

# **LINMA2460 Nonlinear Programming**

## Simon Desmidt Issambre L'Hermite Dumont

Academic year 2024-2025 - Q2



# **Contents**

Definitions, notations and random properties	2				
1.1 Properties	3				
1.2 Complexity table	3				
1.3 GM VS Newton: table					
TODO	4				
Gradient descent without gradient	6				
Local rates of convergence	8				
4.1 Linear rate of GM	8				
4.2 Local quadratic convergence of Newton's method					
4.3 Quasi Newton methods	11				
Tips and Tricks	15				
	1.1 Properties 1.2 Complexity table 1.3 GM VS Newton: table  TODO  Gradient descent without gradient  Local rates of convergence 4.1 Linear rate of GM 4.2 Local quadratic convergence of Newton's method 4.3 Quasi Newton methods				

# Definitions, notations and random properties

• The Taylor expansion of order *p* of the function *f* around *x*<sub>k</sub> and evaluated at *y* is:

$$T_p(y; x_k) = f(x_k) + \sum_{i=1}^p \frac{1}{i!} D^i f(x_k) (y - x_k)^i$$
 (1.1)

• We can thus define the gradient w.r.t. y of the Taylor expansion of order p of f around  $x_k$  and evaluated at  $x_{k+1}$ :

$$\nabla_{y} T_{p}(x_{k+1}; x_{k}) = \nabla_{y} T_{p}(y; x_{k}) \big|_{y = x_{k+1}}$$
(1.2)

• An oracle is a "black box" that gives information about the derivatives based on *x*. The general form of an oracle is:

p-order oracle: 
$$x \mapsto \{D^i f(x)\}_{i=0}^p$$
 (1.3)

And so we have the following simple oracles examples:

Zero<sup>th</sup>-order oracle: 
$$x \mapsto \{f(x)\}$$
  
First-order oracle:  $x \mapsto \{f(x), \nabla f(x)\}$  (1.4)  
Second-order oracle:  $x \mapsto \{f(x), \nabla f(x), \nabla^2 f(x)\}$ 

- $C_L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$ : Class of functions p-times continuously differentiable with L-Lipschitz continuous p-order derivative, i.e.  $||D^p f(x) D^p f(y)|| \le L||x y||$ ,  $\forall x, y \in \mathbb{R}^n$ . And so we have the following simple classes of problems:
  - $C_L^1(\mathbb{R}^n)$ : Class of continuously differentiable functions with L-Lipschitz gradient;
  - $C_L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$ : Class of continuously differentiable functions with L-Lipschitz hessian.
- pth-order method (generalization of GM):

$$x_{k+1} = \arg\min_{y \in \mathbb{R}^n} \Omega_{x_k, y, p}(y) \equiv T_{x_k, p}(y) + \frac{M}{(p+1)!} ||y - x_k||^{p+1}$$
(1.5)

• Convergence rate:

- Linear:

$$||x_{k+1} - x^*|| \le \alpha ||x_k - x^*|| \quad \forall k \ge 0, \alpha \in (0, 1)$$
 (1.6)

- Super Linear:

$$\lim_{k \to +\infty} \frac{\|x_{k+1} - x^*\|}{\|x_k - x^*\|} = 0 \tag{1.7}$$

- Quadratic:

$$||x_{k+1} - x^*|| \le \beta ||x_k - x^*||^2 \quad \forall k \ge 0, \beta > 0$$
 (1.8)

### 1.1 Properties

- For a function  $f \in C^1(\Omega)$  and  $\Omega$  is bounded, the following holds:  $\|\nabla f(x)\| \le L$  for all  $x \in \Omega$  for some  $L \ge 0$ .
- By the mean value theorem, for a continuously differentiable function f,  $\forall x, y \in \Omega$ ,  $\exists z \in \Omega : f(y) f(x) = \langle \nabla f(z), y x \rangle$ .
- For a matrix A and a scalar b,  $||A|| \le b \Longrightarrow |\lambda(A)| \le b \Longrightarrow |A| \le bI_n$ , where the absolute value of the matrix is taken component wise.

## 1.2 Complexity table

Method	Lipschitz	$\nabla f$	$\nabla^2 f$		$\nabla^p f$
Zero order		$O(n\varepsilon^{-2})$			
First order	p=1	$O(\varepsilon^{-2})$			
Second order	p=2	Χ	$O(\varepsilon^{-3/2})$		
:		X	X	٠٠.	
p order		X	Х	Χ	$O(\varepsilon^{-\frac{p+1}{p}})$

#### 1.3 GM VS Newton: table

	cost per iteration	cost of memory	Local rate
GM	$\mathcal{O}(n)$	$\mathcal{O}(n)$	Linear
Quasi-Newton	$\mathcal{O}(n^2)$	$\mathcal{O}(n^2)$	Super Linear
Newton	$\mathcal{O}(n^3)$	$\mathcal{O}(n^2)$	Quadratic

→ For the GM, we assume that we don't need to compute the gradient at each iteration.

## **TODO**

We can generalise the property of a L-Lipschitz function to  $f \in \mathcal{C}^p_L(\mathbb{R}^n)$ . For p = 1, we had

$$f(y) \le f(x_k) + \langle \nabla f(x_k), y - x_k \rangle + \frac{L}{2} ||y - x_k||^2 \qquad \forall y \in \mathbb{R}^n$$
 (2.1)

For a general value of *p*, it becomes

$$f(y) \le T_p(y; x_k) + \frac{L}{(p+1)!} ||y - x_k||^{p+1} \forall y \in \mathbb{R}^n$$
 (2.2)

Using this, we need a *p*-th order oracle for the method to work.

To solve  $\min_{x \in \mathbb{R}^n} f(x)$ , we can use the iteration

$$x_{k+1} = \arg\min_{y \in \mathbb{R}^n} T_p(y; x_k) + \frac{M}{(p+1)!} ||y - x_k||^{p+1}$$
(2.3)

where the constant M is an approximation of the Lipschitz constant L. Assuming  $f \in \mathcal{C}_L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$ , we have

$$f(x_{k+1}) \leq T_{p}(x_{k+1}; x_{k}) + \frac{L}{(p+1)!} \|x_{k+1} - x_{k}\|^{p+1}$$

$$= \underbrace{T_{p}(x_{k+1}; x_{k}) + \frac{M}{(p+1)!} \|x_{k+1} - x_{k}\|^{p+1}}_{\leq f(x_{k})} + \underbrace{\frac{(L-M)}{(p+1)!} \|x_{k+1} - x_{k}\|^{p+1}}_{\leq f(x_{k})}$$
(2.4)

where the inequality  $\leq f(x_k)$  is due to the decrease of f and equation (2.3). Suppose that M > 2L. After some algebraic manipulations, we get

$$f(x_k) - f(x_{k+1}) \ge \frac{L}{(p+1)!} ||x_{k+1} - x_k||^{p+1}$$
(2.5)

On the other hand, using the triangular inequality,

$$\|\nabla f(x_{k+1})\| \leq \|\nabla f(x_{k+1}) - \nabla_y T_p(x_{k+1}; x_k)\|$$

$$+ \underbrace{\left\|\nabla_y T_p(x_{k+1}; x_k) + \nabla \left(\frac{M}{(p+1)!} \| \cdot - x_k \|^{p+1}\right)\right\|_{y=x_{k+1}}}_{=0}$$

$$+ \underbrace{\left\|\nabla \left(\frac{M}{(p+1)!} \| \cdot - x_k \|^{p+1}\right)\right\|_{y=x_{k+1}}}_{\leq \frac{L}{p!}} \|x_{k+1} - x_k \|^{p}$$

$$(2.6)$$

$$\Longrightarrow \|x_{k+1} - x_k\| \ge \left(\frac{p!}{L+M}\right)^{1/p} \|\nabla f(x_{k+1})\|^{1/p} \tag{2.7}$$

Combining equations (2.5) and (2.7),

$$f(x_k) - f(x_{k+1}) \ge \underbrace{\frac{L}{(p+1)!} \left(\frac{p!}{L+M}\right)^{\frac{p+1}{p}}}_{-:C(L)} \|\nabla f(x_{k+1})\|^{\frac{p+1}{p}}$$
(2.8)

Let  $T(\varepsilon) = \inf\{k \in \mathbb{N} : \|\nabla f(x_k)\| \le \varepsilon\}$ . Assume that  $T(\varepsilon) \ge 2$  and  $f(x) \ge f_{low}$   $\forall x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ . Summing up (2.8) for  $k = 0, \ldots, T(\varepsilon) - 2$ ,

$$f(x_{0}) - f_{low} \ge f(x_{0}) - f(x_{T(\varepsilon)-1}) = \sum_{k=0}^{T(\varepsilon)-2} f(x_{k}) - f(x_{k+1})$$

$$\ge (T(\varepsilon) - 1)C(L)\varepsilon^{\frac{p+1}{p}}$$

$$\Longrightarrow T(\varepsilon) \le 1 + \frac{f(x_{0}) - f_{low}}{C(L)}\varepsilon^{-\frac{p+1}{p}} \equiv \mathcal{O}\left(\varepsilon^{-\frac{p+1}{p}}\right)$$
(2.9)

## Gradient descent without gradient

For this problem consider an adversarial attack on block-based image classifier. We have a machine learning model that given an image  $a \in \mathbb{R}^p$  it returns  $c(a) \in \mathbb{R}^m$ , where  $c_j(a) \in [0,1]$  is the probability of image a to be in class j. The classifier prediction is:  $j(a) = \arg\max_{i \in [1,...,m]} c_i(a)$ .

TODO - Add mise en situation ou pas?

Given  $x_k$  let us decide:

$$x_{k+1} = x_k - \frac{1}{\sigma} g_{h_k}(x_k)$$
  $h_k > 0, \, \sigma > 0$  (3.1)

where  $g_{h_k}(x_k) \in \mathbb{R}^n$  is given by:

$$[g_{h_k}(x_k)]_j = \frac{f(x_k + he_j) - f(x_k)}{h_k} \quad \forall j \in [1, \dots, m]$$
 (3.2)

Suppose that  $f \in \mathcal{C}_L^1(\mathbb{R}^n)$ . Then,

$$\|\nabla f(x_k) - g_{h_k}(x_k)\| \le \frac{L\sqrt{n}}{2}h_k$$
 (3.3)

Thus

$$f(x_{k+1}) \leq f(x_k) + \langle \nabla f(x_k), x_{k+1} - x_k \rangle + \frac{L}{2} \|x_{k+1} - x_k\|^2$$

$$= f(x_k) + \langle g_{h_k}(x_k), x_{k+1} - x_k \rangle + \frac{\sigma}{2} \|x_{k+1} - x_k\|$$

$$+ \langle \nabla f(x_k) - g_{h_k}(x_k), x_{k+1} - x_k \rangle + \frac{(L - \sigma)}{2} \|x_{k+1} - x_k\|^2$$

$$\leq f(x_k) - \frac{1}{\sigma} \|g_{h_k}(x_k)\|^2 + \frac{1}{2\sigma} \|g_{h_k}(x_k)\|^2$$

$$+ \|\nabla f(x_k) - g_{h_k}(x_k)\| \frac{1}{\sigma} \|g_{h_k}(x_k)\| + \frac{(L - \sigma)}{2\sigma^2} \|g_{h_k}\|^2$$

$$\leq f(x_k) - \frac{1}{2\sigma} \|g_{h_k}(x_k)\|^2 + \frac{L\sqrt{\eta}}{2} h_k \frac{1}{\sigma} \|g_{h_k}\| + \frac{(L - \sigma)}{2\sigma^2} \|g_{h_k}\|^2$$

$$\leq f(x_k) - \frac{1}{2\sigma} \|g_{h_k}(x_k)\|^2 + \frac{L}{2} \left( \frac{nh_k^2}{2} + \frac{1}{2\sigma} \|g_{h_k}(x_k)\|^2 \right) + \frac{(L - \sigma)}{2\sigma^2} \|g_{h_k}\|^2$$

$$= f(x_k) - \left( \frac{2\sigma - L - 2(L - \sigma)}{4\sigma^2} \right) \|g_{h_k}(x_k)\|^2 + \frac{L\eta}{4} h_k^2$$

$$= f(x_k) - \frac{(4\sigma - 3L)}{4\sigma} \|g_{h_k}(x_k)\|^2 + \frac{L\eta}{4} h_k^2$$

$$(3.4)$$

$$\implies \frac{(4\sigma - 3L)}{4\sigma} \|g_{h_k}(x_k)\|^2 \le f(x_k) - f(x_{k+1}) + \frac{Ln}{4} h_k^2$$
 (3.5)

If  $\sigma \gg L$ , then

$$\frac{1}{4\sigma} \|g_{h_k}(x_k)\|^2 \le f(x_k) - f(x_{k+1}) + \frac{\sigma n}{4} h_k^2$$
(3.6)

On the other hand, we have

$$\|\nabla f(x_k)\| \le \|\nabla f(x_k) - g_{h_k}(x_k)\| + \|g_{h_k}(x_k)\|$$

$$\le \frac{L\sqrt{n}}{2}h_k + \|g_{h_k}(x_k)\|$$
(3.7)

Using trick (5.3) in chapter 5,

$$\implies \|\nabla f(x_k)\|^2 \le 2\left(\frac{L\sqrt{n}}{2}h_k\right)^2 + 2\|g_{h_k}(x_k)\|^2$$

$$\le \frac{L^2n}{2}h_k^2 + 2\|g_{h_k}(x_k)\|^2$$
(3.8)

$$\Longrightarrow \frac{1}{8\sigma} \|\nabla f(x_k)\|^2 \le \frac{L^2 n}{16\sigma} h_k^2 + \frac{1}{4\sigma} \|g_{h_k}(x_k)\|^2$$
 (3.9)

$$\Longrightarrow \frac{1}{8\sigma} \|\nabla f(x_k)\|^2 \le f(x_k) - f(x_{k+1}) + \frac{\sigma n}{4} h_k^2 + \frac{\sigma n}{16} h_k^2$$
 (3.10)

Let  $T(\varepsilon) = \inf\{k \in \mathbb{N} : \|\nabla f(x_k)\| \le \varepsilon\}$ , with f(x) bounded below by  $f_{low}$ , summing up (3.10) for  $k = 0, \ldots, T(\varepsilon) - 1$ :

$$\frac{T(\varepsilon)}{8\sigma}\varepsilon^2 \le f(x_0) - f_{low} + \frac{5\sigma n}{4} \sum_{k=0}^{T(\varepsilon)-1} h_k^2 \tag{3.11}$$

If  $\{h_k^2\}$  is summable

$$\Longrightarrow T(\varepsilon) \le 8\sigma \left( f(x_0) - f_{low} + \frac{5\sigma n}{4} \sum_{k=0}^{T(\varepsilon)-1} h_k^2 \right) \varepsilon^2 = \mathcal{O}(\varepsilon^2)$$
 (3.12)

In terms of call to the oracle, we have a complexity bound of  $\mathcal{O}(n\varepsilon^2)$ .

## Local rates of convergence

#### 4.1 Linear rate of GM

Let  $f \in \mathcal{C}^{2,2}_M(\mathbb{R}^n)$ . Assume f has a local minimizer  $x^*$  such that

$$\mu I_n \preceq \nabla^2 f(x^*) \preceq M I_n \tag{4.1}$$

Let  $x_{k+1} = x_k - \frac{1}{L}\nabla f(x_k)$  for a given  $x_0 \in \mathbb{R}^n$ .

Notice that

$$\nabla f(x_k) = \nabla f(x_k) - \nabla f(x^*)$$

$$= \int_0^1 \nabla^2 f(x^* + \tau(x_k - x^*))(x_k - x^*) d\tau$$

$$= \int_0^1 \nabla^2 f(x^* + \tau(x_k - x^*)) d\tau(x_k - x^*)$$

$$= G_k(x_k - x^*)$$
(4.2)

Then,

$$||x_{k+1} - x^*|| = ||x_k - \frac{1}{L} \nabla f(x_k) - x^*||$$

$$= ||(I_n - \frac{1}{L} G_k)(x_k - x^*)||$$

$$\leq ||I_n - \frac{1}{L} G_k|| ||x_k - x^*||$$
(4.3)

Since  $f \in C_M^{2,2}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ , we have  $\|\nabla^2 f(x^* + \tau(x_k - x^*)) - \nabla^2 f(x^*)\| \le \tau M \|x_k - x^*\|$  and using this we get:

$$|\langle \nabla^2 f(x^* + \tau(x_k - x^*)) - \nabla^2 f(x^*)v, v \rangle| \le \tau M \|x_k - x^*\| \|v\|^2 \quad \forall v \in \mathbb{R}^n$$
 (4.4)

Using the bound (4.1) and the previous inequality, we get:

$$\tau M \|x_k - x^*\| \|v\|^2 \le |\langle \nabla^2 f(x^* + \tau(x_k - x^*)) - \nabla^2 f(x^*)v, v \rangle| \le \tau M \|x_k - x^*\| \|v\|^2$$

$$\nabla^2 f(x^*) - \tau M \|x_k - x^*\| I_n \le \nabla^2 f(x^* + \tau(x_k - x^*)) \le \nabla^2 f(x^*) + \tau M \|x_k - x^*\| I_n$$

$$(\mu - \tau M \|x_k - x^*\|) I_n \le \nabla^2 f(x^* + \tau(x_k - x^*)) \le (L + \tau M \|x_k - x^*\|) I_n$$

By the properties of the semi-definite matrices, and the trick (5.4), we have:

$$\int_{0}^{1} (\mu - \tau M \|x_{k} - x^{*}\|) \|v\|^{2} d\tau \leq \int_{0}^{1} \langle \nabla^{2} f(x^{*} + \tau (x_{k} - x^{*})) v, v \rangle d\tau 
\leq \int_{0}^{1} (L + \tau M \|x_{k} - x^{*}\|) \|v\|^{2} d\tau \quad \forall v \in \mathbb{R}^{n}$$
(4.5)

By using  $G_k$  and some constants, we get:

$$-\frac{1}{L}(L + \frac{M}{2}||x_k - x^*||)I_n \le -\frac{1}{L}G_k \le -\frac{1}{L}(\mu - \frac{M}{2}||x_k - x^*||)I_n$$
 (4.6)

$$\left(1 - \frac{1}{L}(L + \frac{M}{2}||x_k - x^*||)\right)I_n \leq I_n - \frac{1}{L}G_k \leq \left(1 - \frac{1}{L}(\mu - \frac{M}{2}||x_k - x^*||)\right)I_n \tag{4.7}$$

And finally, we get:

$$||I_{n} - \frac{1}{L}G_{k}|| \leq \max \left\{ \left| 1 - \frac{1}{L}(L + \frac{M}{2}||x_{k} - x^{*}||) \right|, \left| 1 - \frac{1}{L}(\mu - \frac{M}{2}||x_{k} - x^{*}||) \right| \right\}$$

$$= \max \left\{ \frac{M}{2L}||x_{k} - x^{*}||, 1 - \frac{\mu}{L} + \frac{M}{2L}||x_{k} - x^{*}|| \right\}$$

$$= 1 - \frac{\mu}{L} + \frac{M}{2L}||x_{k} - x^{*}||$$

$$(4.8)$$

Suppose that  $\frac{M}{2L} \|x_k - x^*\| \le \frac{\mu}{2L} \iff \|x_k - x^*\| \le \frac{\mu}{M}$  Then, in (4.8), we get:

$$||I_n - \frac{1}{L}G_k|| \le 1 - \frac{\mu}{2L} < 1 \tag{4.9}$$

And so, by (4.2)

$$||x_{k+1} - x^*|| \le ||I_n - \frac{1}{L}G_k|| ||x_k - x^*|| < ||x_k - x^*||$$
(4.10)

If  $||x_0 - x^*|| < \frac{\mu}{M}$ , it follows from the previous reasoning that:

$$||x_2 - x^*|| \le (1 - \frac{\mu}{2L})||x_1 - x^*|| \le (1 - \frac{\mu}{2L})^2 ||x_0 - x^*|| \le \frac{\mu}{M}$$
 (4.11)

And so by induction, we can conclude that:

$$||x_k - x^*|| \le \left(1 - \frac{\mu}{2L}\right)^k ||x_0 - x^*|| \quad \forall k \ge 0$$
 (4.12)

⇒ Linear rate of convergence

Given  $\varepsilon > 0$ , let  $T(\varepsilon) = \inf\{k \in \mathbb{N} : ||x_k - x^*|| \le \varepsilon\}$ . Then, if  $T(\varepsilon) \ge 1$  and using (4.12), we get:

$$\varepsilon < \|x_{T(\varepsilon)-1} - x^*\| \le \left(1 - \frac{\mu}{2L}\right)^{T(\varepsilon)-1} \|x_0 - x^*\|$$

$$\log\left(\frac{\varepsilon}{\|x_0 - x^*\|}\right) \le (T(\varepsilon) - 1)\log\left(1 - \frac{\mu}{2L}\right)$$

$$T(\varepsilon) - 1 \le \frac{\log\left(\frac{\varepsilon}{\|x_0 - x^*\|}\right)}{\log\left(1 - \frac{\mu}{2L}\right)} = \frac{\log\left(\|x_0 - x^*\|\varepsilon^{-1}\right)}{|\log\left(1 - \frac{\mu}{2L}\right)|}$$

$$T(\varepsilon) \le \mathcal{O}(\log(\varepsilon^{-1}))$$

$$T(\varepsilon) \le \mathcal{O}(\log(\varepsilon^{-1}))$$

→ Note: convexity was never assumed!

#### 4.2 Local quadratic convergence of Newton's method

Let  $f \in \mathcal{C}^{2,2}_M(\mathbb{R}^n)$ . Assume f has a local minimizer  $x^*$  such that

$$\mu I_n \le \nabla^2 f(x^*) \quad \mu > 0 \tag{4.14}$$

Given  $x_0 \in \mathbb{R}^n$ , let:

$$x_{k+1} = x_k - \nabla^{-2} f(x_k) \nabla f(x_k)$$
(4.15)

We have, by the previous equation and the definition of  $G_k$  (4.2):

$$||x_{k+1} - x^*|| = ||x_k - \nabla^{-2}f(x_k)\nabla f(x_k) - x^*||$$

$$= ||(x_k - x^*) - \nabla^{-2}f(x_k)G_k(x_k - x^*)||$$

$$= ||\nabla^{-2}f(x_k)\left(\nabla^2f(x_k) - \int_0^1 \nabla^2f(x^* + \tau(x_k - x^*))d\tau\right)(x_k - x^*)||$$

$$= ||\nabla^{-2}f(x_k)\left(\int_0^1 \nabla^2f(x_k) - \nabla^2f(x^* + \tau(x_k - x^*))d\tau\right)(x_k - x^*)||$$

$$\leq ||\nabla^{-2}f(x_k)||\left(\int_0^1 ||\nabla^2f(x_k) - \nabla^2f(x^* + \tau(x_k - x^*))||d\tau\right)||x_k - x^*||$$

$$\leq ||\nabla^{-2}f(x_k)||\left(\int_0^1 M(1 - \tau)||x_k - x^*||d\tau\right)||x_k - x^*||$$

$$\leq ||\nabla^{-2}f(x_k)||||x_k - x^*||^2 \frac{M}{2}$$

$$(4.16)$$

Since  $f \in \mathcal{C}^{2,2}_M(\mathbb{R}^n)$ , we have

$$\nabla^2 f(x^* + \tau(x_k - x^*)) - \nabla^2 f(x^*) \succeq \tau M \|x_k - x^*\| I_n$$
(4.17)

$$\nabla^{2} f(x_{k}) \succeq \nabla^{2} f(x^{*}) - M \|x_{k} - x^{*}\| I_{n}$$
  
 
$$\succeq (\mu - M \|x_{k} - x^{*}\|) I_{n}$$
(4.18)

$$\lambda_{\min}(\nabla^2 f(x_k)) \ge \mu - M \|x_k - x^*\|$$

Suppose that  $-M||x_k - x^*|| \ge -\frac{\mu}{2} \Leftrightarrow ||x_k - x^*|| \le \frac{\mu}{2M}$ Then,

$$\lambda_{\min}(\nabla^{2} f(x_{k})) \geq \frac{\mu}{2}$$

$$\lambda_{\max}(\nabla^{-2} f(x_{k})) \leq \frac{2}{\mu}$$

$$\Rightarrow \|\nabla^{-2} f(x_{k})\| \leq \frac{2}{\mu}$$
(4.19)

Therefore, by (4.16), we conclude that:

$$||x_{k+1} - x^*|| \le \frac{M}{2} ||\nabla^{-2} f(x_k)|| ||x_k - x^*||$$

$$\le \frac{M}{\mu} ||x_k - x^*||^2$$
(4.20)

If  $||x_k - x^*|| \le \frac{\mu}{2M}$  then,

$$||x_{k+1} - x^*|| \le \frac{M}{\mu} ||x_k - x^*||^2 = \frac{1}{2} ||x_k - x^*||$$
 (4.21)

If  $||x_0 - x^*|| \le \frac{\mu}{2M}$  then  $\{x\}_{k \ge 0} \subset B[x^*, \frac{\mu}{2M}]$ . Denote  $\delta_k = \frac{M}{\mu} ||x_k - x^*||$ , then we have  $\delta_0 = \frac{M}{\mu} ||x_0 - x^*|| \le \frac{1}{2}$ , and if we combine this with (4.21), we get:

$$\delta_{k+1} \le \delta_k^2 \quad \forall k \ge 0 \tag{4.22}$$

And if we proceed by recurcence, we get:

$$\delta_{1} \leq \delta_{0}^{2} \leq \left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^{2}$$

$$\delta_{2} \leq \delta_{1}^{2} \leq \left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^{4}$$

$$\vdots$$

$$(4.23)$$

$$\delta_k \le \left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^{2^k} \quad \forall k \ge 0$$

$$\Rightarrow \|x_k - x^*\| \le \frac{\mu}{M} \left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^{2^k} \tag{4.24}$$

Let  $T(\varepsilon) = \inf\{k \in \mathbb{N} : ||x_k - x^*|| \le \varepsilon\}$  and suppose that  $T(\varepsilon) \ge 1$ . Then using the convergence rate (4.24), we can state the maximal number of iterations:

$$\varepsilon \le \|x_{T(\varepsilon)-1} - x^*\| \le \frac{\mu}{M} \left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^{2^{T(\varepsilon)-1}} \tag{4.25}$$

$$2^{2^{T(\varepsilon)-1}} \le \frac{\mu}{M} \varepsilon^{-1} \tag{4.26}$$

$$\Rightarrow T(\varepsilon) \leq \log_2(\log_2(\frac{\mu}{M}\varepsilon^{-1}))$$

#### 4.3 Quasi Newton methods

One step of a Quasi-Newton method is given by:

$$x_{k+1} = x_k - B_k \nabla f(x_k) \tag{4.27}$$

With  $B_k \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$ , symmetric and non-singular

Suppose that  $x_k \to x^*$  when  $k \to \infty$ , and that  $\nabla^2 f(x_k) \succeq \mu I_n$  with  $\mu \ge 0$ 

We want the condition on  $B_k$  to have a Super Linear convergence (1.7) of the Quasi-Newton method. So lets assume that  $f \in \mathcal{C}^{2,2}_M(\mathbb{R}^n)$ . Then,

$$\|\nabla^2 f(x_{k+1} - \nabla^2 f(x_k))\| \le M\|x_{k+1} - x_k\| \tag{4.28}$$

GOOD LABEL?

$$\|\nabla f(x_{k+1} - \nabla f(x_k) - \nabla^2 f(x_k)(x_{k+1} - x_k))\| \le \frac{M}{2} \|x_{k+1} - x_k\|^2$$
 (4.29)

Therefore

$$\nabla f(x_{k+1}) = \nabla f(x_{k+1}) - \nabla f(x_k) - \nabla^2 f(x_k)(x_{k+1} - x_k) + \nabla f(x_k) + \nabla^2 f(x_k)(x_{k+1} - x_k)$$
(4.30)

Using the relation (4.27) we get:

$$\nabla f(x_{k+1}) = \nabla f(x_{k+1}) \qquad -\nabla f(x_k) - \nabla^2 f(x_k)(x_{k+1} - x_k) \\ -B_k^{-1}(x_{k+1} - x_k) \\ + \nabla^2 f(x_k)(x_{k+1} - x_k) \\ -\nabla f(x_k) - \nabla^2 f(x_k)(x_{k+1} - x_k) \\ -\left(B_k^{-1} - \nabla^2 f(x^*)\right)(x_{k+1} - x_k) \\ +\left(\nabla^2 f(x_k) - \nabla^2 f(x^*)\right)(x_{k+1} - x_k) \\ -\nabla f(x_k) - \nabla^2 f(x_k)(x_{k+1} - x_k) \\ + \|\left(B_k^{-1} - \nabla^2 f(x^*)\right)(x_{k+1} - x_k)\| \\ + \|\left(\nabla^2 f(x_k) - \nabla^2 f(x^*)\right)\|\|(x_{k+1} - x_k)\| \\ \leq \frac{M}{2} \|x_{k+1} - x_k\|^2 + M\|x_k - x^*\|\|x_{k+1} - x_k\| \\ + \|\left(B_k^{-1} - \nabla^2 f(x_k)\right)(x_{k+1} - x_k)\| \end{aligned}$$

$$(4.31)$$

On the line before we used (4.28) and (4.29). And so we can write:

$$\frac{\|\nabla f(x_{k+1})\|}{\|x_{k+1} - x_k\|} \le \frac{M}{2} \|x_{k+1} - x_k\| + M \|x_k - x^*\| + \frac{\|\left(B_k^{-1} - \nabla^2 f(x_k)\right) (x_{k+1} - x_k)\|}{\|x_{k+1} - x_k\|}$$

$$(4.32)$$

From now on, suppose that this condition (Dimis-Mori condition) is true:

$$\lim_{k \to \infty} \frac{\| \left( B_k^{-1} - \nabla^2 f(x_k) \right) (x_{k+1} - x_k) \|}{\| x_{k+1} - x_k \|} = 0 \tag{4.33}$$

Under this condition and by (4.32), we have:

$$\lim_{k \to \infty} \frac{\|\nabla f(x_{k+1})\|}{\|x_{k+1} - x_k\|} = 0 \tag{4.34}$$

As  $||x_{k+1} - x_k|| \to 0$ , we conclude that  $\lim_{x\to\infty} ||\nabla f(x_{k+1})|| = 0$  and so  $||\nabla f(x^*)|| = 0 \Rightarrow \nabla f(x^*) = 0$ . ( $x^*$  is a stationary point of f) We have  $\nabla^2 f(x^*) \succeq \mu I_n$  and given  $y \in \mathbb{R}^n$ , we have:

$$\nabla^{2} f(y) - \nabla^{2} f(x^{*}) \succeq -M \|y - x^{*}\| I_{n}$$

$$\nabla^{2} f(y) \succeq (\mu - M \|y - x^{*}\|) I_{n}$$
(4.35)

Thus, if  $-M||y-x^*|| \ge -\frac{\mu}{2}$  then  $\nabla^2 f(y) \succeq \frac{\mu}{2} I_n$ .

Since  $x_k \to x^*$ , there exists  $k_0 \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $||x_{k+1} * x^*|| \le \frac{\mu}{2M} \ \forall k \ge k_0$ . Thus for any  $\tau \in [0,1]$ :

$$||x^* + \tau(x_{k+1} - x^*) - x^*|| \le \frac{\mu}{2M}, \quad \forall k \ge k_0$$
 (4.36)

and so  $\nabla^2 f(x^* + \tau(x_{k+1} - x^*)) \succeq \frac{\mu}{2} I_n \ \forall k \ge k_0$ .

$$||x_{k+1} - x^*|| ||\nabla f(x_{k+1})|| \ge (x_{k+1} - x^*)^T \nabla f(x_{k+1})$$

$$= (x_{k+1} - x^*)^T (\nabla f(x_{k+1}) - \nabla f(x^*))$$

$$= (x_{k+1} - x^*)^T \int_0^1 \nabla^2 f(x^* + \tau(x_{k+1} - x^*))(x_{k+1} - x^*) d\tau$$

$$\ge \int_0^1 (x_{k+1} - x^*)^T \frac{\mu}{2} I_n(x_{k+1} - x^*) d\tau$$

$$= \frac{\mu}{2} ||x_{k+1} - x^*||^2$$

$$(4.37)$$

$$\|\nabla f(x_{k+1})\| \ge \frac{\mu}{2} \|x_{k+1} - x^*\| \tag{4.38}$$

Let  $\rho_k = \frac{\|x_{k+1} - x^*\|}{\|x_k - x^*\|}$  then, using (5.5), we obtain:

$$\frac{\|\nabla f(x_{k+1})\|}{\|x_{k+1} - x_k\|} \ge \frac{(\frac{\mu}{2})\|x_{k+1} - x^*\|}{\|x_{k+1} - x_k\|}$$

$$\ge \frac{(\frac{\mu}{2})\|x_{k+1} - x^*\|}{\|x_{k+1} - x^*\| + \|x_k - x^*\|}$$

$$= \frac{(\frac{\mu}{2})\rho_k}{\rho_k + 1}$$
(4.39)

Combining (4.39) and (4.32), we get:

$$\frac{\mu}{2} \frac{\rho_k}{\rho_k + 1} \le \frac{M}{2} \|x_{k+1} - x_k\| + M \|x_k - x^*\| + \frac{\|\left(B_k^{-1} - \nabla^2 f(x^*)\right) (x_{k+1} - x_k)\|}{\|x_{k+1} - x_k\|} \tag{4.40}$$

Since the right hand side goes to zero when  $k \to +\infty$ , then we have: IDK how to write that

$$\lim_{k \to \infty} \frac{\rho_k}{1 + \rho_k} = 0$$

$$\lim_{k \to \infty} \frac{1}{\frac{1}{\rho_k} + 1} = 0$$

$$\Rightarrow \lim_{k \to \infty} \frac{\|x_{k+1} - x^*\|}{\|x_k - x^*\|} \Rightarrow \lim_{k \to \infty} \rho_k = 0$$

$$(4.41)$$

Suppose that n = 1, then the quasi-newton update is writed:

$$x_{k+1} = x_k - b_k f'(x_k), \quad k \ge 0$$
 (4.42)

with  $b_k \in \mathbb{R}$ . We want  $b_k \approx f''(x_k)^{-1}$  and by finite difference we can express it like that  $b_k^{-1} \approx \frac{f'(x_{k-1}+h)-f'(x_{k-1})}{h}$ . And with  $h=x_h-x_{k-1}$ , we can define:

$$b_k^{-1} = \frac{f'(x_k) - f'(x_{k-1})}{x_k - x_{k-1}}$$
(4.43)

Thus if  $x_k \to x^*$  then:

$$\lim_{k \to \infty} \frac{|(b_k^{-1} - f''(x^*))(x_k - x_{k-1})|}{|x_k - x_{k-1}|} = 0 \tag{4.44}$$

Because we can notice that:

$$\frac{|(b_k^{-1} - f''(x^*))(x_k - x_{k-1})|}{|x_k - x_{k-1}|} = |b_k^{-1} - f''(x_{k-1})| + |f''(x_{k-1}) - f''(x^*)| \tag{4.45}$$

Since  $x_k \to x^*$ , we have  $h = x_k - x_{k-1}$  and so:

$$b_k^{-1} = \frac{f'(x_k) - f'(x_{k-1})}{x_k - x_{k-1}} \to f''(x_{k-1})$$
(4.46)

Thus,  $\lim_{k\to\infty} |b_k^{-1} - f''(x_k)| = 0$ . Assuming that f'' is continuous, we have  $\lim_{k\to\infty} |f''(x_k) - f''(x^*)| = 0$ . If we define  $S_{k-1} = x_k - x_{k-1}$  and  $y_{k-1} = f'(x_k) - f'(x_{k-1})$  and knowing (4.43), we can write:

$$b_k(f'(x_k) - f'(x_{k-1})) = x_k - x_{k-1}$$

$$b_k y_{k-1} = S_{k-1}$$
(4.47)

This suggests that for n > 1, we should define the secant condition,  $B_k$  such that:

$$B_k y_{k-1} = S_{k-1} (4.48)$$

Lets define  $f(x) = \frac{1}{2} ||Ax - b||^2 = \frac{1}{2} x^T A^T A x - (A^T b)^T x + \frac{1}{2} b^T b$ . If A is full rank then fis a strongly convex quadratic function. And we have  $\nabla f(x_k) = A^T A x_k - A^T b$ . Then,

$$y_{k-1} = \nabla f(x_k) - \nabla f(x_{k-1}) = A^T A(x_k - x_{k-1}) = \nabla^2 f(x_k) S_{k-1}$$
 (4.49)

And so

$$\nabla^2 f(x_k) y_{k-1} = S_{k-1} \tag{4.50}$$

Therefore,  $\nabla^{-2}f$  satisfies the secant condition (4.48), when f is a strongly convex quadratic function. Thus it is reasonnable to require the secant for any approximation to  $\nabla^{-2} f(x_k)$ .

Now, how can we compute  $B_k$  such that it satisfies the secant condition (4.48)? Given a matrix  $B_{k-1}$ , our goal is to find a perturbation matrix  $P_{k-1} \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$  such that:

$$(B_{k-1} + P_{k-1}) y_{k-1} = S_{k-1} (4.51)$$

If we get such  $P_{k-1}$ , we can define  $B_k = B_{k-1} + P_{k-1}$ , which would satisfy the secant condition (4.48).

For that we need at least *n* degrees of freedom and a symmetric matrix, so it is natural to try:

$$P_{k-1} = V_{k-1} V_{k-1}^T \quad V_{k-1} \in \mathbb{R}^n$$
(4.52)

# Tips and Tricks

1. Approximation of the max:

$$\max\{z,0\} = \frac{z+|z|}{2} = \frac{z+\sqrt{z^2}}{2} \approx \frac{z+\sqrt{z^2+\delta}}{2}$$
 (5.1)

2.

$$ab \le \frac{a^2 + b^2}{2} \tag{5.2}$$

3.

$$(a+b)^2 \le 2a^2 + 2b^2 \tag{5.3}$$

4. V-trick:

$$\langle xv, v \rangle \le \|x\| \|v\|^2 \tag{5.4}$$

5. Triangular inequality by the minimizer:

$$||x_{k+1} - x_k|| \le ||x_{k+1} - x^*|| + ||x_k - x^*||$$
(5.5)