



# Solved exercises in Miles Reid's Undergraduate Commutative Algebra



Simon Stefanus Jacobsson

Friday 7<sup>th</sup> August, 2020

## 0.1

Let  $A$  be a ring and consider the polynomial ring  $A[T]$ . Prove that  $T$  is not a zero-divisor in  $A[T]$ . Generalize the argument to prove that a monic polynomial

$$f = T^n + a_{n-1}T^{n-1} + \dots + a_0$$

is not a zero-divisor in  $A[T]$ .

### Solution

Let  $g = a_nT^n + \dots + a_1T + a_0 \in A[T]$  and let  $gT = 0$ . Then

$$a_nT^{n+1} + \dots + a_1T^2 + a_0T = 0$$

implies  $a_n, \dots, a_1, a_0 = 0$  by uniqueness of polynomial coefficients.

Similarly, if  $g(T^n + b_{n-1}T^{n-1} + \dots + b_1T + b_0)$ , the  $T^{2n}$ -term gives that  $a_n = 0$ , the  $T^{2n-1}$ -term gives that  $a_{n-1} = 0$ , and so on. Hence  $g = 0$  and any monic polynomial will not be a zero-divisor.

## 0.2

Let  $A$  be a ring,  $a \in A$ , and  $f \in A[T]$ . Prove that there exists an expression  $f = (T - a)q + r$  with  $q \in A[T]$  and  $r \in A$ . [Hint: subtract off a suitable multiple of  $(T - a)$  to cancel the leading term, then use induction on  $\deg f$ .] By substituting  $T = a$ , show that  $r = f(a)$ . (this result is often called the *remainder theorem* in algebra textbooks.)

### Solution

For induction, assume it holds for  $\deg f = p$ . Then, for  $f = c_{p+1}T^{p+1} + \dots + c_0$ , we have  $\deg(f - c_{p+1}(T - a)) = p$ . Hence

$$\begin{aligned} f - c_{p+1}(T - a) &= (T - a)q' + r \quad \text{for some } q' \in A[T] \text{ and } r \in A \\ f &= (T - a)(q' + c_{p+1}) + r \end{aligned}$$

and hence it is true for  $\deg f = p + 1$  (with  $q = q' + c_{p+1}$ ).

For  $\deg f = 0$ , it is obviously true with  $q = 0$  and  $r = f$ .

## 0.4

Let  $A[T]$  be the polynomial ring over a ring  $A$ , and let  $B$  be a ring. Suppose that  $\varphi: A \rightarrow B$  is a given ring homomorphism; show that ring homomorphisms  $\psi: A[T] \rightarrow B$  extending  $\varphi$  are in one-to-one correspondence with elements in  $B$ .

## Solution

This is clear since in

$$\begin{aligned}\psi(f(T)) &= \psi(c_n T^n + \dots + c_1 T + c_0) \\ &= c_n \psi(T)^n + \dots + c_1 \psi(T) + c_0,\end{aligned}$$

if we know  $\psi(T)$ , we know the whole expression, and  $\psi(T)$  can be any element in  $B$ .

## 0.7

TODO

## 1

### 1.1

Give an example of a ring  $A$  and ideals  $I, J$  such that  $I \cup J$  is not an ideal; in your example, what is the smallest ideal containing  $I$  and  $J$ ?

## Solution

Consider  $(6)$  and  $(10)$  in  $\mathbb{Z}$ .  $10 \in (10)$  and  $6 \in (6)$ , but  $10 + 6 = 16 \notin (10) \cup (6)$ , so  $(10) \cup (6)$  is not even a subring of  $\mathbb{Z}$ . The smallest ideal containing  $(10) \cup (6)$  is  $(\gcd(6, 10)) = (2)$ .

### 1.2

The *product* of two ideals  $I$  and  $J$  is the set of all sums  $\sum_i f_i g_i$  with  $f_i \in I$  and  $g_i \in J$ . Give an example in which  $IJ \neq I \cap J$ .

## Solution

$I = J = (2)$  gives  $IJ = (4) \neq (2) = I \cap J$ .

### 1.3

Let  $A = k[X, Y]/(XY)$ . Show that any element of  $A$  has a unique representation in the form

$$a + f(X)X + g(Y)Y \quad \text{with} \quad a \in k, f \in k[X], \text{ and } g \in k[Y].$$

How do you multiply two such elements?

Prove that  $A$  has exactly two minimal prime ideals. If possible, find ideals  $I, J$ , and  $K$  to contradict each of the following statements:

1.  $IJ = I \cap J$
2.  $(I + J)(I \cap J) = IJ$
3.  $I \cap (J + K) = (I \cap J) + (I \cap K)$ .

## Solution

TODO

## 1.4

Two ideals  $I$  and  $J$  are *strongly coprime* if  $I + J = A$ . Check that this is the usual notion for coprime  $A = \mathbb{Z}$  or  $k[X]$ . Prove that if  $I$  and  $J$  are strongly coprime, then

$$IJ = I \cap J \quad \text{and} \quad A/IJ \sim (A/I) \times (A/J).$$

Prove also that if  $I$  and  $J$  are strongly coprime then so are  $I^n$  and  $J^n$  for  $n \geq 1$ .

### Solution

Since  $\mathbb{Z}$  is a PID, let  $I = (a)$  and  $J = (b)$ . Then

$$I + J = (a) + (b) = (a, b) = (\gcd(a, b)) = \begin{cases} (1) = A & \text{if } a \text{ and } b \text{ coprime in the usual sense} \\ \text{not } (1) & \text{if } a \text{ and } b \text{ not coprime in the usual sense} \end{cases}.$$

Similarly for  $k[X]$ .

In general,  $IJ \subset I \cap J$  since  $IJ \subset IA = I$  and  $IJ \subset AJ = J$ . TODO

## 1.5

Let  $\varphi: A \rightarrow B$  be a ring homomorphism. Prove that  $\varphi^{-1}$  takes prime ideals of  $B$  to prime ideals of  $A$ . In particular, if  $A \subset B$ , and  $P$  is a prime ideal of  $B$  then  $A \cap P$  is a prime ideal of  $A$ .

### Solution

If  $P$  is a prime ideal in  $B$ , then  $\varphi^{-1}(P)$  is an ideal since if  $\varphi(a) \in P$ , then  $\varphi(ab) = \varphi(a)\varphi(b) \in P$  by  $P$  being an ideal. Furthermore,  $\varphi^{-1}(P)$  is prime since if  $\varphi(a), \varphi(b) \in P^c$ , then  $\varphi(ab) = \varphi(a)\varphi(b) \in P^c$  by  $P$  being prime.

## 1.6

Prove or give a counterexample to

1. the intersection of two prime ideals is prime
2. the ideal  $P_1 + P_2$  generated by two prime ideals  $P_1$  and  $P_2$  is prime
3. if  $\varphi: A \rightarrow B$  is a ring homomorphism then  $\varphi^{-1}$  takes maximal ideals of  $B$  to maximal ideals of  $A$
4. the map  $\varphi^{-1}$  of Proposition 1.2 (quotient homomorphism) takes maximal ideals of  $A/I$  to maximal ideals of  $A$ .

### Solution

Item 1 is false since  $(2)$  and  $(3)$  in  $\mathbb{Z}_6$  is a counterexample.  $(2) \cap (3) = (0)$  is not prime in  $\mathbb{Z}_6$  since  $[2][3] = [0]$ .

Item 2 is false since  $(2)$  and  $(x^2 + 3)$  in  $\mathbb{Z}[X]$  is a counterexample. Both  $2$  and  $x^2 + 3$  are irreducible in  $\mathbb{Z}[X]$ , but  $(2) + (x^2 + 3) = (2, x^2 + 3)$  is not prime since  $x^2 - 1 \in (2, x^2 + 3)$  but  $x^2 - 1 = (x + 1)(x - 1)$  while neither of those factors are in  $(2, x^2 + 3)$ .

Items 3 and 4 are also false by the same counterexample. Let  $A = \mathbb{Z}[X, Y]$ , let  $I = (X - 2, Y - 3)$ , and let  $\varphi$  be the quotient homomorphism. We can identify  $A/I$  with  $\mathbb{Z}$  and say that  $\varphi: X \mapsto 2$  and  $Y \mapsto 3$ . Then  $(2)$  is maximal in  $\mathbb{Z}$  but  $\varphi^{-1}((2)) = (2, X)$  is not maximal in  $\mathbb{Z}[X, Y]$ .