

# **LINMA2460 Nonlinear Programming**

# Simon Desmidt Issambre L'Hermite Dumont

Academic year 2024-2025 - Q2



# **Contents**

1	Definitions, notations and random properties	2				
	1.1 Properties	3				
	1.2 Complexity table					
	1.3 GM VS Newton	3				
2	TODO	4				
3 Gradient descent without gradient						
4	Local rates of convergence	8				
	4.1 Linear rate of GM	8				
	4.2 Local quadratic convergence of Newton's method	10				
	4.3 Quasi Newton methods	11				
5	Constrained nonlinear programming problems	17				
6	Accelerated Gradient Method	19				
	6.1 Derivation of the algorithm	19				
	6.2 Accelerated Proximal Gradient Method	21				
7	Path following Interior Point Method	23				
•	7.1 Self concordant functions					
	7.2 Path-following Interior-point Method	24				
	7.3 Intermediate Newton method	25				
	7.4 Path-following Interior point Algorithm	<b>∠</b> /				
8	Tips and Tricks	29				

# Definitions, notations and random properties

• The Taylor expansion of order *p* of the function *f* around *x*<sub>k</sub> and evaluated at *y* is:

$$T_p(y; x_k) = f(x_k) + \sum_{i=1}^p \frac{1}{i!} D^i f(x_k) (y - x_k)^i$$
 (1.1)

• We can thus define the gradient w.r.t. y of the Taylor expansion of order p of f around  $x_k$  and evaluated at  $x_{k+1}$ :

$$\nabla_{y} T_{p}(x_{k+1}; x_{k}) = \nabla_{y} T_{p}(y; x_{k}) \big|_{y = x_{k+1}}$$
(1.2)

• An oracle is a "black box" that gives information about the derivatives based on *x*. The general form of an oracle is:

p-order oracle: 
$$x \mapsto \{D^i f(x)\}_{i=0}^p$$
 (1.3)

And so we have the following simple oracles examples:

Zero<sup>th</sup>-order oracle: 
$$x \mapsto \{f(x)\}$$
  
First-order oracle:  $x \mapsto \{f(x), \nabla f(x)\}$  (1.4)  
Second-order oracle:  $x \mapsto \{f(x), \nabla f(x), \nabla^2 f(x)\}$ 

- $C_L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$ : Class of functions p-times continuously differentiable with L-Lipschitz continuous p-order derivative, i.e.  $||D^p f(x) D^p f(y)|| \le L||x y||$ ,  $\forall x, y \in \mathbb{R}^n$ . And so we have the following simple classes of problems:
  - $C_L^1(\mathbb{R}^n)$ : Class of continuously differentiable functions with L-Lipschitz gradient;
  - $C_L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$ : Class of continuously differentiable functions with L-Lipschitz hessian.
- pth-order method (generalization of GM):

$$x_{k+1} = \arg\min_{y \in \mathbb{R}^n} \Omega_{x_k, y, p}(y) \equiv T_{x_k, p}(y) + \frac{M}{(p+1)!} ||y - x_k||^{p+1}$$
(1.5)

• Convergence rate:

- Linear:

$$||x_{k+1} - x^*|| \le \alpha ||x_k - x^*|| \quad \forall k \ge 0, \alpha \in (0, 1)$$
 (1.6)

- Super Linear:

$$\lim_{k \to +\infty} \frac{\|x_{k+1} - x^*\|}{\|x_k - x^*\|} = 0 \tag{1.7}$$

- Quadratic:

$$||x_{k+1} - x^*|| \le \beta ||x_k - x^*||^2 \quad \forall k \ge 0, \beta > 0$$
 (1.8)

# 1.1 Properties

- For a function  $f \in C^1(\Omega)$  and  $\Omega$  is bounded, the following holds:  $\|\nabla f(x)\| \le L$  for all  $x \in \Omega$  for some  $L \ge 0$ .
- By the mean value theorem, for a continuously differentiable function f,  $\forall x, y \in \Omega$ ,  $\exists z \in \Omega : f(y) f(x) = \langle \nabla f(z), y x \rangle$ .
- For a matrix A and a scalar b,  $||A|| \le b \Longrightarrow |\lambda(A)| \le b \Longrightarrow |A| \le bI_n$ , where the absolute value of the matrix is taken component wise.

# 1.2 Complexity table

Method	Lipschitz	$\nabla f$	$\nabla^2 f$		$\nabla^p f$
Zero order		$O(n\varepsilon^{-2})$			
First order	p=1	$O(\varepsilon^{-2})$			
Second order	p = 2	Χ	$O(\varepsilon^{-3/2})$		
:		X	X	٠.,	
p order		X	Х	Χ	$O(\varepsilon^{-\frac{p+1}{p}})$

## 1.3 GM VS Newton

	cost per iteration	cost of memory	Local rate
GM	$\mathcal{O}(n)$	$\mathcal{O}(n)$	Linear
Quasi-Newton	$\mathcal{O}(n^2)$	$\mathcal{O}(n^2)$	Super Linear
Newton	$\mathcal{O}(n^3)$	$\mathcal{O}(n^2)$	Quadratic

 $\rightarrow$  For the GM, we assume that we don't need to compute the gradient at each iteration.

# **TODO**

We can generalise the property of a L-Lipschitz function to  $f \in \mathcal{C}^p_L(\mathbb{R}^n)$ . For p = 1, we had

$$f(y) \le f(x_k) + \langle \nabla f(x_k), y - x_k \rangle + \frac{L}{2} ||y - x_k||^2 \qquad \forall y \in \mathbb{R}^n$$
 (2.1)

For a general value of *p*, it becomes

$$f(y) \le T_p(y; x_k) + \frac{L}{(p+1)!} ||y - x_k||^{p+1} \forall y \in \mathbb{R}^n$$
 (2.2)

Using this, we need a *p*-th order oracle for the method to work.

To solve  $\min_{x \in \mathbb{R}^n} f(x)$ , we can use the iteration

$$x_{k+1} = \arg\min_{y \in \mathbb{R}^n} T_p(y; x_k) + \frac{M}{(p+1)!} ||y - x_k||^{p+1}$$
(2.3)

where the constant M is an approximation of the Lipschitz constant L. Assuming  $f \in \mathcal{C}_L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$ , we have

$$f(x_{k+1}) \leq T_{p}(x_{k+1}; x_{k}) + \frac{L}{(p+1)!} \|x_{k+1} - x_{k}\|^{p+1}$$

$$= \underbrace{T_{p}(x_{k+1}; x_{k}) + \frac{M}{(p+1)!} \|x_{k+1} - x_{k}\|^{p+1}}_{\leq f(x_{k})} + \underbrace{\frac{(L-M)}{(p+1)!} \|x_{k+1} - x_{k}\|^{p+1}}_{\leq f(x_{k})}$$
(2.4)

where the inequality  $\leq f(x_k)$  is due to the decrease of f and equation (2.3). Suppose that M > 2L. After some algebraic manipulations, we get

$$f(x_k) - f(x_{k+1}) \ge \frac{L}{(p+1)!} ||x_{k+1} - x_k||^{p+1}$$
(2.5)

On the other hand, using the triangular inequality,

$$\|\nabla f(x_{k+1})\| \leq \|\nabla f(x_{k+1}) - \nabla_y T_p(x_{k+1}; x_k)\|$$

$$+ \underbrace{\left\|\nabla_y T_p(x_{k+1}; x_k) + \nabla \left(\frac{M}{(p+1)!} \| \cdot - x_k \|^{p+1}\right)\right\|_{y=x_{k+1}}}_{=0}$$

$$+ \underbrace{\left\|\nabla \left(\frac{M}{(p+1)!} \| \cdot - x_k \|^{p+1}\right)\right\|_{y=x_{k+1}}}_{\leq \frac{L}{p!}} \|x_{k+1} - x_k \|^{p}$$

$$(2.6)$$

$$\Longrightarrow \|x_{k+1} - x_k\| \ge \left(\frac{p!}{L+M}\right)^{1/p} \|\nabla f(x_{k+1})\|^{1/p} \tag{2.7}$$

Combining equations (2.5) and (2.7),

$$f(x_k) - f(x_{k+1}) \ge \underbrace{\frac{L}{(p+1)!} \left(\frac{p!}{L+M}\right)^{\frac{p+1}{p}}}_{-:C(L)} \|\nabla f(x_{k+1})\|^{\frac{p+1}{p}}$$
(2.8)

Let  $T(\varepsilon) = \inf\{k \in \mathbb{N} : \|\nabla f(x_k)\| \le \varepsilon\}$ . Assume that  $T(\varepsilon) \ge 2$  and  $f(x) \ge f_{low}$   $\forall x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ . Summing up (2.8) for  $k = 0, \ldots, T(\varepsilon) - 2$ ,

$$f(x_{0}) - f_{low} \ge f(x_{0}) - f(x_{T(\varepsilon)-1}) = \sum_{k=0}^{T(\varepsilon)-2} f(x_{k}) - f(x_{k+1})$$

$$\ge (T(\varepsilon) - 1)C(L)\varepsilon^{\frac{p+1}{p}}$$

$$\Longrightarrow T(\varepsilon) \le 1 + \frac{f(x_{0}) - f_{low}}{C(L)}\varepsilon^{-\frac{p+1}{p}} \equiv \mathcal{O}\left(\varepsilon^{-\frac{p+1}{p}}\right)$$
(2.9)

# Gradient descent without gradient

For this problem, consider an adversarial attack on block-based image classifier. We have a machine learning model that given an image  $a \in \mathbb{R}^p$  it returns  $c(a) \in \mathbb{R}^m$ , where  $c_j(a) \in [0,1]$  is the probability of image a to be in class j. The classifier prediction is:  $j(a) = \arg\max_{j \in [1,...,m]} c_j(a)$ .

TODO - Add mise en situation ou pas?

Given  $x_k$ , let us decide:

$$x_{k+1} = x_k - \frac{1}{\sigma} g_{h_k}(x_k)$$
  $h_k > 0, \, \sigma > 0$  (3.1)

where  $g_{h_k}(x_k) \in \mathbb{R}^n$  is given by:

$$[g_{h_k}(x_k)]_j = \frac{f(x_k + he_j) - f(x_k)}{h_k} \quad \forall j \in [1, \dots, m]$$
 (3.2)

Suppose that  $f \in \mathcal{C}^1_L(\mathbb{R}^n)$ . Then,

$$\|\nabla f(x_k) - g_{h_k}(x_k)\| \le \frac{L\sqrt{n}}{2}h_k$$
 (3.3)

Thus

$$f(x_{k+1}) \leq f(x_k) + \langle \nabla f(x_k), x_{k+1} - x_k \rangle + \frac{L}{2} \|x_{k+1} - x_k\|^2$$

$$= f(x_k) + \langle g_{h_k}(x_k), x_{k+1} - x_k \rangle + \frac{\sigma}{2} \|x_{k+1} - x_k\|^2$$

$$+ \langle \nabla f(x_k) - g_{h_k}(x_k), x_{k+1} - x_k \rangle + \frac{(L - \sigma)}{2} \|x_{k+1} - x_k\|^2$$

$$\leq f(x_k) - \frac{1}{\sigma} \|g_{h_k}(x_k)\|^2 + \frac{1}{2\sigma} \|g_{h_k}(x_k)\|^2$$

$$+ \|\nabla f(x_k) - g_{h_k}(x_k)\| \frac{1}{\sigma} \|g_{h_k}(x_k)\| + \frac{(L - \sigma)}{2\sigma^2} \|g_{h_k}\|^2$$

$$\leq f(x_k) - \frac{1}{2\sigma} \|g_{h_k}(x_k)\|^2 + \frac{L\sqrt{n}}{2} h_k \frac{1}{\sigma} \|g_{h_k}\| + \frac{(L - \sigma)}{2\sigma^2} \|g_{h_k}\|^2$$

$$\leq f(x_k) - \frac{1}{2\sigma} \|g_{h_k}(x_k)\|^2 + \frac{L}{2} \left( \frac{nh_k^2}{2} + \frac{1}{2\sigma} \|g_{h_k}(x_k)\|^2 \right) + \frac{(L - \sigma)}{2\sigma^2} \|g_{h_k}\|^2$$

$$= f(x_k) - \left( \frac{2\sigma - L - 2(L - \sigma)}{4\sigma^2} \right) \|g_{h_k}(x_k)\|^2 + \frac{Ln}{4} h_k^2$$

$$= f(x_k) - \frac{(4\sigma - 3L)}{4\sigma} \|g_{h_k}(x_k)\|^2 + \frac{Ln}{4} h_k^2$$

$$(3.4)$$

$$\implies \frac{(4\sigma - 3L)}{4\sigma} \|g_{h_k}(x_k)\|^2 \le f(x_k) - f(x_{k+1}) + \frac{Ln}{4} h_k^2$$
 (3.5)

If  $\sigma \gg L$ , then

$$\frac{1}{4\sigma} \|g_{h_k}(x_k)\|^2 \le f(x_k) - f(x_{k+1}) + \frac{\sigma n}{4} h_k^2$$
(3.6)

On the other hand, we have

$$\|\nabla f(x_k)\| \le \|\nabla f(x_k) - g_{h_k}(x_k)\| + \|g_{h_k}(x_k)\|$$

$$\le \frac{L\sqrt{n}}{2}h_k + \|g_{h_k}(x_k)\|$$
(3.7)

Using trick (8.3) in chapter 8,

$$\Longrightarrow \|\nabla f(x_k)\|^2 \le \frac{L^2 n}{2} h_k^2 + 2\|g_{h_k}(x_k)\|^2 \tag{3.8}$$

$$\Longrightarrow \frac{1}{8\sigma} \|\nabla f(x_k)\|^2 \le \frac{L^2 n}{16\sigma} h_k^2 + \frac{1}{4\sigma} \|g_{h_k}(x_k)\|^2$$
 (3.9)

$$\Longrightarrow \frac{1}{8\sigma} \|\nabla f(x_k)\|^2 \le f(x_k) - f(x_{k+1}) + \frac{\sigma n}{4} h_k^2 + \frac{\sigma n}{16} h_k^2$$
 (3.10)

Let  $T(\varepsilon) = \inf\{k \in \mathbb{N} : \|\nabla f(x_k)\| \le \varepsilon\}$ , with f(x) bounded from below by  $f_{low}$ . Summing up (3.10) for  $k = 0, \ldots, T(\varepsilon) - 1$ :

$$\frac{T(\varepsilon)}{8\sigma}\varepsilon^2 \le f(x_0) - f_{low} + \frac{5\sigma n}{16} \sum_{k=0}^{T(\varepsilon)-1} h_k^2 \tag{3.11}$$

If  $\{h_k^2\}_{k\geq 0}$  is summable

$$\Longrightarrow T(\varepsilon) \le 8\sigma \left( f(x_0) - f_{low} + \frac{5\sigma n}{16} \sum_{k=0}^{T(\varepsilon) - 1} h_k^2 \right) \varepsilon^2 = \mathcal{O}(\varepsilon^2)$$
 (3.12)

In terms of call to the oracle, we have a complexity bound of  $\mathcal{O}(n\varepsilon^2)$ .

# Local rates of convergence

### 4.1 Linear rate of GM

Let  $f \in \mathcal{C}^{2,2}_M(\mathbb{R}^n)$ . Assume f has a local minimizer  $x^*$  such that

$$\mu I_n \preceq \nabla^2 f(x^*) \preceq M I_n \tag{4.1}$$

Let  $x_{k+1} = x_k - \frac{1}{L}\nabla f(x_k)$  for a given  $x_0 \in \mathbb{R}^n$ .

Notice that

$$\nabla f(x_k) = \nabla f(x_k) - \nabla f(x^*)$$

$$= \int_0^1 \nabla^2 f(x^* + \tau(x_k - x^*))(x_k - x^*) d\tau$$

$$= \int_0^1 \nabla^2 f(x^* + \tau(x_k - x^*)) d\tau(x_k - x^*)$$

$$= G_k(x_k - x^*)$$
(4.2)

Then,

$$||x_{k+1} - x^*|| = ||x_k - \frac{1}{L} \nabla f(x_k) - x^*||$$

$$= ||(I_n - \frac{1}{L} G_k)(x_k - x^*)||$$

$$\leq ||I_n - \frac{1}{L} G_k|| ||x_k - x^*||$$
(4.3)

Since  $f \in C_M^{2,2}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ , we have  $\|\nabla^2 f(x^* + \tau(x_k - x^*)) - \nabla^2 f(x^*)\| \le \tau M \|x_k - x^*\|$  and using this we get:

$$|\langle \nabla^2 f(x^* + \tau(x_k - x^*)) - \nabla^2 f(x^*)v, v \rangle| \le \tau M \|x_k - x^*\| \|v\|^2 \quad \forall v \in \mathbb{R}^n$$
 (4.4)

Using the bound (4.1) and the previous inequality, we get:

$$-\tau M \|x_k - x^*\| \|v\|^2 \le \left\langle \left( \nabla^2 f(x^* + \tau(x_k - x^*)) - \nabla^2 f(x^*) \right) v, v \right\rangle \le \tau M \|x_k - x^*\| \|v\|^2$$

$$\nabla^2 f(x^*) - \tau M \|x_k - x^*\| I_n \le \nabla^2 f(x^* + \tau(x_k - x^*)) \le \nabla^2 f(x^*) + \tau M \|x_k - x^*\| I_n$$

$$(\mu - \tau M \|x_k - x^*\|) I_n \le \nabla^2 f(x^* + \tau(x_k - x^*)) \le (L + \tau M \|x_k - x^*\|) I_n$$

By the properties of the semi-definite matrices, and the trick (8.4), we have:

$$\int_{0}^{1} (\mu - \tau M \|x_{k} - x^{*}\|) \|v\|^{2} d\tau \leq \int_{0}^{1} \langle \nabla^{2} f(x^{*} + \tau (x_{k} - x^{*})) v, v \rangle d\tau 
\leq \int_{0}^{1} (L + \tau M \|x_{k} - x^{*}\|) \|v\|^{2} d\tau \quad \forall v \in \mathbb{R}^{n}$$
(4.5)

By using  $G_k$  and some constants, we get:

$$-\frac{1}{L}(L + \frac{M}{2}||x_k - x^*||)I_n \le -\frac{1}{L}G_k \le -\frac{1}{L}(\mu - \frac{M}{2}||x_k - x^*||)I_n$$
 (4.6)

$$\left(1 - \frac{1}{L}(L + \frac{M}{2}||x_k - x^*||)\right)I_n \leq I_n - \frac{1}{L}G_k \leq \left(1 - \frac{1}{L}(\mu - \frac{M}{2}||x_k - x^*||)\right)I_n \tag{4.7}$$

And finally,

$$||I_{n} - \frac{1}{L}G_{k}|| \leq \max\left\{\left|1 - \frac{1}{L}(L + \frac{M}{2}||x_{k} - x^{*}||)\right|, \left|1 - \frac{1}{L}(\mu - \frac{M}{2}||x_{k} - x^{*}||)\right|\right\}$$

$$= \max\left\{\frac{M}{2L}||x_{k} - x^{*}||, 1 - \frac{\mu}{L} + \frac{M}{2L}||x_{k} - x^{*}||\right\}$$

$$= 1 - \frac{\mu}{L} + \frac{M}{2L}||x_{k} - x^{*}||$$

$$(4.8)$$

Suppose that  $\frac{M}{2L} \|x_k - x^*\| \le \frac{\mu}{2L} \iff \|x_k - x^*\| \le \frac{\mu}{M}$  Then, in (4.8), we get:

$$||I_n - \frac{1}{L}G_k|| \le 1 - \frac{\mu}{2L} < 1 \tag{4.9}$$

And so, by (4.2)

$$||x_{k+1} - x^*|| \le ||I_n - \frac{1}{L}G_k|| ||x_k - x^*|| < ||x_k - x^*||$$
 (4.10)

If  $||x_0 - x^*|| < \frac{\mu}{M}$ , it follows from the previous reasoning that:

$$||x_2 - x^*|| \le (1 - \frac{\mu}{2L})||x_1 - x^*|| \le (1 - \frac{\mu}{2L})^2 ||x_0 - x^*|| \le \frac{\mu}{M}$$
 (4.11)

And so by induction, we can conclude that:

$$||x_k - x^*|| \le \left(1 - \frac{\mu}{2L}\right)^k ||x_0 - x^*|| \quad \forall k \ge 0$$
 (4.12)

 $\Rightarrow$  Linear rate of convergence

Given  $\varepsilon > 0$ , let  $T(\varepsilon) = \inf\{k \in \mathbb{N} : ||x_k - x^*|| \le \varepsilon\}$ . Then, if  $T(\varepsilon) \ge 1$  and using (4.12), we get:

$$\varepsilon < \|x_{T(\varepsilon)-1} - x^*\| \le \left(1 - \frac{\mu}{2L}\right)^{T(\varepsilon)-1} \|x_0 - x^*\|$$

$$\log\left(\frac{\varepsilon}{\|x_0 - x^*\|}\right) \le (T(\varepsilon) - 1)\log\left(1 - \frac{\mu}{2L}\right)$$

$$T(\varepsilon) - 1 \le \frac{\log\left(\frac{\varepsilon}{\|x_0 - x^*\|}\right)}{\log\left(1 - \frac{\mu}{2L}\right)} = \frac{\log\left(\|x_0 - x^*\|\varepsilon^{-1}\right)}{|\log\left(1 - \frac{\mu}{2L}\right)|}$$

$$T(\varepsilon) \le \mathcal{O}(\log(\varepsilon^{-1}))$$

$$T(\varepsilon) \le \mathcal{O}(\log(\varepsilon^{-1}))$$

→ Note: convexity was never assumed!

# 4.2 Local quadratic convergence of Newton's method

Let  $f \in \mathcal{C}^{2,2}_M(\mathbb{R}^n)$ . Assume f has a local minimizer  $x^*$  such that

$$\mu I_n \preceq \nabla^2 f(x^*) \quad \mu > 0 \tag{4.14}$$

Given  $x_0 \in \mathbb{R}^n$ , let:

$$x_{k+1} = x_k - \nabla^{-2} f(x_k) \nabla f(x_k)$$
(4.15)

We have, by the previous equation and the definition of  $G_k$  (4.2):

$$||x_{k+1} - x^*|| = ||x_k - \nabla^{-2} f(x_k) \nabla f(x_k) - x^*||$$

$$= ||(x_k - x^*) - \nabla^{-2} f(x_k) G_k(x_k - x^*)||$$

$$= ||\nabla^{-2} f(x_k) \left(\nabla^2 f(x_k) - \int_0^1 \nabla^2 f(x^* + \tau(x_k - x^*)) d\tau\right) (x_k - x^*)||$$

$$= ||\nabla^{-2} f(x_k) \left(\int_0^1 \nabla^2 f(x_k) - \nabla^2 f(x^* + \tau(x_k - x^*)) d\tau\right) (x_k - x^*)||$$

$$\leq ||\nabla^{-2} f(x_k)|| \left(\int_0^1 ||\nabla^2 f(x_k) - \nabla^2 f(x^* + \tau(x_k - x^*))|| d\tau\right) ||x_k - x^*||$$

$$\leq ||\nabla^{-2} f(x_k)|| \left(\int_0^1 M(1 - \tau) ||x_k - x^*|| d\tau\right) ||x_k - x^*||$$

$$\leq ||\nabla^{-2} f(x_k)|| ||x_k - x^*||^2 \frac{M}{2}$$

$$(4.16)$$

Since  $f \in \mathcal{C}^{2,2}_M(\mathbb{R}^n)$ , we have

$$\nabla^2 f(x^* + \tau(x_k - x^*)) - \nabla^2 f(x^*) \succeq \tau M \|x_k - x^*\| I_n$$
(4.17)

$$\nabla^{2} f(x_{k}) \succeq \nabla^{2} f(x^{*}) - M \|x_{k} - x^{*}\| I_{n}$$

$$\succeq (\mu - M \|x_{k} - x^{*}\|) I_{n}$$

$$\lambda_{\min}(\nabla^{2} f(x_{k})) \geq \mu - M \|x_{k} - x^{*}\|$$
(4.18)

Suppose that  $-M||x_k - x^*|| \ge -\frac{\mu}{2} \Leftrightarrow ||x_k - x^*|| \le \frac{\mu}{2M}$ . Then,

$$\lambda_{\min}(\nabla^{2} f(x_{k})) \geq \frac{\mu}{2}$$

$$\lambda_{\max}(\nabla^{-2} f(x_{k})) \leq \frac{2}{\mu}$$

$$\Rightarrow \|\nabla^{-2} f(x_{k})\| \leq \frac{2}{\mu}$$
(4.19)

Therefore, by (4.16), we conclude that:

$$||x_{k+1} - x^*|| \le \frac{M}{2} ||\nabla^{-2} f(x_k)|| ||x_k - x^*||$$

$$\le \frac{M}{\mu} ||x_k - x^*||^2$$
(4.20)

If  $||x_k - x^*|| \leq \frac{\mu}{2M}$  then,

$$||x_{k+1} - x^*|| \le \frac{M}{\mu} ||x_k - x^*||^2 = \frac{1}{2} ||x_k - x^*||$$
 (4.21)

If  $||x_0 - x^*|| \le \frac{\mu}{2M}$  then  $\{x_k\}_{k \ge 0} \subset B[x^*, \frac{\mu}{2M}]$ .

Denote  $\delta_k = \frac{M}{\mu} \|x_k - x^*\|$ , then we have  $\delta_0 = \frac{M}{\mu} \|x_0 - x^*\| \le \frac{1}{2}$ , and if we combine this with (4.21), we get:

$$\delta_{k+1} \le \delta_k^2 \quad \forall k \ge 0 \tag{4.22}$$

And if we proceed by recurrence, we get:

$$\delta_{1} \leq \delta_{0}^{2} \leq \left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^{2}$$

$$\delta_{2} \leq \delta_{1}^{2} \leq \left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^{4}$$

$$\vdots$$

$$\delta_{k} \leq \left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^{2^{k}} \quad \forall k > 0$$

$$(4.23)$$

$$\delta_k \le \left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^{2^k} \quad \forall k \ge 0$$

$$\Rightarrow \|x_k - x^*\| \le \frac{\mu}{M} \left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^{2^k} \tag{4.24}$$

Let  $T(\varepsilon) = \inf\{k \in \mathbb{N} : ||x_k - x^*|| \le \varepsilon\}$  and suppose that  $T(\varepsilon) \ge 1$ . Then using the convergence rate (4.24), we can state the maximal number of iterations:

$$\varepsilon \le \|x_{T(\varepsilon)-1} - x^*\| \le \frac{\mu}{M} \left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^{2^{T(\varepsilon)-1}} \tag{4.25}$$

$$2^{2^{T(\varepsilon)-1}} \le \frac{\mu}{M} \varepsilon^{-1} \tag{4.26}$$

$$\Rightarrow T(\varepsilon) \leq \log_2(\log_2(\frac{\mu}{M}\varepsilon^{-1}))$$

#### **Quasi Newton methods** 4.3

#### 4.3.1 **SR1** Update

One step of a Quasi-Newton method is given by:

$$x_{k+1} = x_k - B_k \nabla f(x_k) \tag{4.27}$$

With  $B_k \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$ , symmetric and non-singular.

Suppose that  $x_k \to x^*$  when  $k \to \infty$ , and that  $\nabla^2 f(x_k) \succeq \mu I_n$  with  $\mu \ge 0$ .

We want the condition on  $B_k$  to have a Super Linear convergence (1.7) of the Quasi-Newton method. So let us assume that  $f \in \mathcal{C}^{2,2}_M(\mathbb{R}^n)$ . Then,

$$\|\nabla^2 f(x_{k+1} - \nabla^2 f(x_k))\| \le M\|x_{k+1} - x_k\| \tag{4.28}$$

#### GOOD LABEL?

$$\|\nabla f(x_{k+1} - \nabla f(x_k) - \nabla^2 f(x_k)(x_{k+1} - x_k))\| \le \frac{M}{2} \|x_{k+1} - x_k\|^2$$
 (4.29)

Therefore

$$\nabla f(x_{k+1}) = \nabla f(x_{k+1}) - \nabla f(x_k) - \nabla^2 f(x_k)(x_{k+1} - x_k) + \nabla f(x_k) + \nabla^2 f(x_k)(x_{k+1} - x_k)$$
(4.30)

Using the relation (4.27) we get:

$$\nabla f(x_{k+1}) = \nabla f(x_{k+1}) \qquad -\nabla f(x_k) - \nabla^2 f(x_k)(x_{k+1} - x_k) \\ -B_k^{-1}(x_{k+1} - x_k) \\ + \nabla^2 f(x_k)(x_{k+1} - x_k) \\ -\nabla f(x_k) - \nabla^2 f(x_k)(x_{k+1} - x_k) \\ -\left(B_k^{-1} - \nabla^2 f(x^*)\right)(x_{k+1} - x_k) \\ +\left(\nabla^2 f(x_k) - \nabla^2 f(x^*)\right)(x_{k+1} - x_k) \\ -\nabla f(x_k) - \nabla^2 f(x_k)(x_{k+1} - x_k) \\ + \|\left(B_k^{-1} - \nabla^2 f(x^*)\right)(x_{k+1} - x_k)\| \\ + \|\left(\nabla^2 f(x_k) - \nabla^2 f(x^*)\right)\|\|(x_{k+1} - x_k)\| \\ \leq \frac{M}{2} \|x_{k+1} - x_k\|^2 + M\|x_k - x^*\|\|x_{k+1} - x_k\| \\ + \|\left(B_k^{-1} - \nabla^2 f(x_k)\right)(x_{k+1} - x_k)\|$$

On the line before we used (4.28) and (4.29). And so we can write:

$$\frac{\|\nabla f(x_{k+1})\|}{\|x_{k+1} - x_k\|} \le \frac{M}{2} \|x_{k+1} - x_k\| + M \|x_k - x^*\| + \frac{\|\left(B_k^{-1} - \nabla^2 f(x_k)\right) (x_{k+1} - x_k)\|}{\|x_{k+1} - x_k\|}$$

$$(4.32)$$

From now on , suppose that this condition (Dimis-Mori condition) is true:

$$\lim_{k \to \infty} \frac{\| \left( B_k^{-1} - \nabla^2 f(x_k) \right) (x_{k+1} - x_k) \|}{\| x_{k+1} - x_k \|} = 0 \tag{4.33}$$

Under this condition and by (4.32), we have:

$$\lim_{k \to \infty} \frac{\|\nabla f(x_{k+1})\|}{\|x_{k+1} - x_k\|} = 0 \tag{4.34}$$

As  $||x_{k+1} - x_k|| \to 0$ , we conclude that  $\lim_{x \to \infty} ||\nabla f(x_{k+1})|| = 0$  and so  $||\nabla f(x^*)|| = 0 \Rightarrow \nabla f(x^*) = 0$ , meaning that  $x^*$  is a stationary point of  $f(\cdot)$ . We have  $\nabla^2 f(x^*) \succeq \mu I_n$  and given  $y \in \mathbb{R}^n$ , we have:

$$\nabla^{2} f(y) - \nabla^{2} f(x^{*}) \succeq -M \|y - x^{*}\| I_{n}$$

$$\nabla^{2} f(y) \succeq (\mu - M \|y - x^{*}\|) I_{n}$$
(4.35)

Thus, if  $-M||y-x^*|| \ge -\frac{\mu}{2}$  then  $\nabla^2 f(y) \succeq \frac{\mu}{2} I_n$ .

Since  $x_k \to x^*$ , there exists  $k_0 \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $||x_{k+1} * x^*|| \le \frac{\mu}{2M} \ \forall k \ge k_0$ . Thus for any  $\tau \in [0,1]$ :

$$||x^* + \tau(x_{k+1} - x^*) - x^*|| \le \frac{\mu}{2M}, \quad \forall k \ge k_0$$
 (4.36)

and so  $\nabla^2 f(x^* + \tau(x_{k+1} - x^*)) \succeq \frac{\mu}{2} I_n \ \forall k \geq k_0$ .

$$||x_{k+1} - x^*|| ||\nabla f(x_{k+1})|| \ge (x_{k+1} - x^*)^T \nabla f(x_{k+1})$$

$$= (x_{k+1} - x^*)^T (\nabla f(x_{k+1}) - \nabla f(x^*))$$

$$= (x_{k+1} - x^*)^T \int_0^1 \nabla^2 f(x^* + \tau(x_{k+1} - x^*))(x_{k+1} - x^*) d\tau$$

$$\ge \int_0^1 (x_{k+1} - x^*)^T \frac{\mu}{2} I_n(x_{k+1} - x^*) d\tau$$

$$= \frac{\mu}{2} ||x_{k+1} - x^*||^2$$

$$(4.37)$$

$$\|\nabla f(x_{k+1})\| \ge \frac{\mu}{2} \|x_{k+1} - x^*\| \tag{4.38}$$

Let  $\rho_k = \frac{\|x_{k+1} - x^*\|}{\|x_k - x^*\|}$  then, using (8.5), we obtain:

$$\frac{\|\nabla f(x_{k+1})\|}{\|x_{k+1} - x_k\|} \ge \frac{(\frac{\mu}{2})\|x_{k+1} - x^*\|}{\|x_{k+1} - x_k\|}$$

$$\ge \frac{(\frac{\mu}{2})\|x_{k+1} - x^*\|}{\|x_{k+1} - x^*\| + \|x_k - x^*\|}$$

$$= \frac{(\frac{\mu}{2})\rho_k}{\rho_k + 1}$$
(4.39)

Combining (4.39) and (4.32), we get:

$$\frac{\mu}{2} \frac{\rho_k}{\rho_k + 1} \le \frac{M}{2} \|x_{k+1} - x_k\| + M \|x_k - x^*\| + \frac{\|\left(B_k^{-1} - \nabla^2 f(x^*)\right) (x_{k+1} - x_k)\|}{\|x_{k+1} - x_k\|} \tag{4.40}$$

Since the right hand side goes to zero when  $k \to +\infty$ , then we have: IDK how to write that

$$\lim_{k \to \infty} \frac{\rho_k}{1 + \rho_k} = 0$$

$$\lim_{k \to \infty} \frac{1}{\frac{1}{\rho_k} + 1} = 0$$

$$\Rightarrow \lim_{k \to \infty} \frac{\|x_{k+1} - x^*\|}{\|x_k - x^*\|} \Rightarrow \lim_{k \to \infty} \rho_k = 0$$

$$(4.41)$$

For n = 1, the Quasi-Newton update is written:

$$x_{k+1} = x_k - b_k f'(x_k), \quad k \ge 0$$
 (4.42)

with  $b_k \in \mathbb{R}$ . We want  $b_k \approx f''(x_k)^{-1}$  and by finite difference we can express it like that  $b_k^{-1} \approx \frac{f'(x_{k-1}+h)-f'(x_{k-1})}{h}$ . And with  $h=x_h-x_{k-1}$ , we can define:

$$b_k^{-1} = \frac{f'(x_k) - f'(x_{k-1})}{x_k - x_{k-1}}$$
(4.43)

Thus if  $x_k \to x^*$  then:

$$\lim_{k \to \infty} \frac{|(b_k^{-1} - f''(x^*))(x_k - x_{k-1})|}{|x_k - x_{k-1}|} = 0$$
(4.44)

Because we can notice that:

$$\frac{|(b_k^{-1} - f''(x^*))(x_k - x_{k-1})|}{|x_k - x_{k-1}|} = |b_k^{-1} - f''(x_{k-1})| + |f''(x_{k-1}) - f''(x^*)| \tag{4.45}$$

Since  $x_k \to x^*$ , we have  $h = x_k - x_{k-1}$  and so:

$$b_k^{-1} = \frac{f'(x_k) - f'(x_{k-1})}{x_k - x_{k-1}} \to f''(x_{k-1})$$
(4.46)

Thus,  $\lim_{k\to\infty}|b_k^{-1}-f''(x_k)|=0$ . Assuming that f'' is continuous, we have  $\lim_{k\to\infty}|f''(x_k)-f''(x^*)|=0$ . If we define  $s_{k-1}=x_k-x_{k-1}$  and  $y_{k-1}=f'(x_k)-f'(x_{k-1})$  and knowing (4.43), we can write:

$$b_k(f'(x_k) - f'(x_{k-1})) = x_k - x_{k-1}$$

$$b_k y_{k-1} = s_{k-1}$$
(4.47)

This suggests that for n > 1, we should define the secant condition,  $B_k$  such that:

$$B_k y_{k-1} = s_{k-1} (4.48)$$

Let us define  $f(x) = \frac{1}{2} ||Ax - b||^2 = \frac{1}{2} x^T A^T A x - (A^T b)^T x + \frac{1}{2} b^T b$ . If A is full rank then f is a strongly convex quadratic function. And we have  $\nabla f(x_k) = A^T A x_k - A^T b$ . Then,

$$y_{k-1} = \nabla f(x_k) - \nabla f(x_{k-1}) = A^T A(x_k - x_{k-1}) = \nabla^2 f(x_k) s_{k-1}$$
 (4.49)

And so

$$\nabla^2 f(x_k) y_{k-1} = s_{k-1} \tag{4.50}$$

Therefore,  $\nabla^{-2} f$  satisfies the secant condition (4.48), when f is a strongly convex quadratic function. Thus it is reasonnable to require the secant for any approximation to  $\nabla^{-2} f(x_k)$ .

Now, how can we compute  $B_k$  such that it satisfies the secant condition (4.48)? Given a matrix  $B_{k-1}$ , our goal is to find a perturbation matrix  $P_{k-1} \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$  such that:

$$(B_{k-1} + P_{k-1}) y_{k-1} = s_{k-1} (4.51)$$

If we get such  $P_{k-1}$ , we can define  $B_k = B_{k-1} + P_{k-1}$ , which would satisfy the secant condition (4.48).

For that we need at least *n* degrees of freedom and a symmetric matrix, so it is natural to try:

$$P_{k-1} = v_{k-1}v_{k-1}^T, \quad v_{k-1} \in \mathbb{R}^n \tag{4.52}$$

So we get:

$$(B_{k-1} + v_{k-1}v_{k-1}^T)y_{k-1} = s_{k-1}$$
(4.53)

By algebraic manipulations, we get:

$$\left(v_{k-1}^{T} y_{k-1}\right) v_{k-1} = s_{k-1} - B_{k-1} y_{k-1} 
v_{k-1} = \frac{s_{k-1} - B_{k-1} y_{k-1}}{\beta} \quad \text{for } \beta = v_{k-1}^{T} y_{k-1}$$
(4.54)

Combining the two previous equations, we get:

$$\left(\frac{1}{\beta}\left(s_{k-1} - B_{k-1}y_{k-1}\right)^{T}y_{k-1}\right)\frac{1}{\beta}\left(s_{k-1} - B_{k-1}y_{k-1}\right) = s_{k-1} - B_{k-1}y_{k-1} 
\frac{1}{\beta^{2}}\left(s_{k-1} - B_{k-1}y_{k-1}\right)^{T}y_{k-1} = 1$$
(4.55)

We can isolate  $\beta$ :

$$\beta = \sqrt{(s_{k-1} - B_{k-1} y_{k-1})^T y_{k-1}} \tag{4.56}$$

Combining (4.54) and (4.56), we get:

$$v_{k-1} = \frac{s_{k-1} - B_{k-1} y_{k-1}}{\sqrt{(s_{k-1} - B_{k-1} y_{k-1})^T y_{k-1}}}$$
(4.57)

This leads us to the following update for  $B_k$ :

$$B_{k} = B_{k-1} + v_{k-1}v_{k-1}^{T}$$

$$= B_{k-1} + \frac{(s_{k-1} - B_{k-1}y_{k-1})(s_{k-1} - B_{k-1}y_{k-1})^{T}}{(s_{k-1} - B_{k-1}y_{k-1})^{T}y_{k-1}}$$
(4.58)

This is called the **SR1 update** (symmetric rank 1 update).

## 4.3.2 BFGS Update

Lets take back  $B_{k+1}y_k = s_k$  and defining  $H_{k+1} = B_{k+1}^{-1} \approx \nabla^2 f(x_{k+1})$ , we get  $H_{k+1}s_k = y_k$ .

The idea is to find a rank 2 update that consists in finding  $a, b \in \mathbb{R}$  and  $v, u \in \mathbb{R}^n$  such that:

$$\left(H_k + auu^T + bvv^T\right)s_k = y_k \tag{4.59}$$

Noticing that  $u^T s_k$  and  $v^T s_k$  are scalars, we can impose that:

$$\begin{cases} a(u^T s_k)u = -H_k s_k \\ b(v^T s_k)v = y_k \end{cases}$$

$$(4.60)$$

It suggests that we should take  $a = \frac{1}{u^T s_k}$  and  $b = \frac{1}{v^T s_k}$ . Which gives us:

$$\begin{cases} u = -H_k s_k \\ v = y_k \end{cases} \tag{4.61}$$

Combining the two equations, we get:

$$H_{k+1} = H_k - \frac{H_k s_k s_k^T H_k}{s_k^T H_k s_k} + \frac{y_k y_k^T}{y_k^T s_k}$$
(4.62)

Using linear algebra, we can compute:

$$B_{k+1} = H_{k+1}^{-1}$$

$$= \left(I - \rho_k s_k y_k^T\right) B_k \left(I - \rho_k y_k s_k^T\right) + \rho_k s_k s_k^T \text{ with } \rho_k = \frac{1}{y_k^T s_k}$$

#### **Remarks:**

- If  $B_k \succ 0$  and  $s_k^T y_k > 0$  then  $B_{k+1} \succ 0$ .
- If  $B_k > 0$  and  $d_k = -B_k \nabla f(x_k)$ , then

$$\langle \nabla f(x_k), d_k \rangle = -\langle \nabla f(x_k), B_k \nabla f(x_k) \rangle < 0 \tag{4.63}$$

and so  $d_k$  is a descent direction for f at  $x_k$ .

• The LBFGS is a low memory of BFGS, that does not require the storage of the matrices  $B_k$ . Given a vector  $v \in \mathbb{R}^n$ , it computes  $B_k v$ , which is all that we need to implement QN method.

# Constrained nonlinear programming problems

Consider the constrained problem

$$\min_{x \in \mathbb{R}^n} f(x) \quad \text{subject to} \quad c_i(x) = 0, \quad i \in \{1, \dots, m\}$$
 (5.1)

where  $f, c_i : \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}$  are  $C^1$  and there exists at least a  $\hat{x}$  such that  $c_i(\hat{x}) = 0$ .

A natural approach to solve this problem is to consider the related unconstrained problem in which we try to minimize f(x) plus a term that penalizes the violation of the constraints (quadratic penalty function).

$$\min_{x \in \mathbb{R}^n} Q_{\sigma}(x) \equiv f(x) + \frac{\sigma}{2} \|c(x)\|_2^2$$
(5.2)

For the problem (5.1), we would like to find a KKT point  $x^*$  for which there exists  $\lambda^* \in \mathbb{R}^m$  such that:

$$\begin{cases} \nabla f(x^*) - \sum_{i=1}^{m} \lambda_i^* \nabla c_i(x^*) = 0 & \text{(stationarity)} \\ c(x^*) = 0 & \text{(feasibility)} \end{cases}$$
(5.3)

In practice, we are happy if we can find an  $(\varepsilon_1, \varepsilon_2)$ -KKT point for (5.1), i.e. a point  $x^+$  such that there exists  $\lambda^+$  with:

$$\begin{cases} \|\nabla f(x^+) - \sum_{i=1}^m \lambda_i^+ \nabla c_i(x^+)\| \le \varepsilon_1 \\ \|c(x^+)\| \le \varepsilon_2 \end{cases}$$

$$(5.4)$$

Let us relate (5.2) and (5.1). Notice that  $^1$ :

$$\|\nabla Q_{\sigma}(x)\| = \|\nabla f(x) + \sigma \mathbf{J}_{c}(x)^{T} c(x)\|$$

$$= \|\nabla f(x) + \sigma \sum_{i=1}^{m} c_{i}(x) \nabla c_{i}(x)\|$$

$$= \|\nabla f(x) - \sum_{i=1}^{m} \lambda_{i}^{+} \nabla c_{i}(x)\| \quad \text{with} \quad \lambda_{i}^{+} = -\sigma c_{i}(x^{+})$$

$$(5.5)$$

 $<sup>^{1}</sup>$ *J*<sub>c</sub>(⋅) is the Jacobian of c(⋅).

Therefore, if  $\|\nabla Q_{\sigma}(x^{+})\| \leq \varepsilon_{1}$ , then there exists  $\lambda^{+} \in \mathbb{R}^{m}$ ,  $\lambda^{+} = -\sigma c(x^{+})$  such that  $\|\nabla f(x^{+}) - \sum_{i=1}^{m} \lambda_{i}^{+} \nabla c_{i}(x^{+})\| \leq \varepsilon_{1}$ .

Given  $\bar{x} \in \mathbb{R}^n$ , suppose that we compute  $x^+$  such that

$$\begin{aligned}
Q_{\sigma}(x^{+}) &\leq Q_{\sigma}(\bar{x}) \\
f(x^{+}) &+ \frac{\sigma}{2} \|c(x^{+})\|^{2} \leq f(\bar{x}) + \frac{\sigma}{2} \|c(\bar{x})\|^{2} \\
&\frac{\sigma}{2} \|c(x^{+})\|^{2} \leq f(\bar{x}) - f(x^{+}) + \frac{\sigma}{2} \|c(\bar{x})\|^{2} \\
&\|c(x^{+})\|^{2} \leq \frac{2}{\sigma} \left( f(\bar{x}) - f(x^{+}) \right) + \|c(\bar{x})\|^{2}
\end{aligned} (5.6)$$

If  $f(x) \geq f_{low} \quad \forall x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ , we get  $\|c(x^+)\|^2 \leq \frac{2}{\sigma}(f(\bar{x}) - f_{low}) + \|c(\bar{x})\|^2$ . If  $\|c(\bar{x})\| \leq \frac{\varepsilon_2}{\sqrt{2}}$  and  $\sigma \geq \frac{4}{\varepsilon_2^2}(f(\bar{x}) - f_{low})$ , then  $\|c(x^+)\|^2 \leq \varepsilon_2^2$  and so  $\|c(x^+)\| \leq \varepsilon_2$ .

In summary, if we have  $\bar{x} \in \mathbb{R}^n$  such that  $||c(\bar{x})|| \leq \frac{\varepsilon_2}{\sqrt{2}}$ , and using a method for unconstrained optimization (e.g. GM), we compute  $x^+$  with

$$Q_{\sigma}(x^{+}) \leq Q_{\sigma}(\bar{x}) \quad \text{and} \quad \|\nabla Q_{\sigma}(x^{+})\| \leq \varepsilon_{1}$$
 (5.7)

for  $\sigma \ge \frac{4}{\varepsilon_2^2}(f(\bar{x} - f_{low}))$ , then  $x^+$  is a  $(\varepsilon_1, \varepsilon_2)$ -KKT point for the unconstrained problem (5.1).

#### Algorithm 1 Quadratic Penalty Method

- 1: **Input:**  $\varepsilon_1, \varepsilon_2 \in (0,1), x_0 \in \mathbb{R}^n$  such that  $||c(x_0)||_2 \leq \frac{\varepsilon_2}{\sqrt{2}}, \sigma_0 > 0$
- 2: k = 0
- 3: **while**  $||c(x_{k+1})|| > \varepsilon_1$  **do**
- 4: Compute  $x_{k+1} \in \mathbb{R}^n$  as an approximate solution to

$$\min_{x \in \mathbb{R}^n} Q_{\sigma_k}(x)$$
such that  $Q_{\sigma_k}(x_{k+1}) \leq Q_{\sigma_k}(x_0)$ 
and  $\|\nabla Q_{\sigma_k}(x_{k+1})\| \leq \varepsilon_2$  (5.8)

- 5:  $\sigma_{k+1} \leftarrow 2\sigma_k$
- 6:  $k \leftarrow k + 1$
- 7: end while
- $\rightarrow$  Note: We can compute  $x_{k+1}$  satisfying (5.8) by using any monotone optimization method starting from:

$$x_k^* = \arg\min\{Q_{\sigma_k}(x_0), Q_{\sigma_k}(x_k)\}$$
(5.9)

• For a constrained problem of the form  $\min_{x \in \mathbb{R}^n} f(x)$  s.t.  $c_i \leq 0$  i = 0, ..., m, we can add slack variables to obtain an equivalent equality constrained problem:

$$\min_{x \in \mathbb{R}^n, s \in \mathbb{R}^m} f(x)$$
s.t.  $c_i(x) + s_i^2 = 0$   $i = 1, \dots, m$  (5.10)

# **Accelerated Gradient Method**

## 6.1 Derivation of the algorithm

$$\min_{\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^n} f(\mathbf{x}) \tag{6.1}$$

where  $f : \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}$  is convex,  $\nabla f$  is *L*-Lipschitz and has a minimizer  $x^*$ . The Accelerated Gradient Method combines present and past information to obtain a point  $y_k$  (prediction) and then perform a gradient step using this point as reference point.

$$\begin{cases} y_k = (1 - \gamma_k)x_k + \gamma_k v_k, & \gamma_k \in (0, 1) \\ x_{k+1} = x_k - \frac{1}{L} \nabla f(y_k) \end{cases}$$

$$(6.2)$$

We will identify ways to define  $v_k$  and  $\gamma_k$  based on the following guiding inequalities:

$$v_{k} = \arg\min_{x \in \mathbb{R}^{n}} \Psi_{k}(x)$$

$$\Psi_{k}(x) \leq A_{k} f(x) + \frac{1}{2} \|x - x_{0}\|^{2}$$

$$A_{k} f(x_{k}) \leq \min_{x \in \mathbb{R}^{n}} \Psi_{k}(x) \equiv \Psi_{k}^{*}, \quad A_{k} \geq 0$$

$$A_{k} > c(k-1)^{2} \quad \forall k > 2$$
(6.3)

Assuming the 3 last guiding inequalities (6.3) hold, we have:

$$A_{k}f(x_{k}) \leq \min_{x \in \mathbb{R}^{n}} \Psi_{k}(x)$$

$$\leq \Psi_{k}(x^{*})$$

$$\leq A_{k}f(x^{*}) + \frac{1}{2} \|x^{*} - x_{0}\|^{2}$$

$$(f(x_{k}) - f(x^{*})) \leq \frac{\|x_{k} - x^{*}\|^{2}}{2A_{k}} \quad \forall k \geq 2$$

$$\leq \frac{\|x_{k} - x^{*}\|^{2}}{2C(k-1)^{2}} = \mathcal{O}(k^{-2}) = \mathcal{O}(\epsilon^{-1/2}) \quad \forall k \geq 2$$
(6.4)

If we take  $A_0 = 0$  and  $\Psi_0(x) = \frac{1}{2}||x - x_0||^2$ , then the second inequality from (6.3) is true for k = 0. Let us assume the inequality is true for some  $k \ge 0$ . Looking at the case k = 1, it appears that we can define:

$$\Psi_{k+1}(x) = \Psi_k(x) + b_k \left( f(y_k) + \langle \nabla f(y_k), x - y_k \rangle \right) \tag{6.5}$$

with  $b_k > 0$  (to be determined).

Suppose that the inequality holds for  $k \ge 0$ . Then, by the convexity of f and doing an induction assumption:

$$\Psi_{k+1}(x) \leq \Psi_k(x) + b_k f(x) 
\leq A_k f(x) + \frac{1}{2} ||x - x_0||^2 + b_k f(x) 
= (A_k + b_k) f(x) + \frac{1}{2} ||x - x_0||^2$$
(6.6)

Therefore, if we define  $A_{k+1} = A_k + b_k$ , then the second inequality of (6.3) will also hold for k + 1. Regarding of the third inequality of (6.3), notice that:

$$A_0 f(x_0) = 0 = \min_{x \in \mathbb{R}^n} \frac{1}{2} ||x - x_0||^2$$

$$= \min_{x \in \mathbb{R}^n} \Psi_0(x)$$
(6.7)

It holds for k = 0, suppose that it still holds for  $k \ge 0$ . We want to show that it is also true for k + 1. Notice that:

$$\Psi_{1} = \frac{1}{2} \|x - x_{0}\|^{2} + b_{0} \left( f(y_{0}) + \langle \nabla f(y_{0}), x - y_{0} \rangle \right) 
\Psi_{2} = \frac{1}{2} \|x - x_{0}\|^{2} + \sum_{i=0}^{1} b_{0} \left( f(y_{i}) + \langle \nabla f(y_{i}), x - y_{i} \rangle \right) 
\vdots 
\Psi_{k} = \frac{1}{2} \|x - x_{0}\|^{2} + \sum_{i=0}^{k-1} b_{0} \left( f(y_{i}) + \langle \nabla f(y_{i}), x - y_{i} \rangle \right)$$
(6.8)

Thus,  $\Psi_k(x)$  is a  $\mu$ -strongly convex function with  $\mu = 1$ . Therefore:

$$\Psi_{k}(x) \geq \Psi_{k}(v_{k}) + \frac{1}{2} \|v_{k} - x_{0}\|^{2} 
= \min_{x \in \mathbb{R}^{n}} \Psi_{k}(x) + \frac{1}{2} \|v_{k} - x_{0}\|^{2} 
\geq A_{k} f(x_{k}) + \frac{1}{2} \|v_{k} - x_{0}\|^{2}$$
(6.9)

And so:

$$\min_{x} \Psi_{k+1}(x) = \min_{x} \Psi_{k} + b_{k} \left( f(y_{k}) + \langle \nabla, x - y_{k} \rangle \right) 
\geq \min_{x} A_{k} f(x_{k}) + \frac{1}{2} \| v_{k} - x_{0} \|^{2} + b_{k} \left( f(y_{k}) + \langle \nabla, x - y_{k} \rangle \right) 
\geq \min_{x} A_{k} \left( f(x_{k}) + \langle \nabla, x_{k} - y_{k} \rangle \right) + b_{k} \left( f(y_{k}) + \langle \nabla, x - y_{k} \rangle \right) 
\geq (A_{k} + b_{k}) f(y_{k}) + \langle \nabla f(y_{k}), A_{k} x_{k} + b_{k} x - A_{k+1} y_{k} \rangle + \frac{1}{2} \| v_{k} - x_{0} \|^{2} 
\geq (A_{k+1}) f(y_{k}) + \langle \nabla f(y_{k}), A_{k} x_{k} + b_{k} x - A_{k+1} y_{k} \rangle + \frac{1}{2} \| v_{k} - x_{0} \|^{2}$$
(6.10)

To make things consistent, let us impose

$$A_k x_k - A_{k+1} y_k + b_k x = b_k (x - v_k) \iff y_k = \frac{A_k}{A_{k+1}} x_k + \frac{b_k}{A_{k+1}} v_k$$
 (6.11)

And so we can continue equation (6.10):

$$\min_{x \in \mathbb{R}^n} \Psi_{k+1}(x) A_{k+1} \min_{x \in \mathbb{R}^n} \ge f(y_k) + \langle \nabla f(y_k), \gamma_k(x - v_k) \rangle + \frac{1}{2A_{k+1}\gamma_k^2} \|\gamma_k(v_k - x)\|^2$$
(6.12)

To verify the Lipschitz condition, we impose

$$\frac{1}{2A_{k+1}\gamma_k^2} = \frac{L}{2} \Longleftrightarrow b_k^2 - \frac{1}{L}b_k - \frac{A_k}{L} = 0 \Longrightarrow b_k = \frac{1 + \sqrt{1 + 4A_kL}}{2L}$$

$$\tag{6.13}$$

From all that have been computed previously, we can find a bound in terms of iterations needed. If  $x^* = \arg \min f(x)$ , we have

$$A_{k}f(x_{k}) \leq \min_{x \in \mathbb{R}^{n}} \Psi_{k}(x) \qquad \leq \Psi_{k}(x^{*}) \leq A_{k}f(x^{*}) + \frac{1}{2}\|x^{*} - x_{k}\|^{2}$$

$$\Rightarrow A_{k}(f(x_{k}) - f(x^{*})) \leq \frac{1}{2}\|x^{*} - x_{k}\|^{2}$$

$$\Rightarrow f(x_{k}) - f(x^{*}) \leq \frac{1}{2A_{k}}\|x^{*} - x_{k}\|^{2}$$

$$(6.14)$$

From the relation  $A_{k+1} = A_k + b_k$  and the definition of  $b_k$ , we can show that  $A_k \ge C(k-1)^2$  with C > 0 and  $k \ge 2$ . Thus, we get

$$f(x_k) - f(x^*) \le \frac{\|x_0 - x^*\|^2}{2C(k-1)^2} = \mathcal{O}(1/k^2) \qquad \forall k \ge 1$$
 (6.15)

A recap is given in algorithm 2.

## 6.2 Accelerated Proximal Gradient Method

In this section, we consider the minimisation of a function over a nonempty, closed and convex set  $\Omega$ . We decompose the objective function F into a smooth and a possibly non smooth part:

$$\min_{x \in \Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n} F(x) \equiv f(x) + \varphi(x) \tag{6.20}$$

The accelerated proximal gradient method consists in using the proximal operator of the non smooth part  $\varphi$  to define  $x_{k+1}$ :

**Theorem 6.1.** If  $\{x_k\}_{k\geq 0}$  is generated by the accelerated proximal gradient method, then

$$F(x_k) - F(x^*) \le \frac{8L||x_0 - x^*||^2}{(k-1)^2} \quad \forall k \ge 2$$
 (6.25)

#### Algorithm 2 Accelerated Gradient Method

1: **Input:** Given  $x_0 \in \mathbb{R}^n$ , define  $\Psi_0(x) = \frac{1}{2} ||x - x_0||^2$ ,  $A_0 = 0$ ,  $b_0 = 0$ , k = 0;

2: Compute

$$b_k = \frac{1 + \sqrt{1 + 4A_k L}}{2L} > 0; (6.16)$$

3: Set  $\gamma_k = \frac{b_k}{A_{k+1}} \in (0,1]$  and compute  $y_k = (1 - \gamma_k)x_k + \gamma_k v_k$ ;

4: Set

$$x_{k+1} = \arg\min_{x \in \mathbb{R}^n} f(y_k) + \langle \nabla f(y_k), x - y_k \rangle + \frac{L}{2} ||x - y_k||^2$$
 (6.17)

and  $A_{k+1} = A_k + b_k$ ;

5: Define

$$\Psi_{k+1}(x) = \Psi_k(x) + b_k \left( f(y_k) + \langle \nabla f(y_k), x - y_k \rangle \right) \qquad \forall x \in \mathbb{R}^n$$
 (6.18)

and set

$$v_{k+1} = \arg\min_{x \in \mathbb{R}^n} \Psi_{k+1}(x) \tag{6.19}$$

6:  $k \leftarrow k + 1$  and go back to Step 1;

#### Algorithm 3 Accelerated Proximal Gradient Method

- 1: **Input:** Given  $x_0 \in dom F$ , define  $\Psi_0(x) = \frac{1}{2} ||x x_0||^2$ ,  $A_0 = 0$ ,  $b_0 = 0$ , k = 0;
- 2: Compute

$$b_k = \frac{1 + \sqrt{1 + 4A_k L}}{2L} > 0; (6.21)$$

- 3: Set  $\gamma_k = \frac{b_k}{A_{k+1}} \in (0,1]$  and compute  $y_k = (1 \gamma_k)x_k + \gamma_k v_k$ ;
- 4: Set

$$x_{k+1} = Prox_{\frac{1}{L}\varphi}(y_k - \frac{1}{L}\nabla f(y_k))$$
(6.22)

and  $A_{k+1} = A_k + b_k$ ;

5: Define

$$\Psi_{k+1}(x) = \Psi_k(x) + b_k \left( f(y_k) + \langle \nabla f(y_k), x - y_k \rangle \right) \qquad \forall x \in \mathbb{R}^n$$
 (6.23)

and set

$$v_{k+1} = \arg\min_{x \in \mathbb{R}^n} \Psi_{k+1}(x) \tag{6.24}$$

6:  $k \leftarrow k + 1$  and go back to Step 1;

# Path following Interior Point Method

#### 7.1 Self concordant functions

#### 7.1.1 Definition

**Definition 7.1.** Given a convex function  $f \in C^3(dom f)$ , with  $dom f \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$  open and convex,  $f(\cdot)$  is said to be self-concordant with constant  $M_f$  when

$$\left| D^3 f(x)[u, u, u] \right| \le 2M_f \|u\|_x^3 \qquad \forall x \in domf \qquad \forall u \in \mathbb{R}^n$$
 (7.1)

where  $||u||_x := \sqrt{\langle \nabla^2 f(x) u, u \rangle}$ .

From this definition, we can derive two lemmas:

- Let  $f_1, f_2$  be self-concordant functions with constants  $M_1$  and  $M_2$  respectively. Then, given constants  $\alpha, \beta > 0$ , the function  $f = \alpha f_1 + \beta f_2$  is self-concordant with constant  $M_f = \max\left\{\frac{M_1}{\sqrt{\alpha}}, \frac{M_2}{\sqrt{\beta}}\right\}$ .
- Let  $f(\cdot)$  be a self-concordant function with constant  $M_f \ge 0$ . Given  $x, y \in dom f$ , we have

$$||y - x||_{y} \ge \frac{||y - x||_{x}}{1 + M_{f}||y - x||_{x}}$$
(7.2)

## 7.1.2 With $\mu$ -strongly convex

As a reminder, a function f is said to be  $\mu$ -strongly convex if

$$f(y) \ge f(x) + \langle \nabla f(x), y - x \rangle + \frac{\mu}{2} ||y - x||^2 \qquad \forall x, y \in domf$$
  

$$\Rightarrow \langle \nabla f(x) - \nabla f(y), x - y \rangle \ge \mu ||x - y||^2$$
(7.3)

Taking  $y = x^* = \arg \min f(x)$ , we find

$$\|\nabla f(x)\| \ge \mu \|x - x^*\| \qquad \forall x \in domf \tag{7.4}$$

after using the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality. This implies that the norm of the gradient tends to 0 as x approaches the minimizer  $x^*$ .

We can show that, for a self concordant function f with constant  $M_f$ , given  $x, y \in dom f$ , we have

$$\langle \nabla f(y) - \nabla f(x), y - x \rangle \ge \frac{\|y - x\|_x^2}{1 + M_f \|y - x\|_x}$$
 (7.5)

**Theorem 7.2.** Let  $f(\cdot)$  be a self-concordant function with constant  $M_f$ . Consider  $x_f^* = \arg\min_{x \in domf} f(x)$ . Given  $x \in domf$ , with  $\nabla^2 f(x)$  is nonsingular, we have

$$||x - x^*||_x \le \frac{||\nabla f(x)||_x^*}{1 - M_f ||\nabla f(x)||_x^*}$$
(7.6)

whenever  $M_f \|\nabla f(x)\|_x^* < 1$ , with  $\|\nabla f(x)\|_x^* = \sqrt{\langle h, \nabla^{-2} f(x) h \rangle}$ .

 $\rightarrow$  Note:  $|\langle h, u \rangle| \le ||h||_x^* ||u||_x$  if  $\nabla^2 f(x)$  is nonsingular.

#### 7.1.3 Self-concordant barrier

**Definition 7.3.** Let  $F(\cdot)$  be a self-concordant function with constant  $M_f = 1$ . We say that  $F(\cdot)$  is a  $\nu$ -self-concordant barrier for the set  $\overline{domF}$  when

$$\langle \nabla F(x), u \rangle^2 \le \nu \langle \nabla^2 F(x)u, u \rangle \qquad x \in domF \qquad \forall u \in \mathbb{R}^n$$
 (7.7)

The typical example is  $F(x) = -\log(x)$ .

- $\rightarrow$  Note: If  $F(\cdot)$  is a  $\nu$ -self-concordant barrier for the set  $\overline{domF}$ , then  $\langle \nabla F(x), y x \rangle < \nu \ \forall x, y \in domF$ .
- $\rightarrow$  If, in addition,  $\nabla^2 F(x)$  is nonsingular, then  $\|\nabla F(x)\|_x^* \leq \sqrt{\nu}$ .

# 7.2 Path-following Interior-point Method

Consider the optimization problem

$$\min_{x \in \mathbb{R}^n} f_0(x) \equiv \langle c, x \rangle \quad x \in \Omega$$
 (7.8)

where  $\Omega = \overline{domF}$  for some  $\nu$ -self-concordant barrier F and it is bounded. From these assumptions, it follows from the Weierstraß theorem that it has a solution  $x^*$ .

The barrier strategy consists in solving the problem iteratively by solving unconstrained optimization problems of the form

$$\min_{x \in domF} t f_0(x) + F(x) \qquad t > 0 \tag{7.9}$$

Let us denote  $f(t;x) \equiv t\langle c,x\rangle + F(x)$ , and  $x^*(t) = \arg\min_{x \in domF} f(t;x)$ , which we call the central path function. Then,

$$\nabla_x f(t; x^*(t)) = tc + \nabla F(x^*(t)) = 0 \Longrightarrow c = -\frac{1}{t} \nabla F(x^*(t))$$
 (7.10)

Consequently,

$$f_0(x^*(t)) - f_0(x) = \langle c, x^*(t) - x \rangle = \frac{1}{t} \langle \nabla F(x^*(t)), x^* - x^*(t) \rangle < \frac{\nu}{t}$$
 (7.11)

The last inequality following equation (7.5). This means that

$$\lim_{t \to \infty} f_0(x^*(t)) = f_0(x^*) \tag{7.12}$$

And in particular, for  $\epsilon > 0$ , if  $t \ge \nu \epsilon^{-1}$ , then

$$f_0(x^*(t)) - f_0(x^*) < \epsilon$$
 (7.13)

But, since  $x^*(t)$  is not computable, one way to get an implementable method is to compute  $\bar{x}(t)$  such that

$$\|\nabla_x f(t; \bar{x}(t))\|_x^* \le \beta \qquad \beta \in (0, 1) \tag{7.14}$$

This implies

$$f_{0}(\bar{x}(t)) - f_{0}(x^{*}) = f_{0}(\bar{x}(t)) - f_{0}(x^{*}(t)) - (f_{0}(x^{*}) - f_{0}(x^{*}(t)))$$

$$< \frac{\nu}{t} + f_{0}(\bar{x}(t)) - f_{0}(x^{*}(t))$$

$$= \frac{\nu}{t} + \frac{1}{t} \langle tc, \bar{x}(t) - x^{*}(t) \rangle$$

$$= \frac{\nu}{t} + \frac{1}{t} \langle \nabla_{x} f(t; \bar{x}(t)) - \nabla F(\bar{x}(t)), \bar{x}(t) - x^{*}(t) \rangle$$
(7.15)

To get to the next line, we use the Cauchy-Schwarz and triangular inequalities:

$$\leq \frac{\nu}{t} + \frac{1}{t} \left[ \|\nabla_x f(t; \bar{x}(t))\|_x^* + \|\nabla F(\bar{x}(t))\|_x^* \right] \|\bar{x}(t) - x^*(t)\|_x \tag{7.16}$$

From equations (7.14) and (7.6), and a property of self-concordant barriers, this means that

$$f_{0}(\bar{x}(t)) - f_{0}(x^{*}) < \frac{\nu}{t} + \frac{1}{t}(\beta + \sqrt{\nu}) \underbrace{\frac{\|\nabla_{x} f(t; \bar{x}(t))\|_{x}^{*}}{1 - \|\nabla_{x} f(t; \bar{x}(t))\|_{x}^{*}}}_{=:\omega(\|\nabla_{x} f(t; \bar{x}(t))\|_{x}^{*})}$$
(7.17)

where  $\omega(x) = \frac{x}{1-x}$  is a monotone increasing function, meaning that

$$\omega(\beta) > \omega(\|\nabla_x f(t; \bar{x}(t))\|_x^*) \tag{7.18}$$

and thus

$$f_0(\bar{x}(t)) - f_0(x^*) < \frac{1}{t} \left( \nu + (\beta + \sqrt{\nu}) \frac{\beta}{1 - \beta} \right)$$
 (7.19)

## 7.3 Intermediate Newton method

Let us consider the problem (7.8), and let  $\hat{f}(\cdot)$  be a self-concordant function with constant  $M_{\hat{f}}=1$ . Consider  $x\in dom\hat{f}$  with  $\nabla^2\hat{f}(x)$  nonsingular. Assume that  $\|\nabla\hat{f}(x)\|_x^*\leq \tau$  with  $\tau+\tau^2+\tau^3\leq 1$ . The iterate of the intermediate Newton method is given by

$$x^{+} = x - \frac{1}{1 + \xi} \nabla^{-2} \hat{f}(x) \nabla \hat{f}(x) \qquad \xi = \frac{(\|\nabla \hat{f}(x)\|_{x}^{*})^{2}}{1 + \|\nabla \hat{f}(x)\|_{x}^{*}}$$
(7.20)

Then,  $x^+ \in dom \hat{f}$  and

$$\|\nabla \hat{f}(x^{+})\|_{x^{+}}^{*} \le \tau^{2} \left(1 + \tau + \frac{\tau}{1 + \tau + \tau^{2}}\right)$$
(7.21)

Consider now the function  $f(t;x) \equiv t\langle c,x\rangle + F(x)$ , a self-concordant function with constant  $M_f = 1$ . The gradient and hessian are

$$\nabla_x f(t; x) = tc + \nabla F(x) \qquad \nabla_x^2 f(t; x) = \nabla^2 F(x) \tag{7.22}$$

Let us define the iterate  $t^+=t+\frac{\gamma}{\|c\|_x^*}$  with  $\gamma>0$ . The iterate of the intermediate Newton method becomes

$$x^{+} = x - \frac{1}{1 - \xi} \nabla_{x}^{-2} f(t^{+}; x) \nabla_{x} f(t^{+}; x) = x - \frac{1}{1 + \xi} \nabla^{-2} F(x) (t^{+} c + \nabla F(x))$$
 (7.23)

As previously, suppose that  $\|\nabla_x f(t;x)\|_x^* \leq \beta$ . Then,

$$\|\nabla_{x} f(t^{+}; x)\|_{x}^{*} = \|t^{+} c + \nabla F(x)\|_{x}^{*} = \|t^{+} c - tc + tc + \nabla F(x)\|_{x}^{*}$$

$$\leq (t^{+} - t)\|c\|_{x}^{*} + \|\nabla_{x} f(t; x)\|_{x}^{*} = \gamma + \beta$$
(7.24)

This inequality is derived using the hypothesis and the definition of  $t^+$ . This means that, choosing  $\gamma \leq \tau - \beta$  for  $\tau + \tau^2 + \tau^3 \leq 1$ , we get

$$\|\nabla_x f(t^+; x)\|_x^* \le \tau$$
 (7.25)

By equation (7.21), we have

$$\|\nabla_x f(t^+; x^+)\|_{x^+}^* \le \tau^2 \left(1 + \tau + \frac{\tau}{1 + \tau + \tau^2}\right) = \frac{\tau^2 (1 + \tau)}{1 - \tau^3} \tag{7.26}$$

And so taking  $\beta = \tau^2 \left( 1 + \tau + \frac{\tau}{1 + \tau + \tau^2} \right)$  seems reasonable.

 $\rightarrow$  Note: notice that  $\tau > \beta$  for every  $\tau \in (0, 1/2]$  and verifies  $\tau + \tau^2 + \tau^3 \le 1$ .

From all those inequalities and properties, we can derive an algorithm.

## 7.4 Path-following Interior point Algorithm

#### 7.4.1 Algorithm

#### Algorithm 4 Path-following Interior Point Algorithm

- 1: **Input:** Given  $\tau \in (0, 1/2]$ , define  $\beta = \tau^2 \left(1 + \tau + \frac{\tau}{1 + \tau + \tau^2}\right)$ . Choose  $0 < \gamma \le \tau \beta$ . Find  $x_0 \in domF$  such that  $\|\nabla F(x_0)\|_{x_0}^* \le \beta$  and set  $t_0 = 0$  and k := 0;
- 2: Step 1: Compute

$$t_{k+1} = t_k + \frac{\gamma}{\|c\|_x^*}$$

$$x_{k+1} = x_k - \frac{1}{1 + \xi_k} \nabla^{-2} F(x_k) (t_{k+1} c + \nabla F(x_k))$$

$$\xi_k = \frac{(\|\nabla f(t_k; x_k)\|_{x_k}^*)^2}{1 + \|\nabla f(t_k; x_k)\|_{x_k}^*}$$
(7.27)

3: **Step 2:**  $k \leftarrow k + 1$  and go back to Step 1.

#### 7.4.2 Complexity bound

Notice that, by construction,  $\|\nabla_x f(t_k; x_k)\|_{x_k}^* \le \beta$ ,  $\forall k \ge 0$ , and so

$$t_k \|c\|_{x_k}^* = \|\nabla_x f(t_k; x_k) - \nabla F(x_k)\|_{x_k}^* \le \beta + \sqrt{\nu}$$
 (7.28)

This can be used to bound  $t_{k+1}$ :

$$t_{k+1} - t_k = \frac{\gamma}{\|c\|_{x_k}^*} \ge \frac{\gamma t_k}{\beta + \sqrt{\nu}} \Longleftrightarrow \left(1 + \frac{\gamma}{\beta + \sqrt{\nu}}\right) t_k \qquad \forall k \ge 0 \tag{7.29}$$

Thus,

$$t_k \ge \left(1 + \frac{\gamma}{\beta + \sqrt{\nu}}\right)^{k-1} t_1 = \left(1 + \frac{\gamma}{\beta + \sqrt{\nu}}\right)^{k-1} \frac{\gamma}{\|c\|_{r_0}^*} \tag{7.30}$$

Combining this to (7.19), it follows that

$$f_{0}(x_{k}) - f_{0}^{*} \leq \frac{1}{t_{k}} \left( \nu + \frac{(\beta + \sqrt{\nu})\beta}{1 - \beta} \right)$$

$$\leq \frac{\|c\|_{x_{0}}^{*} \left( \nu + \frac{(\beta + \sqrt{\nu})\beta}{1 - \beta} \right)}{\gamma \left( 1 + \frac{\gamma}{\beta + \sqrt{\nu}} \right)^{k - 1}}$$

$$(7.31)$$

Thus, to obtain a point  $x_k$  with  $f_0(x_k) - f_0^* \le \epsilon$ , it is sufficient to have

$$\frac{\|c\|_{x_{0}}^{*}\left(\nu + \frac{(\beta + \sqrt{\nu})\beta}{1 - \beta}\right)}{\gamma\left(1 + \frac{\gamma}{\beta + \sqrt{\nu}}\right)^{k - 1}} \leq \epsilon$$

$$(k - 1)\ln\left(1 + \frac{\gamma}{\beta + \sqrt{\nu}}\right) \geq \ln\left(\frac{\|c\|_{x_{0}}^{*}\left(\nu + \frac{(\beta + \sqrt{\nu})\beta}{1 - \beta}\right)}{\gamma}\epsilon^{-1}\right)$$

$$\Longrightarrow k \geq \mathcal{O}(\epsilon^{-1})$$
(7.32)

Notice that  $\ln(1+x) \ge cx$  for x>0 and c a constant TO BE CHECKED. We can apply it to  $x=\frac{\gamma}{\beta+\sqrt{\nu}}$  to find a bound on the number of iterations: we will have  $f_0(x_k)-f_0^*\le \epsilon$  whenever

$$(k-1)c\left(\frac{\gamma}{\beta+\sqrt{\nu}}\right) \ge \ln\left(\|c\|_{x_0}^* \left(\nu + \frac{(\beta+\sqrt{\nu})\beta}{1-\beta}\right)\gamma^{-1}\epsilon^{-1}\right) \tag{7.33}$$

Therefore, to find a  $\epsilon$ -approximate solution of problem (7.8), tha algorithm 4 takes no more than  $\mathcal{O}(\sqrt{\nu}\ln(\epsilon^{-1}))$  iterations.

#### 7.4.3 Example

Consider the following problem:

$$\min_{x \in \mathbb{R}^n} q_0(x) \equiv c_0 + \langle b_0, x \rangle + \frac{1}{2} \langle A_0 x, x \rangle 
\text{s.t.} \quad q_i(x) \equiv c_i + \langle b_i, x \rangle + \frac{1}{2} \langle A_i x, x \rangle \leq \beta_i \qquad i = 1, \dots, m$$
(7.34)

where  $A_i = A_i^T \succeq 0$  for i = 0, ..., m. To be able to used the algorithm derived previously, we need to change the objective function:

$$\min_{(x,\beta)\in\mathbb{R}^n\times\mathbb{R}}\beta_0\equiv f_0(x,\beta_0)\quad \text{s.t.}\quad q_i(x)\leq \beta_i \qquad i=0,\ldots,m \tag{7.35}$$

The feasible set of this problem is the closure of the domain of the following self-concordant barrier, with constant  $\nu = m + 1$ :

$$F(x, \beta_0) = -\sum_{i=0}^{m} \ln(\beta_i - q_i(x))$$
 (7.36)

From the complexity of algorithm 4, it takes at most  $\mathcal{O}\left(\sqrt{m+1}\ln(\epsilon^{-1})\right)$  iterations to find  $x_k$  such that

$$f_0(x_k, \beta_{0,k}) - f_0^* \le \epsilon \tag{7.37}$$

and the operation complexity multiplies it by  $\mathcal{O}(m^3)$  because it solves a linear system at each iteration.

# Tips and Tricks

1. Approximation of the max:

$$\max\{z,0\} = \frac{z+|z|}{2} = \frac{z+\sqrt{z^2}}{2} \approx \frac{z+\sqrt{z^2+\delta}}{2}$$
 (8.1)

2.

$$ab \le \frac{a^2 + b^2}{2} \tag{8.2}$$

3.

$$(a+b)^2 \le 2a^2 + 2b^2 \tag{8.3}$$

4. V-trick:

$$\langle xv, v \rangle \le \|x\| \|v\|^2 \tag{8.4}$$

5. Triangular inequality by the minimizer:

$$||x_{k+1} - x_k|| \le ||x_{k+1} - x^*|| + ||x_k - x^*||$$
(8.5)

6. Mean Value Theorem  $\forall x, y \in \Omega, \exists z \in \Omega \text{ s.t.}$ :

$$f(y) - f(x) = \langle \nabla f(z), y - x \rangle \qquad z \in [x, y]$$
 (8.6)

7. By definition if a function is  $C_M^p$ , then

$$|f(y) - T_p(y;x)| \le \frac{M}{(p+1)!} ||y - x||^{p+1}$$
 (8.7)