Purpose: Compares convergence rates for different optimizers based on total function evaluations (function + gradient evaluations). Sorted by fastest overall convergence (weighted average). Best performer is highlighted in bold, QQN variants in green.

Table 1: Convergence Speed Analysis: Mean Iterations to Reach Improvement Milestones

Optimizer	Mean	Mean	Final Con-
	Function	Function	vergence
	Evals	Evals	There a. 4. *
	to 50% Im-		Function Evolution
	provement	provement	Evals
$\mathbf{Q}\mathbf{Q}\mathbf{N}$ -	1.4	3.9	11.6
GoldenSection			
L-BFGS	2.7	3.5	12.1
L-BFGS-	1.3	3.4	14.2
Aggressive			
QQN-Bisection-1	1.4	4.4	15.7
QQN-Bisection-2	1.9	5.7	14.5
QQN-StrongWolfe	1.7	4.2	19.1
QQN-	2.2	5.6	23.7
CubicQuadraticInterpolation			
L-BFGS-	3.4	6.9	30.0
MoreThuente			
L-BFGS-Limited	4.4	8.5	44.3
GD-	11.6	19.1	24.4
AdaptiveMomentum			
GD-Momentum	10.3	20.8	37.6
L-BFGS-	7.7	19.2	56.3
Conservative			
Adam-Fast	15.9	27.4	47.0
GD-Nesterov	22.2	31.3	49.9
Adam-Robust	13.5	32.0	82.1
Trust Region-	45.3	81.8	91.0
Aggressive			
GD-WeightDecay	12.6	29.7	292.4
GD	26.1	71.6	257.5
Trust Region-	77.6	139.8	155.5
Standard			
Trust Region-	127.3	229.0	255.4
Precise			
Trust Region-	198.2	356.7	396.8
Adaptive	2		
Trust Region-	209.6	377.4	419.8
Conservative			