

# Analyzing Online Survey Experiments



## Sequential Blocking, Mode Effects, and the Power of Moral Arguments

### **PhD Dissertation Prospectus Defense**

Simon Heuberger  
Department of Government

13 April 2018

# Outline

- Methods dissertation about online survey experiments
- Three papers
  - ▶ Two quantitative methods contributions
  - ▶ One application in American Politics
- Paper I: Improving Balance in Online Survey Experiments
- Paper II: Uncovering Mode Effects in Online Survey Experiments
- Paper III: Moral Arguments as a Source of Frame Strength

# Paper I: Improving Balance in Online Survey Experiments

# I: Overview

- Problem:
  - ▶ Majority of online surveys use Qualtrics to design questionnaire
  - ▶ Qualtrics only offers complete randomization
  - ▶ Fine for large samples, but not for small samples
  - ▶ Large samples are expensive
- Solution
  - ▶ Sophisticated method of randomization called sequential blocking
  - ▶ Software tool that makes this method applicable to other researchers

# I: Complete Randomization and Blocking

Table 1: Randomization in Experiments

Unit Characteristics Are Known	Unit Characteristics Are Not Known
Complete Randomization	Complete Randomization
'Nonsequential' Blocking	————
————	Sequential Blocking

# I: Sequential Blocking

- Covariate-adaptive randomization (CAR)
  - ▶ Varies probabilities of assignment based on knowledge about previous units and the current unit
- Basic CAR
  - ▶ Biased coin
  - ▶ Minimization
- Advanced CAR
  - ▶ Continuous variables
- My contribution: CAR for ordinal outcome variables

# I: Software Tool

- R package
  - ▶ Creates questionnaire that can be directly fielded online
  - ▶ Applies sequential blocking method
  - ▶ Freely available to other researchers
- MTurk
  - ▶ Package designed to be linked to MTurk to recruit participants
- Qualtrics
  - ▶ Free, more sophisticated alternative to Qualtrics

# I: Data Applications

- Simulations
  - ▶ Sequentially block using the mean Mahalanobis distance
  - ▶ Complete randomization with same settings
- External
  - ▶ Studies that use complete randomization and OLS covariate controls
  - ▶ Sequentially block respondents in the data
  - ▶ Order of observations is sequential entry order
  - ▶ Example: Tomz and Weeks (2013)
- Original
  - ▶ Online survey experiment in paper III
    - Once with sequential blocking
    - Once with complete randomization



# Paper II: Uncovering Mode Effects in Online Survey Experiments

## II: Overview

- Problem

- ▶ Online surveys are popular, fast, cheap
- ▶ BUT: Changing modes influences how respondents answer questions
- ▶ Lots of research, we know lots of differences
- ▶ BUT: Is there a measurement error in the form of a critical distributional difference in aggregate survey responses?

- Solution

- ▶ Entropy measure
- ▶ Describes measurement variability in categorical questions
- ▶ Measures of variance that assume continuous data (i.e. SD) not suitable
- ▶ How respondents react to identical questions in different survey modes
- ▶ Only applied to comparison between face-to-face and online so far

## II: Pathologies of Online Surveys

- Sampling error
- Nonresponse
- Social desirability
- Satisficing
- Total survey error

# Entropy Measure

- Evidence of effect of mode differences on survey responses is mixed
- Traditional statistical measurements (variance, median average deviation) robust to ordinal data with equally spaced distances
- Most surveys and survey experiments have non-equally spaced ordinal data
  - ▶ Likert scale of support
  - ▶ Ideology measure
- Entropy measure  $H$ , for a given discrete variable  $f(X) = [p_1, p_2, \dots, p_k]$ :

$$H = - \sum p_i \ln(p_i), \sum p_i = 1$$

$p_i$ : observed counts for each possible answer category of survey variable

## II: Data Applications

- External
  - ▶ Data sets that field identical questions on the phone and online
  - ▶ Examples: ANES 2016, Pew surveys
- Original
  - ▶ Online survey experiment in paper III
  - ▶ Complementary RDD survey through TESS

# Paper III: Moral Arguments as a Source of Frame Strength

# III: Overview

- Problem
  - ▶ Framing shown to have effects
  - ▶ Some frames work, others don't
  - ▶ We don't know what makes a frame strong
- Solution
  - ▶ Moral arguments could form part of frame strength
  - ▶ Moral arguments as the key IV

# III: Framing and Moral Arguments

- Framing
  - ▶ Presenting an issue in certain terms to influence how people perceive it
  - ▶ Changed level of issue support as a result of frame exposure
  - ▶ Mixed findings on sources of frame strength
- Moral arguments
  - ▶ Based on ethics, fairness, equality
  - ▶ Important force in shaping public opinion
  - ▶ Moral Foundations Theory



# III: Data

- Preliminary analyses
  - ▶ Meta-Analysis of experimental framing studies
  - ▶ Online poll to test strong frames from previous studies on moral content
    - Fielded on MTurk
  - ▶ Focus group on how people define moral arguments
    - Fielded with DC company
- Main analysis: Online survey experiment to test power of moral arguments
  - ▶ Design complementary nonmoral frames to strong frames from studies
  - ▶ Pre-test designed frames on MTurk
  - ▶ Field questionnaire on MTurk with sequential blocking (Paper I)
  - ▶ Analyze entropy results in identical survey fielded on TESS (Paper II)

Thank you!