

# Problem Set 5

Standard model of particle physics

Arvid Wenzel Wartenberg: waarvid@student.chalmers.se

15 oktober 2020

Data used in this exercise was retrieved from <http://hepdata.cedar.ac.uk/pdf/pdf3.html>. Firstly, we wanted to see if we would get the proton charge to one from the parton distributions. using notation  $f_p = f_p(x, Q)$  to denote the parton distribution for fermion  $f$ , the integral I calculated was

$$q(p) = \int_0^1 dx \frac{2}{3} u_p - \frac{1}{3} d_p - \frac{2}{3} \bar{u}_p + \frac{1}{3} \bar{d}_p.$$

The reason why I didn't include the strange, charm, bottom etc. is because their antiparticle parton distributions are so similar that they give virtually no contribution to the result. I extracted data from the website with logarithmically scaling values of  $x$  between 0.0001 and 1, with stepsize 0.0001.  $Q$  was chosen to be 100 GeV<sup>2</sup>. The integral was performed using the trapz function in numpy (Python). The numerical result in units of  $e$  was

$$q(p) \approx 0.982.$$

I also checked the dependence of the proton charge on  $Q$ , Table 1 shows the numerically calculated value of  $q(p)$ , for different values of  $Q$ .

Tabell 1:  $q(p)$  dependence on  $Q$ .

$Q$ [ GeV <sup>2</sup> ]	50	100	200	1000
$q(p)$ [ $e$ ]	0.9821	0.9812	0.9802	0.9782

From the table we observe a slight but not very significant dependence on  $Q$ .

If we wanted to study the parton distributions for the antiproton or neutron, we can use isospin invariance. For the antiproton we could just let  $u \rightarrow \bar{u}$ ,  $d \rightarrow \bar{d}$ . Similarly, a neutron is basically a proton where one lets  $u \rightarrow d$ ,  $d \rightarrow u$ . To check if this reasoning is sound, I tried calculating the antiproton and neutron charges using this logic. My result indicates that it works, since I got  $q(\bar{p}) = -0.9812$  and  $q(n) = -0.0022$  in units of  $e$ . Which are both very close to the true values.