:

$$B = \gamma_{count(id) \rightarrow count}^{pid} A$$

Now we find the maximum count. Note that we rename the attribute to count (same as in table B):

$$M = \gamma_{max(count) \rightarrow count} B$$

Now we can simply join M with B and A:

$$\Pi_{pid,id,billing,character}(M \bowtie B \bowtie A)$$

WITH

```

A as (
  SELECT * from
  roles NATURAL JOIN productions NATURAL JOIN ratings
  WHERE attr is NULL and votes >= 50000
),
B as (
  SELECT pid, count(id) as count
  FROM A
  GROUP BY pid
),
M as (
  SELECT max(count) as count FROM B
)
SELECT pid, id, billing, character
FROM M NATURAL JOIN B NATURAL JOIN A

```

pid	id	billing	character
De Niro, Robert	A Bronx Tale (1993)	1	Lorenzo
De Niro, Robert	American Hustle (2013)		Victor Tellegio
De Niro, Robert	Angel Heart (1987)	2	Louis Cyphre
De Niro, Robert	Awakenings (1990)	1	Leonard Lowe
De Niro, Robert	Brazil (1985)	2	Harry Tuttle
De Niro, Robert	Cape Fear (1991)	1	Max Cady
De Niro, Robert	Casino (1995)	1	Sam 'Ace' Rothstein
De Niro, Robert	Fahrenheit 9/11 (2004)		Himself
De Niro, Robert	Goodfellas (1990)	1	James Conway
De Niro, Robert	Heat (1995)	2	Neil McCauley
De Niro, Robert	Jackie Brown (1997)	6	Louis Gara
De Niro, Robert	Limitless (2011/I)	2	Carl Van Loon
De Niro, Robert	Mean Streets (1973)	1	Johnny Boy
De Niro, Robert	Men of Honor (2000)	1	Master Chief Billy Sunday
De Niro, Robert	Once Upon a Time in America (1984)	1	David 'Noodles' Aaronson
De Niro, Robert	Raging Bull (1980)	1	Jake La Motta
De Niro, Robert	Ronin (1998)	1	Sam
De Niro, Robert	Silver Linings Playbook (2012)	3	Pat Sr.
De Niro, Robert	Sleepers (1996)	3	Father Bobby
De Niro, Robert	Stardust (2007)	34	Captain Shakespeare
De Niro, Robert	Taxi Driver (1976)	10	Travis Bickle
De Niro, Robert	The Deer Hunter (1978)	1	Michael
De Niro, Robert	The Godfather: Part II (1974)	4	Vito Corleone
De Niro, Robert	The Untouchables (1987)	5	Al Capone
De Niro, Robert	Wag the Dog (1997)	2	Conrad Brean

(25 rows)

- For this question consider only movies with at least 50,000 votes. Movies with at least 50,000 votes and a rank > 8 are usually very good; let us call these movies *good movies*. Some directors are really good, others are lucky. For every director who has directed at least 10 movies (regardless rating), but had directed at least one *good movies*, display his/her pid, the total number of movies made, the percentage of movies with rank > 8 , the number of movies with rank > 8 , their average

ranking, the number of movies below this ranking, and their average, and compute the difference between the average of the good ones minus the average of the rest. Order by the difference (descending) first, and in the case of same difference, by percentage of *good movies* (descending). Your result should contain 8 columns: pid, number of movies made (total), total of good ones (goodones), their average rank (avggoodones), the number of other movies (rest), their average rank (avgrest) and the difference of avggoodones minus avgrest. Note the formatting of the output, you can achieve it by using the `to_char` function in postgresql.

Solution:

First let us find movies (with their rank and director) with at least 50k votes

$$M = \Pi_{id,pid,rank} \sigma_{votes \geq 50,000 \text{ and attr IS NULL}} (P \bowtie Ra \bowtie D)$$

Now we can now do the aggregation of good ones

$$G = \gamma_{count(id) \rightarrow goodones, avg(rank) \rightarrow avggoodones}^{\sigma_{rank \geq 8}} M$$

And now let us do the rest of the movies directed by the directors in G, including the aggregation:

$$R = \gamma_{count(id) \rightarrow rest, avg(rank) \rightarrow avgrest}^{\sigma_{rank < 8}} (M \bowtie (\Pi_{pid} G))$$

Finally join R and G, where their total number of movies is at least 5. *List* is:

$$\begin{aligned} List = & pid, goodones * 100.0 / (rest + goodones) \rightarrow prop, \\ & rest + goodones \rightarrow total, \\ & goodones, avggoodones, rest, avgrest, \\ & avggoodones - avgrest \rightarrow diff \end{aligned}$$

$$\Pi_{List} \sigma_{goodones + rest \geq 10} (G \bowtie R)$$

```
WITH M AS (SELECT id, pid, rank
            FROM Productions NATURAL JOIN Directors NATURAL JOIN Ratings
            WHERE attr is NULL and votes >= 50000),
G AS (SELECT pid, count(*) as goodones, avg(rank) as avggoodones
      FROM M where rank > 8
      GROUP BY pid),
A AS (SELECT pid, count(*) as rest, avg(rank) as avgrest
      from M NATURAL JOIN (select pid from G) as rip
      WHERE rank <= 8
      GROUP by pid)
SELECT pid, to_char(goodones*100.0/(rest+goodones), '99D9') || '%' as prop,
       rest+goodones as total,
       goodones, to_char(avggoodones, '99D9') as avggoodones,
       rest, to_char(avgrest, '99D9') as avgrest,
       to_char(avggoodones-avgrest, '99D9') as diff
FROM A NATURAL JOIN G
WHERE (goodones + rest) >= 10
ORDER BY avggoodones-avgrest DESC, prop desc;
```

pid	prop	total	goodones	avggoodones	rest	avgrest	diff
Hitchcock, Alfred (I)	90.0%	10	9	8.3	1	7.8	.5
Miyazaki, Hayao	75.0%	8	6	8.3	2	7.8	.5
Kubrick, Stanley	72.7%	11	8	8.3	3	7.7	.7
Fincher, David	30.0%	10	3	8.6	7	7.5	1.1

Jackson, Peter (I)	30.0%	10	3	8.8	7	7.5	1.3	
Lynch, David (I)	12.5%	8	1	8.2	7	7.5	.7	
Nolan, Christopher (I)	77.8%	9	7	8.6	2	7.4	1.2	
Tarantino, Quentin	54.5%	11	6	8.4	5	7.4	1.0	
Scorsese, Martin (I)	53.8%	13	7	8.3	6	7.4	1.0	
Gilliam, Terry	25.0%	8	2	8.2	6	7.3	.9	
Eastwood, Clint	23.1%	13	3	8.2	10	7.3	.9	
Spielberg, Steven	20.8%	24	5	8.5	19	7.3	1.2	
Coen, Ethan	17.6%	17	3	8.2	14	7.3	.9	
Coen, Joel	17.6%	17	3	8.2	14	7.3	.9	
Allen, Woody	11.1%	9	1	8.1	8	7.3	.8	
Zemeckis, Robert	14.3%	14	2	8.7	12	7.1	1.6	
Howard, Ron (I)	18.2%	11	2	8.2	9	7.0	1.2	
Scott, Ridley	18.8%	16	3	8.4	13	6.9	1.5	
Verbinski, Gore	12.5%	8	1	8.1	7	6.9	1.2	
Stone, Oliver (I)	9.1%	11	1	8.1	10	6.8	1.3	
Rodriguez, Robert (I)	9.1%	11	1	8.1	10	6.8	1.3	
De Palma, Brian	12.5%	8	1	8.3	7	6.8	1.5	
Petersen, Wolfgang	12.5%	8	1	8.4	7	6.7	1.7	
Shyamalan, M. Night	12.5%	8	1	8.2	7	5.8	2.4	

(24 rows)

What to submit

In paper submit your the Relational Algebra, the SQL queries and the results (you can limit your results to the first 10 tuples). Electronically, submit your SQL queries.