# Spring 2019 - CS 477/577 - Introduction to Computer Vision

# **Assignment Ten**

Due: 11:59pm (\*) Wednesday, April 10.

(\*) There is grace until 8am the next morning, as the instructor will not grade assignment before then. However, once the instructor starts grading assignments, no more assignments will be accepted.

## **Weight: Approximately 5 points**

This assignment MUST be done in groups of 2-3. You should declare your group using the Google Doc whose link was emailed to everyone on the morning of April 3. Folks that do not manage to be assigned groups by April 4 PM, will be assigned a group the morning of April 5.

You should create one PDF for the entire group, but everyone should hand in a copy of the PDF and the code to help the TA keep track.

Make it clear on the first page of your PDF who your group is, and a brief explanation of how you worked together. Group reports are expected to be higher quality than what the individuals would have done on their own.

#### **General instructions**

You can use any language you like for this assignment, but unless you feel strongly about it, you might consider continuing with Matlab.

You need to create a PDF document that tells the story of the assignment, copying into it output, code snippets, and images that are displayed when the program runs. Even if the question does not remind you to put the resulting image into the PDF, if it is flagged with (\$), you should do so. I should not need to run the program to verify that you attempted the question. See

http://kobus.ca/teaching/assignment-instructions.pdf

for more details about doing a good write-up. While it takes work, it is well worth getting better and more efficient at this.

30% of the assignment grade is reserved for exposition.

#### Learning goals

- Understand what the sparse SIFT detector does
- Learn about using local feature vectors to find known planar objects in images
- Learn about quantifying edge energy and how a classic corner detector works (grad)

## **Assignment specification**

This assignment has two parts, one of which is required for both undergrads and grads, and a second one that is only required for graduate students (undergrads are eligible for modest extra credit).

To simplify things, you should hard code the file names in the version of your program that you hand in. You can assume that if the grader needs to run your code, they will do so in a directory that has the files linked from this page.

## Part A (Required for both undergrads and grads)

The following image pairs are a high quality image of a PowerPoint slide, and a low quality image that is a frame of a video of a presentation that used that slide. The format (PGM) is a gray scale image that the SIFT software knows how to read, as does Matlab.

http://kobus.ca/teaching/cs477/data/slide1.pgm http://kobus.ca/teaching/cs477/data/slide2.pgm http://kobus.ca/teaching/cs477/data/slide3.pgm http://kobus.ca/teaching/cs477/data/frame2.pgm http://kobus.ca/teaching/cs477/data/frame3.pgm

While you need black and white images for the SIFT program, visualizing results is more fun in color. So here are the corresponding color images that you should use to make all images requested for your report, even though what you mark on them will be determined via SIFT features computed from the black and white ones.

http://kobus.ca/teaching/cs477/data/slide1.tiff
http://kobus.ca/teaching/cs477/data/slide2.tiff
http://kobus.ca/teaching/cs477/data/frame2.jpg
http://kobus.ca/teaching/cs477/data/frame3.jpg

The following links are to executables and corresponding libraries for extracting SIFT features for various OSs and architectures. You only need to download one pair of them. However I have not tested on all architectures (let me know if you come across any problems). Unless your computer is **really** old, you probably want one of the 64 bit versions. These programs are from the open source VLFeat system (VLFeat stands for Vision Lab Features). You can find out more about VLFeat, and even get the source code from <a href="http://www.vlfeat.org">http://www.vlfeat.org</a>. Of course, if you prefer, you can get the latest version of the binaries from there also, which might be worth a try if you run into problems.

#### Newer computers

(Mac, Intel, 64 bit)

http://kobus.ca/teaching/cs477/code/vlfeat/maci64/sifthtp://kobus.ca/teaching/cs477/code/vlfeat/maci64/libvl.dylib

(Linux, 64 bit)

http://kobus.ca/teaching/cs477/code/vlfeat/glnxa64/sifthttp://kobus.ca/teaching/cs477/code/vlfeat/glnxa64/libvl.so

(Windows, 64 bit)

http://kobus.ca/teaching/cs477/code/vlfeat/win64/sift.exe http://kobus.ca/teaching/cs477/code/vlfeat/win64/vl.dll

#### Older computers

(Mac, Intel)

http://kobus.ca/teaching/cs477/code/vlfeat/maci/sift http://kobus.ca/teaching/cs477/code/vlfeat/maci/libvl.dylib

(Linux, 32 bit)

http://kobus.ca/teaching/cs477/code/vlfeat/glnx86/sift http://kobus.ca/teaching/cs477/code/vlfeat/glnx86/libvl.so

(Windows, 32 bit)

http://kobus.ca/teaching/cs477/code/vlfeat/win32/sift.exehttp://kobus.ca/teaching/cs477/code/vlfeat/win32/vl.dll

The program is relatively easy to use, and the default response to a black and white PGM image is simply a dot "sift" file which is a text file with rows consisting of 132 numbers. These are 4 numbers for X, Y, scale, and direction of each key-point followed by 128 numbers for a descriptor for that keypoint. The number of keypoints found in the image is the number of rows in this file. You should look at this file and see if it is what you expect. For example, do you expect integers for X and Y? How about the 128 numbers in the descriptor?.

Hint about the XY values. The implemented version does not simply find the maxima in XY $\sigma$  space in a loop over discrete cells as described in class, but fits a quadratic function to the data in the neighborhood.

If you run the program with no arguments, it will output some of the options you can play with if you like. More details can be found at: http://www.vlfeat.org.

1. For each slide-frame image pair, find the best slide keypoint for every frame keypoint using the nearest neighbor computed from the Euclidean distance of the 128 element feature vector. To visualize results, choose some subset of the N pairs to enable visualizing them without too much confusion. For example you might choose every 5<sup>th</sup> pair. To visualize your results, for each of the 3 pairs of images, produce a collage of four images, in the following arrangement:

slide\_kp frame\_kp slide\_match frame\_match where the left two images should show the chosen subset of the keypoint pairs used in the N matches by drawing the keypoints for the slide on slide\_kp, and keypoints for frame in frame\_kp. Keypoints should be visualized with vectors attached to them showing the scale (via their length which should be proportional to scale) and the orientation. The right two images should have lines connecting each of the matched keypoint pairs chosen for display (without the vectors). There may be code in vlfeat or other sources to visualize the keypoints in this way, but you should code it up yourself (but you can make use of code that draws lines).

Possible helpful code. If you have not already developed or found a way to draw a line into an image, you are free to use the code linked here which does this for color images:

http://kobus.ca/teaching/cs477/code/draw line.m

2. Now consider the best P percentage of the matches as defined by the Euclidean distance (P should be the same for each image pair). For example, your should be able to compute the "best" 20% of the matches. See if you can notice that closer matches tend to be better. Experiment with P to find a value that provides many good matches at the expense of having some bad matches also. Visualize your

- results with your chosen value of P. Again, if the number of points is too large for clarity, visualize every second or third or fourth pair. Provide P in your report (\$). Your program should display these collages, and write them out so that you can **put them into your report** (with a good caption!) (\$).
- 3. Using the same P as above, try measuring the distance between matches as the angle between the two 128 element feature vectors. Again, your program should display the collages and write them out so that you can **put them into your report** (with a caption) (\$). Comment on what you found in comparison with the basic Euclidean distance (\$).
- 4. Repeat the previous question with the  $\chi^2$  based measure introduced in class when we were discussing texture. The reason for trying this is that we know that the 128 features are analogous to histogram counts (they are counts of edges in directional bins weighted by edge strengths).
- 5. In the paper, Lowe suggests that the ratio of the distance to the nearest neighbor and the second nearest neighbor can be used to make matching more robust. Lowe describes using the distance ratio to prune matches based on a threshold for the ratio instead of simply using the ratio as a distance measure. In particular he rejected any match with ratio greater than 0.80. For your implementation, you can simply skip finding a match for the frame keypoint if the best match does not satisfy this criterion. You may want to experiment a bit with the ratio threshold. Does the approach help (i.e., do you agree with the suggestion?) or does it provide roughly the same result? (\$).
- 6. Using your preferred error measure (and ratio of distances if you found that to be helpful), use the results above to set a threshold, T, that seems like a good choice for accepting a match as reasonably likely to be good. Now, try matching all slides to all frames, and report the number of matches in a 3x3 matrix where the element (i,j) is the number of matches of keypoints from slide\_i with those in frame\_j. Make sure you put these **confusion matrices** into your report (\$), and comment on what they say. In particular address using the maximum match number as a way to match the frames to its corresponding slide, even as the number of slides and frames grows larger. If you experiment with some alternative strategies, be sure to summarize what you found in your report.

# Part B (Required for grad students only)

In this part we will study quantifying edge energy and diversity by building a corner detector that can detect image locations where there is good edge strength in two orthogonal directions. The following is meant to be self contained, but a reference for it is Forsyth and Ponce 2<sup>nd</sup> edition page 150.

Consider the smoothed gradient operator  $\nabla$  response to an image I as you have implemented in the previous assignment. So  $\nabla I$  is a column vectors with two components, one for the smoothed X derivative, and one for the smoothed Y derivative. The vector outer product  $(\nabla I)(\nabla I)^T$ , is the reverse of the dot product, and, in this case, is a 2x2 matrix. We sum up these matrices over a fixed sized window to get a symmetric matrix  $\mathcal{H}$ . Following Forsyth and Ponce:

$$H = \sum_{window} \left\{ \left( \nabla I \right) \left( \nabla I \right)^T \right\}$$

$$\approx \sum_{window} \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \left( \frac{\partial G_{\sigma}}{\partial x} \otimes I \right) \left( \frac{\partial G_{\sigma}}{\partial x} \otimes I \right) & \left( \frac{\partial G_{\sigma}}{\partial x} \otimes I \right) \left( \frac{\partial G_{\sigma}}{\partial y} \otimes I \right) \\ \left( \frac{\partial G_{\sigma}}{\partial x} \otimes I \right) \left( \frac{\partial G_{\sigma}}{\partial y} \otimes I \right) & \left( \frac{\partial G_{\sigma}}{\partial y} \otimes I \right) \left( \frac{\partial G_{\sigma}}{\partial y} \otimes I \right) \end{array} \right\}$$

$$(1)$$

The elements of the added matrices are products of elements of the gradient found as in the previous assignment. The only new part so far is taking the sum (you can think average if you like) of these self-outer products.

 $\mathcal{H}$  is like a covariance matrix with energies for edge directions X, Y, and the correlation of the two. (Take a minute to understand this). As in PCA, the we can compute a rotation that puts the maximal energy direction in the first eigenvector direction and the rest in the second eigenvector direction. However, unlike PCA where we would be happy if most of the variance is in one direction, here we want edge diversity. This means that we want the both eigenvalues to be large. Neither of them being large means we do not have much edge energy at all, and only one of them being large means we have strong edge energy in only one direction.

A simple expression that gets at this criterion forms the basis of the Harris corner detector:

$$\det(\mathcal{H}) - k \left(\frac{trace(\mathcal{H})}{2}\right)^2 \quad , \tag{2}$$

where k is parameter you can tune (1/2 was used in the original paper), det() is the determinant, and trace() is the sum of the diagonal elements. This mysterious formula is easier to understand if you know that:

$$det(\mathcal{H}) = \lambda_1 \lambda_2$$
 and  $trace(\mathcal{H}) = \lambda_1 + \lambda_2$ , (3)

and you can use those two expressions directly. (The original paper used det() and trace() simply because you can get them faster for a 2x2 matrix than the eigenvalues).

If you like playing with linear algebra, you might want to amuse yourself by deriving (3).

The Harris corner detector outputs local maxima of (3) that are larger than a threshold. Notice that this means the corner is detected a pixel, as similar responses nearby are suppressed. For this assignment, you are to implement this detector, experimenting a bit with thresholds, Gaussian scales / window sizes (you can make window size proportional to scale), and perhaps k in (2). For your experiments, you should find a few images that are different with respect to corners (e.g., indoor, outdoor city, outdoor natural). You should summarize what you tried and what you found (e.g., perhaps k did not seem to matter much, but scale did what you expected which was ...). You not need to provide results for everything you tried, but you should provide visualizations of several variations of your corner detector on several images (\$). The variations you choose should illustrate a point, and this should be clear from the captions.

Finally (\$), is this detector rotationally invariant? Convince the TA of your answer!

#### What to Hand In

As usual, the main deliverable will be PDF document that tells the story of your assignment as described above. Ideally the grader can focus on that document, simply checking that the code exists, and seems up to the task of producing the figures and results in the document. So you need hand in your code as well.