# Lab Report Knapsack

Simon Jönsson, Fanny Karelius 2017-10-11

### Introduction

In this lab we implement different solutions for the **0/1-knapsack**- and **unbounded knapsack** problem. We have implemented both a parallel and non-parallel brute force solution, a dynamic programming solution and a solution using the greedy heuristic. We have documented the runtimes and the profiling of each solution.

### Runtime of codes

### Bruteforce knapsack solution

#### Parallel

```
system.time(brute_force_knapsack(x = knapsack_objects[1:20,], W = 3500, parallel=FALSE))

## user system elapsed
## 6.727 0.429 7.182

Non-parallel

system.time(brute_force_knapsack(x = knapsack_objects[1:20,], W = 3500, parallel=FALSE))

## user system elapsed
## 5.540 0.381 5.938
```

### Dynamic knapsack solution

```
system.time(knapsack_dynamic(x = knapsack_objects[1:500,], W = 3500))
## user system elapsed
## 5.342 0.051 5.406
```

### Greedy knapsack solution

```
system.time(greedy_knapsack(x = knapsack_objects[1:1000000,], W = 3500))

## user system elapsed
## 2.383 0.158 2.550
```

# **Profiling**

Bruteforce knapsack solution

#### Parallel

```
lineprof(brute_force_knapsack(x = knapsack_objects[1:12,], W = 3500, parallel=TRUE))
## Reducing depth to 2 (from 12)
      time alloc release dups
## 1 0.003 0.936
                          521
                                              c("::", "getExportedValue")
                       Λ
## 2 0.001 0.227
                       0
                          191
                                            c("parallel::mclapply", "::")
                                        c("parallel::mclapply", "lapply")
## 3 0.004 0.053
                       0
                           55
## 4 0.001 0.027
                           11 c("parallel::mclapply", "lazyLoadDBfetch")
## 5 0.001 0.005
                       0
                                                     "parallel::mclapply"
## 6 0.002 0.046
                       0
                               c("parallel::mclapply", "selectChildren")
## 7 0.005 0.253
                       0
                            7
                                       c("parallel::mclapply", "cleanup")
## 8 0.004 2.273
                                                    c("lapply", "Filter")
                       0
                           17
##
                                     src
## 1 ::/getExportedValue
## 2 parallel::mclapply/::
## 3 parallel::mclapply/lapply
## 4 parallel::mclapply/lazyLoadDBfetch
## 5 parallel::mclapply
## 6 parallel::mclapply/selectChildren
## 7 parallel::mclapply/cleanup
## 8 lapply/Filter
```

All segments of the code are in similar timesteps - quite tricky to identify bottlenecks. However one could look over using some primitive functions instead of using lapply to find the row with near-optimal value. A suggestions might be using  $\max()$ .

### Non-parallel

The lapply function might be exchanged with using a max() primitive. Generating the matrix with given parameters: weight and val one could use max to find the maximum val given that the weight  $\leq W$ .

## Dynamic knapsack solution

```
lineprof(knapsack_dynamic(x = knapsack_objects[1:100,], W = 3500))
## Reducing depth to 2 (from 70)
##
              alloc release
       time
                             dups
## 1
     0.007
              9.748
                      0.000
                             3626 c("compiler:::tryCmpfun", "tryCatch")
## 2 0.100 153.462 143.548 1498
                                               c("matrix", "replicate")
## 3 0.001
                      0.000
              2.399
                                                                "matrix"
## 4 0.003 11.952
                      0.000 16386
                                                           character(0)
                      0.000 13264
## 5 0.001
              1.859
                                                                   "max"
## 6 0.001
              4.092
                      0.000 3841
                                                           character(0)
## 7
     0.002
              1.360
                      0.000 9985
                                                                   "max"
## 8 0.004
                      0.000 4765
              2.475
                                                           character(0)
## 9 0.001
              0.631
                      0.000 1627
                                                                   "max"
## 10 0.003
                      0.000 4448
              1.901
                                                           character(0)
## 11 0.001
              0.748
                      0.000
                              784
                                                                   "max"
## 12 0.005
                      0.000 5683
              2.660
                                                           character(0)
```

```
## 13 0.001
              0.618
                       0.000 1358
                                                                      "max"
## 14 0.002
              0.786
                       0.000
                              2744
                                                              character(0)
## 15 0.001
                       0.000
                                                                      "max"
              0.399
                               158
## 16 0.004
                       0.000
              1.882
                              3808
                                                              character(0)
## 17 0.001
              0.618
                       0.000
                               905
                                                                      "max"
## 18 0.004
              2.282
                       0.000
                              5035
                                                              character(0)
## 19 0.002
              0.876
                       0.000
                              1969
                                                                     "max"
## 20 0.002
                       0.000
                              1807
              0.876
                                                              character(0)
## 21 0.001
              0.761
                       0.000
                               796
                                                                      "max"
## 22 0.002
                       0.000
                              2797
              1.315
                                                              character(0)
## 23 0.001
              0.438
                       0.000 1494
                                                                      "max"
## 24 0.002
                       0.000
                              1779
                                                              character(0)
               1.224
## 25 0.002
                       0.000
              1.521
                              3225
                                                                      "max"
## 26 0.001
              0.657
                       0.000
                              1573
                                                              character(0)
## 27 0.002
              1.405
                       0.000
                              2878
                                                                      "max"
## 28 0.001
              0.748
                       0.000
                              1384
                                                              character(0)
## 29 0.002
                       0.000
                              2678
                                                                      "max"
              1.141
## 30 0.001
                       0.000 1226
              0.516
                                                              character(0)
## 31 0.001
              0.561
                       0.000 1065
                                                                      "max"
## 32 0.002
              0.593
                       0.000
                              1687
                                                              character(0)
## 33 0.001
              0.894
                       0.000
                               696
                                                                      "max"
## 34 0.006
              3.320
                       0.000
                              7218
                                                              character(0)
## 35 0.001
                       0.000
                              1494
                                                                      "max"
              0.683
## 36 0.002
              1.166
                       0.000
                              2504
                                                              character(0)
## 37 0.001
                       0.000 1318
              0.709
                                                                      "max"
## 38 0.003
              1.630
                       0.000 3915
                                                              character(0)
## 39 0.002
              0.786
                       0.000 1410
                                                                      "max"
## 40 0.001
              0.619
                       0.000 1132
                                                              character(0)
## 41 0.001
                       0.000 1278
              0.709
                                                                      "max"
## 42 0.011
              8.508
                      80.594 20678
                                                              character(0)
##
## 1
     compiler:::tryCmpfun/tryCatch
## 2
      matrix/replicate
## 3
      matrix
## 4
## 5
      max
## 6
## 7
      max
## 8
## 9
      max
## 10
## 11 max
## 12
## 13 max
## 14
## 15 max
## 16
## 17 max
## 18
## 19 max
## 20
## 21 max
## 22
## 23 max
```

```
## 24
## 25 max
## 26
## 27 max
## 28
## 29 max
## 30
## 31 max
## 32
## 33 max
## 34
## 35 max
## 36
## 37 max
## 38
## 39 max
## 40
## 41 max
## 42
```

Here we identify that the segment in the code that takes most time to run is the replicate function. This could be handled by some other primitive, or maybe the pre-allocation can be circumvented by having dynamic size of the vector.

### Greedy knapsack solution

```
lineprof(greedy_knapsack(x = knapsack_objects[1:20000,], W = 3500))
## Reducing depth to 2 (from 18)
      time alloc release dups
                                                                  ref
## 1 0.002 5.828
                       0 3215 c("compiler:::tryCmpfun", "tryCatch")
## 2 0.006 1.219
                        0
                            41
                                     c("stopifnot", "is.data.frame")
## 3 0.003 9.768
                        0
                            44
                                            c("replicate", "sapply")
                        0
                             5
                                                              "order"
## 4 0.002 0.079
## 5 0.005 0.977
                            53
                                                         character(0)
##
                                src
## 1 compiler:::tryCmpfun/tryCatch
## 2 stopifnot/is.data.frame
## 3 replicate/sapply
## 4 order
## 5
```

Not alot to improve on here.

# Parallelizing brute force knapsack

The performance that could be gained is non-existent since the lapply used doesn't contain any calculations. So there is little to no sequential computations that are done. If we had a computationally heavy lapply segment then we could gain an decrease in computation time.