

## UFCFWQ-45-M Interdisciplinary Group Project

### Assignment Specification – Wiki Portfolio

Mark Allocation: **60%**

Due Date: **14<sup>th</sup> August 2025, 14:00**

**BST**

#### Overview

The wiki portfolio is effectively a record of all the development work and project control undertaken by the team. **Contents will vary** but could include:

1. Wiki pages featuring annotated literature review
2. Wiki pages documenting research and development and reflecting on progress and problems
3. Project management and participation documentation (e.g. Trello boards)
4. Team meeting minutes
5. Prototypes and testing results
6. Sprint retrospectives
7. Peer/tutor review feedback and reflection
8. **Links to user interfaces / notebooks / visualisations and team code repository**

There is no word count limit to this component, but the team should exercise judgement as to what content is relevant to an assessment of the team process and product.

#### Deliverables

This will consist of the Wiki on Blackboard in the Teams “Group Tools” area. This is already created for you. See this link for information about using the Blackboard Wiki tool:

<https://help.blackboard.com/Learn/Student/Original/Interact/Wikis>

It should be set up with a Home Page showing the team number, team member names, the project title and a short description of the project (max 100 words), followed by an annotated table of contents that links to all the other wiki pages in the submission and includes: title, short description, contributor(s). Where more than one person has worked on an item, insert e.g. “Paul (70%) Chris (30%)”. If the item relates to the whole team, put “team”. Where the deliverable is an electronic resource, include the external link instead of a wiki page link. Note that **all external links must be shared so as to be accessible to tutors on submission and for two months afterward.**

***Please submit as much as possible as native wiki pages rather than attachments, to make it easier to understand and assess.***

## Submission

Editing of your wiki will freeze after the deadline. Tutors will be able to access your portfolios directly for assessment

## Assessment

Each student will receive a team (T) and individual (I) mark, as noted below

Criterion	Poor/Fail (<50)	Good (50-59)	Very Good / Merit (60-69)	Excellent / Distinction (70+)
Quality and extent of individual contribution (I)	Very limited, absent or sub-standard contribution. Highly derivative (e.g. copied with little or no adaptation from a web source)	Solid contribution, though perhaps not very extensive or not adding significant value or insight	Good quality contribution showing some originality. Advances project in more than one way.	Excellent quality and quantity of contribution showing originality of approach, depth of research and clearly adding value to the team product on several dimensions
Extent of individual engagement (I)	Student minimally or not engaged – substantial evidence, with impact on team performance	Good engagement, though no evidence of going beyond a basic level of commitment and responding to tasks passively	High engagement with leadership and proactive project management	Significant leadership and substantial personal commitment to team. Highly proactive in keeping team focused and delivering
Team product (T)	No clearly useful output / output not suitable for a technical module	Product passable, but limited in scope – very simple or derivative without clear distinction	A substantial and relatively innovative output clearly informed by research and iterative development, with coding, good UI design or significant data analysis.	A highly original or in-depth product showing well researched and approach and featuring some combination (either/and) of strong data aggregation or modelling, detailed interaction design, significant original coding
Team process (T)	Chaotic and unstructured team dynamic, with very little or no evidence of process.	Limited evidence of team management process or structured methodology	Generally solid team and project management. Well informed choice and application of methods, tools and principles.	A high standard of teamwork evident, together with excellent project planning, management and control. Deep and critical commitment to methodology.
Portfolio presentation and organisation (T)	Confusing or very badly organised, with undocumented or missing content	Largely well organised, perhaps with a little lack of logic or some mislabeling	Well organised, with all parts clearly labelled and findable	Clear, understandable and extremely well documented. An exemplary set of documentation.