

1 K-Fold Cross-Validation

We are interested in modeling the conditional relationship between y and predictors X using function class \mathcal{G} . Suppose X is from some bounded domain. Suppose \mathcal{G} is a convex function class. There is some constant G s.t. $\sup_{g \in \mathcal{G}} \|g\|^2 \leq G < \infty$ (this envelope condition makes the proof simpler, though I think we can easily drop it with some regularity assumptions?).

We fit the function using some penalty function P taken to the power $v \geq 1$. Suppose P is a semi-norm, smooth, and convex.

Consider the joint optimization problem to find the best penalty parameter λ in Λ via K -fold cross validation. Let D be the entire dataset of n observations. For $k = 1, \dots, K$, let D_k represent the k th fold with n_k observations and D_{-k} denote all the folds minus the k th fold.

Let $\|h\|^2 = \int h(x)d\mu(x)$. Let $\|h\|_k^2 = \frac{1}{n_k} \sum_{i \in D_k} h(x_i)^2$ and similarly for $\|h\|_{-k}^2$ for the set D_{-k} and $\|h\|_D^2$ for the set D . Let $\langle h, g \rangle_k = \frac{1}{n_k} \sum_{i \in D_k} h(x_i)g(x_i)$ and $\langle h, g \rangle_{-k}$ for the set D_{-k} and $\langle h, g \rangle_D$ for the set D .

We perform K -fold cross-validation with a small additional ridge penalty.

$$\hat{\lambda} = \arg \min_{\lambda \in \Lambda} \frac{1}{2} \sum_{k=1}^K \|y - \hat{g}_\lambda(\cdot|D_{-k})\|_k^2$$

$$\hat{g}(\lambda|D_{-k}) = \arg \min_{g \in \mathcal{G}} \frac{1}{2} \|y - g\|_{-k}^2 + \lambda \left(P^v(g) + \frac{w}{2} \|g\|^2 \right)$$

The model chosen via K -fold CV is

$$\hat{g}(\hat{\lambda}|D) = \arg \min_{g \in \mathcal{G}} \frac{1}{2} \|y - g\|_D^2 + \hat{\lambda} \left(P^v(g) + \frac{w}{2} \|g\|^2 \right)$$

Let the range of Λ be from $\lambda_{min} = O_P(n^{-\tau_{min}})$ to $\lambda_{max} = O_P(1)$.

We show that

$$\|\hat{g}_{\hat{\lambda}}(\cdot|D) - g^*\|_D \lesssim \sqrt{\sum_{k=1}^K \|g^* - \hat{g}_{\hat{\lambda}}(\cdot|D_{-k})\|_k^2} + G \left(\frac{(1 + \log(v/w) + \log(4\sigma^2 + P^{v-1}(g^*) + G) + \kappa \log n)}{\min_{k=1:K} \{n_k\}} \right)^{1/2}$$

Notation

$a \lesssim b$ means that $a \leq Cb + c$ where $C > 0, c$ are constants independent of n .

2 Proof

Step 1:

Define the convex combination

$$\hat{\xi}_\lambda(x) = \frac{1}{K-1} \sum_{k=1}^K \frac{n - n_k}{n} \hat{g}_\lambda(x|D_{-k})$$

By the triangle inequality,

$$\|\hat{g}_{\hat{\lambda}}(\cdot|D) - g^*\|_D \leq \|\hat{g}_{\hat{\lambda}}(\cdot|D) - \hat{\xi}_{\hat{\lambda}}\|_D + \|\hat{\xi}_{\hat{\lambda}} - g^*\|_D$$

We bound the first and second summands in steps 2 and 3, respectively.

Step 2: Bound $\|\hat{g}_{\hat{\lambda}}(\cdot|D) - \xi_{\hat{\lambda}}\|_D$

Adding the two inequalities from Lemma 1 and 2, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& \|\hat{g}_{\hat{\lambda}}(\cdot|D) - \xi_{\hat{\lambda}}\|_D^2 \\
& \leq \frac{1}{K-1} \sum_{k=1}^K \frac{n-n_k}{n} \left(\left| \langle \epsilon, \hat{\xi}_{\hat{\lambda}} - \hat{g}_{\hat{\lambda}}(\cdot|D_{-k}) \rangle_{-k} \right| + \left| \langle g^* - \hat{\xi}_{\hat{\lambda}}, \hat{\xi}_{\hat{\lambda}} - \hat{g}_{\hat{\lambda}}(\cdot|D_{-k}) \rangle_{-k} \right| \right) \\
& \lesssim \sum_{k=1}^K \|g^* - \hat{g}_{\hat{\lambda}}(\cdot|D_{-k})\|_k^2 + \left(\sum_{\ell=1}^K |\langle \epsilon, \hat{g}_{\hat{\lambda}}(\cdot|D_{-k}) - g^* \rangle_{-\ell}| + \|g^* - \hat{g}_{\hat{\lambda}}(\cdot|D_{-k})\|_{\ell}^2 - \|g^* - \hat{g}_{\hat{\lambda}}(\cdot|D_{-k})\|_k^2 \right)
\end{aligned}$$

The Lemmas below allow us to bound each of the summands in the inequality. Note that all the Lemmas have probability statements that condition on $\|\epsilon\|_k \leq 2\sigma$. Recall that since ϵ is sub-gaussian, Bernstein's inequality states that $\|\epsilon\|_k \leq 2\sigma$ indeed occurs with high probability:

$$Pr(\|\epsilon\|_k \geq 2\sigma) \leq \exp\left(-n_k \frac{\sigma^2}{c}\right)$$

Now to bound the first term, Lemma 5 states that with high probability

$$\sum_{k=1}^K \|g^* - \hat{g}_{\hat{\lambda}}(\cdot|D_{-k})\|_k^2 \lesssim \sum_{k=1}^K \|g^* - \hat{g}_{\hat{\lambda}}(\cdot|D_{-k})\|_k^2 + \delta^2$$

To bound the last two terms, we first note that the entropy of the function class $\hat{\mathcal{G}}(D_{-k}) = \{\hat{g}_{\lambda}(\cdot|D_{-k}) : \lambda \in \Lambda\}$ is (for any empirical distribution Q)

$$H\left(d, \hat{\mathcal{G}}(D_{-k}), \|\cdot\|_Q\right) \lesssim \psi(u) = \log\left(\frac{v}{wd}\right) + \kappa \log n + \log(4\sigma^2 + P^{v-1}(g^*) + G)$$

So we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\int_0^{2G} \psi^{1/2}(u) du &= \int_0^{2G} \left(\log\left(\frac{v}{uw}\right) + \kappa \log n + \log(4\sigma^2) \right)^{1/2} du \\
&\lesssim 2G \left(\int_0^1 \log\left(\frac{1}{u}\right) - \log(v/w) + \kappa \log n + \log(4\sigma^2) du \right)^{1/2} \\
&\leq 2G (1 + \log(4\sigma^2 + P^{v-1}(g^*) + G) + \log(v/w) + \kappa \log n)^{1/2}
\end{aligned}$$

By Lemma 3 and 4, let

$$\delta = CG \left(\left(\frac{1 + \log(v/w) + \log(4\sigma^2 + P^{v-1}(g^*) + G) + \kappa \log n}{\min_{k=1:K} \{n_k\}} \right)^{1/2} \vee 1 \right)$$

where the constant C only depends on the subgaussian constants.

By Lemma 3, for some constant c , for all $\ell, k = 1 : K$, we have

$$Pr \left(\sup_{\lambda \in \Lambda} \frac{|\langle \epsilon, \hat{g}_{\hat{\lambda}}(\cdot|D_{-k}) - g^* \rangle_{-\ell}|}{\|\hat{g}_{\hat{\lambda}}(\cdot|D_{-k}) - g^*\|_{-\ell}} \geq \delta \wedge \|\epsilon\|_{-k} \leq 2\sigma \wedge \|\epsilon\|_{-\ell} \leq 2\sigma \right) \leq c \exp \left(-(n - n_k) \frac{\delta^2}{c^2 R^2} \right)$$

By Lemma 4, for some constant c , we have for all $\ell, k = 1 : K$, we have

$$Pr \left(\sup_{\lambda \in \Lambda} \frac{\|g^* - \hat{g}_{\hat{\lambda}}(\cdot|D_{-k})\|_{\ell}^2 - \|g^* - \hat{g}_{\hat{\lambda}}(\cdot|D_{-k})\|_k^2}{\|g^* - \hat{g}_{\hat{\lambda}}(\cdot|D_{-k})\|_{k \cup \ell}} \geq \delta \wedge \|\epsilon\|_{-k} \leq 2\sigma \right) \leq c \exp \left(-n_{\ell} \frac{\delta^2}{c^2 R^2} \right) + c \exp \left(-n_k \frac{\delta^2}{c^2 R^2} \right)$$

Combining all the probability bounds above (and Easy Lemma 1), we have with high probability

$$\|\hat{g}_{\hat{\lambda}}(\cdot|D) - \hat{\xi}_{\hat{\lambda}}\|_D^2 \lesssim \sum_{k=1}^K \|g^* - \hat{g}_{\hat{\lambda}}(\cdot|D_{-k})\|_k^2 + \delta_{max}^2$$

Step 3: Bound $\|\hat{\xi}_{\hat{\lambda}} - g^*\|_D$

We know that

$$\|\hat{\xi}_{\hat{\lambda}} - g^*\|_D \lesssim \sum_{k=1}^k \|\hat{g}_{\hat{\lambda}}(x|D_{-k}) - g^*\|_D$$

We bound each term in the summation separately. For every k , we know

$$\|\hat{g}_{\hat{\lambda}}(x|D_{-k}) - g^*\|_D^2 \lesssim \sum_{\ell=1}^k \|\hat{g}_{\hat{\lambda}}(x|D_{-k}) - g^*\|_k^2 + (\|\hat{g}_{\hat{\lambda}}(x|D_{-k}) - g^*\|_\ell^2 - \|\hat{g}_{\hat{\lambda}}(x|D_{-k}) - g^*\|_k^2)$$

Again we know that $\|\epsilon\|_k \leq 2\sigma$ for all folds D_k with high probability.

Conditioning on $\|\epsilon\|_k \leq 2\sigma$, Lemma 5 gives us that with high probability

$$\sum_{\ell=1}^k \|\hat{g}_{\hat{\lambda}}(x|D_{-k}) - g^*\|_k^2 \lesssim \sum_{\ell=1}^k \|\hat{g}_{\hat{\lambda}}(x|D_{-k}) - g^*\|_\ell^2 + \delta_{max}^2$$

As shown in Step 2, Lemma 4 and Easy Lemma 1 imply that the following bound holds for all $\ell = 1 : K$ with high probability as long as $\|\epsilon\|_k \leq 2\sigma$

$$|\|\hat{g}_{\hat{\lambda}}(x|D_{-k}) - g^*\|_\ell^2 - \|\hat{g}_{\hat{\lambda}}(x|D_{-k}) - g^*\|_k^2| \leq \delta_{max} (\|\hat{g}_{\hat{\lambda}}(x|D_{-k}) - g^*\|_k + \delta_{max})$$

Combining these two results, we get that with high probability,

$$\|\hat{\xi}_{\hat{\lambda}} - g^*\|_D \lesssim \sum_{k=1}^K \|g^* - \hat{g}_{\hat{\lambda}}(\cdot|D_{-k})\|_k^2 + \delta_{max}^2$$

2.1 Lemmas

2.1.1 Lemma 0

Consider any empirical distributions T and Q .

Consider the function class

$$\hat{\mathcal{G}}(T, \epsilon_T) = \left\{ \hat{g}_\lambda(\cdot|T, \epsilon_T) = \arg \min_{g \in \mathcal{G}} \frac{1}{2} \|y - g\|_T^2 + \lambda \left(P^v(g) + \frac{w}{2} \|g\|^2 \right) : \lambda \in \Lambda \right\}$$

Suppose the penalty function P is a semi-norm, smooth, and convex. Suppose $\min_{h \in \mathcal{G}: P(h)=1} \|h\|^2 = O_p(n^{-u})$ and for all h , $\|h\|_Q \leq O_p(n^p)P(h)$.

Suppose $v \geq 1$.

Suppose $\lambda_{min} = O_p(n^{-\tau_{min}})$ and $\lambda_{max} = O_P(1)$.

Then the entropy bound is

$$H \left(d, \hat{\mathcal{G}}(T, \epsilon_T), \|\cdot\|_Q \right) \lesssim \log \left(\frac{1}{dw} \right) + \kappa \log n + \log \|\epsilon\|_T^2$$

where κ depends on τ_{min}, v, u, p .

Proof

To find the covering number for $\hat{\mathcal{G}}$, we bound the distance $\|\hat{g}_{\lambda_0}(\cdot|T) - \hat{g}_{\lambda_0+\delta}(\cdot|T)\|_Q$ for every $\lambda_0 \in \Lambda$.

Consider the function $h = c(\hat{g}_{\lambda_0} - \hat{g}_{\lambda_0+\delta})$ where $c > 0$ is some constant s.t. $P(h) = 1$. (We'll assume that $\|\hat{g}_{\lambda_0} - \hat{g}_{\lambda_0+\delta}\|_Q > 0$, since we'll be done otherwise.) Consider the 1-dimensional optimization problem

$$\hat{m}_h(\lambda) = \arg \min_m \frac{1}{2} \|y - (\hat{g}_{\lambda_0} + mh)\|_T^2 + \lambda \left(P^v(\hat{g}_{\lambda_0} + mh) + \frac{w}{2} \|\hat{g}_{\lambda_0} + mh\|^2 \right)$$

Taking the derivative of the criterion wrt m , we get

$$-\langle h, y - (\hat{g}_{\lambda_0} + mh) \rangle_T + \lambda \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial m} P^v(\hat{g}_{\lambda_0} + mh) + w \langle h, \hat{g}_{\lambda_0} + mh \rangle \right) \Big|_{m=\hat{m}_h(\lambda)} = 0$$

By implicit differentiation wrt λ , we have

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial \lambda} \hat{m}_h(\lambda) = - \left(\|h\|_T^2 + \lambda \frac{\partial^2}{\partial m^2} P^v(\hat{g}_{\lambda_0} + mh) + \lambda w \|h\|^2 \right)^{-1} \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial m} P^v(\hat{g}_{\lambda_0} + mh) + w \langle h, \hat{g}_{\lambda_0} + mh \rangle \right) \Big|_{m=\hat{m}_h(\lambda)}$$

To bound $|\frac{\partial}{\partial \lambda} \hat{m}_h(\lambda)|$, we bound each multiplicand.

1st multiplicand: Since penalty P is convex (regardless of the direction of h),

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \|h\|_T^2 + \lambda \frac{\partial^2}{\partial m^2} P^v(\hat{g}_{\lambda_0} + mh) + \lambda w \|h\|^2 \right|^{-1} &\leq \lambda^{-1} w^{-1} \|h\|^{-2} \\ &\lesssim \lambda^{-1} w^{-1} n^u \end{aligned}$$

where the second inequality comes from our assumption that $\min_{h: P(h)=1} \|h\|^{-2} = n^u$.

2nd multiplicand: By definition of $\hat{g}_{\lambda_0} + \hat{m}_h(\lambda)h$,

$$\lambda P^v(\hat{g}_{\lambda_0} + \hat{m}_h(\lambda)h) \leq \frac{1}{2} \|y - g^*\|_T^2 + \lambda P^v(g^*)$$

Hence

$$\begin{aligned} P^{v-1}(\hat{g}_{\lambda_0} + \hat{m}_h(\lambda)h) &\leq \left(\frac{1}{2\lambda_0} \|\epsilon\|_T^2 + P^v(g^*) \right)^{(v-1)/v} \\ &\leq \left(\frac{n^{\tau_{min}}}{2} \|\epsilon\|_T^2 + P^v(g^*) \right)^{(v-1)/v} \end{aligned}$$

3rd multiplicand: Note that since P is a semi-norm, then

$$|P(\hat{g}_\lambda + mh) - P(\hat{g}_\lambda)| \leq mP(h)$$

Therefore as we take $m \rightarrow 0$, we have

$$\left| \frac{\partial}{\partial m} P(\hat{g}_\lambda + mh) \right| \leq P(h) = 1$$

Also by Cauchy Schwarz and the assumption that $\sup_{g \in \mathcal{G}} \|g\| \leq G$, we have

$$|\langle h, \hat{g}_{\lambda_0} + mh \rangle| \leq \|h\| \|\hat{g}_{\lambda_0} + mh\| \leq n^p G$$

Combining the above bounds, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \frac{\partial}{\partial \lambda} \hat{m}_h(\lambda) \right| &\lesssim n^{\tau_{min}+u} w^{-1} \left(v \left(\frac{n^{\tau_{min}}}{2} \|\epsilon\|_T^2 + P^v(g^*) \right)^{(v-1)/v} + w n^p G \right) \\ &\leq n^\kappa w^{-1} v \left(\|\epsilon\|_T^{2(v-1)/v} + P^{v-1}(g^*) + G \right) \end{aligned}$$

for some constant κ that depends on τ_{min}, u, p, v .

By the mean value theorem, there is some $\alpha \in [0, 1]$ s.t

$$\begin{aligned} \|\hat{g}_\lambda(\cdot|D_{-k}) - \hat{g}_{\lambda+\delta}(\cdot|D_{-k})\|_Q &= \hat{m}_h(\lambda) \|h\|_Q \\ &\leq n^p \delta \left| \frac{\partial}{\partial \lambda} \hat{m}_h(\lambda + \alpha \delta) \right| \end{aligned}$$

Combining the inequalities above, we get a bound on the covering number

$$N(d, \hat{\mathcal{G}}(T, \epsilon_T), \|\cdot\|_Q) \lesssim \frac{1}{d} n^\kappa w^{-1} v \left(\|\epsilon\|_T^{2(v-1)/v} + P^{v-1}(g^*) + G \right)$$

and the entropy

$$H(d, \hat{\mathcal{G}}(T, \epsilon_T), \|\cdot\|_Q) \lesssim \log\left(\frac{v}{dw}\right) + \kappa \log n + \log(\|\epsilon\|_T^2 + P^{v-1}(g^*) + G)$$

2.1.2 Lemma 1

Define the convex combination $\hat{\xi}_\lambda(x) = \frac{1}{K-1} \sum_{k=1}^K \frac{n-n_k}{n} \hat{g}_\lambda(x|D_{-k})$. Suppose P^v is convex. Then

$$\begin{aligned} &\frac{1}{2} \|y - \hat{g}_{\hat{\lambda}}(\cdot|D)\|_D^2 + \hat{\lambda} \left(P^v(\hat{g}_{\hat{\lambda}}(\cdot|D)) + \frac{w}{2} \|\hat{g}_{\hat{\lambda}}(\cdot|D)\|^2 \right) \\ &\geq \frac{1}{2} \|y - \hat{\xi}_{\hat{\lambda}}\|_D^2 + \hat{\lambda} \left(P^v(\hat{\xi}_{\hat{\lambda}}) + \frac{w}{2} \|\hat{\xi}_{\hat{\lambda}}\|^2 \right) + \frac{1}{K-1} \sum_{k=1}^K \frac{n-n_k}{n} \langle y - \hat{\xi}_{\hat{\lambda}}, \hat{\xi}_{\hat{\lambda}} - \hat{g}_{\hat{\lambda}}(\cdot|D_{-k}) \rangle_{-k} \end{aligned}$$

(This is a version of the beginning of the proof for Thrm 1 in Chetverikov, Chaterjee probably does the same thing.)

Proof

$$\begin{aligned} &\frac{1}{2} \|y - \hat{g}_{\hat{\lambda}}(\cdot|D)\|_D^2 + \hat{\lambda} \left(P^v(\hat{g}_{\hat{\lambda}}(\cdot|D)) + \frac{w}{2} \|\hat{g}_{\hat{\lambda}}(\cdot|D)\|^2 \right) \\ &= \frac{1}{K-1} \sum_{k=1}^K \frac{n-n_k}{n} \left(\frac{1}{2} \|y - \hat{g}_{\hat{\lambda}}(\cdot|D)\|_{-k}^2 + \hat{\lambda} \left(P^v(\hat{g}_{\hat{\lambda}}(\cdot|D)) + \frac{w}{2} \|\hat{g}_{\hat{\lambda}}(\cdot|D)\|^2 \right) \right) \\ &\geq \frac{1}{K-1} \sum_{k=1}^K \frac{n-n_k}{n} \left(\frac{1}{2} \|y - \hat{g}_{\hat{\lambda}}(\cdot|D_{-k})\|_{-k}^2 + \hat{\lambda} \left(P^v(\hat{g}_{\hat{\lambda}}(\cdot|D_{-k})) + \frac{w}{2} \|\hat{g}_{\hat{\lambda}}(\cdot|D_{-k})\|^2 \right) \right) \\ &\geq \frac{1}{K-1} \sum_{k=1}^K \frac{n-n_k}{n} \left(\frac{1}{2} \|y - \hat{g}_{\hat{\lambda}}(\cdot|D_{-k})\|_{-k}^2 + \hat{\lambda} \frac{w}{2} \|\hat{g}_{\hat{\lambda}}(\cdot|D_{-k})\|^2 \right) + \hat{\lambda} \left(P^v(\hat{\xi}_{\hat{\lambda}}) + \frac{w}{2} \|\hat{\xi}_{\hat{\lambda}}\|^2 \right) \end{aligned}$$

The second inequality follows by convexity of P^v and $\|\cdot\|^2$.

Now note that

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{K-1} \sum_{k=1}^K \frac{n-n_k}{n} \frac{1}{2} \|y - \hat{g}_\lambda(\cdot|D_{-k})\|_{-k}^2 &= \frac{1}{K-1} \sum_{k=1}^K \frac{n-n_k}{n} \frac{1}{2} \|y - \hat{\xi}_\lambda + \hat{\xi}_\lambda - \hat{g}_\lambda(\cdot|D_{-k})\|_{-k}^2 \\ &\geq \frac{1}{2} \|y - \hat{\xi}_\lambda\|_D^2 + \frac{1}{K-1} \sum_{k=1}^K \frac{n-n_k}{n} \langle y - \hat{\xi}_\lambda, \hat{\xi}_\lambda - \hat{g}_\lambda(\cdot|D_{-k}) \rangle_{-k} \end{aligned}$$

2.1.3 Lemma 2

Consider any $\xi \in \mathcal{G}$ and λ . Suppose P^v is convex.

Then

$$\frac{1}{2} \|\hat{g}_\lambda(\cdot|D) - \xi\|_D^2 \leq \frac{1}{2} \|y - \xi\|_D^2 - \frac{1}{2} \|y - \hat{g}_\lambda(\cdot|D)\|_D^2 + \lambda \left(P^v(\xi) + \frac{w}{2} \|\xi\|^2 \right) - \lambda \left(P^v(\hat{g}_\lambda(\cdot|D)) + \frac{w}{2} \|\hat{g}_\lambda(\cdot|D)\|^2 \right)$$

(This is a version of Lemma 10 in Chetverikov, which is based on Chatterjee.)

Proof

Since P is convex, then for $t \in (0, 1)$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} &\frac{1}{2} \|y - \hat{g}_\lambda(\cdot|D)\|_D^2 + \lambda \left(P^v(\hat{g}_\lambda(\cdot|D)) + \frac{w}{2} \|\hat{g}_\lambda(\cdot|D)\|^2 \right) \\ &\leq \frac{1}{2} \|y - (t\xi + (1-t)\hat{g}_\lambda(\cdot|D))\|_D^2 + \lambda \left(P^v(t\xi + (1-t)\hat{g}_\lambda(\cdot|D)) + \frac{w}{2} \|t\xi + (1-t)\hat{g}_\lambda(\cdot|D)\|^2 \right) \\ &\leq \frac{1}{2} \|y - \hat{g}_\lambda(\cdot|D)\|_D^2 + t \langle y - \hat{g}_\lambda(\cdot|D), \hat{g}_\lambda(\cdot|D) - \xi \rangle_D + t^2 \|\xi - \hat{g}_\lambda\|_D^2 \\ &\quad + \lambda \left(tP^v(\xi) + (1-t)P^v(\hat{g}_\lambda(\cdot|D)) + t\frac{w}{2} \|\xi\|^2 + (1-t)\frac{w}{2} \|\hat{g}_\lambda(\cdot|D)\|^2 \right) \\ &\leq \frac{1}{2} \|y - \hat{g}_\lambda(\cdot|D)\|_D^2 + t \langle y - \hat{g}_\lambda(\cdot|D), \hat{g}_\lambda(\cdot|D) - \xi \rangle_D + \frac{t^2}{2} \|\xi - \hat{g}_\lambda\|_D^2 \\ &\quad + \lambda \left(tP^v(\xi) + (1-t)P^v(\hat{g}_\lambda(\cdot|D)) + t\frac{w}{2} \|\xi\|^2 + (1-t)\|\hat{g}_\lambda(\cdot|D)\|^2 \right) \end{aligned}$$

Rearranging terms, we obtain

$$\lambda \left(P^v(\hat{g}_\lambda(\cdot|D)) + \frac{w}{2} \|\hat{g}_\lambda(\cdot|D)\|^2 - P^v(\xi) - \frac{w}{2} \|\xi\|^2 \right) \leq \langle y - \hat{g}_\lambda(\cdot|D), \hat{g}_\lambda(\cdot|D) - \xi \rangle_D + \frac{t}{2} \|\xi - \hat{g}_\lambda\|_D^2$$

Since this is true for any t , we have that

$$\lambda \left(P^v(\hat{g}_\lambda(\cdot|D)) + \frac{w}{2} \|\hat{g}_\lambda(\cdot|D)\|^2 - P^v(\xi) - \frac{w}{2} \|\xi\|^2 \right) \leq \langle y - \hat{g}_\lambda(\cdot|D), \hat{g}_\lambda(\cdot|D) - \xi \rangle_D$$

Thus

$$\begin{aligned} &\frac{1}{2} \|\hat{g}_\lambda(\cdot|D) - \xi\|_D^2 \\ &\leq \frac{1}{2} \|\hat{g}_\lambda(\cdot|D) - y + y - \xi\|_D^2 \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \|\hat{g}_\lambda(\cdot|D) - y\|_D^2 + \frac{1}{2} \|y - \xi\|_D^2 - \langle \hat{g}_\lambda(\cdot|D) - y, y - \xi \rangle_D \\ &= -\frac{1}{2} \|\hat{g}_\lambda(\cdot|D) - y\|_D^2 + \frac{1}{2} \|y - \xi\|_D^2 - \langle \hat{g}_\lambda(\cdot|D) - y, \xi - \hat{g}_\lambda(\cdot|D) \rangle_D \\ &\leq -\frac{1}{2} \|\hat{g}_\lambda(\cdot|D) - y\|_D^2 + \frac{1}{2} \|y - \xi\|_D^2 - \lambda \left(P^v(\hat{g}_\lambda(\cdot|D)) + \frac{w}{2} \|\hat{g}_\lambda(\cdot|D)\|^2 - P^v(\xi) - \frac{w}{2} \|\xi\|^2 \right) \end{aligned}$$

2.1.4 Lemma 3

Suppose X, T are random (or fixed) covariate values. X and T might overlap.

Suppose ϵ_X are independent sub-gaussian RVs with constants K and σ (corresponding to X). Same for ϵ_T . Again, ϵ_T and ϵ_X might have overlapping samples.

Suppose the (random) function class $\mathcal{F}(T, \epsilon_T)$ has its entropy uniformly bounded by $\psi(\cdot)$, as long as $\|\epsilon\|_T \leq \sigma$:

$$H(u, \mathcal{F}(T, \epsilon_T), \|\cdot\|_X) \leq \psi(u)$$

Suppose $\sup_{f \in \mathcal{F}(T, \epsilon_T)} \|f\|_X \leq R$.

Then there exists some C s.t. for all δ s.t.

$$\sqrt{|X|}\delta \geq C \left(\int_0^R \psi^{1/2}(u) du \vee 1 \right)$$

we have for some constant c

$$Pr_\epsilon \left(\sup_{f \in \mathcal{F}(T, \epsilon_T)} \frac{|\langle \epsilon, f \rangle_X|}{\|f\|_X} \geq \delta \wedge \|\epsilon\|_X \leq \sigma \wedge \|\epsilon\|_T \leq \sigma \right) \leq C \exp \left(-|X| \frac{\delta^2}{c^2} \right)$$

Proof

We use the peeling device. Let $S = \min\{s \in 0, 1, \dots : 2^s \delta > R\}$. Conditional on $\|\epsilon\|_X \leq \sigma$ and $\|\epsilon\|_T \leq \sigma$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & Pr_\epsilon \left(\sup_{f \in \mathcal{F}(T, \epsilon_T)} \frac{|\langle \epsilon, f \rangle_X|}{\|f\|_X} \geq \delta \right) \\ &= \int 1 \left[\sup_{f \in \mathcal{F}(T, \epsilon_T)} \frac{|\langle \epsilon, f \rangle_X|}{\|f\|_X} \geq \delta \right] dF(\epsilon) \\ &= \int \sum_{s=0}^S 1 \left[\sup_{f \in \mathcal{F}(T, \epsilon_T): 2^s \delta \leq \|f\|_X \leq 2^{s+1} \delta} \frac{|\langle \epsilon, f \rangle_X|}{\|f\|_X} \geq \delta \right] dF(\epsilon) \\ &= \sum_{s=0}^S Pr \left(\sup_{f \in \mathcal{F}(T, \epsilon_T): 2^s \delta \leq \|f\|_X \leq 2^{s+1} \delta} \frac{|\langle \epsilon, f \rangle_X|}{\|f\|_X} \geq \delta \right) \\ &\leq \sum_{s=0}^S Pr \left(\sup_{f \in \mathcal{F}(T, \epsilon_T): \|f\|_X \leq 2^{s+1} \delta} |\langle \epsilon, f \rangle_X| \geq 2^s \delta^2 \right) \end{aligned}$$

In the last equality, we swap the order of integration and summation. This is allowed under the assumption that the identity functions are measurable, which should be okay.

To bound the summation, apply Lemma 6. For all

$$\sqrt{|X|}\delta \geq C \left(\int_0^R \psi^{1/2}(u) du \vee 1 \right)$$

there is some constant c s.t.

$$\begin{aligned} Pr_\epsilon \left(\sup_{f \in \mathcal{F}(T, \epsilon_T)} \frac{|\langle \epsilon, f(\cdot|\epsilon) \rangle_X|}{\|f(\cdot|\epsilon)\|_X} \geq \delta \wedge \|\epsilon\|_X \leq \sigma \wedge \|\epsilon\|_T \leq \sigma \right) &\leq \sum_{s=0}^S C \exp \left(-|X| \frac{2^{2s} \delta^4}{C^2 2^{2s+2} \delta^2} \right) \\ &\leq c \exp \left(-|X| \frac{\delta^2}{c^2} \right) \end{aligned}$$

2.1.5 Lemma 4

Suppose X, Z, T are random (or fixed) covariate values. X, Z, T might overlap.

Suppose ϵ_X are independent sub-gaussian RVs with constants K and σ (corresponding to X). Same for ϵ_T . Again, ϵ_T and ϵ_X might have overlapping samples.

Suppose the (random) function class $\mathcal{F}(T, \epsilon_T)$ has its entropy uniformly bounded by $\psi(\cdot)$, as long as $\|\epsilon\|_T \leq \sigma$:

$$H(u, \mathcal{F}(T, \epsilon_T), \|\cdot\|_{X \cup Z}) \leq \psi(u)$$

Suppose $\sup_{f \in \mathcal{F}(T, \epsilon_T)} \|f\|_X \leq R$.

Then there exists some C s.t. for all δ s.t.

$$(\min\{|X|, |Z|\})^{1/2} \delta \geq C \left(\int_0^R \psi^{1/2}(u) du \vee 1 \right)$$

we have

$$Pr \left(\sup_{f \in \mathcal{F}(T, \epsilon_T)} \frac{|\|f\|_X^2 - \|f\|_Z^2|}{\|f\|_{X \cup Z}} \geq \delta \wedge \|\epsilon\|_T \leq \sigma \right) \leq c \exp \left(-|X| \frac{\delta^2}{c^2 R^2} \right) + c \exp \left(-|X| \frac{\delta^2}{c^2 R^2} \right)$$

Proof

We use a symmetrization argument. Let W_i be Rademacher-like RV s.t. $Pr(W_i = 1) = \frac{|T|}{|T| + |X|}$ and $Pr(W_i = -\frac{|T| + |X|}{|T|}) = \frac{|X|}{|T| + |X|}$ (so $EW_i = 0$). Note that W_i are sub-gaussian by Hoeffding's inequality. Conditional on $\|\epsilon\|_T \leq \sigma$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & Pr_{X,T} \left(\sup_{f \in \mathcal{F}(T, \epsilon_T)} \frac{|\|f\|_X^2 - \|f\|_Z^2|}{\|f\|_{X \cup Z}} \geq \delta \right) \\ &= Pr_{W,X,T} \left(\sup_{f \in \mathcal{F}(T, \epsilon_T)} \frac{|\langle W, f^2 \rangle_X + \langle W, f^2 \rangle_T|}{\|f\|_{X \cup Z}} \geq \delta \right) \\ &\leq Pr_{W,X,T} \left(\sup_{f \in \mathcal{F}(T, \epsilon_T)} \frac{|\langle W, f^2 \rangle_X|}{\|f\|_X} \geq \frac{\delta}{2} \frac{|X|}{|T| + |X|} \right) + Pr_{W,X,T} \left(\sup_{f \in \mathcal{F}(T, \epsilon_T)} \frac{|\langle W, f^2 \rangle_Z|}{\|f\|_Z} \geq \frac{\delta}{2} \frac{|T|}{|T| + |X|} \right) \end{aligned}$$

Therefore we apply Lemma 3. (Note that the RVs W determine the model class $\hat{\mathcal{G}}(D_{-\ell})$, but Lemma 3 allows for this.)

Then there is a constant C such that for all

$$(\min\{|X|, |Z|\})^{1/2} \delta \geq C \left(\int_0^R \psi^{1/2}(u) du \vee 1 \right)$$

we have for some constant c (depends on the ratio $|X|/|Z|$),

$$Pr_{W,X,T} \left(\sup_{f \in \mathcal{F}(T, \epsilon_T)} \frac{|\langle W, f^2 \rangle_X|}{\|f\|_X} \geq \frac{\delta}{2} \frac{|X|}{|T| + |X|} \wedge \|\epsilon\|_T \leq \sigma \right) \leq c \exp \left(-|X| \frac{\delta^2}{c^2 R^2} \right)$$

and similarly

$$Pr_{W,X,T} \left(\sup_{f \in \mathcal{F}(T, \epsilon_T)} \frac{|\langle W, f^2 \rangle_Z|}{\|f\|_Z} \geq \frac{\delta}{2} \frac{|T|}{|T| + |X|} \wedge \|\epsilon\|_T \leq \sigma \right) \leq c \exp \left(-|Z| \frac{\delta^2}{c^2 R^2} \right)$$

2.1.6 Lemma 5

Let $\hat{\lambda}$ be chosen by CV. Let $\tilde{\lambda}$ be the oracle. Suppose $\sup_{g \in \mathcal{G}} \|g\|_D \leq G$. Then with high probability,

$$\sqrt{\sum_{k=1}^K \|\hat{g}_{\hat{\lambda}}(\cdot|D_{-k}) - g_{\tilde{\lambda}}(\cdot|D_{-k})\|_k^2} \lesssim \left(\frac{1 + \log 4\sigma^2 - \log w + \kappa \log n}{n} \right)^{1/2} + \sqrt{\sum_{k=1}^K \|\hat{g}_{\tilde{\lambda}}(\cdot|D_{-k}) - g^*\|_k^2}$$

Proof

The basic inequality gives us

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{k=1}^K \|\hat{g}_{\hat{\lambda}}(\cdot|D_{-k}) - \hat{g}_{\tilde{\lambda}}(\cdot|D_{-k})\|_k^2 \\ & \leq 2 \left| \sum_{k=1}^K (\epsilon, \hat{g}_{\hat{\lambda}}(\cdot|D_{-k}) - \hat{g}_{\tilde{\lambda}}(\cdot|D_{-k}))_k \right| + 2 \left| \sum_{k=1}^K (g^* - \hat{g}_{\tilde{\lambda}}(\cdot|D_{-k}), \hat{g}_{\tilde{\lambda}}(\cdot|D_{-k}) - \hat{g}_{\hat{\lambda}}(\cdot|D_{-k}))_k \right| \end{aligned}$$

We bound the empirical process term by a standard peeling argument (omitted). That is, one can show that for all

$$\delta \geq C \left(\frac{1 + \log 4\sigma^2 - \log w + \kappa \log n}{\min_{k=1:K} \{n_k\}} \right)^{1/2}$$

we have that for every k ,

$$Pr \left(\frac{|\epsilon, g_{\hat{\lambda}}(\cdot|D_{-k}) - g_{\tilde{\lambda}}(\cdot|D_{-k}))_k|}{\|g_{\hat{\lambda}}(\cdot|D_{-k}) - g_{\tilde{\lambda}}(\cdot|D_{-k})\|_k} \geq \delta \wedge \|\epsilon\|_k \leq 2\sigma \right) \leq c \exp \left(-n_k \frac{\delta^2}{c^2} \right)$$

Hence for constants c_k (which depend on n_k/n),

$$\begin{aligned} & Pr \left(\frac{\left| \sum_{k=1}^K (\epsilon, g_{\hat{\lambda}}(\cdot|D_{-k}) - g_{\tilde{\lambda}}(\cdot|D_{-k}))_k \right|}{\sqrt{\sum_{k=1}^K \|g_{\hat{\lambda}}(\cdot|D_{-k}) - g_{\tilde{\lambda}}(\cdot|D_{-k})\|_k^2}} \geq \delta \wedge \|\epsilon\|_D \leq 2\sigma \right) \\ & \leq \sum_{k=1}^K Pr \left(\frac{|\epsilon, g_{\hat{\lambda}}(\cdot|D_{-k}) - g_{\tilde{\lambda}}(\cdot|D_{-k}))_k|}{\|g_{\hat{\lambda}}(\cdot|D_{-k}) - g_{\tilde{\lambda}}(\cdot|D_{-k})\|_k} \geq \frac{\delta c_k}{k} \wedge \|\epsilon\|_D \leq 2\sigma \right) \\ & \leq c \exp \left(- \min_{k=1:K} \{n_k\} \frac{\delta^2}{c^2} \right) \end{aligned}$$

Also, by Cauchy-Schwarz, we have

$$\frac{\left| \sum_{k=1}^K (g^* - g_{\tilde{\lambda}}(\cdot|D_{-k}), g_{\hat{\lambda}}(\cdot|D_{-k}) - g_{\tilde{\lambda}}(\cdot|D_{-k}))_k \right|}{\sqrt{\sum_{k=1}^K \|g_{\hat{\lambda}}(\cdot|D_{-k}) - g_{\tilde{\lambda}}(\cdot|D_{-k})\|_k^2}} \leq \sqrt{\sum_{k=1}^K \|g^* - g_{\tilde{\lambda}}(\cdot|D_{-k})\|_k^2}$$

Hence the result follows.

2.1.7 Lemma 6

Let X be n covariate values (potentially randomly drawn). It is also possible for T and X to contain overlapping samples.

Suppose ϵ_X is a set of n independent sub-gaussian RVs with constants K and σ (corresponding to X). Suppose ϵ_T are also independent sub-gaussian RVs with constants K and σ (corresponding to T). Again, it is possible for ϵ_T and ϵ_X can have overlapping samples.

Suppose $\sup_{f \in \mathcal{F}(T, \epsilon_T)} \|f\|_X \leq R$.

Suppose we have (random) function classes $\mathcal{F}(T, \epsilon_T)$ with entropy $H(\delta, \mathcal{F}(T, \epsilon_T), \|\cdot\|_X)$. Suppose that there is a universal bound on the entropy if $\|\epsilon_T\| \leq \sigma$:

$$H(u, \mathcal{F}(T, \epsilon_T), \|\cdot\|_X) \leq \psi(u)$$

Then there exists some C dependent only on K, σ s.t. for all

$$\sqrt{n}\delta \geq C \left(\int_0^R \psi^{1/2}(u) du \vee R \right)$$

we have

$$Pr_\epsilon \left(\sup_{f_\theta(\cdot|\epsilon) \in \mathcal{F}(T, \epsilon_T)} |\langle \epsilon, f_\theta(\cdot|\epsilon) \rangle_X| \geq \delta \wedge \|\epsilon\|_X \leq \sigma \wedge \|\epsilon\|_T \leq \sigma \right) \leq C \exp \left(-n \frac{\delta^2}{C^2 R^2} \right)$$

Proof

Proof closely follows Lemma 3.2 from Vandegeer.

For a given set of RVs ϵ , let $\{f_j^s(\cdot|\epsilon)\}_{j=1}^{N_s}$ be the $2^{-s}R$ -covering set of $\mathcal{F}(T, \epsilon)$ where $N_s = N_s(2^{-s}R, \mathcal{F}(T, \epsilon), \|\cdot\|_X) \leq \exp(\psi(2^{-s}R))$. Let $S = \min\{s : 2^{-s}R \leq \delta/2\sigma\}$. We can write $f_\theta^S(\cdot|\epsilon) = \sum_{s=1}^S f_\theta^s(\cdot|\epsilon) - f_\theta^{s-1}(\cdot|\epsilon)$ where $f_\theta^0(\cdot|\epsilon) = 0$.

Also, consider a set of constants η_s s.t. $\sum_{s=1}^S \eta_s \leq 1$.

Then as long as $\|\epsilon\|_X \leq \sigma$ and $\|\epsilon\|_T \leq \sigma$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & Pr_\epsilon \left(\sup_{\theta \in \mathcal{F}(T, \epsilon_T)} |\langle \epsilon, f_\theta(\cdot|\epsilon) \rangle_X| \geq \delta \right) \\ &= \int 1 \left[\sup_{\theta \in \mathcal{F}(T, \epsilon_T)} |\langle \epsilon, f_\theta(\cdot|\epsilon) \rangle_X| \geq \delta/2 \right] dF(\epsilon) \\ &= \int 1 \left[\sup_{\theta \in \mathcal{F}(T, \epsilon_T)} \left| \left\langle \epsilon, f_\theta(\cdot|\epsilon) - f_\theta^S(\cdot|\epsilon) + \sum_{s=1}^S f_\theta^s(\cdot|\epsilon) - f_\theta^{s-1}(\cdot|\epsilon) \right\rangle_X \right| \geq \delta/2 \right] dF(\epsilon) \\ &\leq \int 1 \left[\sup_{\theta \in \mathcal{F}(T, \epsilon_T)} |\langle \epsilon, f_\theta - f_\theta^S(\cdot|\epsilon) \rangle_X| \geq \delta/2 \right] + \sum_{s=1}^S \int 1 \left[\sup_{\theta \in \mathcal{F}(T, \epsilon_T)} |\langle \epsilon, f_\theta^s(\cdot|\epsilon) - f_\theta^{s-1}(\cdot|\epsilon) \rangle_X| \geq \delta\eta_s/2 \right] dF(\epsilon) \\ &= Pr_\epsilon \left(\sup_{\theta \in \mathcal{F}(T, \epsilon_T)} |\langle \epsilon, f_\theta - f_\theta^S(\cdot|\epsilon) \rangle_X| \geq \delta/2 \right) + \sum_{s=1}^S Pr_\epsilon \left(\sup_{\theta \in \mathcal{F}(T, \epsilon_T)} |\langle \epsilon, f_\theta^s(\cdot|\epsilon) - f_\theta^{s-1}(\cdot|\epsilon) \rangle_X| \geq \delta\eta_s/2 \right) \end{aligned}$$

In the last equality, we swap the order of the summation and integration, which is allowed under the assumption that identity functions are measurable (I think this is the measurability assumption required here) (If ϵ has a continuous probability measure, I think this holds).

We know that the first summand is zero since by Cauchy-Schwarz,

$$\begin{aligned} |\langle \epsilon, f_\theta - f_\theta^S(\cdot|\epsilon) \rangle_X| &\leq \sigma \|f_\theta - f_\theta^S(\cdot|\epsilon)\|_X \\ &\leq \delta/2 \end{aligned}$$

Also, for any ϵ , we must have $\|f_\theta^s(\cdot|\epsilon) - f_\theta^{s-1}(\cdot|\epsilon)\| \leq 3(2^{-s}R)$. Furthermore, since ϵ is sub-gaussian,

$$Pr_\epsilon \left(\sup_{\theta \in \mathcal{F}(T, \epsilon_T)} |\langle \epsilon, f_\theta^s(\cdot|\epsilon) - f_\theta^{s-1}(\cdot|\epsilon) \rangle_X| \geq \delta\eta_s/2 \right) \leq \exp \left(2\psi(2^{-s}R) - C \frac{n(\delta/2)^2 \eta_s^2}{9(2^{-2s}R^2)} \right)$$

Now choose η_s as Vandegeer does in Lemma 3.2. After a lot of algebraic massaging, we get that for some constants C_1, C_2

$$Pr_\epsilon \left(\sup_{f_\theta \in \mathcal{F}(T, \epsilon_T)} |\langle \epsilon, f_\theta \rangle_X| \geq \delta \wedge \|\epsilon\|_X \leq \sigma \wedge \|\epsilon\|_T \leq \sigma \right) \leq C_1 \exp \left(-n \frac{\delta^2}{C_2^2 R^2} \right)$$

2.2 Easy algebra notes

2.2.1 Easy Lemma 1

Suppose

$$\frac{|\|f\|_X^2 - \|f\|_Z^2|}{\|f\|_{X \cup Z}} \leq \delta$$

then

$$|\|f\|_X - \|f\|_Z| \leq \delta$$

Proof

We know

$$\begin{aligned} \sqrt{\|f\|_X^2 + \|f\|_Z^2} |\|f\|_X - \|f\|_Z| &\leq \|f\|_X + \|f\|_Z |\|f\|_X - \|f\|_Z| \\ &= |\|f\|_X^2 - \|f\|_Z^2| \\ &\leq \delta \sqrt{\frac{|X|}{|X| + |Z|} \|f\|_X^2 + \frac{|Z|}{|X| + |Z|} \|f\|_Z^2} \\ &\leq \delta \sqrt{\|f\|_X^2 + \|f\|_Z^2} \end{aligned}$$

hence

$$|\|f\|_X - \|f\|_Z| \leq \delta$$

3 Corollaries

3.1 Convergence Rate Equivalence between the original regression problem and the perturbed ridge problem

Consider any λ .

Suppose the original regression problem is

$$\hat{g} = \arg \min_{g \in \mathcal{G}} \frac{1}{2} \|y - g\|_D^2 + \lambda P^v(g)$$

and the new perturbed ridge problem is

$$\hat{f} = \arg \min_{f \in \mathcal{G}} \frac{1}{2} \|y - f\|_D^2 + \lambda \left(P^v(f) + \frac{w}{2} \|f\|^2 \right)$$

Let g^* be the true minimizer

$$g^* = \arg \min_{g \in \mathcal{G}} E [\|y - g\|^2]$$

Suppose there are constants $K_0, K_1 > 0$ s.t.

$$\frac{w}{2} \|g^*\|^2 \leq K_0 P^v(g) + K_1$$

Then the rate of convergence of

$$\left\| \hat{f} - g^* \right\|_D \lesssim \|\hat{g} - g^*\|_D$$

That is, the optimal rate of convergence determined by the oracle $\tilde{\lambda}$ is preserved under the new perturbed ridge regression problem.

Proof

By definition,

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{2} \|y - \hat{f}\|_D^2 + \lambda \left(P^v(\hat{f}) + \frac{w}{2} \|\hat{f}\|^2 \right) &\leq \frac{1}{2} \|y - g^*\|_D^2 + \lambda \left(P^v(g^*) + \frac{w}{2} \|g^*\|^2 \right) \\ &\leq \frac{1}{2} \|y - g^*\|_D^2 + \lambda(1 + K_0)P^v(g^*) + \lambda K_1 \end{aligned}$$

Therefore

$$\frac{1}{2} \|y - \hat{f}\|_D^2 + \lambda P^v(\hat{f}) \leq \frac{1}{2} \|y - g^*\|_D^2 + \lambda(1 + K_0)P^v(g^*) + \lambda K_1$$

Notice that this inequality is very similar to the inequality from the original regression problem

$$\frac{1}{2} \|y - \hat{f}\|_D^2 + \lambda P^v(\hat{f}) \leq \frac{1}{2} \|y - g^*\|_D^2 + \lambda P^v(g^*)$$

Therefore the arguments to bound the convergence rate of $\|\hat{g} - g^*\|_D$ should give the same convergence rate for $\left\| \hat{f} - g^* \right\|_D$. (Example: refer to Thrm 10.2 in Vandegeer)