

Theorem 3

Suppose we have sub-gauss errors.

Suppose

$$\int_0^R H^{1/2}(u, \mathcal{G}(T), \|\cdot\|_V) du \leq \psi_T(R)$$

Suppose

$$\frac{\psi_T(a+u)}{u^2}$$

are nonincreasing wrt to u for all $u > 0, a > 0$.

Then there is some constant C (only dependent on the characteristics of the sub-gaussian errors) such that for all δ that satisfy

$$\sqrt{n_V} \delta^2 \geq 2C [\psi_T(2 \|\hat{g}_{\bar{\lambda}} - g^*\|_V + 2\delta) \vee (2 \|\hat{g}_{\bar{\lambda}} - g^*\|_V + 2\delta)]$$

we have with high probability that

$$\|\hat{g}_{\bar{\lambda}} - g^*\|_V \leq \|\hat{g}_{\bar{\lambda}} - g^*\|_V + \delta$$

Proof for Theorem 3

The basic inequality gives us

$$\|\hat{g}_{\bar{\lambda}} - g^*\|_V^2 \leq \|\hat{g}_{\bar{\lambda}}(\cdot|T) - g^*\|_V^2 + 2\langle \epsilon, \hat{g}_{\bar{\lambda}} - \hat{g}_{\bar{\lambda}} \rangle_V$$

Note that if $\|\hat{g}_{\bar{\lambda}} - g^*\|_V \leq \|\hat{g}_{\bar{\lambda}} - g^*\|_V$, then

$$(\|\hat{g}_{\bar{\lambda}} - g^*\|_V - \|\hat{g}_{\bar{\lambda}} - g^*\|_V)^2 \leq \|\hat{g}_{\bar{\lambda}} - g^*\|_V^2 - \|\hat{g}_{\bar{\lambda}} - g^*\|_V^2$$

By a peeling argument, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & Pr(\|\hat{g}_{\bar{\lambda}} - g^*\|_V - \|\hat{g}_{\bar{\lambda}} - g^*\|_V \geq \delta) \\ &= \sum_{s=0}^{\infty} Pr(2^s \delta \leq \|\hat{g}_{\bar{\lambda}} - g^*\|_V - \|\hat{g}_{\bar{\lambda}} - g^*\|_V \leq 2^{s+1} \delta) \\ &\leq \sum_{s=0}^{\infty} Pr(\|\hat{g}_{\bar{\lambda}} - g^*\|_V - \|\hat{g}_{\bar{\lambda}} - g^*\|_V \geq 2^s \delta \wedge \|\hat{g}_{\bar{\lambda}} - \hat{g}_{\bar{\lambda}}\|_V \leq 2 \|\hat{g}_{\bar{\lambda}} - g^*\|_V + 2^{s+1} \delta) \\ &= \sum_{s=0}^{\infty} Pr((\|\hat{g}_{\bar{\lambda}} - g^*\|_V - \|\hat{g}_{\bar{\lambda}} - g^*\|_V)^2 \geq 2^{2s} \delta^2 \wedge \|\hat{g}_{\bar{\lambda}} - \hat{g}_{\bar{\lambda}}\|_V \leq 2 \|\hat{g}_{\bar{\lambda}} - g^*\|_V + 2^{s+1} \delta) \\ &\leq \sum_{s=0}^{\infty} Pr(\|\hat{g}_{\bar{\lambda}} - g^*\|_V^2 - \|\hat{g}_{\bar{\lambda}} - g^*\|_V^2 \geq 2^{2s} \delta^2 \wedge \|\hat{g}_{\bar{\lambda}} - \hat{g}_{\bar{\lambda}}\|_V \leq 2 \|\hat{g}_{\bar{\lambda}} - g^*\|_V + 2^{s+1} \delta) \\ &\leq \sum_{s=0}^{\infty} Pr\left(\sup_{\|\hat{g}_{\bar{\lambda}} - \hat{g}_{\bar{\lambda}}\|_V \leq 2 \|\hat{g}_{\bar{\lambda}} - g^*\|_V + 2^{s+1} \delta} \langle \epsilon, \hat{g}_{\bar{\lambda}} - \hat{g}_{\bar{\lambda}} \rangle_V \geq 2^{2s-1} \delta^2\right) \end{aligned}$$

To apply the lemma based on vandegeer corollary 8.3 (see below), we must check all the conditions are satisfied.

We have chosen that δ such that

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\sqrt{n_V}}{8} &\geq \frac{C}{4\delta^2} [\psi_T(2 \|\hat{g}_{\bar{\lambda}} - g^*\|_V + 2\delta) \vee (2 \|\hat{g}_{\bar{\lambda}} - g^*\|_V + 2\delta)] \\ &\geq \frac{C}{2^{2s+2}\delta^2} [\psi_T(2 \|\hat{g}_{\bar{\lambda}} - g^*\|_V + 2^{s+1}\delta) \vee (2 \|\hat{g}_{\bar{\lambda}} - g^*\|_V + 2\delta)] \end{aligned}$$

where the second line follows from the assumption that $\psi_T(a+u)/u^2$ is nonincreasing wrt u . Hence we have satisfied the condition in corollary 8.3 that

$$\sqrt{n_V} 2^{2s-1} \delta^2 \geq C [\psi_T(2 \|\hat{g}_{\bar{\lambda}} - g^*\|_V + 2^{s+1} \delta) \vee (2 \|\hat{g}_{\bar{\lambda}} - g^*\|_V + 2^{s+1} \delta)]$$

Hence we have

$$\begin{aligned} & Pr(\|\hat{g}_{\bar{\lambda}} - g^*\|_V - \|\hat{g}_{\bar{\lambda}} - g^*\|_V \geq \delta \wedge \|\epsilon\|_V \leq 2\sigma \wedge \|\epsilon\|_T \leq 2\sigma) \\ & \leq C \sum_{s=0}^{\infty} \exp\left(-n_V \frac{2^{4s-2} \delta^4}{4C^2 (2 \|\hat{g}_{\bar{\lambda}} - g^*\|_V + 2^{s+1} \delta)^2}\right) \\ & \leq C \sum_{s=0}^{\infty} \exp\left(-n_V \frac{2^{4s-2} \delta^4}{64C^2 \|\hat{g}_{\bar{\lambda}} - g^*\|_V^2}\right) \vee \exp\left(-n_V \frac{2^{2s} \delta^2}{196C^2}\right) \\ & \leq c \exp\left(-\frac{n_V \delta^4}{c^2 \|\hat{g}_{\bar{\lambda}} - g^*\|_V^2}\right) + c \exp\left(-\frac{n_V \delta^2}{c^2}\right) \end{aligned}$$

for some constant c .

By Bernstein's inequality, we also know

$$Pr(\|\epsilon\|_T \geq 2\sigma) \leq \exp\left(-n_T \frac{\sigma^2}{K}\right)$$

and similarly for $Pr(\|\epsilon\|_V \geq 2\sigma)$.

Hence we have found for the given δ choice, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & Pr(\|\hat{g}_{\bar{\lambda}} - g^*\|_V - \|\hat{g}_{\bar{\lambda}} - g^*\|_V \geq \delta) \\ & \leq c \exp\left(-\frac{n_V \delta^4}{c^2 \|\hat{g}_{\bar{\lambda}} - g^*\|_V^2}\right) + c \exp\left(-\frac{n_V \delta^2}{c^2}\right) + \exp\left(-n_T \frac{\sigma^2}{K}\right) + \exp\left(-n_V \frac{\sigma^2}{K}\right) \end{aligned}$$

Theorem 1 (Corollary to Theorem 3)

Let $\Lambda = [n^{-t_{min}}, n^{t_{max}}]^J$.

Suppose that if $\|\epsilon\|_T \leq 2\sigma$, there are constants C, κ such that for any $u > 0$, we have for all $\lambda \in \Lambda$

$$\|\lambda_1 - \lambda_2\| \leq C n^\kappa u \implies \|\hat{g}_{\lambda_1} - \hat{g}_{\lambda_2}\|_V \leq u$$

Then there are constants c, c_1, c_2 s.t. with high probability,

$$\|\hat{g}_{\bar{\lambda}} - g^*\|_V \leq \|\hat{g}_{\bar{\lambda}} - g^*\|_V + \frac{c_1 (J(\log n_V + c_2))^{1/2}}{\sqrt{n_V}} + \sqrt{c (J(\log n_V + c_2))^{1/2} \|\hat{g}_{\bar{\lambda}} - g^*\|_V n_V^{-1/2}}$$

Proof

By Lemma param_covering_cube, we have

$$\begin{aligned} N(u, \mathcal{G}(T), \|\cdot\|_V) & \leq N(C n^\kappa u, \Lambda, \|\cdot\|_2) \\ & \leq \frac{1}{C_J} \left(\frac{4(\lambda_{max} - \lambda_{min}) + 2C n^\kappa u}{C n^\kappa u} \right)^J \\ & \leq \frac{1}{C_J} \left(\frac{4n^{t_{max}-\kappa} + 2Cu}{Cu} \right)^J \end{aligned}$$

Hence

$$H(u, \mathcal{G}(T), \|\cdot\|_V) \leq \log \left[\frac{1}{C_J} \left(\frac{4n^{t_{max}} + 2Cu}{Cu} \right)^J \right]$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^R H^{1/2}(u, \mathcal{G}(T), \|\cdot\|_V) du &\leq \int_0^R \left[\log \frac{1}{C_J} + J \log \left(\frac{2n^{t_{max}-\kappa} + 2Cu}{Cu} \right) \right]^{1/2} du \\ &< \int_0^R \left[\log \frac{1}{C_J} + J \log 4 + J \log \left(\frac{4n^{t_{max}-\kappa}}{Cu} \right) \right]^{1/2} du \\ &= R \int_0^1 \left[\log \frac{1}{C_J} + J \log 4 + J \log \left(\frac{4n^{t_{max}-\kappa}}{CRv} \right) \right]^{1/2} dv \\ &\leq R \left[\int_0^1 \log \frac{1}{C_J} + J \log 4 + J \log \left(\frac{4n^{t_{max}-\kappa}}{CRv} \right) dv \right]^{1/2} \\ &= R \left[\log \frac{1}{C_J} + J(1 + \log 4) + J \log \left(\frac{4n^{t_{max}-\kappa}}{C} \right) + J \log \frac{1}{R} \right]^{1/2} \\ &\leq R \left(\left[\log \frac{1}{C_J} + J(1 + \log 4) + J \log \left(\frac{4n^{t_{max}-\kappa}}{C} \right) \right]^{1/2} + \sqrt{J \log \frac{1}{R} \vee 0} \right) \end{aligned}$$

The second bound is crazy loose (but is okay I think). The third inequality follows from concavity of the square root.

The term $\log \frac{1}{R}$ is nasty. When choosing δ , we will replace it with $\log n_V$ since for all $R \geq \frac{1}{n_V}$, we have

$$\log \frac{1}{R} \vee 0 \leq \log n_V$$

Now apply Theorem 3. If δ is chosen such that

$$\sqrt{n_V} \delta^2 \geq 2C (\|\hat{g}_{\bar{\lambda}} - g^*\|_V + \delta) \left(\left[\log \frac{1}{C_J} + J(1 + \log 4) + J \log \left(\frac{4n^{t_{max}-\kappa}}{C} \right) \right]^{1/2} + \sqrt{J \log n_V} \right) \quad (1)$$

then with high probability, we have

$$\|\hat{g}_{\bar{\lambda}} - g^*\|_V \leq \|\hat{g}_{\bar{\lambda}} - g^*\|_V + \delta \quad (2)$$

We can combine the two inequalities. If we let

$$K = c \left(\left[\log \frac{1}{C_J} + J(1 + \log 4) + J \log \left(\frac{4n^{t_{max}-\kappa}}{C} \right) \right]^{1/2} + \sqrt{J \log n_V} \right)$$

and

$$\omega = \|\hat{g}_{\bar{\lambda}} - g^*\|_V$$

Then (1) can be expressed as

$$\sqrt{n_V} \delta^2 - K\delta - K\omega \geq 0$$

We notice that (1) is precisely the quadratic inequality and is satisfied for δ such that

$$\begin{aligned} \delta &\geq \frac{K + \sqrt{K^2 + 4K\omega\sqrt{n_V}}}{2\sqrt{n_V}} \\ &\geq \frac{K}{\sqrt{n_V}} + \sqrt{K \|\hat{g}_{\bar{\lambda}} - g^*\|_V n_V^{-1/2}} \end{aligned}$$

where the second inequality is provided for a more intuitive understanding. Plug this inequality back into (2) to get the final result.

Lemma (Based on Vandegeer Corollary 8.3)

Let Q_m be the empirical distributon of m observations at covariates x_i .

Suppose ϵ are m independent sub-gaussian errors. Suppose the model class $\mathcal{F}(T)$ has elements $\sup_{f \in \mathcal{F}_n(T)} \|f\|_{Q_m} \leq R$ and satisfies

$$\psi_T(R) \geq \int_0^R H^{1/2}(u, \mathcal{F}(T), \|\cdot\|_{Q_m}) du$$

There is C dependent only on the sub-gaussian constants such that for all $\delta > 0$ such that

$$\sqrt{m}\delta \geq C(\psi_T(R) \vee R)$$

we have

$$Pr \left(\sup_{f \in \mathcal{F}_n(T)} \left| \frac{1}{m} \sum_{i=1}^m \epsilon_i f(x_i) \right| \geq \delta \wedge \|\epsilon\|_{Q_m} \leq 2\sigma \right) \leq C \exp \left(-\frac{m\delta^2}{4C^2 R^2} \right)$$

Lemma param_covering_cube

Suppose we have $\Lambda = [\lambda_{min}, \lambda_{max}]^J$, we have

$$N(\delta, \Lambda, \|\cdot\|_2) \leq \frac{1}{C_J} \left(\frac{4(\lambda_{max} - \lambda_{min}) + 2\delta}{\delta} \right)^J$$

Proof

(Based on Lemma 2.5 in vandegeer)

Let $C = \{c_j\}_{j=1}^N \subset \Lambda$ be the largest set s.t. two distinct points c_{j_1}, c_{j_2} are at least δ apart. Then balls with radius δ centered at C cover Λ . Hence

$$N(\delta, \Lambda, \|\cdot\|_2) \leq N$$

If we instead consider the balls centered at C but with radius $\delta/4$, then the balls must be disjoint and are completely contained in the box $[\lambda_{min} - \delta/4, \lambda_{max} + \delta/4]^J$. So we know the aggregate volume of these smaller balls is less than the volume of the box.

Recall the volume of a ball with radius ρ is $C_J \rho^J$ (where C_J is a constant dependent on dimension J). Hence

$$NC_J(\delta/4)^J \leq (\lambda_{max} - \lambda_{min} + \delta/2)^J$$

Lemma: Lipschitz Definition Equivalence

The following two conditions are equivalent:

1. For all $u > 0$ and any $\lambda^{(1)}, \lambda^{(2)}$ that satisfy

$$\|\lambda^{(1)} - \lambda^{(2)}\| \leq Cu$$

then

$$\|\hat{g}(\cdot|\lambda^{(1)}) - \hat{g}(\cdot|\lambda^{(2)})\|_D \leq u$$

1. $\hat{g}(\cdot|\lambda)$ is $1/C$ -Lipschitz in λ :

$$\|\hat{g}(\cdot|\lambda^{(1)}) - \hat{g}(\cdot|\lambda^{(2)})\|_D \leq \frac{1}{C} \|\lambda^{(1)} - \lambda^{(2)}\|$$

Proof

It is clear that Condition 2 implies Condition 1.

To show Condition 1 implies Condition 2, suppose for any $\lambda^{(1)}, \lambda^{(2)}$, we have

$$\|\lambda^{(1)} - \lambda^{(2)}\| = d = C \frac{d}{C}$$

Then

$$\|\hat{g}(\cdot|\lambda^{(1)}) - \hat{g}(\cdot|\lambda^{(2)})\|_D \leq \frac{d}{C} = \frac{1}{C} \|\lambda^{(1)} - \lambda^{(2)}\|$$