

## Backtesting.py Quick Start User Guide

This tutorial shows some of the features of *backtesting.py*, a Python framework for [backtesting](#) trading strategies.

*Backtesting.py* is a small and lightweight, blazing fast backtesting framework that uses state-of-the-art Python structures and procedures (Python 3.6+, Pandas, NumPy, Bokeh). It has a very small and simple API that is easy to remember and quickly shape towards meaningful results. The library *doesn't* really support stock picking or trading strategies that rely on arbitrage or multi-asset portfolio rebalancing; instead, it works with an individual tradeable asset at a time and is best suited for optimizing position entrance and exit signal strategies, decisions upon values of technical indicators, and it's also a versatile interactive trade visualization and statistics tool.

### Data

You bring your own data. Backtesting ingests *all kinds of OHLC* data (stocks, forex, futures, crypto, ...) as a [pandas.DataFrame](#) with columns 'Open', 'High', 'Low', 'Close' and (optionally) 'Volume'. Such data is widely obtainable, e.g. with packages:

- [pandas-datareader](#),
- [Quandl](#),
- [findatapy](#),
- [yFinance](#),
- [investpy](#), etc.

Besides these columns, **your data frames can have additional columns which are accessible in your strategies in a similar manner.**

DataFrame should ideally be indexed with a *datetime index* (convert it with [pd.to\\_datetime\(\)](#)); otherwise a simple range index will do.

In [1]:

```
# Example OHLC daily data for Google Inc. from backtesting.test import GOOG GOOG.tail()
```

Loading BokehJS ...

Out[1]:

	Open	High	Low	Close	Volume
2013-02-25	782.30	808.41	790.49	790.77	2303900
2013-02-26	795.00	795.95	784.40	790.13	2202500
2013-02-27	794.80	804.75	791.11	799.78	2026100
2013-02-28	801.10	806.99	801.03	801.20	2265800
2013-03-01	797.80	807.14	796.15	806.19	2175400

### Strategy

Let's create our first strategy to backtest on these Google data, a simple [moving average \(MA\) cross-over strategy](#).

*Backtesting.py* doesn't ship its own set of *technical analysis indicators*. Users favoring TA should probably refer to functions from proven indicator libraries, such as [TA-Lib](#) or [Tulipy](#), but for this example, we can define a simple helper moving average function ourselves:

In [2]:

```
import pandas as pd
def SMA(values, n): """ Return simple moving average of `values`, at each step
taking into account `n` previous values. """ return pd.Series(values).rolling(n).mean()
```

A new strategy needs to extend [Strategy](#) class and override its two abstract methods: [init\(\)](#) and [next\(\)](#).

Method [init\(\)](#) is invoked before the strategy is run. Within it, one ideally precomputes in efficient, vectorized manner whatever indicators and signals the strategy depends on.

Method [next\(\)](#) is then iteratively called by the [Backtest](#) instance, once for each data point (data frame row), simulating the incremental availability of each new full candlestick bar.

Note, *backtesting.py* cannot make decisions / trades *within* candlesticks — any new orders are executed on the next candle's *open* (or the current candle's *close* if [trade\\_on\\_close=True](#)). If you find yourself wishing to trade within candlesticks (e.g. daytrading), you instead need to begin with more fine-grained (e.g. hourly) data.

In [3]:

```
from backtesting import Strategy
from backtesting.lib import crossover
class SmaCross(Strategy): # Define the two MA lags as *class variables* # for later optimization
n1 = 10
n2 = 20
def init(self): # Precompute the two moving averages
self.sma1 = self.I(SMA, self.data.Close, self.n1)
self.sma2 = self.I(SMA, self.data.Close, self.n2)
def next(self): # If sma1 crosses above sma2, close any existing # short trades, and buy the asset if crossover(self.sma1, self.sma2):
self.position.close()
self.buy() # Else, if sma1 crosses below sma2, close any existing # long trades, and sell the asset elif crossover(self.sma2, self.sma1):
self.position.close()
self.sell()
```

In [init\(\)](#) as well as in [next\(\)](#), the data the strategy is simulated on is available as an instance variable [self.data](#).

In [init\(\)](#), we declare and **compute indicators indirectly by wrapping them in [self.I\(\)](#)**. The wrapper is passed a function (our [SMA](#) function) along with any arguments to call it with (our *close* values and the MA lag). Indicators wrapped in this way will be automatically plotted, and their legend strings will be intelligently inferred.

In [next\(\)](#), we simply check if the faster moving average just crossed over the slower one. If it did and upwards, we close the possible short position and go long; if it did and downwards, we close the open long position and go short. Note, we don't adjust order size, so *Backtesting.py* assumes *maximal possible position*. We use [backtesting.lib.crossover\(\)](#) function instead of writing more obscure and confusing conditions, such as:

In [4]:

```
%script echo
def next(self):
    if (self.sma1[-2] < self.sma2[-2] and self.sma1[-1] > self.sma2[-1]):
        self.position.close()
        self.buy()
    elif (self.sma1[-2] > self.sma2[-2] and self.sma1[-1] < self.sma2[-1]):
        self.position.close()
        self.sell()
```

In [init\(\)](#), the whole series of points was available, whereas in [next\(\)](#), **the length of [self.data](#) and all declared indicators is adjusted** on each [next\(\)](#) call so that `array[-1]` (e.g. `self.data.Close[-1]` or `self.sma1[-1]`) always contains the most recent value, `array[-2]` the previous value, etc. (ordinary Python indexing of ascending-sorted 1D arrays).

**Note:** `self.data` and any indicators wrapped with `self.I` (e.g. `self.sma1`) are NumPy arrays for performance reasons. If you prefer pandas Series or DataFrame objects, use `Strategy.data.<column>.s` or `Strategy.data.df` accessors respectively. You could also construct the series manually, e.g. `pd.Series(self.data.Close, index=self.data.index)`.

We might avoid `self.position.close()` calls if we primed the [Backtest](#) instance with `Backtest(..., exclusive_orders=True)`.

## Backtesting

Let's see how our strategy performs on historical Google data. The `Backtest` instance is initialized with OHLC data and a strategy *class* (see API reference for additional options), and we begin with 10,000 units of cash and set broker's commission to realistic 0.2%.

In [5]:

```
from backtesting import Backtest bt = Backtest(GOOG, SmaCross, cash=10_000, commission=.002) stats = bt.run() stats
```

Out[5]:

```
Start 2004-08-19 00:00:00 End 2013-03-01 00:00:00 Duration 3116 days 00:00:00 Exposure Time [%] 94.27
Equity Final [$] 56263.52 Equity Peak [$] 56309.06 Commissions [$] 10563.95 Return [%] 462.64 Buy & Hold
Return [%] 607.37 Return (Ann.) [%] 22.47 Volatility (Ann.) [%] 37.41 CAGR [%] 14.99 Sharpe Ratio 0.60
Sortino Ratio 1.14 Calmar Ratio 0.66 Alpha [%] 450.62 Beta 0.02 Max. Drawdown [%] -33.93 Avg. Drawdown
[%] -6.16 Max. Drawdown Duration 830 days 00:00:00 Avg. Drawdown Duration 50 days 00:00:00 # Trades 93
Win Rate [%] 52.69 Best Trade [%] 56.92 Worst Trade [%] -16.83 Avg. Trade [%] 1.76 Max. Trade Duration
121 days 00:00:00 Avg. Trade Duration 32 days 00:00:00 Profit Factor 1.99 Expectancy [%] 2.29 SQN 1.58
Kelly Criterion 0.21 _strategy SmaCross _equity_curve Equ... _trades Size EntryB... dtype: object
```

`Backtest.run()` method returns a pandas Series of simulation results and statistics associated with our strategy. We see that this simple strategy makes almost 600% return in the period of 9 years, with maximum drawdown 33%, and with longest drawdown period spanning almost two years ...

`Backtest.plot()` method provides the same insights in a more visual form.

In [6]:

```
bt.plot()
```

Out[6]:

`GridPlot(id='p1349', ...)`

## Optimization

We hard-coded the two lag parameters (`n1` and `n2`) into our strategy above. However, the strategy may work better with 15–30 or some other cross-over. **We declared the parameters as optimizable by making them [class variables](#).**

We optimize the two parameters by calling `Backtest.optimize()` method with each parameter a keyword argument pointing to its pool of possible values to test. Parameter `n1` is tested for values in range between 5 and 30 and parameter `n2` for values between 10 and 70, respectively. Some combinations of values of the two parameters are invalid, i.e. `n1` should not be *larger than* or equal to `n2`. We limit admissible parameter combinations with an *ad hoc* constraint function, which takes in the parameters and returns `True` (i.e. admissible) whenever `n1` is less than `n2`. Additionally, we search for such parameter combination that maximizes return over the observed period. We could instead choose to optimize any other key from the returned `stats` series.

In [7]:

```
%time stats = bt.optimize(n1=range(5, 30, 5), n2=range(10, 70, 5), maximize='Equity Final [$]',
constraint=lambda param: param.n1 < param.n2) stats
```

```
CPU times: user 128 ms, sys: 43.3 ms, total: 171 ms Wall time: 1.66 s
```

Out[7]:

```
Start 2004-08-19 00:00:00 End 2013-03-01 00:00:00 Duration 3116 days 00:00:00 Exposure Time [%] 98.14
Equity Final [$] 77829.05 Equity Peak [$] 84982.19 Commissions [$] 30771.04 Return [%] 678.29 Buy & Hold
Return [%] 687.99 Return (Ann.) [%] 27.22 Volatility (Ann.) [%] 43.21 CAGR [%] 18.05 Sharpe Ratio 0.63
Sortino Ratio 1.28 Calmar Ratio 0.61 Alpha [%] 614.80 Beta 0.09 Max. Drawdown [%] -44.55 Avg. Drawdown
[%] -5.81 Max. Drawdown Duration 1558 days 00:00:00 Avg. Drawdown Duration 50 days 00:00:00 # Trades 152
Win Rate [%] 50.00 Best Trade [%] 61.36 Worst Trade [%] -19.98 Avg. Trade [%] 1.32 Max. Trade Duration
83 days 00:00:00 Avg. Trade Duration 21 days 00:00:00 Profit Factor 1.83 Expectancy [%] 1.75 SQN 1.28
Kelly Criterion 0.13 _strategy SmaCross(n1=10,n2=15) _equity_curve Equ... _trades Size Entry... dtype:
object
```

We can look into `stats['_strategy']` to access the Strategy *instance* and its optimal parameter values (10 and 15).

In [8]:

```
stats._strategy
```

Out[8]:

```
<Strategy SmaCross(n1=10,n2=15)>
```

In [9]:

```
bt.plot(plot_volume=False, plot_pl=False)
```

Out[9]:

**GridPlot**(id = 'p1618', ...)

Strategy optimization managed to up its initial performance *on in-sample data* by almost 50% and even beat simple [buy & hold](#). In real life optimization, however, do **take steps to avoid [overfitting](#)**.

## Trade data¶

In addition to backtest statistics returned by [Backtest.run\(\)](#) shown above, you can look into *individual trade returns* and the changing *equity curve* and *drawdown* by inspecting the last few, internal keys in the result series.

In [10]:

```
stats.tail()
```

Out[10]:

```
SQN 1.28 Kelly Criterion 0.13 _strategy SmaCross(n1=10,n2=15) _equity_curve Equity DrawdownPct
DrawdownDurat... _trades Size EntryBar ExitBar EntryPrice Exit... dtype: object
```

The columns should be self-explanatory.

In [11]:

```
stats['_equity_curve'] # Contains equity/drawdown curves. DrawdownDuration is only defined at ends of DD
periods.
```

Out[11]:

	Equity	DrawdownPct	DrawdownDuration
2004-08-19	10000.00	0.00	NaI
2004-08-20	10000.00	0.00	NaI
2004-08-23	10000.00	0.00	NaI
2004-08-24	10000.00	0.00	NaI
2004-08-25	10000.00	0.00	NaI
...	...	...	...
2013-02-25	76348.73	0.10	NaI
2013-02-26	76287.29	0.10	NaI
2013-02-27	77213.69	0.09	NaI
2013-02-28	77350.01	0.09	NaI
2013-03-01	77829.05	0.08	1558 days

2148 rows × 3 columns

In [12]:

```
stats['_trades'] # Contains individual trade data
```

Out[12]:

	Size	EntryBar	ExitBar	EntryPrice	ExitPrice	SL	TP	Profit	Commission	EntryTime	ExitTime	Duration	Flag	SMA(5)	SMA(10)	SMA(20)	SMA(50)	SMA(150)
0	87	20	60	114.42	185.23	None	None	6108.35	52.14	0.61	2004-09-07	2004-09-11	1-12 days	None	107.40	181.07	105.75	183.32
1	86	60	69	185.23	175.80	None	None	748.88	62.10	0.05	2004-09-11	2004-09-25	1-26 days	None	181.07	173.56	183.32	173.14
2	95	69	71	175.80	180.71	None	None	398.71	67.74	0.02	2004-09-25	2004-10-04	1-30 days	None	173.56	173.18	173.14	174.55
3	95	71	75	180.71	179.13	None	None	81.73	68.37	0.00	2004-10-04	2004-10-10	2-6 days	None	173.18	176.58	174.55	175.51
4	96	75	82	179.13	177.99	None	None	178.06	68.57	-0.01	2004-10-10	2004-10-19	2-15 days	None	176.58	175.15	175.51	176.58
...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...
147	90	2056	2085	740.13	687.78	None	None	4454.48	257.02	0.07	2012-10-12	2012-10-26	1-29 days	None	752.66	667.39	753.95	664.45
148	104	2085	2111	687.78	735.54	None	None	4670.92	296.05	0.07	2012-10-26	2012-11-05	1-10 days	None	667.39	718.50	664.45	719.00
149	103	2111	2113	735.54	742.83	None	None	1055.80	304.54	0.01	2013-01-08	2013-01-10	2-10 days	None	718.50	724.62	719.00	721.51
150	101	2113	2121	742.83	735.99	None	None	989.52	298.72	0.01	2013-01-10	2013-01-23	1-13 days	None	724.62	724.32	721.51	726.41
151	100	2121	2127	735.99	750.51	None	None	1749.29	297.30	0.02	2013-01-23	2013-01-31	1-8 days	None	724.32	738.20	726.41	735.12

152 rows × 18 columns

Learn more by exploring further [examples](#) or find more framework options in the [full API reference](#).