# 1 Simple model

# **Definitions**

We find the best model for y over function class  $\mathcal{G}$ . Presume  $g^* \in \mathcal{G}$  is the true model and

$$y = q^*(X) + \epsilon$$

where  $\epsilon$  are sub-Gaussian errors for constants K and  $\sigma_0^2$ 

$$\max_{i=1:n} K^2 \left( E \left[ \exp(|\epsilon_i|^2 K^2) - 1 \right] \right) \le \sigma_0^2$$

Given a training set T, We define the fitted models

$$\hat{g}_{\lambda} = \|y - g\|_T^2 + \lambda^2 I^v(g)$$

Given a validation set V , let the CV-fitted model be

$$\hat{g}_{\hat{\lambda}} = \arg\min_{\lambda} \|y - \hat{g}_{\lambda}\|_{V}^{2}$$

We will suppose  $I(g^*) > 0$ .

# Assumptions

Suppose the entropy of the class  $\mathcal{G}'$  is

$$H\left(\delta, \mathcal{G}' = \left\{\frac{g - g^*}{I(g) + I(g^*)} : g \in \mathcal{G}, I(g) + I(g^*) > 0\right\}, P_T\right) \leq \tilde{A}\delta^{-\alpha}$$
(1)

Suppose  $v > 2\alpha/(2+\alpha)$ .

Suppose for all  $\lambda \in \Lambda$ ,  $I^v(\hat{g}_{\lambda})$  is upper bounded by  $\|\hat{g}_{\lambda}\|_n^2 = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \hat{g}_{\lambda}(x_i)$ . See Lemma 1 below for the specific assumption. This assumption includes Ridge, Lasso, Generalized Lasso, and the Group Lasso

# Result 1: Single $\lambda$ , Single Penalty, cross-validation over general $X_T, X_V$

Suppose that the training and validation set are independently sampled, so the values  $X_i$  are not necessarily the same. Suppose the training and validation sets are both of size n. Suppose X is bounded s.t.  $|X| \leq R_X$  and the domain of  $g \in \mathcal{G}$  is over  $(-R_X, R_X)$ .

Suppose the same entropy bound (2) for both the training set  $P_T$  and validation set  $P_V$ .

Suppose for all  $\lambda \in \Lambda$ ,  $I^{v}(\hat{g}_{\lambda})$  is upper bounded by its  $L_{2}$ -norm with some constant M and  $M_{0}$  such that

$$I^{v}(\hat{g}_{\lambda}) \le M \|\hat{g}_{\lambda}\|_{n}^{2} + M_{0}$$

Suppose the entropy bound for both training set  $P_T$  and validation set  $P_V$ . Suppose that

$$\sup_{g \in \mathcal{G}} \frac{\|g - g^*\|_{\infty}}{I(g) + I(g^*)} \le K < \infty$$

Let  $\lambda$  be the optimal  $\lambda$  by Vandegeer. Then

$$\|\hat{g}_{\tilde{\lambda}} - \hat{g}_{\hat{\lambda}}\|_{V} = O_{p}\left(n^{-1/(2+\alpha)}\right)\left(I^{\alpha/(2+\alpha)}(g^{*}) + I(g^{*})\right)$$

and  $\|\hat{g}_{\hat{\lambda}} - g^*\|_V$  is of the same order (differs by some constant).

Proof:

By the triangle inequality,

$$\|\hat{g}_{\hat{\lambda}} - g^*\|_V \le \|\hat{g}_{\hat{\lambda}} - \hat{g}_{\hat{\lambda}}\|_V + \|\hat{g}_{\hat{\lambda}} - g^*\|_V$$

We bound each component on the RHS separately.

First bound  $\|\hat{g}_{\tilde{\lambda}} - g^*\|_V$ . By Vandegeer Thrm 10.2 and Lemma 2,

$$\begin{aligned} \|\hat{g}_{\tilde{\lambda}} - g^*\|_{V} & \leq \|\hat{g}_{\tilde{\lambda}} - g^*\|_{T} + \left| \|\hat{g}_{\tilde{\lambda}} - g^*\|_{V} - \|\hat{g}_{\tilde{\lambda}} - g^*\|_{T} \right| \\ & \leq O_{p} \left( n^{-1/(2+\alpha)} \right) I^{\alpha/(2+\alpha)}(g^*) + O_{p} \left( n^{-1/(2+\alpha)} \right) \left( I(g^*) + I(\hat{g}_{\tilde{\lambda}}) \right) \\ & \leq O_{p} \left( n^{-1/(2+\alpha)} \right) \left( I^{\alpha/(2+\alpha)}(g^*) + I(g^*) \right) \end{aligned}$$

Next bound  $\|\hat{g}_{\hat{\lambda}} - \hat{g}_{\tilde{\lambda}}\|_{V}$ . The basic inequality gives us

$$\left\|\hat{g}_{\tilde{\lambda}} - \hat{g}_{\hat{\lambda}}\right\|_{V}^{2} \leq 2\left|\left(\epsilon, \hat{g}_{\tilde{\lambda}} - \hat{g}_{\hat{\lambda}}\right)_{V}\right| + 2\left|\left(g^{*} - \hat{g}_{\tilde{\lambda}}, \hat{g}_{\tilde{\lambda}} - \hat{g}_{\hat{\lambda}}\right)_{V}\right|$$

Case a:  $\left|\left(\epsilon,\hat{g}_{\tilde{\lambda}}-\hat{g}_{\hat{\lambda}}\right)_{T}\right|$  is the bigger term on the RHS By Vandegeer (10.6),

$$\|\hat{g}_{\tilde{\lambda}} - \hat{g}_{\hat{\lambda}}\|_{V}^{2} \leq O_{P}(n^{-1/2}) \|\hat{g}_{\tilde{\lambda}} - \hat{g}_{\hat{\lambda}}\|^{1-\alpha/2} \left(I(\hat{g}_{\tilde{\lambda}}) + I(\hat{g}_{\hat{\lambda}})\right)^{\alpha/2}$$

If  $I(\hat{g}_{\tilde{\lambda}}) > I(\hat{g}_{\hat{\lambda}})$ , then

$$\|\hat{g}_{\tilde{\lambda}} - \hat{g}_{\hat{\lambda}}\|_{V} \le O_{P}(n^{-1/(2+\alpha)})I(g^{*})^{\alpha/(2+\alpha)}$$

Otherwise, suppose  $I(\hat{g}_{\tilde{\lambda}}) < I(\hat{g}_{\hat{\lambda}})$ . Since I is a pseudo-norm,

$$\begin{aligned} \left\| \hat{g}_{\tilde{\lambda}} - \hat{g}_{\hat{\lambda}} \right\|_{V} & \leq O_{P}(n^{-1/(2+\alpha)}) I(\hat{g}_{\hat{\lambda}})^{\alpha/(2+\alpha)} \\ & \leq O_{P}(n^{-1/(2+\alpha)}) \left( I(\hat{g}_{\tilde{\lambda}} - \hat{g}_{\hat{\lambda}}) + I(\hat{g}_{\tilde{\lambda}}) \right)^{\alpha/(2+\alpha)} \end{aligned}$$

If  $I(\hat{g}_{\tilde{\lambda}} - \hat{g}_{\hat{\lambda}}) \leq I(\hat{g}_{\tilde{\lambda}})$ , then we're done. Otherwise if  $I(\hat{g}_{\tilde{\lambda}} - \hat{g}_{\hat{\lambda}}) \geq I(\hat{g}_{\tilde{\lambda}})$ , by the assumption that  $I^{V}(\cdot)$  is bounded by the L2 norm,

$$\|\hat{g}_{\tilde{\lambda}} - \hat{g}_{\hat{\lambda}}\|_{V} \le O_{P}(n^{-1/(2+\alpha)}) \left(M\|\hat{g}_{\tilde{\lambda}} - \hat{g}_{\hat{\lambda}}\|_{V}^{2} + M_{0}\right)^{\alpha/\nu(2+\alpha)}$$

If  $M_0$  is bigger, we're done. Otherwise,

$$\|\hat{g}_{\tilde{\lambda}} - \hat{g}_{\hat{\lambda}}\|_{V} \le O_{P}(n^{-v/(2v-2\alpha+\alpha v)}) < O_{P}(n^{-1/(2+\alpha)})$$

Case b:  $|(g^* - \hat{g}_{\tilde{\lambda}}, \hat{g}_{\tilde{\lambda}} - \hat{g}_{\hat{\lambda}})_V|$  is the bigger term on the RHS By Cauchy Schwarz,

$$\left\|\hat{g}_{\tilde{\lambda}} - \hat{g}_{\hat{\lambda}}\right\|_{V} \le O_{P}(1) \left\|g^* - \hat{g}_{\tilde{\lambda}}\right\|_{V}$$

# 2 General Additive Model

# **Definitions**

We find the best model for y over function classes  $\mathcal{G} = \left\{ \sum_{j=1}^{J} g_j : g_j \in \mathcal{G}_j \right\}$ . Suppose we observe:

$$y = \sum_{j=1}^{J} g_j^* + \epsilon$$

where  $\sum_{j=1}^{J} g_j^* \in \mathcal{G}$ . Suppose  $\epsilon$  are sub-Gaussian errors for constants K and  $\sigma_0^2$ :

$$\max_{i=1:n} K^2 \left( E \left[ \exp(|\epsilon_i|^2 K^2) - 1 \right] \right) \le \sigma_0^2$$

Given a training set T, we fit models by least squares with multiple penalties

$$\{\hat{g}_{\lambda,j}\}_{j=1}^{J} = \arg\min_{\sum g_j \in \mathcal{G}} \|y - \sum_{j=1}^{J} g_j\|_T^2 + \lambda^2 \sum_{j=1}^{J} I_j^{v_j}(g_j)$$

Given a validation set V , let the CV-fitted model be

$$\{\hat{g}_{\hat{\lambda},j}\}_{j=1}^{J} = \arg\min_{\lambda} \|y - \sum_{j=1}^{J} \hat{g}_{\lambda,j}\|_{V}^{2}$$

# Reasonable assumption:

• The entropy bound (2) in result 2 comes from the assumptions in Lemma 3. The  $\alpha$  below is  $\alpha = \max_{j=1:J} \{\alpha_j\}$ , so convergence is only as fast as fitting the highest-entropy function class. The constant A must be appropriately inflated such that the entropy bound holds for all  $\delta \in (0, R]$ .

### "Special" assumptions:

- We assume exponents  $v_j = 1$ , whereas Vandegeer Thrm 10.2 only assumes  $v > 2\alpha/(2 + \alpha)$ . Without this assumption, I wasn't able to form inequalities between  $\sum_{j=1}^{J} I_j(g_j) \leq something + \sum_{j=1}^{J} I_j^{v_j}(g_j)$ . Indeed, Remark 1 in "HIGH-DIMENSIONAL ADDITIVE MODELING" (Vandegeer 2009) notes the importance of using the semi-norm instead of the square of the semi-norm.
- We suppose the following incoherence condition, in the spirit of Vandegeer 2014 "The additive model with different smoothness for the components": Let  $p_V(\vec{x})$  be the empirical density over the validation set. Let  $p_{Vj}$  be the marginal density of  $x_j$  for the empirical distribution of the validation set. Let

$$r_V(\vec{x}) = \frac{p_V(\vec{x})}{\prod_{j=1}^J p_{V_j}(x_j)}, \ \gamma_V^2 = \int r_V(\vec{x}) \prod_{j=1}^J p_{V_j}(x_j) d\mu$$

Suppose that  $\gamma_V < 1/(J-1)$ . Furthermore, we will suppose that  $\int g_j p_{Vj} d\mu = 0$  for j = 2, ..., J.

Result 2: Additive Model with multiple penalties, Single oracle  $\lambda$  over  $X_T$  Suppose there is some  $0 < \alpha < 2$  s.t. for all  $\delta \in (0, R]$ ,

$$H\left(\delta, \left\{\frac{\sum_{j=1}^{J} g_j - g_j^*}{\sum_{j=1}^{J} I_j(g_j) + I_j(g_j^*)} : g_j \in \mathcal{G}_j, \sum_{j=1}^{J} I_j(g_j) + I_j(g_j^*) > 0\right\}, \|\cdot\|_T\right) \le A\delta^{-\alpha}$$
 (2)

If  $\lambda$  is chosen s.t.

$$\tilde{\lambda}_T^{-1} = O_p\left(n^{1/(2+\alpha)}\right) \left(\sum_{j=1}^J I_j(g_j^*)\right)^{(2-\alpha)/2(2+\alpha)}$$

then

$$\|\sum_{j=1}^{J} \hat{g}_j - g_j^*\|_T = O_p\left(\tilde{\lambda}_T\right) \left(\sum_{j=1}^{J} I_j(g_j^*)\right)^{1/2}$$

and

$$\sum_{j=1}^{J} I_j(\hat{g}_j) = O_p(1) \sum_{j=1}^{J} I_j(g_j^*)$$

### **Proof:**

The basic inequality gives us:

$$\left\| \sum_{j=1}^{J} \hat{g}_{j} - g_{j}^{*} \right\|_{T}^{2} + \lambda^{2} \sum_{j=1}^{J} I_{j}(\hat{g}_{j}) \leq 2 \left| \left( \epsilon_{T}, \sum_{j=1}^{J} \hat{g}_{j} - g_{j}^{*} \right) \right| + \lambda^{2} \sum_{j=1}^{J} I_{j}(g_{j}^{*})$$

Case 1:  $\left| \left( \epsilon_T, \sum_{j=1}^J \hat{g}_j - g_j^* \right) \right| \le \lambda^2 \sum_{j=1}^J I_j(g_j^*)$ 

$$\|\sum_{j=1}^{J} \hat{g}_j - g_j^*\|_T \le O_p(\lambda) \left(\sum_{j=1}^{J} I_j(g_j^*)\right)^{1/2}$$

Case 2:  $\left|\left(\epsilon_T, \sum_{j=1}^J \hat{g}_j - g_j^*\right)\right| \ge \lambda^2 \sum_{j=1}^J I_j(g_j^*)$ By Vandegeer (10.6), the basic inequality becomes

$$\|\sum_{j=1}^{J} \hat{g}_j - g_j^*\|_T^2 + \lambda^2 \sum_{j=1}^{J} I_j(\hat{g}_j) \le O_p\left(n^{-1/2}\right) \|\sum_{j=1}^{J} \hat{g}_j - g_j^*\|_T^{1-\alpha/2} \left(\sum_{j=1}^{J} I_j(\hat{g}_j) + I_j(g_j^*)\right)^{\alpha/2}$$

Case 2a:  $\sum_{j=1}^{J} I_j(\hat{g}_j) \leq \sum_{j=1}^{J} I_j(g_j^*)$ 

$$\| \sum_{j=1}^{J} \hat{g}_j - g_j^* \|_T \le O_p \left( n^{-1/(2+\alpha)} \right) \left( \sum_{j=1}^{J} I_j(g_j^*) \right)^{\alpha/(2+\alpha)}$$

Case 2b:  $\sum_{j=1}^{J} I_j(\hat{g}_j) \ge \sum_{j=1}^{J} I_j(g_j^*)$ Then

$$\sum_{j=1}^{J} I_j(\hat{g}_j) \leq O_p\left(n^{-1/(2-\alpha)}\right) \lambda^{-4/(2-\alpha)} \|\sum_{j=1}^{J} \hat{g}_j - g_j^*\|_T$$

Hence

$$\| \sum_{j=1}^{J} \hat{g}_j - g_j^* \|_T \le O_p \left( n^{-1/(2-\alpha)} \right) \lambda^{-2\alpha/(2-\alpha)}$$

# Result 3: Additive Model with multiple penalties, Single cross-validation $\lambda$ over general $X_T, X_V$

Suppose that the training and validation set are independently sampled, so the values  $X_i$  are not necessarily the same. Suppose the training and validation sets are both of size n. Suppose X is bounded s.t.  $|X| \leq R_X$  and the domain of  $g \in \mathcal{G}$  is over  $(-R_X, R_X)$ .

Suppose the same entropy bound (2) for both the training set  $P_T$  and validation set  $P_V$ . In addition to the assumptions in Result 4, suppose the infinity norm is also bounded

$$\sup_{g_j \in \mathcal{G}_j} \frac{\|\sum_{j=1}^J g_j - g_j^*\|_{\infty}}{\sum_{j=1}^J I_j(g_j) + I_j(g_j^*)} \le K < \infty$$

Suppose there exist constants  $M, M_0$  s.t. for all j and all  $\lambda \in \Lambda$ 

$$I_j\left(\hat{g}_{\lambda,j}\right) \le M \|\hat{g}_{\lambda,j}\|_V^2 + M_0$$

**Special assumption:** Suppose the incoherence condition  $\gamma_V < 1/(J-1)$ . We will also suppose  $\int g_j p_{Vj} d\mu = 0$  for j = 2, ..., J.

Let  $\tilde{\lambda}$  be the optimal  $\lambda$  as specified in Result 2. Then

$$\|\sum_{j=1}^{J} \hat{g}_{\hat{\lambda},j} - \hat{g}_{\bar{\lambda},j}\|_{V} = O_{p}\left(n^{-1/(2+\alpha)}\right) \left(1 - \gamma(J-1)\right)^{\alpha/(2+\alpha)} \left(\left(\sum_{j=1}^{J} I_{j}(g_{j}^{*})\right)^{\alpha/(2+\alpha)} + \sum_{j=1}^{J} I_{j}(g_{j}^{*}) + \left\|\sum_{j=1}^{J} g_{j}^{*}\right\|_{V}^{\alpha/2(2+\alpha)}\right) dt + C_{p}\left(n^{-1/(2+\alpha)}\right) \left(1 - \gamma(J-1)\right)^{\alpha/(2+\alpha)} \left(\left(\sum_{j=1}^{J} I_{j}(g_{j}^{*})\right)^{\alpha/(2+\alpha)} + \sum_{j=1}^{J} I_{j}(g_{j}^{*}) + \left\|\sum_{j=1}^{J} g_{j}^{*}\right\|_{V}^{\alpha/(2+\alpha)}\right) dt + C_{p}\left(n^{-1/(2+\alpha)}\right) \left(1 - \gamma(J-1)\right)^{\alpha/(2+\alpha)} \left(\left(\sum_{j=1}^{J} I_{j}(g_{j}^{*})\right)^{\alpha/(2+\alpha)} + \left(\sum_{j=1}^{J} I_{j}(g_{j}^{*})\right)^{\alpha/(2+\alpha)}\right) dt + C_{p}\left(n^{-1/(2+\alpha)}\right) \left(1 - \gamma(J-1)\right)^{\alpha/(2+\alpha)} dt + C_{p}\left(n^{-1/(2+\alpha)}\right) dt + C_{p}\left(n^{-1/(2+\alpha)}\right)$$

and  $\left\|\sum_{j=1}^{J} g_{j}^{*} - \hat{g}_{\hat{\lambda},j}\right\|_{V}$  is on the same order (differs by a constant).

# **Proof:**

By the triangle inequality,

$$\left\| \sum_{j=1}^{J} g_{j}^{*} - \hat{g}_{\hat{\lambda}, j} \right\|_{V} \leq \left\| \sum_{j=1}^{J} \hat{g}_{\hat{\lambda}, j} - \hat{g}_{\tilde{\lambda}, j} \right\|_{V} + \left\| \sum_{j=1}^{J} g_{j}^{*} - \hat{g}_{\tilde{\lambda}, j} \right\|_{V}$$

By Lemma 2 and Result 2, we can easily bound  $\left\|\sum_{j=1}^{J} g_j^* - \hat{g}_{\tilde{\lambda},j}\right\|_{V}$ .

$$\left\| \sum_{j=1}^{J} g_{j}^{*} - \hat{g}_{\tilde{\lambda}, j} \right\|_{V} \leq \left\| \sum_{j=1}^{J} g_{j}^{*} - \hat{g}_{\tilde{\lambda}, j} \right\|_{T} + \left\| \sum_{j=1}^{J} g_{j}^{*} - \hat{g}_{\tilde{\lambda}, j} \right\|_{T} - \left\| \sum_{j=1}^{J} g_{j}^{*} - \hat{g}_{\tilde{\lambda}, j} \right\|_{V}$$

$$\leq O_{p} \left( n^{-1/(2+\alpha)} \right) \left( \left( \sum_{j=1}^{J} I_{j}(g_{j}^{*}) \right)^{\alpha/(2+\alpha)} + \sum_{j=1}^{J} I_{j}(g_{j}^{*}) \right)$$

Next bound  $\left\|\sum_{j=1}^{J} \hat{g}_{\hat{\lambda},j} - \hat{g}_{\tilde{\lambda},j}\right\|_{V}$ . By definition of  $\hat{\lambda}$ , we have the basic inequality

$$\left\| \sum_{j=1}^{J} \hat{g}_{\bar{\lambda},j} - \hat{g}_{\hat{\lambda},j} \right\|_{V}^{2} \leq 2 \left| \left( \epsilon, \sum_{j=1}^{J} \hat{g}_{\bar{\lambda},j} - \hat{g}_{\hat{\lambda},j} \right)_{V} \right| + 2 \left| \left( \sum_{j=1}^{J} g_{j}^{*} - \hat{g}_{\bar{\lambda},j}, \sum_{j=1}^{J} \hat{g}_{\bar{\lambda},j} - \hat{g}_{\hat{\lambda},j} \right)_{V} \right| + 2 \left| \left( \sum_{j=1}^{J} g_{j}^{*} - \hat{g}_{j}, \sum_{j=1}^{J} \hat{g}_{j} - \hat{g}_{j} - \hat{g}_{j}, \sum_{j=1}^{J} \hat{g}_{j} - \hat{g}_{j} - \hat{g}_{j}, \sum_{j=1}^{J} \hat{g}_{j} - \hat{g}_{$$

Case 1: 
$$\left| \left( \epsilon, \sum_{j=1}^{J} \hat{g}_{\tilde{\lambda},j} - \hat{g}_{\hat{\lambda},j} \right)_{V} \right|$$
 is bigger

By Vandegeer (10.6),

$$\left\| \sum_{j=1}^{J} \hat{g}_{\tilde{\lambda},j} - \hat{g}_{\hat{\lambda},j} \right\|_{V}^{1+\alpha/2} \leq O_{p}(n^{-1/2}) \left( \sum_{j=1}^{J} I_{j}(\hat{g}_{\tilde{\lambda},j}) + I_{j}(\hat{g}_{\hat{\lambda},j}) \right)^{\alpha/2}$$

If  $\sum_{j=1}^J I_j(\hat{g}_{\tilde{\lambda},j}) \geq \sum_{j=1}^J I_j(\hat{g}_{\hat{\lambda},j})$ , we're done. Otherwise, suppose  $\sum_{j=1}^J I_j(\hat{g}_{\tilde{\lambda},j}) < \sum_{j=1}^J I_j(\hat{g}_{\hat{\lambda},j})$ . By the incoherence assumption, we can apply Lemma 4

$$\sum_{j=1}^{J} I_{j}(\hat{g}_{\hat{\lambda},j}) \leq M \sum_{j=1}^{J} \|\hat{g}_{\lambda j}\|_{V}^{2} + M_{0}J$$

$$\leq M (1 - \gamma(J-1)) \|\sum_{j=1}^{J} \hat{g}_{\hat{\lambda},j}\|_{V}^{2} + M_{0}J$$

Then

$$\left\| \sum_{j=1}^{J} \hat{g}_{\hat{\lambda},j} - \hat{g}_{\hat{\lambda},j} \right\|_{V}^{1+\alpha/2} \leq O_{p}(n^{-1/2}) \left( M \left( 1 - \gamma(J-1) \right) \left\| \sum_{j=1}^{J} \hat{g}_{\hat{\lambda},j} \right\|_{V}^{2} + M_{0}J \right)^{\alpha/2}$$

If  $M_0J$  is the biggest, we're done. Otherwise,

$$\left\| \sum_{j=1}^{J} \hat{g}_{\tilde{\lambda},j} - \hat{g}_{\hat{\lambda},j} \right\|_{V}^{1+\alpha/2} \leq O_{p}(n^{-1/2}) \left(1 - \gamma(J-1)\right)^{\alpha/2} \left\| \sum_{j=1}^{J} \hat{g}_{\hat{\lambda},j} \right\|_{V}^{\alpha}$$

$$\leq O_{p}(n^{-1/2}) \left(1 - \gamma(J-1)\right)^{\alpha/2} \left( \left\| \sum_{j=1}^{J} \hat{g}_{\tilde{\lambda},j} - \hat{g}_{\hat{\lambda},j} \right\|_{V} + \left\| \sum_{j=1}^{J} \hat{g}_{\tilde{\lambda},j} - g_{j}^{*} \right\|_{V} + \left\| \sum_{j=1}^{J} g_{j}^{*} \right\|_{V} \right)^{\alpha}$$

If  $\left\|\sum_{j=1}^{J} \hat{g}_{\tilde{\lambda},j} - \hat{g}_{\hat{\lambda},j}\right\|_{V}$  or  $\left\|\sum_{j=1}^{J} \hat{g}_{\tilde{\lambda},j} - g_{j}^{*}\right\|_{V}$  is the biggest on the RHS, then the rate is faster than  $O_p(n^{-1/(2+\alpha)})$ . If  $\left\|\sum_{j=1}^J g_j^*\right\|_V$  is the biggest, then

$$\left\| \sum_{j=1}^{J} \hat{g}_{\tilde{\lambda},j} - \hat{g}_{\hat{\lambda},j} \right\|_{V} \leq O_{p}(n^{-1/(2+\alpha)}) \left\| \sum_{j=1}^{J} g_{j}^{*} \right\|_{V}^{\alpha/2(2+\alpha)}$$

Case 2:  $\left| \left( \sum_{j=1}^{J} g_{j}^{*} - \hat{g}_{\hat{\lambda},j}, \sum_{j=1}^{J} \hat{g}_{\bar{\lambda},j} - \hat{g}_{\hat{\lambda},j} \right)_{V} \right|$  is bigger By Cauchy Schwarz,

$$\left\| \sum_{j=1}^{J} \hat{g}_{\tilde{\lambda},j} - \hat{g}_{\hat{\lambda},j} \right\|_{V} \leq O_{p}(1) \left\| \sum_{j=1}^{J} g_{j}^{*} - \hat{g}_{\tilde{\lambda},j} \right\|_{V}$$

# Lemmas

### Lemma 1:

Suppose for all  $\lambda \in \Lambda$ , the penalty function  $I^v(g_\lambda)$  is upper-bounded by  $||g_\lambda||_n^2 = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n g_\lambda^2(x_i)$  with constants  $M_0$  and M:

$$I^{v}(g_{\lambda}) \le M \|g_{\lambda}\|_{n}^{2} + M_{0}$$

Suppose there is some function  $g \in \mathcal{G}$  such that

$$||g - g_{\lambda}||_{n}^{1+\alpha/2} \le O_{p}(n^{-1/2})I^{\alpha/2}(g_{\lambda})$$

Then

$$||g - g_{\lambda}||_n \le O_p(n^{-1/(2+\alpha)})M^{\alpha v/(2+\alpha)}||g||_n^{2\alpha/v(2+\alpha)}$$

### **Proof:**

From the assumptions, we have

$$\|g - g_{\lambda}\|_{n}^{1+\alpha/2} \le O_{p}(n^{-1/2}) \left(M\|g_{\lambda}\|_{n}^{2} + M_{0}\right)^{\alpha/2v}$$

If  $M_0 > ||g_{\lambda}||_n^2$ , we're done. Otherwise,

$$||g - g_{\lambda}||_{n}^{1+\alpha/2} \leq O_{p}(n^{-1/2})M^{\alpha/2v}||g_{\lambda}||_{n}^{\alpha/v}$$
  
$$\leq O_{p}(n^{-1/2})M^{\alpha/2v}(||g_{\lambda} - g||_{n} + ||g||_{n})^{\alpha/v}$$

Case 1:  $||g_{\lambda} - g||_n \ge ||g||_n$ Then

$$\|g - g_{\lambda}\|_{n} \le O_{n}(n^{-v/(2v + \alpha v - 2\alpha)})M^{\alpha v^{2}/(2v + \alpha v - 2\alpha)}$$

Note that  $\sup_v -\frac{v}{2v+\alpha v-2\alpha} = -\frac{1}{2+\alpha}$ , so this rate is faster than  $O_p(n^{-\frac{1}{2+\alpha}})$ . Case 2:  $\|g_{\lambda} - g\|_n \le \|g\|_n$ 

Then

$$||g - g_{\lambda}||_n \le O_p(n^{-1/(2+\alpha)}) M^{\alpha v/(2+\alpha)} ||g||_n^{2\alpha/v(2+\alpha)}$$

I believe we can often provide a good estimate of M for the entire class  $\mathcal{G}$ , which means that we can always estimate the sample size needed to ensure this case never occurs. That is, I believe we can often estimate M s.t.

$$I^{v}(g) \le M \|g\|_{n}^{2} + M_{0} \forall g \in \mathcal{G}$$

### Lemma 2:

Let  $P_{n'}$  and  $P_{n''}$  be empirical distributions over  $\{X_i'\}_{i=1}^n$ ,  $\{X_i''\}_{i=1}^n$ . Let  $P_{2n} = \frac{1}{2}(P_{n'} + P_{n''})$ . Suppose X is bounded s.t.  $|X| < R_X$ .

Let  $\mathcal{G}' = \left\{ \frac{g - g^*}{I(g) + I(g^*)} : g \in \mathcal{G}, I(g) + I(g^*) > 0 \right\}$ . Suppose g is defined over the domain over X (and zero otherwise). Suppose

$$\sup_{f \in \mathcal{G}'} \|f\|_{P_{2n}} \le R < \infty, \quad \sup_{f \in \mathcal{G}'} \|f\|_{\infty} \le K < \infty$$

and

$$H\left(\delta, \mathcal{G}', P_{n'}\right) \leq \tilde{A}\delta^{-\alpha}, \ H\left(\delta, \mathcal{G}', P_{n''}\right) \leq \tilde{A}\delta^{-\alpha}$$

Then

$$Pr\left(\sup_{g \in \mathcal{G}} \frac{\left| \|g^* - g\|_{P_{n'}} - \|g^* - g\|_{P_{n''}} \right|}{I(g^*) + I(g)} \ge 6\delta\right) \le 2\exp\left(2\tilde{A}\delta^{-\alpha} - \frac{4\delta^2 n}{K^2}\right)$$

**Proof:** The proof is very similar to that in Pollard 1984 (page 32), so some details below are omitted. First note that for any function f and h, we have

$$||f||_{P_{n'}} - ||h||_{P_{n'}} \le ||f - h||_{P_{n'}} \le \sqrt{2}||f - h||_{P_{2n}}$$

Similarly for  $P_{n''}$ .

Let  $\{h_j\}_{j=1}^N$  be the  $\sqrt{2}\delta$ -cover for  $\mathcal{G}'$  (where  $N = N(\sqrt{2}\delta, \mathcal{G}', P_{2n})$ ). Let  $h_j$  be the closest function (in terms of  $\|\cdot\|_{P_{2n}}$ ) to some  $f \in \mathcal{G}'$ . Then

$$\begin{split} \|f\|_{P_{n'}} - \|f\|_{P_{n''}} & \leq \|f - h_j\|_{P_{n'}} + \left| \|h_j\|_{P_{n'}} - \|h_j\|_{P_{n''}} \right| + \|f - h_j\|_{P_{n''}} \\ & \leq 4\delta + \left| \|h_j\|_{P_{n'}} - \|h_j\|_{P_{n''}} \right| \end{split}$$

Therefore for  $f = \frac{g^* - g}{I(g^*) + I(g)}$ , we have

$$Pr\left(\sup_{g\in\mathcal{G}}\frac{\left|\|g^*-g\|_{P_n}-\|g^*-g\|_{P_{n''}}\right|}{I(g^*)+I(g)}\geq 6\delta\right) \leq Pr\left(\sup_{j\in 1:N}\left|\|h_j\|_{P_{n'}}-\|h_j\|_{P_{n''}}\right|\geq 2\delta\right)$$

$$\leq N\max_{j\in 1:N}Pr\left(\left|\|h_j\|_{P_{n'}}-\|h_j\|_{P_{n''}}\right|\geq 2\delta\right)$$

Now note that

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \|h_j\|_{P_{n'}} - \|h_j\|_{P_{n''}} \right| &= \frac{\left| \|h_j\|_{P_{n'}}^2 - \|h_j\|_{P_{n''}}^2 \right|}{\|h_j\|_{P_{n'}} + \|h_j\|_{P_{n''}}} \\ &\leq \frac{\left| \|h_j\|_{P_{n'}}^2 - \|h_j\|_{P_{n''}}^2 \right|}{\sqrt{2} \|h_j\|_{P_{2n}}} \end{aligned}$$

By Hoeffding's inequality,

$$Pr\left(\left|\|h_{j}\|_{P_{n'}} - \|h_{j}\|_{P_{n''}}\right| \ge 2\delta\right) \le Pr\left(\left|\|h_{j}\|_{P_{n'}}^{2} - \|h_{j}\|_{P_{n''}}^{2}\right| \ge 2\sqrt{2}\delta\|h_{j}\|_{P_{2n}}\right)$$

$$= Pr\left(\left|\sum_{i=1}^{n} W_{i}\left(h_{j}^{2}(x_{i}') - h_{j}^{2}(x_{i}'')\right)\right| \ge 2\sqrt{2}n\delta\|h_{j}\|_{P_{2n}}\right)$$

$$\le 2\exp\left(-\frac{16\delta^{2}n^{2}\|h_{j}\|_{P_{2n}}^{2}}{4\sum_{i=1}^{n}\left(h_{j}^{2}(x_{i}') - h_{j}^{2}(x_{i}'')\right)^{2}}\right)$$

Since  $||h_j||_{\infty} < K$ , then

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n} (h_j^2(x_i') - h_j^2(x_i''))^2 \leq \sum_{i=1}^{n} h_j^4(x_i') + h_j^4(x_i'')$$
$$\leq nK^2 ||h_j||_{P_{2n}}^2$$

Hence

$$Pr\left(\left|\|h_j\|_{P_{n'}} - \|h_j\|_{P_{n''}}\right| \ge 2\delta\right) \le 2\exp\left(-\frac{4\delta^2 n}{K^2}\right)$$

Since (Pollard and Vandegeer say that)

$$N(\sqrt{2}\delta, \mathcal{G}', P_{2n}) \le N(\delta, \mathcal{G}', P_{n''}) + N(\delta, \mathcal{G}', P_{n''})$$

then

$$Pr\left(\sup_{g \in \mathcal{G}} \frac{\left| \|g^* - g\|_{P_n} - \|g^* - g\|_{P_{n''}} \right|}{I(g^*) + I(g)} \ge 6\delta\right) \le 2\exp\left(2\tilde{A}\delta^{-\alpha} - \frac{4\delta^2 n}{K^2}\right)$$

Using shorthand, we can write

$$\sup_{g \in \mathcal{G}} \frac{\left| \|g^* - g\|_{P_n} - \|g^* - g\|_{P_{n''}} \right|}{I(g^*) + I(g)} = O_p(n^{-1/(2+\alpha)})$$

### Lemma 3:

Suppose the function classes  $\mathcal{F}_j$  is a cone and  $I_j: \mathcal{F}_j \mapsto [0, \infty)$  is a psuedonorm. Furthermore, suppose

$$H\left(\delta, \{f_j \in \mathcal{F}_j : I_j(f_j) \le 1\}, \|\cdot\|_n\right) \le A_j \delta^{-\alpha_j}$$

Then if  $f_j^* \in \mathcal{F}_j$ , then

$$H\left(\delta, \left\{\frac{\sum_{j=1}^{J} f_{j} - f_{j}^{*}}{\sum_{j=1}^{J} I_{j}(f_{j}) + I_{j}(f_{j}^{*})} : f_{j} \in \mathcal{F}_{j}, I_{j}(f_{j}) + I_{j}(f_{j}^{*}) > 0\right\}, \|\cdot\|_{n}\right) \leq 2\sum_{j=1}^{J} A_{j} \left(\frac{\delta}{2J}\right)^{-\alpha_{j}}$$

**Proof:** Let  $\tilde{f}_j = \frac{f_j}{\sum_{j=1}^J I_j(f_j) + I_j(f_j^*)}$ . Then  $\tilde{f}_j \in \mathcal{F}_j$  and  $I_j(\tilde{f}_j) \leq 1$ . Let  $h_{(j)}$  be the closest function to  $\tilde{f}_j$  in the  $\delta$  cover of  $\mathcal{F}_j$ . Similarly, let  $h_{(j)}^*$  be the closest function to  $\tilde{f}_j^*$  in the  $\delta$  cover of  $\mathcal{F}_j$ . Then

$$\left\| \frac{\sum_{j=1}^{J} f_{j} - f_{j}^{*}}{\sum_{j=1}^{J} I_{j}(f_{j}) + I_{j}(f_{j}^{*})} - \left(\sum_{j=1}^{J} h_{(j)} - h_{(j)}^{*}\right) \right\| \leq \sum_{j=1}^{J} \left\| \frac{f_{j} - f_{j}^{*}}{\sum_{j=1}^{J} I_{j}(f_{j}) + I_{j}(f_{j}^{*})} - \left(h_{(j)} - h_{(j)}^{*}\right) \right\|$$

$$\leq \sum_{j=1}^{J} \left\| \frac{f_{j}}{\sum_{j=1}^{J} I_{j}(f_{j}) + I_{j}(f_{j}^{*})} - h_{(j)} \right\| + \left\| \frac{f_{j}^{*}}{\sum_{j=1}^{J} I_{j}(f_{j}) + I_{j}(f_{j}^{*})} - h_{(j)}^{*} \right\|$$

$$\leq 2J\delta$$

Hence

$$H\left(2J\delta, \left\{\frac{\sum_{j=1}^{J} f_j - f_j^*}{\sum_{j=1}^{J} I_j(f_j) + I_j(f_j^*)} : f_j \in \mathcal{F}_j, I_j(f_j) + I_j(f_j^*) > 0\right\}, \|\cdot\|_n\right) \le 2\sum_{j=1}^{J} A_j \delta^{-\alpha_j}$$

### Lemma 4:

Let  $p_n(\vec{x})$  be some empirical density and let  $p_{nj}$  be the corresponding empirical marginal density of  $x_j$ . Let

$$r(\vec{x}) = \frac{p_n(\vec{x})}{\prod_{j=1}^J p_{nj}(x_j)}, \ \gamma^2 = \int (r(\vec{x}) - 1)^2 \prod_{j=1}^J p_{nj}(x_j) d\mu$$

Suppose  $\gamma < 1/(J-1)$ . Furthermore, suppose  $\int g_j p_{nj} d\mu = 0$  for j = 2, ..., J. Then

$$\left\| \sum_{j=1}^{J} g_j \right\|_n^2 \ge (1 - \gamma(J - 1)) \left( \sum_{j=1}^{J} \|g_j\|_n^2 \right)$$

**Proof:** The proof is very similar to Lemma 5.1 in Vandegeer 2014 "The additive model with different smoothness for the components."

$$\left\| \sum_{j=1}^{J} g_j \right\|_{n}^{2} = \sum_{j=1}^{J} \left\| g_j \right\|_{n}^{2} + \sum_{j \neq k} \int g_j g_k p_n(\vec{x}) d\mu$$

We bound the latter term:

$$\left| \int g_j g_k p_n(\vec{x}) d\mu \right| = \left| \int g_j g_k \left( r(\vec{x}) - 1 \right) \Pi_{j=1}^J p_{nj}(x_j) d\mu \right|$$

$$\leq \gamma \left| \int g_j^2 g_k^2 \Pi_{j=1}^J p_{nj}(x_j) d\mu \right|^{1/2}$$

$$= \gamma \|g_j\|_n \|g_k\|_n$$

Hence

$$\left\| \sum_{j=1}^{J} g_{j} \right\|_{n}^{2} \geq \sum_{j=1}^{J} \|g_{j}\|_{n}^{2} - \gamma \sum_{j \neq k} \|g_{j}\|_{n} \|g_{k}\|_{n}$$

$$\geq (1 - \gamma(J - 1)) \sum_{j=1}^{J} \|g_{j}\|_{n}^{2} + \gamma \sum_{j < k} (\|g_{j}\|_{n} - \|g_{k}\|_{n})^{2}$$

$$\geq (1 - \gamma(J - 1)) \sum_{j=1}^{J} \|g_{j}\|_{n}^{2}$$