

Definitions

We presume g^* is true model and

$$y = g^*(X) + \epsilon$$

Suppose we have sub-Gaussian errors ϵ for constants K and σ_0^2 :

$$\max_{i=1:n} K^2 (E [\exp(|\epsilon_i|^2 K^2) - 1]) \leq \sigma_0^2$$

We will be minimizing $\arg \min_{g \in \mathcal{G}} \|y - g\|_T^2 + \lambda^2 I^v(g)$ to obtain fitted models \hat{g}_λ .

We will also restrict $\lambda > \lambda_{min} = O_p(n^{-t})$ for a t we will specify later.

Goal:

Bound

$$Pr(\|\hat{g}_\lambda - g^*\|_V \geq \delta) \leq ???$$

Proof

Consider the class

$$\mathcal{G}' = \left\{ \frac{g - g^*}{I(g) + I(g^*)} : g \in \mathcal{G}, I(g) + I(g^*) > 0 \right\}$$

Suppose this class is bounded and its entropy is for $\alpha \in (0, 2)$

$$H(\delta, \mathcal{G}', Q_n) \leq A\delta^{-\alpha} \forall \delta > 0, n \geq 1$$

Also note that the class

$$\mathcal{G}'' = \left\{ \left(\frac{g - g^*}{I(g) + I(g^*)} \right)^2 : g \in \mathcal{G}, I(g) + I(g^*) > 0 \right\}$$

must also be bounded. For some other constant \tilde{A} , we have that its entropy is bounded above by (proof in the mini appendix below)

$$H(\delta, \mathcal{G}'', Q_n) \leq \tilde{A}\delta^{-\alpha} \forall \delta > 0, n \geq 1$$

Concentration inequality 1:

By Lemma 8.4, since ϵ is sub-gaussian and we've assumed that \mathcal{G}' is bounded ($\sup_{g' \in \mathcal{G}'} \|g'\|_n \leq R$) then for some constant c depending on $A, \alpha, R, K, \sigma_0$, we have for all $\delta\sqrt{n} \geq c$

$$Pr\left(\sup_{g \in \mathcal{G}} \frac{|(\epsilon, g - g^*)_n|}{\|g - g^*\|^{1-\alpha/2} (I(g) + I(g^*))^{\alpha/2}} > \delta\right) \leq c \exp\left(-\frac{\delta^2 n}{c^2}\right)$$

Concentration inequality 2:

Now consider two sets of samples $\{X_i\}_{i=1}^n, \{X'_i\}_{i=1}^n$. We are interested in the concentration inequality for

$$\frac{|\|g - g^*\|_n^2 - \|g - g^*\|_{n'}^2|}{(I(g) + I(g^*))^2}$$

where $\|g - g^*\|_{n'}^2 = \sum_{i=1}^n (g - g^*)^2(X'_i)$.

Using the Rademacher sequence $\{W_i\}_{i=1}^n$, we know that

$$\begin{aligned} Pr \left(\sup_{g \in \mathcal{G}} \frac{|\|g - g^*\|_n^2 - \|g - g^*\|_{n'}^2|}{(I(g) + I(g^*))^2} > \delta \right) &= Pr \left(\sup_{g \in \mathcal{G}} \frac{|\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n W_i ((g - g^*)^2(X_i) - (g - g^*)^2(X'_i))|}{(I(g) + I(g^*))^2} > \delta \right) \\ &\leq 2Pr \left(\sup_{g \in \mathcal{G}} \frac{|\frac{1}{n} \sum W_i (g - g^*)^2(X_i)|}{(I(g) + I(g^*))^2} > \delta/2 \right) \end{aligned}$$

By Lemma 3.2, since the Rademacher sequence is sub-Gaussian and we've assumed that \mathcal{G}'' is bounded ($\sup_{g'' \in \mathcal{G}''} \|g''\|_n \leq R^2$), then there exists constants C, A_0 s.t.

$$\delta \sqrt{n} \geq A_0 \delta^{1-\alpha/2} \geq C \left(\int_0^\delta H^{1/2}(u, \mathcal{G}'', Q_n) du \vee R^2 \right)$$

That is, for all

$$\delta \geq A_0^{2/\alpha} n^{-1/\alpha}$$

there is some constant c depending only on A_0 and α

$$Pr \left(\sup_{g \in \mathcal{G}} \frac{|\frac{1}{n} \sum W_i (g - g^*)^2(X_i)|}{(I(g) + I(g^*))^2} > \delta \right) \leq c \exp \left(-\frac{n\delta^2}{c^2 R^2} \right)$$

That is,

$$Pr \left(\sup_{g \in \mathcal{G}} \frac{|\|g - g^*\|_n^2 - \|g - g^*\|_{n'}^2|}{(I(g) + I(g^*))^2} > \delta \right) \leq \frac{c}{2} \exp \left(-\frac{n\delta^2}{4c^2 R^2} \right)$$

Construct our high probability set \mathcal{T}

Let $\delta = o_p(n^{-1/2})$. Consider the set

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{T} &= \left\{ \{X_i\}_{i=1}^n, \{X'_i\}_{i=1}^{n'} \text{ where the conditions (1),(2),(3) hold} \right\} \\ (1) \quad &\sup_g \frac{|\|g - g^*\|_n^2 - \|g - g^*\|_{n'}^2|}{(I(g) + I(g^*))^2} \leq \delta \\ (2) \quad &\sup_g \frac{|(\epsilon, g - g^*)_{n'}|}{\|g - g^*\|_{n'}^{1-\alpha/2} (I(g) + I(g^*))^{\alpha/2}} \leq \delta \\ (3) \quad &\sup_g \frac{|(\epsilon, g - g^*)_n|}{\|g - g^*\|_n^{1-\alpha/2} (I(g) + I(g^*))^{\alpha/2}} \leq \delta \end{aligned}$$

This set occurs with high probability on the order of $Pr(\mathcal{T}) = c \exp \left(-O_p(1) \frac{\delta^2 n}{c^2} \right)$ as shown by the concentration inequalities given above. Hence we can now suppose our training and validation set come from \mathcal{T} .

Define the following:

- $\hat{g}_\lambda \equiv \arg \min_{g \in \mathcal{G}} \|y - g\|_T^2 + \lambda^2 I^v(g)$ as the minimizer of the penalized loss on the training set.
- $\hat{\lambda} \equiv \arg \min_{\lambda \in \Lambda} \|y - \hat{g}_\lambda\|_V^2$ as the minimizer of the loss on the validation set (but constrained to minimizers of the training set).
- $\tilde{\lambda}$ as the penalty parameter that attains the asymptotically optimal convergence rate. By Theorem 10.2, assuming $I(g^*) > 0$ and $v > \frac{2\alpha}{2+\alpha}$, we have chosen $\tilde{\lambda}$ to satisfy

$$\begin{aligned} \|\hat{g}_{\tilde{\lambda}} - g^*\|_T &= O_p(\tilde{\lambda}) I^{v/2}(g^*) \\ \tilde{\lambda}^{-1} &= O_p(n^{1/(2+\alpha)}) I^{(2v-2\alpha+v\alpha)/2(2+\alpha)}(g^*) \\ I(\hat{g}_{\tilde{\lambda}}) &= O_p(1) I(g^*) \end{aligned}$$

Show $\hat{g}_{\hat{\lambda}}$ behaves well on \mathcal{T}

By definition, we have

$$\|y - \hat{g}_{\hat{\lambda}}\|_V^2 \leq \|y - \hat{g}_{\hat{\lambda}}\|_V^2$$

By adding and subtracting g^* in the squared norms, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|g^* - \hat{g}_{\hat{\lambda}}\|_V^2 &\leq \|g^* - \hat{g}_{\hat{\lambda}}\|_V^2 + 2(\epsilon, \hat{g}_{\hat{\lambda}} - \hat{g}_{\hat{\lambda}})_V \\ &\leq \|g^* - \hat{g}_{\hat{\lambda}}\|_V^2 + 2(\epsilon, \hat{g}_{\hat{\lambda}} - g^*)_V + 2(\epsilon, g^* - \hat{g}_{\hat{\lambda}})_V \\ &\leq \|g^* - \hat{g}_{\hat{\lambda}}\|_V^2 + 2|(\epsilon, \hat{g}_{\hat{\lambda}} - g^*)_V| + 2|(\epsilon, g^* - \hat{g}_{\hat{\lambda}})_V| \end{aligned}$$

Case 1: $\|g^* - \hat{g}_{\hat{\lambda}}\|_V^2$ is the largest term on the RHS

On the set \mathcal{T} , we have

$$|\|g^* - \hat{g}_{\hat{\lambda}}\|_V^2 - \|g^* - \hat{g}_{\hat{\lambda}}\|_T^2| \leq \delta (I(\hat{g}_{\hat{\lambda}}) + I(g^*))^2$$

Since $\|\hat{g}_{\hat{\lambda}} - g^*\|_T = O_p(\tilde{\lambda})I^{v/2}(g^*)$, then

$$\begin{aligned} \|g^* - \hat{g}_{\hat{\lambda}}\|_V^2 &\leq \delta (I(\hat{g}_{\hat{\lambda}}) + I(g^*))^2 + \|\hat{g}_{\hat{\lambda}} - g^*\|_T^2 \\ &\leq \delta (I(\hat{g}_{\hat{\lambda}}) + I(g^*))^2 + O_p(\tilde{\lambda}^2) I^v(g^*) \\ &\leq O_p(1)\delta I^2(g^*) + O_p(\tilde{\lambda}^2) I^v(g^*) \end{aligned}$$

Since we also know the order of λ^* , we have

$$\|g^* - \hat{g}_{\hat{\lambda}}\|_V = \sqrt{O_p(1)\delta I^2(g^*) + O_p(n^{-2/(2+\alpha)})I^{v-(2v-2\alpha+v\alpha)/(2+\alpha)}(g^*)}$$

Here, we are looking at a convergence rate of

$$\|g^* - \hat{g}_{\hat{\lambda}}\|_V = O_p(n^{-1/4})I(g^*)$$

or

$$\|g^* - \hat{g}_{\hat{\lambda}}\|_V = O_p(n^{-1/(2+\alpha)})I^{v/2-(2v-2\alpha+v\alpha)/2(2+\alpha)}(g^*)$$

Case 2: $|2(\epsilon, g^* - \hat{g}_{\hat{\lambda}})_V|$ is the largest term on the RHS

On set \mathcal{T} , we have

$$\begin{aligned} |(\epsilon, \hat{g}_{\hat{\lambda}} - g^*)_V| &\leq \delta \|\hat{g}_{\hat{\lambda}} - g^*\|_V^{1-\alpha/2} (I(\hat{g}_{\hat{\lambda}}) + I(g^*))^{\alpha/2} \\ &\leq \delta \left(O_p(1)\delta I^2(g^*) + O_p(n^{-2/(2+\alpha)})I^{-(2v-2\alpha+v\alpha)/(2+\alpha)}(g^*)I^v(g^*) \right)^{1-\alpha/2} I^{\alpha/2}(g^*)O_p(1) \end{aligned}$$

Hence

$$\|g^* - \hat{g}_{\hat{\lambda}}\|_V = \sqrt{\delta \left(O_p(1)\delta I^2(g^*) + O_p(n^{-2/(2+\alpha)})I^{-(2v-2\alpha+v\alpha)/(2+\alpha)}(g^*)I^v(g^*) \right)^{1-\alpha/2} I^{\alpha/2}(g^*)O_p(1)}$$

Here we are looking at a convergence rate of

$$\|g^* - \hat{g}_{\hat{\lambda}}\|_V = O_p(n^{(\alpha-3)/4})I^{2-\alpha/2}(g^*)$$

Case 3: $|2(\epsilon, \hat{g}_{\hat{\lambda}} - g^*)_V|$ is the largest term on the RHS

On set \mathcal{T} , we have

$$|(\epsilon, \hat{g}_{\hat{\lambda}} - g^*)|_V \leq \delta \|\hat{g}_{\hat{\lambda}} - g^*\|_V^{1-\alpha/2} (I(\hat{g}_{\hat{\lambda}}) + I(g^*))^{\alpha/2}$$

So

$$\|g^* - \hat{g}_{\hat{\lambda}}\|_V^2 \leq 6\delta \|\hat{g}_{\hat{\lambda}} - g^*\|_V^{1-\alpha/2} (I(\hat{g}_{\hat{\lambda}}) + I(g^*))^{\alpha/2}$$

Dividing both sides, we get

$$\|g^* - \hat{g}_{\hat{\lambda}}\|_V \leq O_p(1)\delta^{2/(2+\alpha)} (I(\hat{g}_{\hat{\lambda}}) + I(g^*))^{\alpha/(2+\alpha)}$$

This is tricky since $I(\hat{g}_{\hat{\lambda}})$ is unknown.

Let's add the assumption that $\lambda_{min} = O_p(n^{-t})$ for some $t > 0$. We must make sure that $\lambda_{min} \leq \tilde{\lambda}$. Let's consider these two cases:

Case 3a: $I(\hat{g}_{\hat{\lambda}}) \geq I(\hat{g}_{\tilde{\lambda}})$

By definition of $\hat{g}_{\hat{\lambda}}$, we have that

$$\|y - \hat{g}_{\hat{\lambda}}\|_T^2 + \hat{\lambda}^2 I^v(\hat{g}_{\hat{\lambda}}) \leq \|y - \hat{g}_{\tilde{\lambda}}\|_T^2 + \hat{\lambda}^2 I^v(\hat{g}_{\tilde{\lambda}})$$

which implies that

$$\hat{\lambda}^2 I^v(\hat{g}_{\hat{\lambda}}) \leq \|y - \hat{g}_{\tilde{\lambda}}\|_T^2 + \hat{\lambda}^2 I^v(\hat{g}_{\tilde{\lambda}})$$

Case 3aa: If $\|y - \hat{g}_{\tilde{\lambda}}\|_T^2 \leq \hat{\lambda}^2 I^v(\hat{g}_{\tilde{\lambda}})$, then $I^v(\hat{g}_{\hat{\lambda}}) \leq 2I^v(\hat{g}_{\tilde{\lambda}})$. Refer to Case 3b below to see that

$$\|g^* - \hat{g}_{\hat{\lambda}}\|_V \leq O_p(1)\delta^{2/(2+\alpha)} I(g^*)^{\alpha/(2+\alpha)}$$

Case 3ab: If $\|y - \hat{g}_{\tilde{\lambda}}\|_T^2 \geq \hat{\lambda}^2 I^v(\hat{g}_{\tilde{\lambda}})$, then $\hat{\lambda}^2 I^v(\hat{g}_{\hat{\lambda}}) \leq 2\|y - \hat{g}_{\tilde{\lambda}}\|_T^2$. Since we know that $\|y - \hat{g}_{\tilde{\lambda}}\|_T = O_p(\tilde{\lambda})I^{v/2}(g^*)$. Hence we find that

$$I(\hat{g}_{\hat{\lambda}}) \leq O_p(n^{(2t-2/(2+\alpha))/v}) I^{1-(2v-2\alpha+v\alpha)/(v(2+\alpha))}(g^*)$$

Plugging the above into the inequality

$$\|g^* - \hat{g}_{\hat{\lambda}}\|_V \leq O_p(1)\delta^{2/(2+\alpha)} I(\hat{g}_{\hat{\lambda}})^{\alpha/(2+\alpha)}$$

we get

$$\|g^* - \hat{g}_{\hat{\lambda}}\|_V \leq \delta^{2/(2+\alpha)} O_p(n^{(2t-\frac{2}{2+\alpha})\frac{\alpha}{(2+\alpha)v}}) I^{\frac{\alpha}{2+\alpha} \frac{2\alpha}{v(2+\alpha)}}(g^*)$$

Since $\delta = O(n^{-1/2})$, then we just need t s.t.

$$(2t - \frac{2}{2+\alpha})\frac{\alpha}{(2+\alpha)v} - \frac{1}{2+\alpha} < 0$$

in order to have $\|g^* - \hat{g}_{\hat{\lambda}}\|_V \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Rearranging, we get that we must choose t s.t.

$$t < \frac{v}{2\alpha} + \frac{1}{2+\alpha}$$

We check that indeed, we can choose $\lambda_{min} \leq \tilde{\lambda}$ since $\tilde{\lambda} = O_p(n^{-1/(2+\alpha)}) I^{(2v-2\alpha+v\alpha)/(2(2+\alpha))}(g^*)$. Let $\theta \in (0, 1)$. Then reparameterizing $t = \theta \frac{v}{2\alpha} + \frac{1}{2+\alpha}$, we get the following convergence rate:

$$\|g^* - \hat{g}_{\hat{\lambda}}\|_V \leq O_p(n^{\frac{\theta-1}{2+\alpha}}) I^{\frac{\alpha}{2+\alpha} \frac{2\alpha}{v(2+\alpha)}}(g^*)$$

So if we choose θ close to 1, then we get super slow convergence rate. If we choose θ close to 0, then we are essentially requiring $\lambda_{min} = \hat{\lambda}$, which gives us a great convergence rate but the value λ_{min} will be hard to determine. If we choose $\theta = 1/2$, then we get a convergence rate about $O_p(n^{-1/(4+2\alpha)})$. There's a tradeoff between choosing λ_{min} as small as possible and the convergence rate.

Case 3b: $I(\hat{g}_{\hat{\lambda}}) \leq I(\hat{g}_{\bar{\lambda}})$

We're all happy in this case since we know that $I(g_{\bar{\lambda}}) = O_p(1)I(g^*)$:

$$\begin{aligned} \|g^* - \hat{g}_{\hat{\lambda}}\|_V &\leq O_p(1)\delta^{2/(2+\alpha)} (I(g_{\bar{\lambda}}) + I(g^*))^{\alpha/(2+\alpha)} \\ &= O_p(1)\delta^{2/(2+\alpha)} (O_p(1)I(g^*))^{\alpha/(2+\alpha)} \\ &= O_p(1)\delta^{2/(2+\alpha)} I(g^*)^{\alpha/(2+\alpha)} \\ &= O_p(n^{-1/(2+\alpha)}) I(g^*)^{\alpha/(2+\alpha)} \end{aligned}$$

So we have convergence rates of either

$$\|g^* - \hat{g}_{\hat{\lambda}}\|_V = O_p(n^{-\frac{\theta}{2+\alpha}}) I^{\frac{\alpha}{2+\alpha} \frac{2\alpha}{v(2+\alpha)}}(g^*)$$

or

$$\|g^* - \hat{g}_{\hat{\lambda}}\|_V = O_p(n^{-1/(2+\alpha)}) I(g^*)^{\alpha/(2+\alpha)}$$

Summary

From the three cases, we've found that $\|g^* - \hat{g}_{\hat{\lambda}}\|_V$ converges the slowest in case 3ab, for $\theta \in (0, 1)$:

$$\|g^* - \hat{g}_{\hat{\lambda}}\|_V = O_p(n^{\frac{\theta-1}{2+\alpha}}) I^{\frac{\alpha}{2+\alpha} \frac{2\alpha}{v(2+\alpha)}}(g^*)$$

Mini Appendix

Lemma

Define function classes $\mathcal{G}' = \{f\}$ and $\mathcal{G}'' = \{f^2\}$ and let Q_n be an empirical measure. Suppose $\|f\|_{Q_n}^2 < R < \infty \forall f \in \mathcal{G}'$. Then for some constant K , we have

$$H(\delta K, \mathcal{G}'', Q_n) \leq H(\delta, \mathcal{G}', Q_n)$$

Proof

Let the δ -cover set for \mathcal{G}' be $\{f_1, \dots, f_N\}$. Consider any function $f \in \mathcal{G}'$. WLOG, suppose

$$\frac{1}{n} \sum (f - f_1)^2(x_i) \leq \delta$$

Note that

$$\begin{aligned} \sum |f^2 - f_1^2|(x_i) &= \sum |(f - f_1)(f + f_1)|(x_i) \\ &\leq \sqrt{\left(\sum (f - f_1)^2(x_i)\right) \left(\sum (f + f_1)^2(x_i)\right)} \\ &\leq n\sqrt{\delta K} \end{aligned}$$

Hence

$$\sum |f^2 - f_1^2|^2(x_i) \leq \left(\sum |f^2 - f_1^2|(x_i)\right)^2 \leq n^2 \delta K$$

That is,

$$\|f^2 - f_1^2\|_{Q_n} \leq \sqrt{\delta K}$$