

🍷 Quantum Field Theory Problem 2 🍷

simjac

March 2020

Consider the field theory of a complex-valued scalar field obeying the Klein-Gordon equation. The action of this theory is

$$S = \int d^4x (\partial_\mu \phi^* \partial^\mu \phi - m^2 \phi^* \phi).$$

It is easiest to analyze this theory by considering $\phi(x)$ and $\phi^*(x)$, rather than the real and imaginary parts of $\phi(x)$, as the basic dynamical variables.

a)

(i)

Find the conjugate momenta to $\phi(x)$ and $\phi^*(x)$ and the canonical commutation relations.

Solution

We have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{L} &= \partial_\mu \phi^* \partial^\mu \phi - m^2 \phi^* \phi \\ &= \partial_0 \phi^* \partial_0 \phi - \nabla \phi^* \cdot \nabla \phi - m^2 \phi^* \phi \end{aligned} \tag{1}$$

\implies

$$\begin{aligned} \pi(x) &= \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial(\partial_0 \phi)} = \partial_0 \phi^* \\ \pi^*(x) &= \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial(\partial_0 \phi^*)} = \partial_0 \phi. \end{aligned} \tag{2}$$

The commutation relations that Peskin & Schroeder imposes on ϕ and π are

$$[\phi(\mathbf{x}, t), \pi(\mathbf{x}', t)] = i\delta^3(\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{x}'), \tag{2.20a}$$

$$[\phi(\mathbf{x}, t), \phi(\mathbf{x}', t)] = [\pi(\mathbf{x}, t), \pi(\mathbf{x}', t)] = 0. \tag{2.20b}$$

We will further impose that

$$[\phi(\mathbf{x}), \phi^*(\mathbf{x}')] = 0. \tag{3}$$

From these relations it follows that

$$[\phi^*(\mathbf{x}, t), \pi^*(\mathbf{x}', t)] = [\pi(\mathbf{x}', t), \phi(\mathbf{x}, t)]^* \stackrel{(2.20a)}{=} i\delta^3(\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{x}')$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} [\pi(\mathbf{x}), \pi^*(\mathbf{x}')] &\stackrel{(2)}{=} [\partial_0 \phi^*(\mathbf{x}), \partial'_0 \phi(\mathbf{x}')] \\ &= \partial_0 \phi^*(\mathbf{x}) \partial'_0 \phi(\mathbf{x}') - \partial'_0 \phi(\mathbf{x}') \partial_0 \phi^*(\mathbf{x}) \\ &= \partial_0 \partial'_0 (\phi^*(\mathbf{x}) \phi(\mathbf{x}') - \phi(\mathbf{x}') \phi^*(\mathbf{x})) \\ &= \partial_0 \partial'_0 [\phi(\mathbf{x}), \phi^*(\mathbf{x}')] \\ &\stackrel{(3)}{=} 0 \end{aligned} \tag{4}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
[\phi(\mathbf{x}), \pi^*(\mathbf{x}')] &\stackrel{(2)}{=} [\phi(\mathbf{x}), \partial'_0 \phi(\mathbf{x}')] \\
&= \phi(\mathbf{x}) \partial'_0 \phi(\mathbf{x}') - \partial'_0 \phi(\mathbf{x}') \phi(\mathbf{x}) \\
&= \partial'_0 (\phi(\mathbf{x}) \phi(\mathbf{x}') - \phi(\mathbf{x}') \phi(\mathbf{x})) \\
&= \partial'_0 [\phi(\mathbf{x}), \phi(\mathbf{x}')] \\
&\stackrel{(2.20b)}{=} 0
\end{aligned} \tag{5}$$

and

$$[\phi^*(\mathbf{x}), \pi(\mathbf{x}')] = [\pi^*(\mathbf{x}'), \phi(\mathbf{x})]^* \stackrel{(5)}{=} 0.$$

(ii)

Show that the Hamiltonian is

$$H = \int d^3\mathbf{x} (\pi^* \pi + \nabla \phi^* \cdot \nabla \phi + m^2 \phi^* \phi).$$

Solution

The Hamiltonian is given by

$$H = \int d^3\mathbf{x} \left(\sum_i \pi_i \dot{\phi}_i - \mathcal{L} \right). \tag{2.5}$$

Substituting $\pi_1 = \pi$, $\pi_2 = \pi^*$, $\phi_1 = \phi$, $\phi_2 = \phi^*$, and \mathcal{L} from (1) yields

$$\begin{aligned}
H &= \int d^3\mathbf{x} (\pi \partial_0 \phi + \pi^* \partial_0 \phi^* - \partial_\mu \phi^* \partial^\mu \phi + m^2 \phi^* \phi) \\
&= \int d^3\mathbf{x} (\pi \partial_0 \phi + \pi^* \partial_0 \phi^* - \partial_0 \phi^* \partial_0 \phi + \nabla \phi^* \cdot \nabla \phi + m^2 \phi^* \phi) \\
&\stackrel{(2)}{=} \int d^3\mathbf{x} (\pi^* \pi + \nabla \phi^* \cdot \nabla \phi + m^2 \phi^* \phi)
\end{aligned}$$

(iii)

Compute the Hesienberg equation of motion for $\phi(x)$ and show that it is indeed the Klein-Gordon equation.

Solution

We begin by calculating some commutators:

$$\begin{aligned}
[\phi(\mathbf{x}), \pi(\mathbf{x}') \pi^*(\mathbf{x}')] &= [\phi(\mathbf{x}), \pi(\mathbf{x}')] \pi^*(\mathbf{x}') + \pi(\mathbf{x}') [\phi(\mathbf{x}), \pi^*(\mathbf{x}')] \\
&\stackrel{(5) \text{ and } (2.20a)}{=} i \delta^3(\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{x}') \pi^*(\mathbf{x}')
\end{aligned} \tag{6}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
[\phi(\mathbf{x}), \phi(\mathbf{x}') \phi^*(\mathbf{x}')] &= [\phi(\mathbf{x}), \phi(\mathbf{x}')] \phi^*(\mathbf{x}') + \phi(\mathbf{x}') [\phi(\mathbf{x}), \phi^*(\mathbf{x}')] \\
&\stackrel{(3) \text{ and } (2.20b)}{=} 0
\end{aligned} \tag{7}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
[\phi(\mathbf{x}), \nabla\phi(\mathbf{x}') \cdot \nabla\phi^*(\mathbf{x}')] &= [\phi(\mathbf{x}), \nabla\phi(\mathbf{x}')] \cdot \nabla\phi^*(\mathbf{x}') + \nabla\phi(\mathbf{x}') \cdot [\phi(\mathbf{x}), \nabla\phi^*(\mathbf{x}')] \\
&= \nabla'([\phi(\mathbf{x}), \phi(\mathbf{x}')]) \cdot \nabla\phi^*(\mathbf{x}') + \nabla\phi(\mathbf{x}') \cdot \nabla'([\phi(\mathbf{x}), \phi^*(\mathbf{x}')]) \\
&\stackrel{(3) \text{ and } (2.20b)}{=} 0
\end{aligned} \tag{8}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
[\pi(\mathbf{x}), \pi(\mathbf{x}')\pi^*(\mathbf{x}')] &= [\pi(\mathbf{x}), \pi(\mathbf{x}')] \pi^*(\mathbf{x}') + \pi(\mathbf{x}') [\pi(\mathbf{x}), \pi^*(\mathbf{x}')] \\
&\stackrel{(4) \text{ and } (2.20b)}{=} 0
\end{aligned} \tag{9}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
[\pi(\mathbf{x}), \phi(\mathbf{x}')\phi^*(\mathbf{x}')] &= [\pi(\mathbf{x}), \phi(\mathbf{x}')] \phi^*(\mathbf{x}') + \phi(\mathbf{x}') [\pi(\mathbf{x}), \phi^*(\mathbf{x}')] \\
&\stackrel{(3) \text{ and } (2.20a)}{=} -i\delta^3(\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{x}')\phi^*(\mathbf{x}')
\end{aligned} \tag{10}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
[\pi(\mathbf{x}), \nabla\phi(\mathbf{x}') \cdot \nabla\phi^*(\mathbf{x}')] &= \nabla'([\pi(\mathbf{x}), \phi(\mathbf{x}')]) \cdot \nabla\phi^*(\mathbf{x}') + \nabla\phi(\mathbf{x}') \cdot \nabla'([\pi(\mathbf{x}), \phi^*(\mathbf{x}')]) \\
&\stackrel{(3) \text{ and } (2.20a)}{=} -i\nabla'\delta^3(\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{x}') \cdot \nabla\phi^*(\mathbf{x}').
\end{aligned} \tag{11}$$

The Heisenberg equation of motion says

$$\begin{aligned}
i\partial_0\phi(\mathbf{x}) &= [\phi(\mathbf{x}), H] \\
&= [\phi(\mathbf{x}), \int d^3\mathbf{x}' (\pi(\mathbf{x}')\pi^*(\mathbf{x}') + \nabla\phi(\mathbf{x}') \cdot \nabla\phi^*(\mathbf{x}') + m^2\phi(\mathbf{x}')\phi^*(\mathbf{x}'))] \\
&\stackrel{(6), (7) \text{ and } (8)}{=} \int d^3\mathbf{x}' i\delta^3(\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{x}')\pi^*(\mathbf{x}') \\
&= i\pi^*(\mathbf{x}).
\end{aligned}$$

Iterating the Heisenberg equation of motion once more yields

$$\begin{aligned}
-\partial_0^2\phi(\mathbf{x}) &= i\partial_0(i\partial_0\phi(\mathbf{x})) \\
&= i\partial_0(i\pi^*(\mathbf{x})) \\
&= [i\pi^*(\mathbf{x}), H] \\
&= [i\pi^*(\mathbf{x}), \int d^3\mathbf{x}' (\pi(\mathbf{x}')\pi^*(\mathbf{x}') + \nabla\phi(\mathbf{x}') \cdot \nabla\phi^*(\mathbf{x}') + m^2\phi(\mathbf{x}')\phi^*(\mathbf{x}'))] \\
&\stackrel{(9), (10) \text{ and } (11)}{=} i \int d^3\mathbf{x}' (i\nabla'\delta^3(\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{x}')\nabla\phi(\mathbf{x}') + m^2i\delta^3(\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{x}')\phi(\mathbf{x}')) \\
&= -\nabla^2\phi(\mathbf{x}) - m^2\phi(\mathbf{x})
\end{aligned}$$

which is the Klein-Gordon equation. Note: I know the sign is wrong but I fail to see where it went wrong.

b)

(i)

Diagonalize H by introducing creation and annihilation operators.

Solution

We introduce the operators such that

$$\begin{aligned}\phi(\mathbf{x}) &= \int \frac{d^3\mathbf{p}}{(2\pi)^3} \frac{1}{E_{\mathbf{p}}} \left(a_{\mathbf{p}} e^{-ip^\alpha x_\alpha} + b_{\mathbf{p}}^\dagger e^{ip^\alpha x_\alpha} \right), \\ \phi^*(\mathbf{x}) &= \int \frac{d^3\mathbf{p}}{(2\pi)^3} \frac{1}{E_{\mathbf{p}}} \left(b_{\mathbf{p}} e^{-ip^\alpha x_\alpha} + a_{\mathbf{p}}^\dagger e^{ip^\alpha x_\alpha} \right) \\ \pi(\mathbf{x}) &= \partial_0 \phi^*(\mathbf{x}) = i \int \frac{d^3\mathbf{p}}{(2\pi)^3} \left(-b_{\mathbf{p}} e^{-ip^\alpha x_\alpha} + a_{\mathbf{p}}^\dagger e^{ip^\alpha x_\alpha} \right), \\ \pi^*(\mathbf{x}) &= \partial_0 \phi(\mathbf{x}) = i \int \frac{d^3\mathbf{p}}{(2\pi)^3} \left(-a_{\mathbf{p}} e^{-ip^\alpha x_\alpha} + b_{\mathbf{p}}^\dagger e^{ip^\alpha x_\alpha} \right)\end{aligned}$$

Where

$$[a_{\mathbf{p}}, a_{\mathbf{p}'}^\dagger] = [b_{\mathbf{p}}, b_{\mathbf{p}'}^\dagger] = E_{\mathbf{p}} (2\pi)^3 \delta^3(\mathbf{p} - \mathbf{p}'), \quad (12)$$

$$[a_{\mathbf{p}}, a_{\mathbf{p}'}] = [b_{\mathbf{p}}, b_{\mathbf{p}'}] = 0. \quad (13)$$

$$[a_{\mathbf{p}}, b_{\mathbf{p}'}^\dagger] = [a_{\mathbf{p}}, b_{\mathbf{p}'}] = 0. \quad (14)$$

We expand each term of the Hamiltonian:

$$\begin{aligned}\pi^* \pi &= i^2 \int \frac{d^3\mathbf{p} d^3\mathbf{p}'}{(2\pi)^6} \left(a_{\mathbf{p}} b_{\mathbf{p}'} e^{i(-p-p')^\alpha x_\alpha} - a_{\mathbf{p}} a_{\mathbf{p}'}^\dagger e^{i(-p+p')^\alpha x_\alpha} - b_{\mathbf{p}}^\dagger b_{\mathbf{p}'} e^{i(p-p')^\alpha x_\alpha} + b_{\mathbf{p}}^\dagger a_{\mathbf{p}'}^\dagger e^{i(p+p')^\alpha x_\alpha} \right) \\ \nabla \phi^* \cdot \nabla \phi &= \int \frac{d^3\mathbf{p} d^3\mathbf{p}'}{(2\pi)^6} \frac{\mathbf{p} \cdot \mathbf{p}'}{E_{\mathbf{p}} E_{\mathbf{p}'}} \left(b_{\mathbf{p}} a_{\mathbf{p}'} e^{i(-p-p')^\alpha x_\alpha} - b_{\mathbf{p}} b_{\mathbf{p}'}^\dagger e^{i(-p+p')^\alpha x_\alpha} - a_{\mathbf{p}}^\dagger a_{\mathbf{p}'} e^{i(p-p')^\alpha x_\alpha} + a_{\mathbf{p}}^\dagger b_{\mathbf{p}'}^\dagger e^{i(p+p')^\alpha x_\alpha} \right) \\ \phi^* \phi &= \int \frac{d^3\mathbf{p} d^3\mathbf{p}'}{(2\pi)^6} \frac{1}{E_{\mathbf{p}} E_{\mathbf{p}'}} \left(b_{\mathbf{p}} a_{\mathbf{p}'} e^{i(-p-p')^\alpha x_\alpha} + b_{\mathbf{p}} b_{\mathbf{p}'}^\dagger e^{i(-p+p')^\alpha x_\alpha} + a_{\mathbf{p}}^\dagger a_{\mathbf{p}'} e^{i(p-p')^\alpha x_\alpha} + a_{\mathbf{p}}^\dagger b_{\mathbf{p}'}^\dagger e^{i(p+p')^\alpha x_\alpha} \right).\end{aligned}$$

Since $p^\alpha = (E_{\mathbf{p}}, \mathbf{p})$, and since $\int \frac{d^3\mathbf{x}}{(2\pi)^3} e^{i(\mathbf{p}-\mathbf{p}') \cdot \mathbf{x}} = \delta^3(\mathbf{p} - \mathbf{p}')$, we have that

$$\begin{aligned}\int \pi^* \pi d^3\mathbf{x} &= i^2 \int \frac{d^3\mathbf{p} d^3\mathbf{p}'}{(2\pi)^3} \left(\left[a_{\mathbf{p}} b_{\mathbf{p}'} e^{i(-E_{\mathbf{p}}-E_{\mathbf{p}'})t} + b_{\mathbf{p}}^\dagger a_{\mathbf{p}'}^\dagger e^{i(E_{\mathbf{p}}+E_{\mathbf{p}'})t} \right] \delta^3(\mathbf{p} + \mathbf{p}') \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \left[-a_{\mathbf{p}} a_{\mathbf{p}'}^\dagger e^{i(-E_{\mathbf{p}}+E_{\mathbf{p}'})t} - b_{\mathbf{p}}^\dagger b_{\mathbf{p}'} e^{i(E_{\mathbf{p}}-E_{\mathbf{p}'})t} \right] \delta^3(\mathbf{p} - \mathbf{p}') \right) \\ &= \int \frac{d^3\mathbf{p}}{(2\pi)^3} \left(-a_{\mathbf{p}} b_{-\mathbf{p}} e^{i(-E_{\mathbf{p}}-E_{-\mathbf{p}})t} - b_{\mathbf{p}}^\dagger a_{-\mathbf{p}}^\dagger e^{i(E_{\mathbf{p}}+E_{-\mathbf{p}})t} + a_{\mathbf{p}} a_{\mathbf{p}}^\dagger + b_{\mathbf{p}}^\dagger b_{\mathbf{p}} \right)\end{aligned}$$

and similarly

$$\begin{aligned}\int \nabla \phi^* \cdot \nabla \phi d^3\mathbf{x} &= \int \frac{d^3\mathbf{p}}{(2\pi)^3} \frac{\mathbf{p}^2}{E_{\mathbf{p}}^2} \left(b_{\mathbf{p}} a_{-\mathbf{p}} e^{i(-E_{\mathbf{p}}-E_{-\mathbf{p}})t} + a_{\mathbf{p}}^\dagger b_{-\mathbf{p}}^\dagger e^{i(E_{\mathbf{p}}+E_{-\mathbf{p}})t} - b_{\mathbf{p}} b_{\mathbf{p}}^\dagger - a_{\mathbf{p}}^\dagger a_{\mathbf{p}} \right) \\ \int \phi^* \phi d^3\mathbf{x} &= \int \frac{d^3\mathbf{p}}{(2\pi)^3} \frac{1}{E_{\mathbf{p}}^2} \left(b_{\mathbf{p}} a_{-\mathbf{p}} e^{i(-E_{\mathbf{p}}-E_{-\mathbf{p}})t} + a_{\mathbf{p}}^\dagger b_{-\mathbf{p}}^\dagger e^{i(E_{\mathbf{p}}+E_{-\mathbf{p}})t} + b_{\mathbf{p}} b_{\mathbf{p}}^\dagger + a_{\mathbf{p}}^\dagger a_{\mathbf{p}} \right).\end{aligned}$$

Using $\mathbf{p}^2 + m^2 = E_{\mathbf{p}}^2$, we get

$$\begin{aligned}H &= \int \pi^* \pi + \nabla \phi^* \cdot \nabla \phi + m^2 \phi^* \phi d^3\mathbf{x} \\ &= \int \frac{d^3\mathbf{p}}{(2\pi)^3} \left((-a_{\mathbf{p}} b_{-\mathbf{p}} + b_{\mathbf{p}} a_{-\mathbf{p}}) e^{i(-E_{\mathbf{p}}-E_{-\mathbf{p}})t} + (-b_{\mathbf{p}}^\dagger a_{-\mathbf{p}}^\dagger + a_{\mathbf{p}}^\dagger b_{-\mathbf{p}}^\dagger) e^{i(E_{\mathbf{p}}+E_{-\mathbf{p}})t} \right. \\ &\quad \left. + a_{\mathbf{p}} a_{\mathbf{p}}^\dagger + b_{\mathbf{p}}^\dagger b_{\mathbf{p}} + \frac{-\mathbf{p}^2 + m^2}{E_{\mathbf{p}}^2} (a_{\mathbf{p}}^\dagger a_{\mathbf{p}} + b_{\mathbf{p}} b_{\mathbf{p}}^\dagger) \right).\end{aligned}$$

The first term in H is 0 since

$$\int (-a_{\mathbf{p}}b_{-\mathbf{p}} + b_{\mathbf{p}}a_{-\mathbf{p}}) e^{i(-E_{\mathbf{p}}-E_{-\mathbf{p}})t} d^3\mathbf{p} = \int (-a_{\mathbf{p}}b_{-\mathbf{p}} + b_{-\mathbf{p}}a_{\mathbf{p}}) e^{i(-E_{\mathbf{p}}-E_{-\mathbf{p}})t} d^3\mathbf{p} \stackrel{(14)}{=} 0 \quad (15)$$

and since the second term is just the Hermitian conjugate of the first term, it too is 0. Thus the Hamiltonian is

$$H = \int \frac{d^3\mathbf{p}}{(2\pi)^3} \left(a_{\mathbf{p}}a_{\mathbf{p}}^\dagger + b_{\mathbf{p}}^\dagger b_{\mathbf{p}} + \frac{-\mathbf{p}^2 + m^2}{E_{\mathbf{p}}^2} (a_{\mathbf{p}}^\dagger a_{\mathbf{p}} + b_{\mathbf{p}}b_{\mathbf{p}}^\dagger) \right).$$

Since the creation and annihilation operators now only appear in the pairs $a_{\mathbf{p}}a_{\mathbf{p}}^\dagger$, $b_{\mathbf{p}}^\dagger b_{\mathbf{p}}$, $a_{\mathbf{p}}^\dagger a_{\mathbf{p}}$, and $b_{\mathbf{p}}b_{\mathbf{p}}^\dagger$, H is diagonal in the momentum basis.

(ii)

Show that the theory contains two sets of particles of mass m .

Solution

I am unsure how to see this.

c)

(i)

Rewrite the conserved charge

$$Q = \int d^3\mathbf{x} \frac{i}{2} (\phi^* \pi^* - \pi \phi)$$

in terms of creation and annihilation operators.

Solution

First, we calculate

$$\phi^* \pi^* = \int \frac{d^3\mathbf{p} d^3\mathbf{p}'}{(2\pi)^6} \frac{i}{E_{\mathbf{p}}} \left(-b_{\mathbf{p}}a_{\mathbf{p}'} e^{i(-p-p')^\alpha x_\alpha} + b_{\mathbf{p}}b_{\mathbf{p}'}^\dagger e^{i(-p+p')^\alpha x_\alpha} - a_{\mathbf{p}}^\dagger a_{\mathbf{p}'} e^{i(p-p')^\alpha x_\alpha} - a_{\mathbf{p}}^\dagger b_{\mathbf{p}'}^\dagger e^{i(p+p')^\alpha x_\alpha} \right).$$

As before, when integrating over \mathbf{x} , we get a delta function in $\mathbf{p} - \mathbf{p}'$ and are able to simplify as

$$\int \phi^* \pi^* d\mathbf{x} = \int \frac{d^3\mathbf{p}}{(2\pi)^3} \frac{i}{E_{\mathbf{p}}} \left(-b_{\mathbf{p}}a_{-\mathbf{p}} e^{i(-E_{\mathbf{p}}-E_{-\mathbf{p}})t} + b_{\mathbf{p}}b_{\mathbf{p}}^\dagger - a_{\mathbf{p}}^\dagger a_{\mathbf{p}} - a_{\mathbf{p}}^\dagger b_{-\mathbf{p}}^\dagger e^{i(E_{\mathbf{p}}+E_{-\mathbf{p}})t} \right). \quad (16)$$

If we now add (16) to its negative complex conjugate, we get

$$\begin{aligned} \int d^3\mathbf{x} \frac{i}{2} (\phi^* \pi^* - \pi \phi) &= \frac{i^2}{2} \int \frac{d^3\mathbf{p}}{(2\pi)^3} \frac{1}{E_{\mathbf{p}}} \left((-b_{\mathbf{p}}a_{-\mathbf{p}} + b_{-\mathbf{p}}a_{\mathbf{p}}) e^{i(-E_{\mathbf{p}}-E_{-\mathbf{p}})t} + (-a_{\mathbf{p}}^\dagger b_{-\mathbf{p}}^\dagger + a_{-\mathbf{p}}^\dagger b_{\mathbf{p}}^\dagger) e^{i(-E_{\mathbf{p}}-E_{-\mathbf{p}})t} \right. \\ &\quad \left. + b_{\mathbf{p}}b_{\mathbf{p}}^\dagger - a_{\mathbf{p}}^\dagger a_{\mathbf{p}} \right) \end{aligned}$$

By the same argument as in (15) (except we won't have to appeal to (14)), the first two terms here are 0. Thus the charge is

$$Q = \frac{1}{2} \int \frac{d^3\mathbf{p}}{(2\pi)^3} \frac{1}{E_{\mathbf{p}}} (a_{\mathbf{p}}^\dagger a_{\mathbf{p}} - b_{\mathbf{p}}b_{\mathbf{p}}^\dagger)$$

(ii)

Evaluate the charge of the particles of each type.

Solution

In analogy with Peskin & Schroeder's (2.32), we calculate

$$\begin{aligned}
[Q, a_{\mathbf{p}}^\dagger] &= \frac{1}{2} \int \frac{d^3 \mathbf{p}'}{(2\pi)^3} \frac{1}{E_{\mathbf{p}'}} \left([a_{\mathbf{p}'}^\dagger, a_{\mathbf{p}'}] a_{\mathbf{p}}^\dagger - [b_{\mathbf{p}'}^\dagger, a_{\mathbf{p}}^\dagger] \right) \\
&\stackrel{(13) \text{ and } (14)}{=} \frac{1}{2} \int \frac{d^3 \mathbf{p}'}{(2\pi)^3} \frac{1}{E_{\mathbf{p}'}} a_{\mathbf{p}'}^\dagger [a_{\mathbf{p}'}, a_{\mathbf{p}}^\dagger] \\
&\stackrel{(12)}{=} \frac{1}{2} \int \frac{d^3 \mathbf{p}'}{(2\pi)^3} \frac{1}{E_{\mathbf{p}'}} a_{\mathbf{p}'}^\dagger E_{\mathbf{p}} (2\pi)^3 \delta^3(\mathbf{p} - \mathbf{p}') \\
&= \frac{1}{2} a_{\mathbf{p}}^\dagger.
\end{aligned}$$

Thus the charge of the particle created by $a_{\mathbf{p}}^\dagger$ is $+\frac{1}{2}$. By a similar computation, the charge of the particle created by $b_{\mathbf{p}}^\dagger$ is $-\frac{1}{2}$.

d)

(i)

Consider the case of two complex Klein-Gordon fields with the same mass. Label the fields as $\phi_a(x)$, $a = 1, 2$. Show that there are now four conserved charges, one given by the generalization of **c)**, and the other three given by

$$Q^i = \int d^3 \mathbf{x} \frac{i}{2} (\phi_a^* (\sigma^i)_{ab} \pi_b^* - \pi_a (\sigma^i)_{ab} \phi_b),$$

where σ^i are the Pauli sigma matrices.

Solution

We generalize (2.20a) to (11) to for $a_{a\mathbf{p}}$, $a_{a\mathbf{p}}^\dagger$, $b_{a\mathbf{p}}$, and $b_{a\mathbf{p}}^\dagger$ by saying that only operators with the same index can fail to commute. The thing which we want to show is 0 is

$$[Q^i, H] = \left[\int d^3 \mathbf{x} \frac{i}{2} (\phi_a^* (\sigma^i)_{ab} \pi_b^* - \pi_a (\sigma^i)_{ab} \phi_b), \int d^3 \mathbf{x}' (\pi_c^* \pi_c + \nabla \phi_c^* \cdot \nabla \phi_c + m^2 \phi_c^* \phi_c) \right]$$

The terms that will appear are:

$$\begin{aligned}
[\phi_a^*, \pi_c^* \pi_c] \sigma_{ab}^i \pi_b^* &\stackrel{(6)}{=} i \delta^3(\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{x}') \pi_a(\mathbf{x}) \sigma_{ab}^i \pi_b^*(\mathbf{x}') \\
\pi_a \sigma_{ab}^i [\phi_b, \pi_c^* \pi_c] &\stackrel{(6)}{=} i \delta^3(\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{x}') \pi_a(\mathbf{x}) \sigma_{ab}^i \pi_b^*(\mathbf{x}') \\
\phi_a^* \sigma_{ab}^i [\pi_b^*, \nabla \phi_c^* \cdot \nabla \phi_c] &\stackrel{(11)}{=} -\phi_a^*(\mathbf{x}) \sigma_{ab}^i i \nabla' \delta^3(\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{x}') \cdot \nabla \phi_b(\mathbf{x}') \\
[\pi_a, \nabla \phi_c^* \cdot \nabla \phi_c] \sigma_{ab}^i \phi_b &\stackrel{(11)}{=} -i \nabla' \delta^3(\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{x}') \cdot \nabla \phi_b^*(\mathbf{x}') \sigma_{ab}^i \phi_b(\mathbf{x}) \\
\phi_a^* \sigma_{ab}^i [\pi_b^*, \phi_c^* \phi_c] &\stackrel{(10)}{=} -\phi_a^*(\mathbf{x}) \sigma_{ab}^i i \delta^3(\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{x}') \phi_b^*(\mathbf{x}') \\
[\pi_a, \phi_c^* \phi_c] \sigma_{ab}^i \phi_b &\stackrel{(10)}{=} -\phi_a^*(\mathbf{x}) \sigma_{ab}^i i \delta^3(\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{x}') \phi_b^*(\mathbf{x}').
\end{aligned}$$

All of these terms will cancel when integrated. Thus $\partial_0 Q^i = [Q^i, H] = 0$.

(ii)

Show that these three charges have the commutation relations of angular momentum ($SU(2)$).

Solution

From the expression

$$[Q^i, Q^j]$$

and the fact that the Pauli sigma matrices satisfy the $\mathfrak{su}(2)$ algebra, since we generalized (2.20a) to (11) in such a way that fields with different indices will commute, these charges will have the $SU(2)$ commutation relations.

(iii)

Generalize these results to the case of n identical complex scalar fields.