1 Simple model

Definitions

We find the best model for y over function class $\mathcal{G}$. Presume $g^* \in \mathcal{G}$ is the true model and

$$y = g^*(X) + \epsilon$$

where ϵ are sub-Gaussian errors for constants K and σ_0^2

$$\max_{i=1:n} K^2 \left(E\left[\exp(|\epsilon_i|^2 K^2) - 1 \right] \right) \leq \sigma_0^2$$

Given a training set T , We define the fitted models

$$\hat{g}_\lambda = \|y - g\|_T^2 + \lambda^2 I^v(g)$$

Given a validation set V , let the CV-fitted model be

$$\hat{g}_{\hat{\lambda}} = \arg\min_\lambda \|y - \hat{g}_\lambda\|_V^2$$

We will suppose $I(g^*) > 0$.

Assumptions

Suppose the entropy of the class $\mathcal{G}'$ is

$$H\left(\delta, \mathcal{G}' = \left\{ \frac{g - g^*}{I(g) + I(g^*)} : g \in \mathcal{G}, I(g) + I(g^*) > 0 \right\}, P_T \right) \quad \leq \quad \tilde{A}\delta^{-\alpha} \tag{1}$$

Suppose $v > 2\alpha/(2+\alpha)$.

Suppose for all $\lambda \in \Lambda$, $I^v(\hat{g}_\lambda)$ is upper bounded by $\|\hat{g}_\lambda\|_n^2 = \frac{1}{n}\sum_{i=1}^n \hat{g}_\lambda(x_i)$. See Lemma 1 below for the specific assumption. This assumption includes Ridge, Lasso, Generalized Lasso, and the Group Lasso.

Result 1: Single λ, Single Penalty, cross-validation over general X_T, X_V

Suppose that the training and validation set are independently sampled, so the values X_i are not necessarily the same. Suppose the training and validation sets are both of size n. Suppose X is bounded s.t. $|X| \leq R_X$ and the domain of $g \in \mathcal{G}$ is over $(-R_X, R_X)$.

Suppose the same entropy bound (2) for both the training set P_T and validation set P_V.

Suppose for all $\lambda \in \Lambda$, $I^v(\hat{g}_\lambda)$ is upper bounded by its L_2-norm with some constant M and M_0 such that

$$I^v(\hat{g}_\lambda) \leq M\|\hat{g}_\lambda\|_n^2 + M_0$$

Suppose the entropy bound for both training set P_T and validation set P_V.

Suppose that

$$\sup_{g \in \mathcal{G}} \frac{\|g - g^*\|_\infty}{I(g) + I(g^*)} \quad \leq \quad K < \infty$$

Let $\tilde{\lambda}$ be the optimal λ by Vandegeer. Then

$$\left\|\hat{g}_{\tilde{\lambda}} - \hat{g}_{\hat{\lambda}}\right\|_V = O_p\left(n^{-1/(2+\alpha)}\right)\left(I^{\alpha/(2+\alpha)}(g^*) + I(g^*)\right)$$

and $\|\hat{g}_{\tilde{\lambda}} - g^*\|_V$ is of the same order (differs by some constant).
Proof:
By the triangle inequality,

$$\|\hat{g}_{\hat{\lambda}} - g^*\|_V \leq \|\hat{g}_{\hat{\lambda}} - \hat{g}_{\tilde{\lambda}}\|_V + \|\hat{g}_{\tilde{\lambda}} - g^*\|_V$$

We bound each component on the RHS separately.
First bound $\|\hat{g}_{\tilde{\lambda}} - g^*\|_V$. By Vandegeer Thrm 10.2 and Lemma 2,

$$\begin{aligned}
\|\hat{g}_{\tilde{\lambda}} - g^*\|_V &\leq \|\hat{g}_{\tilde{\lambda}} - g^*\|_T + \left|\|\hat{g}_{\tilde{\lambda}} - g^*\|_V - \|\hat{g}_{\tilde{\lambda}} - g^*\|_T\right| \\
&\leq O_p\left(n^{-1/(2+\alpha)}\right) I^{\alpha/(2+\alpha)}(g^*) + O_p\left(n^{-1/(2+\alpha)}\right)\left(I(g^*) + I(\hat{g}_{\tilde{\lambda}})\right) \\
&\leq O_p\left(n^{-1/(2+\alpha)}\right)\left(I^{\alpha/(2+\alpha)}(g^*) + I(g^*)\right)
\end{aligned}$$

Next bound $\|\hat{g}_{\hat{\lambda}} - \hat{g}_{\tilde{\lambda}}\|_V$. The basic inequality gives us

$$\left\|\hat{g}_{\tilde{\lambda}} - \hat{g}_{\hat{\lambda}}\right\|_V^2 \leq 2\left|\left(\epsilon, \hat{g}_{\tilde{\lambda}} - \hat{g}_{\hat{\lambda}}\right)_V\right| + 2\left|\left(g^* - \hat{g}_{\tilde{\lambda}}, \hat{g}_{\tilde{\lambda}} - \hat{g}_{\hat{\lambda}}\right)_V\right|$$

Case a: $\left|\left(\epsilon, \hat{g}_{\tilde{\lambda}} - \hat{g}_{\hat{\lambda}}\right)_T\right|$ is the bigger term on the RHS
By Vandegeer (10.6),

$$\left\|\hat{g}_{\tilde{\lambda}} - \hat{g}_{\hat{\lambda}}\right\|_V^2 \leq O_P(n^{-1/2})\|\hat{g}_{\tilde{\lambda}} - \hat{g}_{\hat{\lambda}}\|^{1-\alpha/2}\left(I(\hat{g}_{\tilde{\lambda}}) + I(\hat{g}_{\hat{\lambda}})\right)^{\alpha/2}$$

If $I(\hat{g}_{\tilde{\lambda}}) > I(\hat{g}_{\hat{\lambda}})$, then

$$\left\|\hat{g}_{\tilde{\lambda}} - \hat{g}_{\hat{\lambda}}\right\|_V \leq O_P(n^{-1/(2+\alpha)})I(g^*)^{\alpha/(2+\alpha)}$$

Otherwise, suppose $I(\hat{g}_{\tilde{\lambda}}) < I(\hat{g}_{\hat{\lambda}})$. Since I is a pseudo-norm,

$$\begin{aligned}
\left\|\hat{g}_{\tilde{\lambda}} - \hat{g}_{\hat{\lambda}}\right\|_V &\leq O_P(n^{-1/(2+\alpha)})I(\hat{g}_{\hat{\lambda}})^{\alpha/(2+\alpha)} \\
&\leq O_P(n^{-1/(2+\alpha)})\left(I(\hat{g}_{\tilde{\lambda}} - \hat{g}_{\hat{\lambda}}) + I(\hat{g}_{\tilde{\lambda}})\right)^{\alpha/(2+\alpha)}
\end{aligned}$$

If $I(\hat{g}_{\tilde{\lambda}} - \hat{g}_{\hat{\lambda}}) \leq I(\hat{g}_{\tilde{\lambda}})$, then we're done. Otherwise if $I(\hat{g}_{\tilde{\lambda}} - \hat{g}_{\hat{\lambda}}) \geq I(\hat{g}_{\tilde{\lambda}})$, by the assumption that $I^V(\cdot)$ is bounded by the L2 norm,

$$\left\|\hat{g}_{\tilde{\lambda}} - \hat{g}_{\hat{\lambda}}\right\|_V \leq O_P(n^{-1/(2+\alpha)})\left(M\|\hat{g}_{\tilde{\lambda}} - \hat{g}_{\hat{\lambda}}\|_V^2 + M_0\right)^{\alpha/v(2+\alpha)}$$

If M_0 is bigger, we're done. Otherwise,

$$\left\|\hat{g}_{\tilde{\lambda}} - \hat{g}_{\hat{\lambda}}\right\|_V \leq O_P(n^{-v/(2v-2\alpha+\alpha v)}) < O_P(n^{-1/(2+\alpha)})$$

Case b: $\left|\left(g^* - \hat{g}_{\tilde{\lambda}}, \hat{g}_{\tilde{\lambda}} - \hat{g}_{\hat{\lambda}}\right)_V\right|$ is the bigger term on the RHS
By Cauchy Schwarz,

$$\left\|\hat{g}_{\tilde{\lambda}} - \hat{g}_{\hat{\lambda}}\right\|_V \leq O_P(1)\left\|g^* - \hat{g}_{\hat{\lambda}}\right\|_V$$

2 General Additive Model

Definitions

We find the best model for y over function classes $\mathcal{G} = \left\{\sum_{j=1}^J g_j : \ g_j \in \mathcal{G}_j\right\}$. Suppose we observe:

$$y = \sum_{j=1}^J g_j^* + \epsilon$$

where $\sum_{j=1}^J g_j^* \in \mathcal{G}$. Suppose ϵ are sub-Gaussian errors for constants K and σ_0^2:

$$\max_{i=1:n} K^2 \left(E\left[\exp(|\epsilon_i|^2 K^2) - 1\right]\right) \leq \sigma_0^2$$

Given a training set T , we fit models by least squares with multiple penalties

$$\{\hat{g}_{\lambda,j}\}_{j=1}^J = \arg \min_{\sum g_j \in \mathcal{G}} \|y - \sum_{j=1}^J g_j\|_T^2 + \lambda^2 \sum_{j=1}^J I_j^{v_j}(g_j)$$

Given a validation set V , let the CV-fitted model be

$$\{\hat{g}_{\hat{\lambda},j}\}_{j=1}^J = \arg \min_{\lambda} \|y - \sum_{j=1}^J \hat{g}_{\lambda,j}\|_V^2$$

Reasonable assumption:

- The entropy bound (2) in result 2 comes from the assumptions in Lemma 3. The α below is $\alpha = \max_{j=1:J}\{\alpha_j\}$, so convergence is only as fast as fitting the highest-entropy function class. The constant A must be appropriately inflated such that the entropy bound holds for all $\delta \in (0, R]$.

"Special" assumptions:

- We assume exponents $v_j = 1$, whereas Vandegeer Thrm 10.2 only assumes $v > 2\alpha/(2+\alpha)$. Without this assumption, I wasn't able to form inequalities between $\sum_{j=1}^J I_j(g_j) \leq something + \sum_{j=1}^J I_j^{v_j}(g_j)$. Indeed, Remark 1 in "High-dimensional Additive Modeling" (Vandegeer 2009) notes the importance of using the semi-norm instead of the square of the semi-norm.
- We suppose the following incoherence condition, in the spirit of Vandegeer 2014 "The additive model with different smoothness for the components": Let $p_V(\vec{x})$ be the empirical density over the validation set. Let p_{Vj} be the marginal density of x_j for the empirical distribution of the validation set. Let

$$r_V(\vec{x}) = \frac{p_V(\vec{x})}{\Pi_{j=1}^J p_{Vj}(x_j)}, \ \gamma_V^2 = \int r_V(\vec{x}) \Pi_{j=1}^J p_{Vj}(x_j) d\mu$$

  Suppose that $\gamma_V < 1/(J-1)$. Furthermore, we will suppose that $\int g_j p_{Vj} d\mu = 0$ for $j = 2, ..., J$.

Result 2: Additive Model with multiple penalties, Single oracle λ over X_T

Suppose there is some $0 < \alpha < 2$ s.t. for all $\delta \in (0, R]$,

$$H\left(\delta, \left\{\frac{\sum_{j=1}^J g_j - g_j^*}{\sum_{j=1}^J I_j(g_j) + I_j(g_j^*)} : g_j \in \mathcal{G}_j, \sum_{j=1}^J I_j(g_j) + I_j(g_j^*) > 0\right\}, \|\cdot\|_T\right) \leq A\delta^{-\alpha} \tag{2}$$

If λ is chosen s.t.

$$\tilde{\lambda}_T^{-1} = O_p\left(n^{1/(2+\alpha)}\right)\left(\sum_{j=1}^{J} I_j(g_j^*)\right)^{(2-\alpha)/2(2+\alpha)}$$

then

$$\|\sum_{j=1}^{J}\hat{g}_j - g_j^*\|_T = O_p\left(\tilde{\lambda}_T\right)\left(\sum_{j=1}^{J} I_j(g_j^*)\right)^{1/2}$$

and

$$\sum_{j=1}^{J} I_j(\hat{g}_j) = O_p(1)\sum_{j=1}^{J} I_j(g_j^*)$$

Proof:

The basic inequality gives us:

$$\|\sum_{j=1}^{J}\hat{g}_j - g_j^*\|_T^2 + \lambda^2\sum_{j=1}^{J} I_j(\hat{g}_j) \leq 2\left|\left(\epsilon_T, \sum_{j=1}^{J}\hat{g}_j - g_j^*\right)\right| + \lambda^2\sum_{j=1}^{J} I_j(g_j^*)$$

Case 1: $\left|\left(\epsilon_T, \sum_{j=1}^{J}\hat{g}_j - g_j^*\right)\right| \leq \lambda^2\sum_{j=1}^{J} I_j(g_j^*)$

$$\|\sum_{j=1}^{J}\hat{g}_j - g_j^*\|_T \leq O_p(\lambda)\left(\sum_{j=1}^{J} I_j(g_j^*)\right)^{1/2}$$

Case 2: $\left|\left(\epsilon_T, \sum_{j=1}^{J}\hat{g}_j - g_j^*\right)\right| \geq \lambda^2\sum_{j=1}^{J} I_j(g_j^*)$
By Vandegeer (10.6), the basic inequality becomes

$$\|\sum_{j=1}^{J}\hat{g}_j - g_j^*\|_T^2 + \lambda^2\sum_{j=1}^{J} I_j(\hat{g}_j) \leq O_p\left(n^{-1/2}\right)\|\sum_{j=1}^{J}\hat{g}_j - g_j^*\|_T^{1-\alpha/2}\left(\sum_{j=1}^{J} I_j(\hat{g}_j) + I_j(g_j^*)\right)^{\alpha/2}$$

Case 2a: $\sum_{j=1}^{J} I_j(\hat{g}_j) \leq \sum_{j=1}^{J} I_j(g_j^*)$
Then

$$\|\sum_{j=1}^{J}\hat{g}_j - g_j^*\|_T \quad \leq \quad O_p\left(n^{-1/(2+\alpha)}\right)\left(\sum_{j=1}^{J} I_j(g_j^*)\right)^{\alpha/(2+\alpha)}$$

Case 2b: $\sum_{j=1}^{J} I_j(\hat{g}_j) \geq \sum_{j=1}^{J} I_j(g_j^*)$
Then

$$\sum_{j=1}^{J} I_j(\hat{g}_j) \quad \leq \quad O_p\left(n^{-1/(2-\alpha)}\right)\lambda^{-4/(2-\alpha)}\|\sum_{j=1}^{J}\hat{g}_j - g_j^*\|_T$$

Hence

$$\|\sum_{j=1}^{J}\hat{g}_j - g_j^*\|_T \quad \leq \quad O_p\left(n^{-1/(2-\alpha)}\right)\lambda^{-2\alpha/(2-\alpha)}$$

Result 3: Additive Model with multiple penalties, Single cross-validation λ over general X_T, X_V

Suppose that the training and validation set are independently sampled, so the values X_i are not necessarily the same. Suppose the training and validation sets are both of size n. Suppose X is bounded s.t. $|X| \leq R_X$ and the domain of $g \in \mathcal{G}$ is over $(-R_X, R_X)$.

Suppose the same entropy bound (2) for both the training set P_T and validation set P_V.

In addition to the assumptions in Result 4, suppose the infinity norm is also bounded

$$\sup_{g_j \in \mathcal{G}_j} \frac{\| \sum_{j=1}^J g_j - g_j^* \|_\infty}{\sum_{j=1}^J I_j(g_j) + I_j(g_j^*)} \quad \leq \quad K < \infty$$

Suppose there exist constants M,M_0 s.t. for all j and all $\lambda \in \Lambda$

$$I_j\left(\hat{g}_{\lambda,j}\right) \leq M \|\hat{g}_{\lambda,j}\|_V^2 + M_0$$

Special assumption: Suppose the incoherence condition $\gamma_V < 1/(J-1)$. We will also suppose $\int g_j p_{Vj} d\mu = 0$ for $j = 2, ..., J$.

Let $\tilde{\lambda}$ be the optimal λ as specified in Result 2. Then

$$\| \sum_{j=1}^J \hat{g}_{\hat{\lambda},j} - \hat{g}_{\tilde{\lambda},j} \|_V = O_p\left(n^{-1/(2+\alpha)}\right) (1 - \gamma(J-1))^{\alpha/(2+\alpha)} \left(\left(\sum_{j=1}^J I_j(g_j^*) \right)^{\alpha/(2+\alpha)} + \sum_{j=1}^J I_j(g_j^*) + \left\| \sum_{j=1}^J g_j^* \right\|_V^{\alpha/2(2+\alpha)} \right)$$

and $\left\| \sum_{j=1}^J g_j^* - \hat{g}_{\hat{\lambda},j} \right\|_V$ is on the same order (differs by a constant).

Proof:

By the triangle inequality,

$$\left\| \sum_{j=1}^J g_j^* - \hat{g}_{\hat{\lambda},j} \right\|_V \leq \left\| \sum_{j=1}^J \hat{g}_{\hat{\lambda},j} - \hat{g}_{\tilde{\lambda},j} \right\|_V + \left\| \sum_{j=1}^J g_j^* - \hat{g}_{\tilde{\lambda},j} \right\|_V$$

By Lemma 2 and Result 2, we can easily bound $\left\| \sum_{j=1}^J g_j^* - \hat{g}_{\tilde{\lambda},j} \right\|_V$.

$$\begin{aligned} \left\| \sum_{j=1}^J g_j^* - \hat{g}_{\tilde{\lambda},j} \right\|_V &\leq \left\| \sum_{j=1}^J g_j^* - \hat{g}_{\tilde{\lambda},j} \right\|_T + \left| \left\| \sum_{j=1}^J g_j^* - \hat{g}_{\tilde{\lambda},j} \right\|_T - \left\| \sum_{j=1}^J g_j^* - \hat{g}_{\tilde{\lambda},j} \right\|_V \right| \\ &\leq O_p\left(n^{-1/(2+\alpha)}\right) \left(\left(\sum_{j=1}^J I_j(g_j^*) \right)^{\alpha/(2+\alpha)} + \sum_{j=1}^J I_j(g_j^*) \right) \end{aligned}$$

Next bound $\left\| \sum_{j=1}^J \hat{g}_{\hat{\lambda},j} - \hat{g}_{\tilde{\lambda},j} \right\|_V$. By definition of $\hat{\lambda}$, we have the basic inequality

$$\left\| \sum_{j=1}^J \hat{g}_{\tilde{\lambda},j} - \hat{g}_{\hat{\lambda},j} \right\|_V^2 \leq 2 \left| \left(\epsilon, \sum_{j=1}^J \hat{g}_{\tilde{\lambda},j} - \hat{g}_{\hat{\lambda},j} \right)_V \right| + 2 \left| \left(\sum_{j=1}^J g_j^* - \hat{g}_{\tilde{\lambda},j}, \sum_{j=1}^J \hat{g}_{\tilde{\lambda},j} - \hat{g}_{\hat{\lambda},j} \right)_V \right|$$

Case 1: $\left| \left(\epsilon, \sum_{j=1}^J \hat{g}_{\tilde{\lambda},j} - \hat{g}_{\hat{\lambda},j} \right)_V \right|$ is bigger

By Vandegeer (10.6),

$$\left\|\sum_{j=1}^{J}\hat{g}_{\tilde{\lambda},j}-\hat{g}_{\hat{\lambda},j}\right\|_V^{1+\alpha/2} \leq O_p(n^{-1/2})\left(\sum_{j=1}^{J}I_j(\hat{g}_{\tilde{\lambda},j})+I_j(\hat{g}_{\hat{\lambda},j})\right)^{\alpha/2}$$

If $\sum_{j=1}^{J}I_j(\hat{g}_{\tilde{\lambda},j})\geq\sum_{j=1}^{J}I_j(\hat{g}_{\hat{\lambda},j})$, we're done.
Otherwise, suppose $\sum_{j=1}^{J}I_j(\hat{g}_{\tilde{\lambda},j})<\sum_{j=1}^{J}I_j(\hat{g}_{\hat{\lambda},j})$.
Since all the penalties are bounded by the L2 norm,

$$\begin{aligned}\sum_{j=1}^{J}I_j(\hat{g}_{\hat{\lambda},j}) &\leq M\sum_{j=1}^{J}\|\hat{g}_{\lambda j}\|_V^2+M_0J\\ &\leq M\left(1-\gamma(J-1)\right)\|\sum_{j=1}^{J}\hat{g}_{\hat{\lambda},j}\|_V^2+M_0J\end{aligned}$$

where the latter inequality is due to the incoherence assumption and Lemma 4.
Then

$$\left\|\sum_{j=1}^{J}\hat{g}_{\tilde{\lambda},j}-\hat{g}_{\hat{\lambda},j}\right\|_V^{1+\alpha/2} \leq O_p(n^{-1/2})\left(M\left(1-\gamma(J-1)\right)\|\sum_{j=1}^{J}\hat{g}_{\hat{\lambda},j}\|_V^2+M_0J\right)^{\alpha/2}$$

If M_0J is the biggest, we're done. Otherwise,

$$\begin{aligned}\left\|\sum_{j=1}^{J}\hat{g}_{\tilde{\lambda},j}-\hat{g}_{\hat{\lambda},j}\right\|_V^{1+\alpha/2} &\leq O_p(n^{-1/2})\left(1-\gamma(J-1)\right)^{\alpha/2}\|\sum_{j=1}^{J}\hat{g}_{\hat{\lambda},j}\|_V^{\alpha}\\ &\leq O_p(n^{-1/2})\left(1-\gamma(J-1)\right)^{\alpha/2}\left(\left\|\sum_{j=1}^{J}\hat{g}_{\tilde{\lambda},j}-\hat{g}_{\hat{\lambda},j}\right\|_V+\left\|\sum_{j=1}^{J}\hat{g}_{\tilde{\lambda},j}-g_j^*\right\|_V+\left\|\sum_{j=1}^{J}g_j^*\right\|_V\right)^{\alpha}\end{aligned}$$

If $\left\|\sum_{j=1}^{J}\hat{g}_{\tilde{\lambda},j}-\hat{g}_{\hat{\lambda},j}\right\|_V$ or $\left\|\sum_{j=1}^{J}\hat{g}_{\tilde{\lambda},j}-g_j^*\right\|_V$ is the biggest on the RHS, then the rate is faster than $O_p(n^{-1/(2+\alpha)})$. If $\left\|\sum_{j=1}^{J}g_j^*\right\|_V$ is the biggest, then

$$\left\|\sum_{j=1}^{J}\hat{g}_{\tilde{\lambda},j}-\hat{g}_{\hat{\lambda},j}\right\|_V \leq O_p(n^{-1/(2+\alpha)})\left\|\sum_{j=1}^{J}g_j^*\right\|_V^{\alpha/2(2+\alpha)}$$

Case 2: $\left|\left(\sum_{j=1}^{J}g_j^*-\hat{g}_{\hat{\lambda},j},\sum_{j=1}^{J}\hat{g}_{\tilde{\lambda},j}-\hat{g}_{\hat{\lambda},j}\right)_V\right|$ is bigger
By Cauchy Schwarz,

$$\left\|\sum_{j=1}^{J}\hat{g}_{\tilde{\lambda},j}-\hat{g}_{\hat{\lambda},j}\right\|_V \leq O_p(1)\left\|\sum_{j=1}^{J}g_j^*-\hat{g}_{\hat{\lambda},j}\right\|_V$$

3 General Additive Model: Multiple Lambdas

Definitions

We find the best model for y over function classes $\mathcal{G} = \left\{\sum_{j=1}^J g_j : \ g_j \in \mathcal{G}_j\right\}$. Suppose we observe:

$$y = \sum_{j=1}^J g_j^* + \epsilon$$

where $\sum_{j=1}^J g_j^* \in \mathcal{G}$. Suppose ϵ are sub-Gaussian errors for constants K and σ_0^2:

$$\max_{i=1:n} K^2 \left(E\left[\exp(|\epsilon_i|^2 K^2) - 1\right]\right) \leq \sigma_0^2$$

Given a training set T , we fit models by least squares with multiple penalties and tuning parameters

$$\{\hat{g}_{\lambda,j}\}_{j=1}^J = \arg\min_{\sum g_j \in \mathcal{G}} \|y - \sum_{j=1}^J g_j\|_T^2 + \sum_{j=1}^J \lambda_j^2 I_j^{v_j}(g_j)$$

Suppose $1 \leq v_j \leq 2$.

Given a validation set V , let the CV-fitted model be

$$\{\hat{g}_{\hat{\lambda},j}\}_{j=1}^J = \arg\min_{\lambda} \|y - \sum_{j=1}^J \hat{g}_{\lambda,j}\|_V^2$$

Result 4: Additive Model, Oracle $\{\lambda_i\}$ given X_T

These results are implied by Vandegeer's paper "The additive model with different smoothness for the components."

Suppose for all $j = 1 : J$

$$\mathcal{H}\left(\delta, \left\{\frac{g_j - g_j^*}{I(g_j) + I(g_j^*)}\right\}, \|\cdot\|_n\right) \leq A_j \delta^{-\alpha_j} \forall \delta > 0$$

Let

$$\lambda_j = O_p(n^{-1/(2+\alpha_j)})$$

and

$$\left(\sum_{j=1}^J I_j^{q_j}(g_j^*)\right)^{1/2} \lambda_{\max} = O_P(1)R$$

There are some constants c_1, c_2 s.t. for $\lambda_j = O_p(n^{-1/(2+\alpha_j)})$, we have

$$\|\sum g_j^* - \sum \hat{g}_{\hat{\lambda},j}\| \leq c_2 \lambda_{(j)}$$

where $(j) = \arg\max \alpha_j$. That is, the convergence rate depends on the highest-entropy function class (with respect to the penalty)

$$\|\sum_{j=1}^J g_j^* - \sum_{j=1}^J \hat{g}_j\|_T = O_p(n^{-1/(2+\alpha_{(j)})})$$

Jean's version of the Proof for Vandegeer Thrm 3.1:

Suppose for some constant R , we define the function class

$$\mathcal{M}(R) = \left\{ \{g_j\} : (\lambda_j/R)^{(1-q_j)/q_j} \lambda_j I_j(g_j - g_j^*) \leq R, \ \| \sum_{j=1}^{J} g_j - g_j^* \|_T \leq R \right\}$$

Recall that

$$\sup_{g_j \in \mathcal{G}_j} \frac{\left|(\epsilon^T, g_j - g_j^*)\right|}{\left(I_j(g_j) + I_j(g_j^*)\right)^{\alpha_j/2} \|g_j - g_j^*\|^{1-\alpha_j/2}} = O_p(n^{-1/2})$$

By our choice of λ, we have that for function sets $\{g_j - g_j^*\} \in \mathcal{M}(R)$, the empirical process term decreases with n:

$$\begin{aligned} \left|(\epsilon^T, g_j - g_j^*)\right| &\leq O_P(n^{-1/2}) \left(I_j(g_j) + I_j(g_j^*)\right)^{\alpha_j/2} \|g_j - g_j^*\|^{1-\alpha_j/2} \\ &\leq O_P(n^{-1/2}) \left(\lambda_j^{-1/q_j} R^{1/q_j}\right)^{\alpha_j/2} R^{1-\alpha_j/2} \\ &\leq O_P(n^{-1/(2+\alpha_j)}) R^2 \end{aligned}$$

Hence for sufficiently large n, Vandegeer Lemma's 5.4 (Jean's version below) states that the fitted functions $\hat{g_j}$ are also within R of the truth:

$$\{\hat{g_j} - g_j^*\} \in \mathcal{M}(R) \implies \| \sum_{j=1}^{J} g_j^* - \hat{g_j} \|_T \leq R$$

Now we just need to determine the right value for R. Choose n sufficiently large s.t. the penalty term for function (j) is the highest (for the truth)

$$\lambda_j^2 I_j^{q_j}(g_j^*) \leq \lambda_{(j)}^2 I_{(j)}^{q_{(j)}}(g_{(j)}^*) \ \forall j$$

Then choose R s.t.

$$\left(\lambda_{(j)}^2 I_{(j)}^{q_{(j)}}(g_{(j)}^*)\right)^{1/2} J^{1/2} = O_P(1) R$$

Hence

$$\| \sum_{j=1}^{J} g_j^* - \hat{g_j} \|_T \leq n^{-1/(2+\alpha_{(j)})} J^{1/2} I_{(j)}^{q_{(j)}/2}(g_{(j)}^*)$$

Result 5: Additive Model, Cross-validated $\{\lambda_i\}$ over general X_T, X_V

Assume the same conditions as result 4, but also for the validation set.

Condition 2.4: Incoherence condition on the validation set. Let $p_V(\vec{x})$ be the empirical density over the validation set. Let p_{Vj} be the marginal density of x_j for the empirical distribution of the validation set. Let

$$r_V(\vec{x}) = \frac{p_V(\vec{x})}{\Pi_{j=1}^J p_{Vj}(x_j)}, \ \gamma_V^2 = \int r_V(\vec{x}) \Pi_{j=1}^J p_{Vj}(x_j) d\mu$$

Suppose that $\gamma_V < 1/(J-1)$. Furthermore, we will suppose that $\int g_j p_{Vj} d\mu = 0$ for $j = 2, ..., J$.

Additionally, suppose there exist constants M,M_0 s.t. for all j and all $\lambda \in \Lambda$

$$I_j\left(\hat{g}_{\lambda,j}\right) \leq M \|\hat{g}_{\lambda,j}\|_V^2 + M_0$$

Let $\tilde{\lambda}$ be the optimal $\{\lambda_i\}$ as specified in Result 4. Then

$$\|\sum_{j=1}^{J}\hat{g}_{\hat{\lambda},j}-\hat{g}_{\tilde{\lambda},j}\|_V = O_p\left(n^{-1/(2+\alpha_{(j)})}\right)(1-\gamma(J-1))^{\alpha_{(j)}/(2+\alpha_{(j)})}\left(\left(\sum_{j=1}^{J}I_j(g_j^*)\right)^{\alpha_{(j)}/(2+\alpha_{(j)})}+\sum_{j=1}^{J}I_j(g_j^*)+\left\|\sum_{j=1}^{J}g_j^*\right\|_V^{\alpha_{(j)}/2(}\right.$$

and $\left\|\sum_{j=1}^{J}g_j^*-\hat{g}_{\hat{\lambda},j}\right\|_V = O_p(1)\left\|\sum_{j=1}^{J}g_j^*-\hat{g}_{\tilde{\lambda},j}\right\|_T$.

Proof:

Exactly the same as Result 3

Lemmas

Lemma 1:

Suppose for all $\lambda \in \Lambda$, the penalty function $I^v(g_\lambda)$ is upper-bounded by $\|g_\lambda\|_n^2 = \frac{1}{n}\sum_{i=1}^n g_\lambda^2(x_i)$ with constants M_0 and M:

$$I^v(g_\lambda) \leq M\|g_\lambda\|_n^2 + M_0$$

Suppose there is some function $g \in \mathcal{G}$ such that

$$\|g - g_\lambda\|_n^{1+\alpha/2} \leq O_p(n^{-1/2})I^{\alpha/2}(g_\lambda)$$

Then

$$\|g - g_\lambda\|_n \leq O_p(n^{-1/(2+\alpha)})M^{\alpha v/(2+\alpha)}\|g\|_n^{2\alpha/v(2+\alpha)}$$

Proof:
From the assumptions, we have

$$\|g - g_\lambda\|_n^{1+\alpha/2} \quad \leq \quad O_p(n^{-1/2})\left(M\|g_\lambda\|_n^2 + M_0\right)^{\alpha/2v}$$

If $M_0 > \|g_\lambda\|_n^2$, we're done. Otherwise,

$$\begin{aligned}\|g - g_\lambda\|_n^{1+\alpha/2} &\leq O_p(n^{-1/2})M^{\alpha/2v}\|g_\lambda\|_n^{\alpha/v} \\ &\leq O_p(n^{-1/2})M^{\alpha/2v}\left(\|g_\lambda - g\|_n + \|g\|_n\right)^{\alpha/v}\end{aligned}$$

Case 1: $\|g_\lambda - g\|_n \geq \|g\|_n$
Then

$$\|g - g_\lambda\|_n \leq O_p(n^{-v/(2v+\alpha v-2\alpha)})M^{\alpha v^2/(2v+\alpha v-2\alpha)}$$

Note that $\sup_v -\frac{v}{2v+\alpha v-2\alpha} = -\frac{1}{2+\alpha}$, so this rate is faster than $O_p(n^{-\frac{1}{2+\alpha}})$.
Case 2: $\|g_\lambda - g\|_n \leq \|g\|_n$
Then

$$\|g - g_\lambda\|_n \leq O_p(n^{-1/(2+\alpha)})M^{\alpha v/(2+\alpha)}\|g\|_n^{2\alpha/v(2+\alpha)}$$

I believe we can often provide a good estimate of M for the entire class $\mathcal{G}$, which means that we can always estimate the sample size needed to ensure this case never occurs. That is, I believe we can often estimate M s.t.

$$I^v(g) \leq M\|g\|_n^2 + M_0 \forall g \in \mathcal{G}$$

Lemma 2:

Let $P_{n'}$ and $P_{n''}$ be empirical distributions over $\{X_i'\}_{i=1}^n, \{X_i''\}_{i=1}^n$. Let $P_{2n} = \frac{1}{2}\left(P_{n'} + P_{n''}\right)$. Suppose X is bounded s.t. $|X| < R_X$.

Let $\mathcal{G}' = \left\{\frac{g-g^*}{I(g)+I(g^*)} : g \in \mathcal{G}, I(g) + I(g^*) > 0\right\}$. Suppose g is defined over the domain over X (and zero otherwise). Suppose

$$\sup_{f\in\mathcal{G}'}\|f\|_{P_{2n}} \leq R < \infty, \quad \sup_{f\in\mathcal{G}'}\|f\|_\infty \leq K < \infty$$

and

$$H\left(\delta, \mathcal{G}', P_{n'}\right) \leq \tilde{A}\delta^{-\alpha}, \; H\left(\delta, \mathcal{G}', P_{n''}\right) \leq \tilde{A}\delta^{-\alpha}$$

Then

$$Pr\left(\sup_{g\in\mathcal{G}}\frac{\left|\|g^*-g\|_{P_{n'}}-\|g^*-g\|_{P_{n''}}\right|}{I(g^*)+I(g)}\geq 6\delta\right)\leq 2\exp\left(2\tilde{A}\delta^{-\alpha}-\frac{4\delta^2 n}{K^2}\right)$$

Proof: The proof is very similar to that in Pollard 1984 (page 32), so some details below are omitted.

First note that for any function f and h, we have

$$\|f\|_{P_{n'}}-\|h\|_{P_{n'}}\leq\|f-h\|_{P_{n'}}\leq\sqrt{2}\|f-h\|_{P_{2n}}$$

Similarly for $P_{n''}$.

Let $\{h_j\}_{j=1}^N$ be the $\sqrt{2}\delta$-cover for $\mathcal{G}'$ (where $N=N(\sqrt{2}\delta,\mathcal{G}',P_{2n})$). Let h_j be the closest function (in terms of $\|\cdot\|_{P_{2n}}$) to some $f\in\mathcal{G}'$. Then

$$\begin{aligned}\|f\|_{P_{n'}}-\|f\|_{P_{n''}} &\leq \|f-h_j\|_{P_{n'}}+\left|\|h_j\|_{P_{n'}}-\|h_j\|_{P_{n''}}\right|+\|f-h_j\|_{P_{n''}}\\ &\leq 4\delta+\left|\|h_j\|_{P_{n'}}-\|h_j\|_{P_{n''}}\right|\end{aligned}$$

Therefore for $f=\frac{g^*-g}{I(g^*)+I(g)}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}Pr\left(\sup_{g\in\mathcal{G}}\frac{\left|\|g^*-g\|_{P_n}-\|g^*-g\|_{P_{n''}}\right|}{I(g^*)+I(g)}\geq 6\delta\right) &\leq Pr\left(\sup_{j\in 1:N}\left|\|h_j\|_{P_{n'}}-\|h_j\|_{P_{n''}}\right|\geq 2\delta\right)\\ &\leq N\max_{j\in 1:N}Pr\left(\left|\|h_j\|_{P_{n'}}-\|h_j\|_{P_{n''}}\right|\geq 2\delta\right)\end{aligned}$$

Now note that

$$\begin{aligned}\left|\|h_j\|_{P_{n'}}-\|h_j\|_{P_{n''}}\right| &= \frac{\left|\|h_j\|^2_{P_{n'}}-\|h_j\|^2_{P_{n''}}\right|}{\|h_j\|_{P_{n'}}+\|h_j\|_{P_{n''}}}\\ &\leq \frac{\left|\|h_j\|^2_{P_{n'}}-\|h_j\|^2_{P_{n''}}\right|}{\sqrt{2}\|h_j\|_{P_{2n}}}\end{aligned}$$

By Hoeffding's inequality,

$$\begin{aligned}Pr\left(\left|\|h_j\|_{P_{n'}}-\|h_j\|_{P_{n''}}\right|\geq 2\delta\right) &\leq Pr\left(\left|\|h_j\|^2_{P_{n'}}-\|h_j\|^2_{P_{n''}}\right|\geq 2\sqrt{2}\delta\|h_j\|_{P_{2n}}\right)\\ &= Pr\left(\left|\sum_{i=1}^n W_i\left(h_j^2(x_i')-h_j^2(x_i'')\right)\right|\geq 2\sqrt{2}n\delta\|h_j\|_{P_{2n}}\right)\\ &\leq 2\exp\left(-\frac{16\delta^2n^2\|h_j\|^2_{P_{2n}}}{4\sum_{i=1}^n\left(h_j^2(x_i')-h_j^2(x_i'')\right)^2}\right)\end{aligned}$$

Since $\|h_j\|_\infty<K$, then

$$\begin{aligned}\sum_{i=1}^n\left(h_j^2(x_i')-h_j^2(x_i'')\right)^2 &\leq \sum_{i=1}^n h_j^4(x_i')+h_j^4(x_i'')\\ &\leq nK^2\|h_j\|^2_{P_{2n}}\end{aligned}$$

Hence

$$Pr\left(\left|\|h_j\|_{P_{n'}}-\|h_j\|_{P_{n''}}\right|\geq 2\delta\right)\leq 2\exp\left(-\frac{4\delta^2n}{K^2}\right)$$

Since (Pollard and Vandegeer say that)

$$N(\sqrt{2}\delta, \mathcal{G}', P_{2n}) \leq N(\delta, \mathcal{G}', P_{n''}) + N(\delta, \mathcal{G}', P_{n''})$$

then

$$Pr\left(\sup_{g\in\mathcal{G}} \frac{\left|\|g^* - g\|_{P_n} - \|g^* - g\|_{P_{n''}}\right|}{I(g^*) + I(g)} \geq 6\delta\right) \leq 2\exp\left(2\tilde{A}\delta^{-\alpha} - \frac{4\delta^2 n}{K^2}\right)$$

Using shorthand, we can write

$$\sup_{g\in\mathcal{G}} \frac{\left|\|g^* - g\|_{P_n} - \|g^* - g\|_{P_{n''}}\right|}{I(g^*) + I(g)} = O_p(n^{-1/(2+\alpha)})$$

Lemma 3:

Suppose the function classes $\mathcal{F}_j$ is a cone and $I_j : \mathcal{F}_j \mapsto [0, \infty)$ is a psuedonorm. Furthermore, suppose

$$H\left(\delta, \{f_j \in \mathcal{F}_j : I_j(f_j) \leq 1\}, \|\cdot\|_n\right) \leq A_j\delta^{-\alpha_j}$$

Then if $f_j^* \in \mathcal{F}_j$, then

$$H\left(\delta, \left\{\frac{\sum_{j=1}^J f_j - f_j^*}{\sum_{j=1}^J I_j(f_j) + I_j(f_j^*)} : f_j \in \mathcal{F}_j, I_j(f_j) + I_j(f_j^*) > 0\right\}, \|\cdot\|_n\right) \leq 2\sum_{j=1}^J A_j\left(\frac{\delta}{2J}\right)^{-\alpha_j}$$

Proof: Let $\tilde{f}_j = \frac{f_j}{\sum_{j=1}^J I_j(f_j)+I_j(f_j^*)}$. Then $\tilde{f}_j \in \mathcal{F}_j$ and $I_j(\tilde{f}_j) \leq 1$. Let $h_{(j)}$ be the closest function to $\tilde{f}_j$ in the δ cover of $\mathcal{F}_j$. Similarly, let $h_{(j)}^*$ be the closest function to $\tilde{f}_j^*$ in the δ cover of $\mathcal{F}_j$. Then

$$\begin{aligned}
\left\|\frac{\sum_{j=1}^J f_j - f_j^*}{\sum_{j=1}^J I_j(f_j) + I_j(f_j^*)} - \left(\sum_{j=1}^J h_{(j)} - h_{(j)}^*\right)\right\| &\leq \sum_{j=1}^J \left\|\frac{f_j - f_j^*}{\sum_{j=1}^J I_j(f_j) + I_j(f_j^*)} - \left(h_{(j)} - h_{(j)}^*\right)\right\| \\
&\leq \sum_{j=1}^J \left\|\frac{f_j}{\sum_{j=1}^J I_j(f_j) + I_j(f_j^*)} - h_{(j)}\right\| + \left\|\frac{f_j^*}{\sum_{j=1}^J I_j(f_j) + I_j(f_j^*)} - h_{(j)}^*\right\| \\
&\leq 2J\delta
\end{aligned}$$

Hence

$$H\left(2J\delta, \left\{\frac{\sum_{j=1}^J f_j - f_j^*}{\sum_{j=1}^J I_j(f_j) + I_j(f_j^*)} : f_j \in \mathcal{F}_j, I_j(f_j) + I_j(f_j^*) > 0\right\}, \|\cdot\|_n\right) \leq 2\sum_{j=1}^J A_j\delta^{-\alpha_j}$$

Lemma 4:

Let $p_n(\vec{x})$ be some empirical density and let p_{nj} be the corresponding empirical marginal density of x_j. Let

$$r(\vec{x}) = \frac{p_n(\vec{x})}{\Pi_{j=1}^J p_{nj}(x_j)},\ \gamma^2 = \int (r(\vec{x}) - 1)^2 \Pi_{j=1}^J p_{nj}(x_j) d\mu$$

Suppose $\gamma < 1/(J-1)$. Furthermore, suppose $\int g_j p_{nj} d\mu = 0$ for $j = 2, ..., J$. Then

$$\left\|\sum_{j=1}^J g_j\right\|_n^2 \geq (1 - \gamma(J-1))\left(\sum_{j=1}^J \|g_j\|_n^2\right)$$

Proof: The proof is very similar to Lemma 5.1 in Vandegeer 2014 "The additive model with different smoothness for the components."

$$\left\| \sum_{j=1}^{J} g_j \right\|_n^2 = \sum_{j=1}^{J} \|g_j\|_n^2 + \sum_{j \neq k} \int g_j g_k p_n(\vec{x}) d\mu$$

We bound the latter term:

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \int g_j g_k p_n(\vec{x}) d\mu \right| &= \left| \int g_j g_k \left(r(\vec{x}) - 1 \right) \Pi_{j=1}^{J} p_{nj}(x_j) d\mu \right| \\ &\leq \gamma \left| \int g_j^2 g_k^2 \Pi_{j=1}^{J} p_{nj}(x_j) d\mu \right|^{1/2} \\ &= \gamma \|g_j\|_n \|g_k\|_n \end{aligned}$$

Hence

$$\begin{aligned} \left\| \sum_{j=1}^{J} g_j \right\|_n^2 &\geq \sum_{j=1}^{J} \|g_j\|_n^2 - \gamma \sum_{j \neq k} \|g_j\|_n \|g_k\|_n \\ &\geq (1 - \gamma(J-1)) \sum_{j=1}^{J} \|g_j\|_n^2 + \gamma \sum_{j<k} \left(\|g_j\|_n - \|g_k\|_n \right)^2 \\ &\geq (1 - \gamma(J-1)) \sum_{j=1}^{J} \|g_j\|_n^2 \end{aligned}$$

Vandegeer's Lemma 5.4 (Jean's version)

Let

$$\tau_R(\{f_j\}) = \| \sum f_j \|_T + \sum_{j=1}^{J} (\lambda_j / R)^{(1-q_j)/q_j} \lambda_j I_j(f_j)$$

Suppose

$$\sum_{j=1}^{J} \lambda_j^2 I_j^{q_j}(f_j^*) \leq \delta_0^2 R^2$$

and for all function sets $\{f_j\}$ s.t. $\tau_R(\{f_j\}) \leq R$, suppose

$$\sup_{f_j} |(\epsilon_T, f_j)| \leq \delta_0^2 R^2$$

Let

$$\hat{f}_j = \arg\min \|y - \sum_{j=1}^{J} f_j\|_T^2 + \sum_{j=1}^{J} \lambda_j^2 I_j^{q_j}(f_j)$$

Then $\tau_R \left(\left\{ \hat{f}_{\lambda,j} - f_j^* \right\} \right) \leq R$.

Proof We use the convexity of the penalties and the least squares function. Consider $\tilde{f}_j = t\hat{f}_j + (1-t)f_j^*$ where

$$t = \frac{R}{R + \tau_R(\{\hat{f}_j - f_j^*\})}$$

First note that by convexity,

$$\tau_R(\{\tilde{f}_j - f_j^*\}) = \frac{R}{R + \tau_R(\{\hat{f}_j - f_j^*\})}\tau_R(\{\hat{f}_j - f_j^*\}) \leq R$$

Hence

$$\sup_{f_j} \left| \left(\epsilon_T, f_j^* - \tilde{f}_j \right) \right| \leq \delta_0^2 R^2$$

So by the basic inequality,

$$\| \sum_{j=1}^J f_j^* - \sum_{j=1}^J \tilde{f}_j \|_T^2 + \sum_{j=1}^J \lambda_j^2 I_j^{q_j}(\tilde{f}_j) \leq \sum_{j=1}^J \left| \left(\epsilon_T, f_j^* - \tilde{f}_j \right) \right| + \sum_{j=1}^J \lambda_j^2 I_j^{q_j}(f_j^*)$$

and with gross algebra, we can show that

$$(\lambda_j/R)^{(1-q_j)/q_j} \lambda_j I_j(\tilde{f}_j - f_j^*) \leq 4\delta_0 R$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{R}{R + \tau_R(\{\hat{f}_j - f_j^*\})}\tau_R(\{\hat{f}_j - f_j^*\}) &= \tau_R(\{\tilde{f}_j - f_j^*\}) \\ &= \| \sum \tilde{f}_j - f_j^* \|_T + \sum_{j=1}^J (\lambda_j/R)^{(1-q_j)/q_j} \lambda_j I_j(\tilde{f}_j - f_j^*) \\ &\leq O_P(1) J \delta_0 R \end{aligned}$$

So for small enough δ_0, we have

$$\tau_R(\{\hat{f}_j - f_j^*\}) \leq R$$

4 Examples

Our goal here is to show that the assumptions hold for various examples.

4.1 Sobolev Norm

Suppose $\mathcal{G}$ is the class of smooth functions $g : [0,1] \mapsto \mathbb{R}$ s.t. $I^2_{(k)}(g) = \int_0^1 g^{(k)}(t)^2 dt < \infty$.

$$\arg \min_{g \in \mathcal{G}, f \in \mathcal{F}} \|y - g(x_1) + f(x_2)\|_T^2 + \lambda_g^2 I_{(k)}(g) + \lambda_f^2 I_{(k)}(f)$$

Note that it can be shown that g can always be expressed using natural $2k$-order B-splines with knots at the training points x_{1T}. (De Boor, Thrm XIII.5) So we can express $g(t) = \sum_{i=1}^n \beta_i(t)\gamma_i = B\gamma$ where B is positive definite. Similarly, $I^2_{(k)}(g) = \gamma^T \Omega \gamma$ where $\Omega_{ij} = \int_0^1 \beta_i^{(k)}(u)\beta_j^{(k)}(u)du$.

Assumption 1: Show for some constant K,

$$\frac{\|g\|_\infty}{I_{(k)}(g)} \leq K$$

Proof:

From De Boor (p.110), B-splines have the property that $|\beta_i(t)| \leq 1$ and $\beta_i(t) \geq 0$. Hence $\|g\|_\infty \leq \max_i |\gamma_i|$. Then

$$\frac{\|g\|_\infty}{I_{(k)}(g)} \leq \frac{\|\gamma\|_\infty}{\|\Omega^{1/2}\gamma\|}$$

Assuming that the smallest nonzero eigenvalue of $\Omega^{1/2}$ is at least greater than some constant $c > 0$ (in fact, the nonzero eigenvalues of $\Omega^{1/2}$ likely grow with n), then

$$\frac{\|g\|_\infty}{I_{(k)}(g)} \leq \frac{\|\gamma\|_\infty}{c\|\gamma\|_2} \leq \frac{1}{c}$$

Assumption 2: Show that there exist constants M, M_0 s.t. the penalty is bounded by the squared L2 norm:

$$I^2_{(k)}(g_\lambda) = \|g_\lambda\|^2 + M_0$$

Proof:

By Noah's reformulation of the smoothing spline problem in terms of the Reproducing Kernel Hilbert space $\mathcal{H}$, we have

$$Proj_{\mathcal{H}}(g_\lambda) = \Omega\alpha, \; I^2_{(k)}(g_\lambda) = \alpha^T \Omega \alpha$$

Then suppose $\|\Omega\alpha\| = O_p(n^\tau)\|\alpha\|_2$ for some constant τ. Then

$$\begin{aligned}
I^2_{(k)}(g_\lambda) &= \alpha^T \Omega \alpha \\
&\leq \|\alpha\| \|\Omega\alpha\| \\
&= O_p(n^\tau)\|\alpha\|_2^2 \\
&= O_p(n^{-\tau})\|\Omega\alpha\|_2^2 \\
&\leq \|\Omega\alpha\|_2^2 + M_0 \\
&\leq \|Proj_{\mathcal{H}}(g_\lambda)\|_2^2 + \|Proj_{\mathcal{H}^\perp}(g_\lambda)\|_2^2 + M_0 \\
&= \|g_\lambda\|_2^2 + M_0
\end{aligned}$$

where the last step follows from the generalized Pythagorean theorem.

(That is, for sufficiently large n, we bound the penalty with the constant M_0 if $\tau < 0$ and we bound the penalty with $\|g_\lambda\|_2^2$ if $\tau > 0$)