Sputnik

Project Report HCC Project Seminar 2011

Simon Wallner*

29th November 2011

This paper evaluates *sputnik* a 3D environment with which the user can freely interact through an elastic *arc of light/fishing rod* metaphor, to explore, create and interact with virtual *sound objects*. These sound objects are placed in the scene and react to the user's input by sending MIDI commands to an external audio program thus creating or manipulating the sound.

1 Reading Guide

Appendices Code Prerequisitions Video CD etc...

2 Introduction

Computer music is around us for some time now and through the use of the computer musicians have sheer endless possibilities of musical expression. With this plethora of possibilities comes the need for constraints and control to harness this expressive potential. Over the recent years many standard and non-standard interface have been developed, ranging from the ordinary button-fader-nob MIDI interface to more elaborate interfaces and systems like the reactable[Jordà et al., 2007], mixiTUI[Pedersen and Hornbæ k, 2009] or commercial solutions like the $Novation Launch-pad^1$ or $Native Instruments Maschine^2$ to name but a few.

With the advent of motion based controllers in consumer entertainment systems, marked by the release of the Wii^3 console in late 2006, motion controllers became

^{*}me@simonwallner.at

 $^{^{1} \}verb|http://www.novationmusic.com/products/midi_controllers/launchpad|$

²http://www.native-instruments.com/#/en/products/producer/maschine/

³http://de.wikipedia.org/wiki/Wii

widely and cheaply available. This and their interface capabilities make them the ideal tools to explore the realm of new interfaces for musical expression.

A common problem of computer music interfaces is that often the process of sound creation is not readily comprehensible. Seeing a performer on stage behind their laptop twisting knobs and adjusting faders might be ambiguous to an uninformed observer. It can be hard to relate the artist's action to the resulting sounds. This can hinder the experience and might go as far as to the point where the audience suspects that an artist just pressed play, as interviews conducted by [Pedersen and Hornbæ k, 2009] show.

This paper introduces *sputnik*, a system that uses a *Wiimote* controller to interact with a dynamic 3D scene. In the scene, a variety of sound creating objects are placed that send MIDI signals to an external audio program upon the user's interaction.

Users can freely navigate the 3D scene and interact with it through an elastic arc of light/fishing rod metaphor. It seems as if the arc of light was coming out of the Wiimote and reaches into the scene, acting as an extension of the user's body into the virtual space. With this bodily extension users can grab and drag objects around the 3D scene.

In this paper I evaluate the qualities of the *arc or light* metaphor and how the design decisions/constraints of the system influence its expressive potential both visually and musically. This evaluation is grounded in a user study of XXX users.

Based on these findings and the theoretical framework of [Ullmer and Ishii, 2000] the similarities and differences between *sputnik* and tangible user interfaces are discussed.

3 Paper Outline

The following section gives an overview over related work in the field of *New Interfaces* for *Musical Expression* and tangible user interfaces. Section 5 goes into detail about sputnik, both on a conceptual and a technical level. Section 6 describes the performed user study and the paper is finally concluded in section 7 where the findings are discussed.

4 Related Work

Practical Work Only a few projects exist that go into a similar direction as sputnik. The *Virtual Xylophone* [Mäki-Patola et al., 2005] is a virtual reality system in which the user can place xylophone bars of different pitch in the scene and then struck them with a virtual mallet. By translating the configuration and mapping of the real instrument into the VR environment, new modes of play emerge. [Zappi et al., 2010] created a virtual controller for *Ableton Live* that allows users to create simple proxy objects in a VR environment, bind them to certain controls and use them effectively as

virtual sliders. [Rodet et al., 2005] created a virtual environment for an exhibition setting. Users interact with the system via a 6-DOF motion tracker with tactile feedback. However, the user's actions in the system are highly constrained.

More projects can be found in the realm of Tangible User Interfaces. With mixiTUI [Pedersen and Hornbæ k, 2009] created a table top tangible interface for a sequencer that aimed not only to be functional but also to visually enrich the artists performance. Interviews with musicians and an extensive user study have been performed. [Jordà et al., 2007] created the famous reacTable, also a table top tangible interface that allows the creation and manipulation of music by composing various objects on its surface.

After the release of the Wii in late 2006, the Wiimote motion controller received some attention in and outside the field of musical interfaces: [Kiefer et al., 2008] assess the general qualities of the Wiimote as a musical controller and [Miller, 2010] uses the Wiimote and sensor bar to create the *Wiiolin*, a virtual violin that mimics the real instrument and can be played either in an upright position like a cello or horizontally like a violin. It senses the button presses and tracks the movement of the *bow*, i.e. the sensor bar to create the sounds.

Not a Wiimote but still impressive, [Miyama, 2010] uses an low resolution distance sensor array to control the many parameters of a synthesizer. A small gui application is merely used for monitoring the system's state, and sound creation is done in pd.

Theoretical Work The field of *Tangible User Interfaces (TUI)* provides part of the theoretical background for this work. Work of [Fitzmaurice et al., 1995] and then later [Ishii and Ullmer, 1997] introduced this term and the wider concept. [Shaer, 2009] Gives a very good overview over this field as well as the history of TUI studies. [Ullmer and Ishii, 2000] introduced *MCRpd*, a formal model for describing and analysing TUIs that will be used in section ??.

[Sharlin et al., 2004] introduced spacial TUIs that focuses on I/O unification by tightly coupling the action and perception spaces and embodying a clear state representation across all sensory modalities.

Entering the musical realm [Fels and Lyons, 2011] give a good overview and general introduction into the field of NIMEs (New Interfaces for Musical Expression). [Cook, 2001] shares 13 general principles for designing computer music controllers that resulted from his long lasting experience in this field. [Dobrian and Koppelman, 2006] asks the question of virtuosity and expression by pointing out the elephant in the room, e.g. the lack thereof and also the lack of a comparable standard repertoire.

In contrary to that [Gurevich and Treviño, 2007] question the hegemonic *composer-interpret-listener* relation in favour of a more holistic *ecological* view of musical expression. Later work by [Gurevich et al., 2010] evaluated a highly constrained, prototypical one-button instrument that spurred a wide variety of play styles in test users.

Closing the loop to design and HCI, [Magnusson, 2010] gives a good overview over

the field of *affordance* and elaborates on *contraints* from different viewing angles and how they impact and support creativity. Finally, [Wanderley and Orio, 2002] goes into depth over evaluating input devices for musical expression in the context of HCI.

5 Results

'7-10 pages

Description of the Implementation according to the learning goals:

- 1. Create a system that allows the user to interact with an virtual world through the Wiimote. This system should be intuitive even for untrained users, and have a very low barrier of entry.
- 2. Explore the technical capabilities of the Wiimote and Nunchuck controller and put it to good use.
- 3. Create a meaningful mapping form the virtual world to the sound generation system.
- Create a sound generation system that allows nuanced and rich musical expression.
- 5. Develop an architecture that streamlines the three stages input processing output.

6 Evaluation

3-5 pages

Describe the evaluation according to the research questions. Describe the process and the observed results.

7 Discussion

3-5 pages

Discuss the results form the evaluation and answer the research questions.

- 1. How can the arc of light/fishing rod metaphor be used for intuitive interaction. How does lag impact the system?
- 2. What meaningful mappings can be derived from the interaction with and the visualisation of the virtual scene.

8 Future Work

9 Conclusion

0.5 pages

10 Acknowledgements

thank Esben, thank the participants,

References

- [Cook, 2001] Cook, P. (2001). Principles for designing computer music controllers. In *Proceedings of the 2001 conference on New interfaces for musical expression*, NIME '01, pages 1–4, Singapore, Singapore. National University of Singapore.
- [Dobrian and Koppelman, 2006] Dobrian, C. and Koppelman, D. (2006). The E in NIME: musical expression with new computer interfaces. In *NIME*, pages 277–282.
- [Fels and Lyons, 2011] Fels, S. and Lyons, M. (2011). Siggraph 2011 Course Notes Advances in New Interfaces for Musical Expression. *Notes*.
- [Fitzmaurice et al., 1995] Fitzmaurice, G. W., Ishii, H., and Buxton, W. A. S. (1995). Bricks. In *Proceedings of the SIGCHI conference on Human factors in computing systems CHI '95*, pages 442–449, New York, New York, USA. ACM Press.
- [Gurevich et al., 2010] Gurevich, M., Stapleton, P., and Marquez-Borbon, A. (2010). Style and Constraint in Electronic Musical Instruments. In *NIME*, number Nime, pages 106–111.
- [Gurevich and Treviño, 2007] Gurevich, M. and Treviño, J. (2007). Expression and its discontents. In *Proceedings of the 7th international conference on New interfaces for musical expression NIME '07*, page 106, New York, New York, USA. ACM Press.
- [Ishii and Ullmer, 1997] Ishii, H. and Ullmer, B. (1997). *Tangible bits*. ACM Press, New York, New York, USA.
- [Jordà et al., 2007] Jordà, S., Geiger, G., Alonso, M., and Kaltenbrunner, M. (2007). The reacTable: Exploring the Synergy between Live Music Performance and Tabletop Tangible Interfaces. In *Proceedings of the 1st international conference on Tangible and embedded interaction TEI '07*, page 139, New York, New York, USA. ACM Press.
- [Kiefer et al., 2008] Kiefer, C., Collins, N., and Fitzpatrick, G. (2008). Evaluating the

- wiimote as a musical controller. Proceedings of the International Computer Music Conference, pages 17–17.
- [Magnusson, 2010] Magnusson, T. (2010). Designing Constraints: Composing and Performing with Digital Musical Systems. Computer Music Journal, 34(4):62–73.
- [Mäki-Patola et al., 2005] Mäki-Patola, T., Laitinen, J., Kanerva, A., and Takala, T. (2005). Experiments with virtual reality instruments. *Virtual Reality*, pages 11–16.
- [Miller, 2010] Miller, J. (2010). Wiiolin: a virtual instrument using the Wii remote. In *NIME*, number June, page 497ff.
- [Miyama, 2010] Miyama, C. (2010). Peacock: A Non-haptic 3D Performance Interface. In *NIME*, number Nime, pages 380–382.
- [Pedersen and Hornbæ k, 2009] Pedersen, E. W. and Hornbæ k, K. (2009). mixiTUI. In *Proceedings of the 3rd International Conference on Tangible and Embedded Interaction TEI '09*, page 223, New York, New York, USA. ACM Press.
- [Rodet et al., 2005] Rodet, X., Lambert, J.-P., Cahen, R., Gaudy, T., Guedy, F., Gosselin, F., and Mobuchon, P. (2005). Study of haptic and visual interaction for sound and music control in the phase project. *Proceedings of the 2005 International Conference on New Interfaces for Musical Expression (NIME05)*, pages 109–114.
- [Shaer, 2009] Shaer, O. (2009). Tangible User Interfaces: Past, Present, and Future Directions. Foundations and Trends® in Human-Computer Interaction, 3(1-2):1–137.
- [Sharlin et al., 2004] Sharlin, E., Watson, B., Kitamura, Y., Kishino, F., and Itoh, Y. (2004). On tangible user interfaces, humans and spatiality. *Personal and Ubiquitous Computing*, 8(5):338–346.
- [Ullmer and Ishii, 2000] Ullmer, B. and Ishii, H. (2000). Emerging frameworks for tangible user interfaces. *IBM Systems Journal*, 39(3):915–931.
- [Wanderley and Orio, 2002] Wanderley, M. M. and Orio, N. (2002). Evaluation of Input Devices for Musical Expression: Borrowing Tools from HCI. Computer Music Journal, 26(3):62–76.
- [Zappi et al., 2010] Zappi, V., Italiano, I., Brogni, A., and Caldwell, D. (2010). OSC Virtual Controller. In *NIME*, number Nime, pages 297–302.