

# Project A1+A2 - Model Selection and Notation

Chongjun Liao, Jeremy Lu

5/7/2022

## A1

0. We will use the classroom.csv data for this project.
  - a. math1st will be the outcome of interest for this first part
  - b. Recall that `math1st = mathkind + mathgain`
  - c. Read in the data (R: store as `dat`)
  - d. Fit all models using REML
  - e. It's best if you use `lmerTest::lmer` rather than `lme4::lmer` to call the MLM function. The former provides p-values for fixed effects in the summary.
  - f. There are 2 common error messages one can get from lmer calls: failed to converge (problem with hessian: negative eigenvalue; `max|grad| = ...`); and singularity. They may both be problematic in a real problem, but the latter suggests that a variance component is on the boundary of the parameter space.
1. In your discussion/writeup, consider the latter to be a “convergence problem” and ignore the former.

```
dat <- read.csv("~/Documents/GitHub/mlm_final_project/data/classroom.csv")
dat <- dat %>%
  mutate(math1st = mathkind + mathgain)
```

1. Estimate an Unconditional Means Model (UMM) with random intercepts for both schools and classrooms (nested in schools).

```
fit1 <- lmer( math1st ~ (1 | schoolid/classid), dat)
summary(fit1)
```

```
## Linear mixed model fit by REML. t-tests use Satterthwaite's method [
## lmerModLmerTest]
## Formula: math1st ~ (1 | schoolid/classid)
## Data: dat
##
## REML criterion at convergence: 11944.6
##
## Scaled residuals:
##      Min       1Q   Median       3Q      Max
## -5.1872 -0.6174 -0.0204  0.5821  3.8339
```

```
##
## Random effects:
##   Groups          Name          Variance Std.Dev.
##   classid:schoolid (Intercept)    85.46   9.244
##   schoolid         (Intercept)   280.68  16.754
##   Residual                        1146.80  33.864
## Number of obs: 1190, groups:  classid:schoolid, 312; schoolid, 107
##
## Fixed effects:
##              Estimate Std. Error      df t value Pr(>|t|)
## (Intercept)  522.540      2.037 104.407   256.6   <2e-16 ***
## ---
## Signif. codes:  0 '***' 0.001 '**' 0.01 '*' 0.05 '.' 0.1 ' ' 1
```

- a. Report the ICC for schools and the ICC for classrooms **Answer:** The ICC for schools is 0.2447517 and the ICC for classrooms is 0.0745198.
- b. **WRITE OUT THIS MODEL** using your preferred notation, but use the same choice of notation for the remainder of your project
- c. Be mindful and explicit about any assumptions made.  $MATH1ST_{ijk} = b_0 + \zeta_{0k} + \eta_{0jk} + \varepsilon_{ijk}$ , with  $\zeta_{0k} \sim N(0, \sigma_{\zeta_0}^2)$ ,  $\eta_{0jk} \sim N(0, \sigma_{\eta_0}^2)$  and  $\varepsilon_{ijk} \sim N(0, \sigma_{\varepsilon}^2)$ , independently of one another,  $j$  represents classrooms and  $k$  represents schools.

## 2. ADD ALL School level predictors

```
fit1 <- lmer( math1st ~ (1 | schoolid/classid), dat)
fit2 <- lmer( math1st ~ housepov + (1 | schoolid/classid), dat)
summary(fit2)
```

```
## Linear mixed model fit by REML. t-tests use Satterthwaite's method [
## lmerModLmerTest]
## Formula: math1st ~ housepov + (1 | schoolid/classid)
##   Data: dat
##
## REML criterion at convergence: 11927.4
##
## Scaled residuals:
##      Min       1Q   Median       3Q      Max
## -5.1142 -0.6011 -0.0350  0.5600  3.8154
##
## Random effects:
##   Groups          Name          Variance Std.Dev.
##   classid:schoolid (Intercept)    82.36   9.075
##   schoolid         (Intercept)   250.93  15.841
##   Residual                        1146.95  33.867
## Number of obs: 1190, groups:  classid:schoolid, 312; schoolid, 107
##
## Fixed effects:
##              Estimate Std. Error      df t value Pr(>|t|)
## (Intercept)  531.294      3.341 102.809  159.024   <2e-16 ***
## housepov     -45.783     14.236 111.063   -3.216    0.0017 **
## ---
## Signif. codes:  0 '***' 0.001 '**' 0.01 '*' 0.05 '.' 0.1 ' ' 1
```

```
##
## Correlation of Fixed Effects:
##      (Intr)
## housepov -0.810

anova(fit1,fit2)

## refitting model(s) with ML (instead of REML)

## Data: dat
## Models:
## fit1: math1st ~ (1 | schoolid/classid)
## fit2: math1st ~ housepov + (1 | schoolid/classid)
##      npar   AIC   BIC logLik deviance  Chisq Df Pr(>Chisq)
## fit1     4 11956 11976 -5973.9    11948
## fit2     5 11948 11973 -5968.8    11938 10.125  1   0.001463 **
## ---
## Signif. codes:  0 '***' 0.001 '**' 0.01 '*' 0.05 '.' 0.1 ' ' 1
```

- a. Report if adding the predictors as a block is justified There is only one school-level predictor which is housepov, its p-value is  $0.0017029 < 0.05$ , and I do a LRT on model with and without the school-level predictor, the p-value is  $0.0014627 < 0.05$ . So it is reasonable to add school-level predictor.
- b. Report change in  $\sigma^2_\epsilon$ . The change in  $\sigma^2_\epsilon$  is  $280.6812733 - 250.9258585 = 29.7554148$ .

### 3. ADD ALL Classroom level predictors

```
save.options = options()
options(na.action = "na.pass")
mm <- model.matrix(~math1st + ses + mathknow, data = dat)
in_sample <- apply(is.na(mm), 1, sum) == 0 # these rows aren't missing anything
options(save.options)
# remove those na
fit3 <- lmer( math1st ~ yearstea + mathknow + mathprep + housepov + (1 | schoolid/classid),
             dat, subset = in_sample)
summary(fit3)

## Linear mixed model fit by REML. t-tests use Satterthwaite's method [
## lmerModLmerTest]
## Formula:
## math1st ~ yearstea + mathknow + mathprep + housepov + (1 | schoolid/classid)
## Data: dat
## Subset: in_sample
##
## REML criterion at convergence: 10821
##
## Scaled residuals:
##      Min       1Q   Median       3Q      Max
## -3.5552 -0.6118 -0.0311  0.5863  3.8315
##
## Random effects:
## Groups              Name             Variance Std.Dev.
## classid:schoolid (Intercept)    94.36    9.714
```

```
## schoolid      (Intercept)  223.31  14.943
## Residual              1136.43  33.711
## Number of obs: 1081, groups:  classid:schoolid, 285; schoolid, 105
##
## Fixed effects:
##              Estimate Std. Error      df t value Pr(>|t|)
## (Intercept) 532.29852    5.20495 228.85767 102.268 < 2e-16 ***
## yearstea     0.06193    0.14717 223.76570   0.421  0.67432
## mathknow     2.55143    1.44530 231.06560   1.765  0.07883 .
## mathprep    -0.75440    1.42809 203.20755  -0.528  0.59790
## housepov    -41.62117   14.08834 109.83230  -2.954  0.00383 **
## ---
## Signif. codes:  0 '***' 0.001 '**' 0.01 '*' 0.05 '.' 0.1 ' ' 1
##
## Correlation of Fixed Effects:
##              (Intr) yearst mthknw mthprp
## yearstea -0.264
## mathknow -0.052  0.030
## mathprep -0.666 -0.175  0.004
## housepov -0.568  0.077  0.082  0.032
```

```
wald.test(b = fixef(fit3), Sigma = summary(fit3)$vcov, Terms = 2:4)
```

```
## Wald test:
## -----
##
## Chi-squared test:
## X2 = 3.5, df = 3, P(> X2) = 0.32
```

- Report if adding the predictors as a block is justified [must use WALD test, not LRT] **Answer:** The Wald test generates a p-value = 0.22, which shows that we have no reason to add classroom-level predictors as a block. But it might be reasonable to include `mathknow` since it is significant according to the t-test.
  - Report change in  $\sigma_{\eta}^2$  and change in  $\sigma_{\epsilon}^2$ . The change in  $\sigma_{\eta}^2$  is  $94.3625825 - 85.4593745 = 8.903208$  and change in  $\sigma_{\epsilon}^2$  is  $1136.4309806 - 1146.8001472 = -10.3691666$ .
  - Give a potential reason as to why  $\sigma_{\epsilon}^2$  is reduced, but not  $\sigma_{\eta}^2$ ?
4. ADD (nearly) ALL student level predictors (but not `mathgain` or `mathkind`, as these are outcomes in this context).

```
fit4 <- lmer( math1st ~ ses + minority + sex + yearstea + mathknow + mathprep +
              housepov + (1 | schoolid/classid), dat, subset = in_sample)
summary(fit4)
```

```
## Linear mixed model fit by REML. t-tests use Satterthwaite's method [
## lmerModLmerTest]
## Formula: math1st ~ ses + minority + sex + yearstea + mathknow + mathprep +
##          housepov + (1 | schoolid/classid)
## Data: dat
## Subset: in_sample
##
## REML criterion at convergence: 10729.5
```

```
##
## Scaled residuals:
##      Min       1Q   Median       3Q      Max
## -3.8581 -0.6134 -0.0321  0.5971  3.6598
##
## Random effects:
##      Groups          Name          Variance Std.Dev.
## classid:schoolid (Intercept)    93.89   9.689
## schoolid        (Intercept)   169.45  13.017
## Residual                        1064.96  32.634
## Number of obs: 1081, groups: classid:schoolid, 285; schoolid, 105
##
## Fixed effects:
##              Estimate Std. Error      df t value Pr(>|t|)
## (Intercept)  539.63041    5.31209   275.39010 101.585 < 2e-16 ***
## ses          10.05076    1.54485  1066.56211   6.506 1.18e-10 ***
## minority     -16.18676    3.02605   704.47787  -5.349 1.20e-07 ***
## sex          -1.21419    2.09483  1022.42110  -0.580  0.562
## yearstea      0.01129    0.14141   226.80861   0.080  0.936
## mathknow      1.35004    1.39168   234.49768   0.970  0.333
## mathprep     -0.27705    1.37583   205.27111  -0.201  0.841
## housepov     -17.64850   13.21755   113.87814  -1.335  0.184
## ---
## Signif. codes:  0 '***' 0.001 '**' 0.01 '*' 0.05 '.' 0.1 ' ' 1
##
## Correlation of Fixed Effects:
##              (Intr) ses      minrty sex      yearst mthknw mthprp
## ses          -0.121
## minority     -0.320  0.162
## sex          -0.190  0.020 -0.011
## yearstea     -0.259 -0.028  0.024  0.016
## mathknow     -0.083 -0.007  0.115  0.007  0.029
## mathprep     -0.631  0.053  0.001 -0.006 -0.172  0.004
## housepov     -0.451  0.082 -0.178 -0.007  0.071  0.058  0.038
```

```
wald.test(b = fixef(fit4), Sigma = summary(fit4)$vcov, Terms = 2:4)
```

```
## Wald test:
## -----
##
## Chi-squared test:
## X2 = 85.1, df = 3, P(> X2) = 0.0
```

- Report if justified statistically as a block of predictors [must use WALD test, not LRT] The wald test gives a p-value less than 0.05, which justifies the significance of adding a block of individual predictors.
- Report change in variance components for all levels The change in  $\sigma_{\eta}^2$  is 93.8853485-85.4593745 = 8.425974, the change in  $\sigma_{\zeta}^2$  is 169.4480999-280.6812733 = -111.2331734 and change in  $\sigma_{\epsilon}^2$  is 1064.9564422-1146.8001472 = -81.8437049.
- Give a potential reason as to why the school level variance component drops from prior model Individual predictors are correlated with school-level effect.
- WRITE OUT THIS MODEL using your chosen notation (include assumptions).  

$$MATH1ST_{ijk} = b_0 + b_1 SES_{ijk} + b_2 MINORITY_{ijk} + b_3 SEX_{ijk} + b_4 YEARSTEA_{jk} + b_5 MATHKNOW_{jk} + b_6 MATHPREP_{jk} + b_7 HOUSEPOV_k + \zeta_{0k} + \eta_{0jk} + \varepsilon_{ijk}, \text{ with } \zeta_{0k} \sim N(0, \sigma_{\zeta_0}^2), \eta_{0jk} \sim N(0, \sigma_{\eta_0}^2) \text{ and}$$

- $\varepsilon_{ijk} \sim N(0, \sigma_\varepsilon^2)$ , independently of one another,  $j$  represents classrooms and  $k$  represents *schools*. 5.a. Try to add a random slope for each teacher level predictor (varying at the school level; one by one separately- not all together)
- e. Report the model fit or lack of fit

```
fit5.1 <- lmer( math1st ~ ses + minority + sex + yearstea + mathknow + mathprep +
               housepov + (1 | schoolid/classid) + (0 + yearstea || schoolid),
               dat, subset = in_sample)
```

```
## Warning in checkConv(attr(opt, "derivs"), opt$par, ctrl = control$checkConv, :
## Model failed to converge with max|grad| = 0.00805459 (tol = 0.002, component 1)
```

```
summary(fit5.1)
```

```
## Linear mixed model fit by REML. t-tests use Satterthwaite's method [
## lmerModLmerTest]
## Formula: math1st ~ ses + minority + sex + yearstea + mathknow + mathprep +
##          housepov + (1 | schoolid/classid) + (0 + yearstea || schoolid)
## Data: dat
## Subset: in_sample
##
## REML criterion at convergence: 10729.5
##
## Scaled residuals:
##      Min       1Q   Median       3Q      Max
## -3.8482 -0.6147 -0.0322  0.5979  3.6603
##
## Random effects:
## Groups           Name          Variance Std.Dev.
## classid.schoolid (Intercept) 9.247e+01  9.6159
## schoolid         (Intercept) 1.684e+02 12.9758
## schoolid.1       yearstea      1.008e-02  0.1004
## Residual                    1.065e+03 32.6361
## Number of obs: 1081, groups: classid:schoolid, 285; schoolid, 105
##
## Fixed effects:
##              Estimate Std. Error      df t value Pr(>|t|)
## (Intercept)  539.59885    5.30780 266.47954 101.662 < 2e-16 ***
## ses          10.04528    1.54492 1066.09816   6.502 1.21e-10 ***
## minority     -16.16715    3.02635  702.61831  -5.342 1.24e-07 ***
## sex          -1.21060    2.09480 1022.21558  -0.578  0.563
## yearstea       0.01128    0.14192  122.87743   0.079  0.937
## mathknow       1.33106    1.39155  234.33195   0.957  0.340
## mathprep      -0.26584    1.37588  204.90504  -0.193  0.847
## housepov     -17.72082   13.21686  113.58577  -1.341  0.183
## ---
## Signif. codes:  0 '***' 0.001 '**' 0.01 '*' 0.05 '.' 0.1 ' ' 1
##
## Correlation of Fixed Effects:
##          (Intr) ses    minrty sex    yearst mthknw mthprp
## ses      -0.121
## minority -0.320  0.162
## sex      -0.191  0.020 -0.010
```

```
## yearstea -0.258 -0.027 0.023 0.015
## mathknow -0.082 -0.007 0.115 0.006 0.028
## mathprep -0.632 0.053 0.001 -0.006 -0.172 0.003
## housepov -0.450 0.082 -0.179 -0.007 0.070 0.057 0.037
## optimizer (nloptwrap) convergence code: 0 (OK)
## Model failed to converge with max|grad| = 0.00805459 (tol = 0.002, component 1)

fit5.2 <- lmer( math1st ~ ses + minority + sex + yearstea + mathknow + mathprep +
               housepov + (1 | schoolid/classid) + (0 + yearstea + mathknow || schoolid),
               dat, subset = in_sample)
```

```
## boundary (singular) fit: see ?isSingular
```

```
summary(fit5.2)
```

```
## Linear mixed model fit by REML. t-tests use Satterthwaite's method [
## lmerModLmerTest]
## Formula: math1st ~ ses + minority + sex + yearstea + mathknow + mathprep +
##          housepov + (1 | schoolid/classid) + (0 + yearstea + mathknow ||
##          schoolid)
## Data: dat
## Subset: in_sample
##
## REML criterion at convergence: 10729.5
##
## Scaled residuals:
##      Min       1Q   Median       3Q      Max
## -3.8484 -0.6150 -0.0323  0.5980  3.6601
##
## Random effects:
##  Groups             Name             Variance Std.Dev.
##  classid.schoolid (Intercept) 9.261e+01  9.6234
##  schoolid          (Intercept) 1.686e+02 12.9828
##  schoolid.1        yearstea    9.821e-03  0.0991
##  schoolid.2        mathknow    0.000e+00  0.0000
##  Residual                  1.065e+03 32.6342
## Number of obs: 1081, groups: classid:schoolid, 285; schoolid, 105
##
## Fixed effects:
##              Estimate Std. Error      df t value Pr(>|t|)
## (Intercept)  539.59988    5.30889 266.37427 101.641 < 2e-16 ***
## ses          10.04520    1.54490 1066.10175   6.502 1.21e-10 ***
## minority     -16.16787    3.02653  702.69530  -5.342 1.24e-07 ***
## sex          -1.21085    2.09475 1022.23211  -0.578  0.563
## yearstea      0.01124    0.14193  122.94561   0.079  0.937
## mathknow      1.33223    1.39179  234.31811   0.957  0.339
## mathprep     -0.26601    1.37610  204.91987  -0.193  0.847
## housepov     -17.71968   13.22054  113.50872  -1.340  0.183
## ---
## Signif. codes:  0 '***' 0.001 '**' 0.01 '*' 0.05 '.' 0.1 ' ' 1
##
## Correlation of Fixed Effects:
##          (Intr) ses    minrty sex    yearst mthknw mthprp
```

```
## ses      -0.121
## minority -0.320  0.162
## sex      -0.190  0.020 -0.010
## yearstea -0.258 -0.027  0.023  0.015
## mathknow -0.082 -0.007  0.115  0.006  0.028
## mathprep -0.631  0.053  0.001 -0.006 -0.172  0.003
## housepov -0.450  0.082 -0.179 -0.007  0.070  0.057  0.037
## optimizer (nloptwrap) convergence code: 0 (OK)
## boundary (singular) fit: see ?isSingular
```

```
fit5.3 <- lmer( math1st ~ ses + minority + sex + yearstea + mathknow + mathprep +
               housepov + (1 | schoolid/classid) + (0 + yearstea + mathknow + mathprep || schoolid),
               dat, subset = in_sample)
```

```
## boundary (singular) fit: see ?isSingular
```

```
summary(fit5.3)
```

```
## Linear mixed model fit by REML. t-tests use Satterthwaite's method [
## lmerModLmerTest]
## Formula: math1st ~ ses + minority + sex + yearstea + mathknow + mathprep +
##          housepov + (1 | schoolid/classid) + (0 + yearstea + mathknow +
##          mathprep || schoolid)
## Data: dat
## Subset: in_sample
##
## REML criterion at convergence: 10729.5
##
## Scaled residuals:
##      Min       1Q   Median       3Q      Max
## -3.8485 -0.6149 -0.0323  0.5980  3.6600
##
## Random effects:
##   Groups                Name                Variance Std.Dev.
##   classid.schoolid (Intercept) 9.270e+01 9.628e+00
##   schoolid          (Intercept) 1.684e+02 1.298e+01
##   schoolid.1         yearstea    9.678e-03 9.838e-02
##   schoolid.2         mathknow    0.000e+00 0.000e+00
##   schoolid.3         mathprep    5.133e-07 7.164e-04
##   Residual              1.065e+03 3.263e+01
## Number of obs: 1081, groups: classid:schoolid, 285; schoolid, 105
##
## Fixed effects:
##              Estimate Std. Error      df t value Pr(>|t|)
## (Intercept)  539.60082    5.30864 266.37268 101.646 < 2e-16 ***
## ses          10.04524    1.54490 1066.09969   6.502 1.21e-10 ***
## minority     -16.16848    3.02636  702.64771  -5.343 1.24e-07 ***
## sex          -1.21071    2.09476 1022.22241  -0.578  0.563
## yearstea      0.01124    0.14193  122.42627   0.079  0.937
## mathknow      1.33172    1.39180  234.34326   0.957  0.340
## mathprep     -0.26642    1.37615  204.92027  -0.194  0.847
## housepov     -17.71647   13.21784  113.58401  -1.340  0.183
## ---
```



```
## Signif. codes:  0 '***' 0.001 '**' 0.01 '*' 0.05 '.' 0.1 ' ' 1
##
## Correlation of Fixed Effects:
##      (Intr) ses      minrty sex      yearst mthknw mthprp
## ses      -0.121
## minority -0.320  0.162
## sex       -0.191  0.020 -0.010
## yearstea -0.258 -0.027  0.023  0.015
## mathknow -0.082 -0.007  0.115  0.006  0.028
## mathprep -0.632  0.053  0.001 -0.006 -0.172  0.003
## housepov -0.450  0.082 -0.179 -0.007  0.070  0.057  0.037
## optimizer (nloptwrap) convergence code: 0 (OK)
## boundary (singular) fit: see ?isSingular
```

c. Retry the above, allowing the slopes to be correlated with the random intercepts (still one by one)

```
fit5.c.1 <- lmer( math1st ~ ses + minority + sex + yearstea + mathknow + mathprep +
  housepov + (1 | schoolid/classid) + (yearstea || schoolid),
  dat, subset = in_sample)
```

```
## Warning in checkConv(attr(opt, "derivs"), opt$par, ctrl = control$checkConv, :
## Model failed to converge with max|grad| = 0.00967674 (tol = 0.002, component 1)
```

```
fit5.c.2 <- lmer( math1st ~ ses + minority + sex + yearstea + mathknow + mathprep +
  housepov + (1 | schoolid/classid) + (yearstea + mathknow || schoolid),
  dat, subset = in_sample)
```

```
## Warning in checkConv(attr(opt, "derivs"), opt$par, ctrl = control$checkConv, :
## unable to evaluate scaled gradient
```

```
## Warning in checkConv(attr(opt, "derivs"), opt$par, ctrl = control$checkConv, :
## Model failed to converge: degenerate Hessian with 1 negative eigenvalues
```

```
## Warning: Model failed to converge with 1 negative eigenvalue: -4.6e-02
```

```
fit5.c.3 <- lmer( math1st ~ ses + minority + sex + yearstea + mathknow + mathprep +
  housepov + (1 | schoolid/classid) + (yearstea + mathknow + mathprep || schoolid),
  dat, subset = in_sample)
```

```
## boundary (singular) fit: see ?isSingular
```

d. Report anything unusual about the variance components (changes that are in a direction you didn't expect) and any potential explanation for why those changes occurred (hint: what did you add to the model?).

6. Question:

a. Why is it a bad idea to include a classroom-level variable with random slopes at the classroom level?

## A2

7. Question:

- For UMM, write down:  $V_S$ ,  $V_C$ ,  $V_E$  for the three variance components (simply the estimates)  
**Answer:** We have that  $V_S = 280.68$ ,  $V_C = 85.46$ , and  $V_E = 1146.8$
- For the most complicated (all fixed effects) random INTERCEPTS ONLY model, what are:  $V_C$ ,  $V_S$ ,  $V_E$ ? **Answer:** We have in this model that  $V_S = 169.45$ ,  $V_C = 93.89$ ,  $V_E = 1064.96$
- By what fraction did these each decrease with the new predictors in the model? **Answer:** The fraction decrease for  $V_S$ , and  $V_E$  are  $\text{round}((280.68-169.45)/280.68, 2)$ , and  $\text{round}((1146.8-1064.96)/1146.8, 2)$ , respectively. But for  $V_C$  it actually increased  $\text{round}((93.89-85.46)/85.46, 2)$  fraction-wise.

8. a.

```
fit8.a.1 <- lmer( math1st ~ ses + minority + sex + yearstea + mathknow + mathprep +
                 housepov + (1 | schoolid/classid) + (0 + ses || schoolid), dat, subset = in_sample)
summary(fit8.a.1)
```

```
## Linear mixed model fit by REML. t-tests use Satterthwaite's method [
## lmerModLmerTest]
## Formula: math1st ~ ses + minority + sex + yearstea + mathknow + mathprep +
##          housepov + (1 | schoolid/classid) + (0 + ses || schoolid)
## Data: dat
## Subset: in_sample
##
## REML criterion at convergence: 10724.8
##
## Scaled residuals:
##      Min       1Q   Median       3Q      Max
## -3.6138 -0.6185 -0.0290  0.5798  3.7130
##
## Random effects:
## Groups              Name                Variance Std.Dev.
## classid.schoolid (Intercept)    88.56    9.411
## schoolid          (Intercept)  167.98   12.961
## schoolid.1        ses             72.50    8.515
## Residual                        1035.12  32.173
## Number of obs: 1081, groups: classid:schoolid, 285; schoolid, 105
##
## Fixed effects:
##              Estimate Std. Error      df t value Pr(>|t|)
## (Intercept)  539.13754   5.27918  270.54292 102.125 < 2e-16 ***
## ses          9.78982    1.82217   79.01642  5.373 7.62e-07 ***
## minority    -16.52526    3.02189  700.06722 -5.469 6.32e-08 ***
## sex         -1.40185    2.08170 1011.28952 -0.673  0.501
## yearstea     0.03079    0.14052  223.94368  0.219  0.827
## mathknow     1.35576    1.38459  232.20020  0.979  0.329
## mathprep    -0.19801    1.35994  198.59489 -0.146  0.884
## housepov    -16.94561   13.21117  112.82498 -1.283  0.202
## ---
## Signif. codes:  0 '***' 0.001 '**' 0.01 '*' 0.05 '.' 0.1 ' ' 1
##
```

```
## Correlation of Fixed Effects:
##      (Intr) ses      minrty sex      yearst mthknw mthprp
## ses      -0.091
## minority -0.323  0.124
## sex      -0.190  0.017 -0.010
## yearstea -0.260 -0.019  0.024  0.018
## mathknow -0.079  0.006  0.110  0.006  0.028
## mathprep -0.628  0.042  0.001 -0.007 -0.172  0.002
## housepov -0.451  0.076 -0.180 -0.007  0.070  0.056  0.041

fit8.a.2 <- lmer( math1st ~ ses + minority + sex + yearstea + mathknow + mathprep +
                housepov + (1 | schoolid/classid) + (0 + sex || schoolid), dat, subset = in_sample)
summary(fit8.a.2)

## Linear mixed model fit by REML. t-tests use Satterthwaite's method [
## lmerModLmerTest]
## Formula: math1st ~ ses + minority + sex + yearstea + mathknow + mathprep +
##          housepov + (1 | schoolid/classid) + (0 + sex || schoolid)
## Data: dat
## Subset: in_sample
##
## REML criterion at convergence: 10728.9
##
## Scaled residuals:
##      Min       1Q   Median       3Q      Max
## -3.8578 -0.6110 -0.0259  0.5922  3.5557
##
## Random effects:
## Groups          Name          Variance Std.Dev.
## classid.schoolid (Intercept)  96.08   9.802
## schoolid         (Intercept) 161.63  12.713
## schoolid.1       sex          35.84   5.986
## Residual                1054.36  32.471
## Number of obs: 1081, groups: classid:schoolid, 285; schoolid, 105
##
## Fixed effects:
##              Estimate Std. Error      df t value Pr(>|t|)
## (Intercept)  539.43517    5.30740  272.54993 101.638 < 2e-16 ***
## ses          9.98477     1.54243 1058.27916   6.473 1.46e-10 ***
## minority    -16.16537     3.02861  704.25756  -5.338 1.27e-07 ***
## sex         -1.33535     2.18747  138.09087  -0.610  0.543
## yearstea      0.01448     0.14163  226.44539   0.102  0.919
## mathknow      1.40067     1.39464  234.45909   1.004  0.316
## mathprep     -0.27193     1.38011  205.78530  -0.197  0.844
## housepov    -16.77652    13.22879  112.39634  -1.268  0.207
## ---
## Signif. codes:  0 '***' 0.001 '**' 0.01 '*' 0.05 '.' 0.1 ' ' 1
##
## Correlation of Fixed Effects:
##      (Intr) ses      minrty sex      yearst mthknw mthprp
## ses      -0.120
## minority -0.320  0.161
## sex      -0.179  0.020 -0.015
## yearstea -0.259 -0.029  0.024  0.013
```

```
## mathknow -0.081 -0.007 0.114 0.007 0.028
## mathprep -0.633 0.052 0.001 -0.004 -0.172 0.004
## housepov -0.449 0.081 -0.178 -0.010 0.070 0.055 0.036
```

```
fit8.a.3 <- lmer( math1st ~ ses + minority + sex + yearstea + mathknow + mathprep +
                housepov + (1 | schoolid/classid) + (0 + minority || schoolid), dat, subset = in_sample
```

```
## boundary (singular) fit: see ?isSingular
```

```
summary(fit8.a.3)
```

```
## Linear mixed model fit by REML. t-tests use Satterthwaite's method [
## lmerModLmerTest]
## Formula: math1st ~ ses + minority + sex + yearstea + mathknow + mathprep +
##          housepov + (1 | schoolid/classid) + (0 + minority || schoolid)
## Data: dat
## Subset: in_sample
##
## REML criterion at convergence: 10729.5
##
## Scaled residuals:
##      Min       1Q   Median       3Q      Max
## -3.8580 -0.6134 -0.0321  0.5971  3.6598
##
## Random effects:
##  Groups             Name             Variance Std.Dev.
##  classid.schoolid (Intercept)    93.89    9.69
##  schoolid          (Intercept)   169.45   13.02
##  schoolid.1        minority         0.00    0.00
##  Residual                        1064.96   32.63
## Number of obs: 1081, groups:  classid:schoolid, 285; schoolid, 105
##
## Fixed effects:
##              Estimate Std. Error      df t value Pr(>|t|)
## (Intercept)  539.63041    5.31209  275.39107 101.585 < 2e-16 ***
## ses          10.05075    1.54484 1066.56217   6.506 1.18e-10 ***
## minority     -16.18677    3.02605  704.47765  -5.349 1.20e-07 ***
## sex          -1.21419    2.09483 1022.42106  -0.580 0.562
## yearstea      0.01129    0.14141  226.80889   0.080 0.936
## mathknow      1.35003    1.39168  234.49798   0.970 0.333
## mathprep     -0.27705    1.37583  205.27126  -0.201 0.841
## housepov     -17.64847   13.21752  113.87889  -1.335 0.184
## ---
## Signif. codes:  0 '***' 0.001 '**' 0.01 '*' 0.05 '.' 0.1 ' ' 1
##
## Correlation of Fixed Effects:
##          (Intr) ses    minrty sex    yearst mthknw mthprp
## ses      -0.121
## minority -0.320 0.162
## sex      -0.190 0.020 -0.011
## yearstea -0.259 -0.028 0.024 0.016
## mathknow -0.083 -0.007 0.115 0.007 0.029
## mathprep -0.631 0.053 0.001 -0.006 -0.172 0.004
```

```
## housepov -0.451 0.082 -0.178 -0.007 0.071 0.058 0.038
## optimizer (nloptwrap) convergence code: 0 (OK)
## boundary (singular) fit: see ?isSingular
```

b. Retry part (a), allowing the slopes to be correlated with the random intercepts.

```
fit8.b.1 <- lmer( math1st ~ ses + minority + sex + yearstea + mathknow + mathprep +
  housepov + (1 | schoolid/classid) + (ses || schoolid), dat, subset = in_sample)
```

```
## Warning in checkConv(attr(opt, "derivs"), opt$par, ctrl = control$checkConv, :
## Model failed to converge with max|grad| = 0.00340779 (tol = 0.002, component 1)
```

```
summary(fit8.b.1)
```

```
## Linear mixed model fit by REML. t-tests use Satterthwaite's method [
## lmerModLmerTest]
## Formula: math1st ~ ses + minority + sex + yearstea + mathknow + mathprep +
##   housepov + (1 | schoolid/classid) + (ses || schoolid)
## Data: dat
## Subset: in_sample
##
## REML criterion at convergence: 10724.8
##
## Scaled residuals:
##   Min       1Q   Median       3Q      Max
## -3.6138 -0.6185 -0.0290  0.5798  3.7130
##
## Random effects:
##   Groups                Name                Variance Std.Dev.
##   classid.schoolid (Intercept)            88.56   9.411
##   schoolid           (Intercept)            24.35   4.934
##   schoolid.1          (Intercept)           143.63  11.985
##   schoolid.2          ses                   72.50   8.515
##   Residual                        1035.12  32.173
## Number of obs: 1081, groups: classid:schoolid, 285; schoolid, 105
##
## Fixed effects:
##              Estimate Std. Error      df t value Pr(>|t|)
## (Intercept)  539.13752    5.27918  270.54021 102.125 < 2e-16 ***
## ses           9.78982    1.82216   79.01642   5.373 7.61e-07 ***
## minority     -16.52525    3.02189  700.06821  -5.469 6.32e-08 ***
## sex          -1.40185    2.08170 1011.28946  -0.673  0.501
## yearstea      0.03079    0.14052  223.94290   0.219  0.827
## mathknow      1.35578    1.38459  232.19932   0.979  0.329
## mathprep     -0.19800    1.35994  198.59443  -0.146  0.884
## housepov     -16.94568   13.21125  112.82290  -1.283  0.202
## ---
## Signif. codes:  0 '***' 0.001 '**' 0.01 '*' 0.05 '.' 0.1 ' ' 1
##
## Correlation of Fixed Effects:
##              (Intr) ses    minrty sex    yearst mthknw mthprp
## ses          -0.091
```

```
## minority -0.323 0.124
## sex -0.190 0.017 -0.010
## yearstea -0.260 -0.019 0.024 0.018
## mathknow -0.079 0.006 0.110 0.006 0.028
## mathprep -0.628 0.042 0.001 -0.007 -0.172 0.002
## housepov -0.451 0.076 -0.180 -0.007 0.070 0.056 0.041
## optimizer (nloptwrap) convergence code: 0 (OK)
## Model failed to converge with max|grad| = 0.00340779 (tol = 0.002, component 1)

fit8.b.2 <- lmer( math1st ~ ses + minority + sex + yearstea + mathknow + mathprep +
  housepov + (1 | schoolid/classid) + (ses || schoolid), dat, subset = in_sample)

## Warning in checkConv(attr(opt, "derivs"), opt$par, ctrl = control$checkConv, :
## Model failed to converge with max|grad| = 0.00340779 (tol = 0.002, component 1)

summary(fit8.b.2)

## Linear mixed model fit by REML. t-tests use Satterthwaite's method [
## lmerModLmerTest]
## Formula: math1st ~ ses + minority + sex + yearstea + mathknow + mathprep +
## housepov + (1 | schoolid/classid) + (ses || schoolid)
## Data: dat
## Subset: in_sample
##
## REML criterion at convergence: 10724.8
##
## Scaled residuals:
## Min 1Q Median 3Q Max
## -3.6138 -0.6185 -0.0290 0.5798 3.7130
##
## Random effects:
## Groups Name Variance Std.Dev.
## classid.schoolid (Intercept) 88.56 9.411
## schoolid (Intercept) 24.35 4.934
## schoolid.1 (Intercept) 143.63 11.985
## schoolid.2 ses 72.50 8.515
## Residual 1035.12 32.173
## Number of obs: 1081, groups: classid:schoolid, 285; schoolid, 105
##
## Fixed effects:
## Estimate Std. Error df t value Pr(>|t|)
## (Intercept) 539.13752 5.27918 270.54021 102.125 < 2e-16 ***
## ses 9.78982 1.82216 79.01642 5.373 7.61e-07 ***
## minority -16.52525 3.02189 700.06821 -5.469 6.32e-08 ***
## sex -1.40185 2.08170 1011.28946 -0.673 0.501
## yearstea 0.03079 0.14052 223.94290 0.219 0.827
## mathknow 1.35578 1.38459 232.19932 0.979 0.329
## mathprep -0.19800 1.35994 198.59443 -0.146 0.884
## housepov -16.94568 13.21125 112.82290 -1.283 0.202
## ---
## Signif. codes: 0 '***' 0.001 '**' 0.01 '*' 0.05 '.' 0.1 ' ' 1
##
## Correlation of Fixed Effects:
```

```
##          (Intr) ses      minrty sex      yearst mthknw mthprp
## ses      -0.091
## minority -0.323  0.124
## sex      -0.190  0.017 -0.010
## yearstea -0.260 -0.019  0.024  0.018
## mathknow -0.079  0.006  0.110  0.006  0.028
## mathprep -0.628  0.042  0.001 -0.007 -0.172  0.002
## housepov -0.451  0.076 -0.180 -0.007  0.070  0.056  0.041
## optimizer (nloptwrap) convergence code: 0 (OK)
## Model failed to converge with max|grad| = 0.00340779 (tol = 0.002, component 1)

fit8.b.3 <- lmer( math1st ~ ses + minority + sex + yearstea + mathknow + mathprep +
                housepov + (1 | schoolid/classid) + (ses || schoolid), dat, subset = in_sample)

## Warning in checkConv(attr(opt, "derivs"), opt$par, ctrl = control$checkConv, :
## Model failed to converge with max|grad| = 0.00340779 (tol = 0.002, component 1)

summary(fit8.b.3)

## Linear mixed model fit by REML. t-tests use Satterthwaite's method [
## lmerModLmerTest]
## Formula: math1st ~ ses + minority + sex + yearstea + mathknow + mathprep +
##          housepov + (1 | schoolid/classid) + (ses || schoolid)
## Data: dat
## Subset: in_sample
##
## REML criterion at convergence: 10724.8
##
## Scaled residuals:
##      Min       1Q   Median       3Q      Max
## -3.6138 -0.6185 -0.0290  0.5798  3.7130
##
## Random effects:
##  Groups             Name             Variance Std.Dev.
##  classid.schoolid (Intercept)    88.56    9.411
##  schoolid          (Intercept)    24.35    4.934
##  schoolid.1        (Intercept)  143.63   11.985
##  schoolid.2        ses             72.50    8.515
##  Residual                  1035.12   32.173
## Number of obs: 1081, groups:  classid:schoolid, 285; schoolid, 105
##
## Fixed effects:
##              Estimate Std. Error      df t value Pr(>|t|)
## (Intercept)  539.13752    5.27918  270.54021 102.125 < 2e-16 ***
## ses          9.78982     1.82216   79.01642  5.373 7.61e-07 ***
## minority    -16.52525     3.02189  700.06821 -5.469 6.32e-08 ***
## sex         -1.40185     2.08170 1011.28946 -0.673  0.501
## yearstea     0.03079     0.14052  223.94290  0.219  0.827
## mathknow     1.35578     1.38459  232.19932  0.979  0.329
## mathprep    -0.19800     1.35994  198.59443 -0.146  0.884
## housepov    -16.94568    13.21125  112.82290 -1.283  0.202
## ---
## Signif. codes:  0 '***' 0.001 '**' 0.01 '*' 0.05 '.' 0.1 ' ' 1
```

```
##
## Correlation of Fixed Effects:
##          (Intr) ses      minrty sex      yearst mthknw mthprp
## ses      -0.091
## minority -0.323  0.124
## sex      -0.190  0.017 -0.010
## yearstea -0.260 -0.019  0.024  0.018
## mathknow -0.079  0.006  0.110  0.006  0.028
## mathprep -0.628  0.042  0.001 -0.007 -0.172  0.002
## housepov -0.451  0.076 -0.180 -0.007  0.070  0.056  0.041
## optimizer (nloptwrap) convergence code: 0 (OK)
## Model failed to converge with max|grad| = 0.00340779 (tol = 0.002, component 1)
```

c. Report anything unusual about the variance components (changes that are unexpected) **Answer:** The last 3 models with slopes correlated with random intercepts failed to converge. One unusual thing is that the model with a random slope for minority has no change in the variance components.

9. a. Take the two predictors that had significant (at .05 level) random slopes, in the forms in which they worked (indep. or correlated) and add both to the model, and test for need of one conditional on already including the other.

```
fit9 <- lmer( math1st ~ ses + minority + sex + yearstea + mathknow + mathprep +
              housepov + (1 | schoolid/classid) + (0 + sex + ses || schoolid), dat, subset = in_sample
anova(fit8.a.1, fit9) #P = 0.4282
```

```
## refitting model(s) with ML (instead of REML)
```

```
## Data: dat
## Subset: in_sample
## Models:
## fit8.a.1: math1st ~ ses + minority + sex + yearstea + mathknow + mathprep + housepov + (1 | schoolid,
## fit9: math1st ~ ses + minority + sex + yearstea + mathknow + mathprep + housepov + (1 | schoolid/clas
##          npar    AIC    BIC logLik deviance Chisq Df Pr(>Chisq)
## fit8.a.1    12 10772 10832 -5373.9    10748
## fit9        13 10773 10838 -5373.6    10747 0.6276  1      0.4282
```

```
anova(fit8.a.2, fit9) #P = 0.0333
```

```
## refitting model(s) with ML (instead of REML)
```

```
## Data: dat
## Subset: in_sample
## Models:
## fit8.a.2: math1st ~ ses + minority + sex + yearstea + mathknow + mathprep + housepov + (1 | schoolid,
## fit9: math1st ~ ses + minority + sex + yearstea + mathknow + mathprep + housepov + (1 | schoolid/clas
##          npar    AIC    BIC logLik deviance Chisq Df Pr(>Chisq)
## fit8.a.2    12 10776 10836 -5375.9    10752
## fit9        13 10773 10838 -5373.6    10747 4.5286  1      0.03333 *
## ---
## Signif. codes:  0 '***' 0.001 '**' 0.01 '*' 0.05 '.' 0.1 ' ' 1
```



- b. Is the more complex model (with both random slopes in it) justified? **Answer:** It is only justified compared to the model that has just sex as a random slope. Based on the LRT having ses with a random slope as well is justified based on the 0.03 p-value.
- c. WRITE OUT THIS MODEL in your preferred notation (include assumptions)  $MATH1ST_{ijk} = b_0 + (b_1 + \zeta_{1k})SES_{ijk} + b_2MINORITY_{ijk} + (b_3 + \zeta_{3k})SEX_{ijk} + b_4YEARSTEA_{jk} + b_5MATHKNOW_{jk} + b_6MATHPREP_{jk} + b_7HOUSEPOV_k + \zeta_{0k} + \eta_{0jk} + \varepsilon_{ijk}$ , with  $\zeta_{0k} \sim N(0, \sigma_{\zeta_0}^2)$ ,  $\zeta_{1k} \sim N(0, \sigma_{\zeta_1}^2)$ ,  $\zeta_{3k} \sim N(0, \sigma_{\zeta_3}^2)$ ,  $\eta_{0jk} \sim N(0, \sigma_{\eta_0}^2)$  and  $\varepsilon_{ijk} \sim N(0, \sigma_{\varepsilon}^2)$
10. Now consider the model with a random slope only in minority. We will make predictions at levels of minority in the range 0 to 1 for illustrative purposes.
- a. What are:  $V\_C$ ,  $V\_S(\text{minority}=0)$ ,  $V\_E$ ? i. We need to list ‘minority=0’ here, or we don’t know how to use the slope variance.
- b. What are:  $V\_S(\text{minority}=0.25)$ ,  $V\_S(\text{minority}=+0.50)$ ,  $V\_S(\text{minority}=+0.75)$ ?
- c. Is the variance between schools monotonically increasing in the value of minority?