

Reflection: FoodBridge

By: Simeon Markov

Institution: Fontys UAS

Class: 08/EN

Course: ICT

Date: 12/6/25

Introduction

In this document I reflect on the feedbacks I received for this project, thinking on what I could have done better how did I performed and what I could improve in the future.

Reflection on my performance (S.T.A.R.R. Method)

Checkpoints 18, 20: Initial documentation structure

Situation: I was preparing my project's plan document structure and what new ICT related topic I could include.

Task/Action: I advised for the project's plan structure and received recommendations to advise with a business teacher for my selected topic.

Result: After the advice I restructured the document's structure and included referencing for better clarity and got insights from attended business workshops.

Reflection: I learned to do cross-referencing between sections and breaking down bigger pieces of data into smaller ones.

Checkpoints 21, 22: Creating a professional product

Situation: I decided to create a business process diagram for the application and attending the workshop of media design, we had an assignment to create a poster.

Task/Action: I went to create both of them and I consulted with business and media design teacher to have a feedback in return.

Result: For the diagram, I had oversimplified and had to be more specific and for the creative task (poster) I have to be more bold, open to try new things.

Reflection: I realise that I have to get involved more into the creative process, see things through different angles.

Checkpoints 23, 24: Design phase

Situation: I was working on my design document, focusing on building the database. And having design part of the database and written the design document, I did show a working version of my application.

Task/Action: Started thinking about what data is needed for application what is crucial and what could be passed on as secondary.

Result: I oversaw some details in the designing part, which I had to go back to correct them.

Reflection: I learned to pay more attention to the little details, that if neglected could result in future problems.

Checkpoint 25: Validation

Situation: After completing my project's realisation, incorporating the 'must-have' features from the requirements prioritization, I demonstrated the application, showing key functionalities.

Task/Action: I validated my application's core logic and presented a working version of the app and advised on the documentation for final review.

Result: I got advised to firstly to validate in different segments and ensuring that everything is up to date before handling the documentation to portfolio.

Reflection: I learned the importance of validation and how If managed well, it could save you time in reviewing.

Future improvements

In future I could improve on doing extra research on my selected topic in order to better understand the stated problem of the project and ensure that I understood the logic behind.