

SIMONE QUADRELLI



NATURE-INSPIRED MONTE CARLO ALGORITHM FOR TRAVELLING
SALESMAN PROBLEM

Academic year 2019 - 2020

Contents

1	Introduction	1
1.1	Hardness of the travelling salesman problem	1
2	Literature review	2
3	Methodology used	2
3.1	Simulated annealing	2
3.2	Metropolis algorithm	3
3.3	Genetic algorithm	3
4	Application	3
4.1	Simulated annealing	3
4.2	Metropolis algorithm	4
4.2.1	Properties of metropolis algorithm	4
5	Genetic Algotirthm	5
5.1	Data used	6
5.2	Software used	6
6	Results	8
7	Conclusion	9

List of Tables

List of Figures

1	Python code of the simulation	7
2	Comparison	8
3	Optimal cycle starting from Varese	9

Abstract. The aim of this project is to solve the travelling salesman problem (TSP) which consists in finding the shortest hamiltonian cycle among all the cities in a set of cities.

The problem is a *NP-hard* problem and therefore there exist no feasible algorithm to solve it exactly for any possible set of cities in input. Therefore, the project explores the performance obtained by simulated annealing whose states (i.e. cycles) are produced by a genetic algorithm.

The report shows the result of the simulations computed on some cities of northern Italy and compares the exact feasible solutions with the approximated ones.

1 Introduction


The travelling salesman problem (TSP) consists in finding the shortest route a travelling salesman has to take to visit all the city only once and to return to the starting point. The route described is called *hamiltonian cycle* in graph theory (i.e. a cycle that pass through each vertex of a graph just once), indeed the oldest and more technical formulation of the problem was proposed by Hamilton. An algorithm that provides a solution to the travelling salesman problem can be exploited in a wide variety of applications: indeed it can be used in logistics to optimize the path of vehicles and therefore to reduce costs. The solutions that exploit euclidean distances can easily be applied to schedule drones shipping in cities without too high skyscrapers and buildings. It is possible to model the problem using an undirected, weighted and fully connected graph ¹ $G = (N, E)$, where N is the set of the cities to visit and $E = N \times N$ is the weight of the path. In this particular instance of the problem the weights correspond to the euclidean distance between each couple of cities. Formally, an hamiltonian cycle can be defined as a sequence (n_0, \dots, n_{k-1}) of length k such that $n_i \neq n_j \forall i, j \in \{1, \dots, |N|\}$.

1.1 Hardness of the travelling salesman problem

Solving the travelling salesman problem pose very tricky computational problems. Indeed, the most intuitive solution to the problem consist in computing all the possible paths and then evaluate all their lengths to find the shortest. But the number of possible cycles is $(n - 1)!$ and grows faster than 2^n (i.e. $2^n = o(n!)$) and thus the problem cannot be solved in finite time for large n . Moreover, even if it was possible to generate each hamiltonian cycle in constant time independently from their length, the algorithm will have an exponential time complexity to find the best result.

More techically, TSP is an *NP-hard* problem, meaning that it is at least as hard as the hardest NP-complete problem. *NP-complete* problems are problems that can be solved in polynomial time by a non-deterministic algorithm. For such a class of problem there exists no polynomial algorithm that can solve the problems and may not exist, still noone was able to prove that such algorithms does not exists.

¹For an hamiltinian cycle to exist the graph must be at least connected



Bearing in mind the complexity problem to face, the most reasonable solution is to solve the problem approximatively. The technique I used to generate the possible hamiltonian cycles is a genetic algorithm, while the choice of the shortest hamiltonian cycle is done by the *simulated annealing* algorithm.

2 Literature review

3 Methodology used

In this section we provide a brief overview of all the techniques and algorithms exploited. They are: Simulated Annealing, Metropolis algorithm and Genetic algorithm.

3.1 Simulated annealing

Simulated annealing (SA) is a technique that mimics the behaviour of heated materials slowly cooled down in metallurgy, this process decreases the impurity and increases the size of the crystals meaning that allows materials to reach the state that minimizes the energy. It is a technique to approximate the global optimal solution when the solution is to be searched in an enormous space. SA is a metaheuristic meaning that it is not a problem-specific stochastic heuristic. As every metaheuristic algorithm it relies on two principles *intensification* and *diversification*, indeed, the algorithm intensifies the search near different possible optimal solutions. SA is very useful since it does not require any prior information, other than the cost, indeed it just supposes that states (hamiltonian cycles in our case) are distributed accordingly to the Boltzmann distribution:

$$e^{-\beta(cost(state))} \quad (1)$$

The Boltzmann distribution is suitable to model the TSP problem since it is the most unbiased distribution among all the possible distributions. The unbiasedness derives from the maximization of the Shannon entropy, given the cost. [METTI UNA CITAZIONE]

The underlying assumption of simulated annealing is that the optimal states are the states with the lowest cost, and they are reached as the temperature decreases.

3.2 Metropolis algorithm

Metropolis is a Markov Chain Monte Carlo (MCMC) algorithm used to generate values X_n from a finite set of values S accordingly to a target probability distribution π .

An homogeneous and finite Markov chain with state space S , transition matrix P and initial distribution μ is a sequence of random variables $\{X_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ such that

1. $\forall i \in S \Pr(X_0 = i) = \mu(i)$
2. $\forall n > 0 \forall i_n, \dots, i_0 \Pr(X_{n+1} = j | X_n = i_n, \dots, X_0 = i_0) = \Pr(X_{n+1} = j | X_n = i)$
3. $\forall n > 0 \Pr(X_{n+1} = j | X_n = i) = p(i, j)$

Metropolis algorithm is designed in such a way that it is very likely to move from a state with lower probability from a state with higher probability.

3.3 Genetic algorithm

Genetic algorithms are a widespread class of algorithms that mimic nature. Indeed there are three fundamental operations they perform to generate new states (hamiltonian cycles in our case), each operation is inspired by the theory of evolution by C. Darwin.

Among a specie, evolution selects the fittest individuals and therefore the algorithm will choose the fittest (i.e shortest) hamiltonian cycles.

The breeding of the fittest individuals produces new individuals that inherits traits from the parents, therefore in the crossbreeding phase we mix some subsection of the fittest hamiltonian cycles.

As random mutations can occur in nature but they are not so likely to occur, the algorithm swaps two cities with a fixed and low probability. The mutation probability should be low since otherwise it can disrupt the optimal subsequence of the cycle inherited.

4 Application

We want to apply the algorithm to find the best cycle among some cities of northern Italy. In this section we first provide the reader with the application of the previous methodologies to the problem.

4.1 Simulated annealing

Simulated annealing depends on the parameter β , in our specific simulation β assumes values of the set $\{1, 2, 3, \dots, 39\}$. The temperature T is the inverse of β ($T = \frac{1}{\beta}$), therefore we start with low values of β (high temperature) and as the simulation proceeds we increase the values of β , thus mimicking the decrease in temperature. The only other information needed is the cost function. For this specific application the cost function is the sum of euclidean distances between the cities in the cycle. The coordinate of a city (x, y) are expressed in longitude and latitude.

In the following we provide a schematic overview of the simulated annealing implemented.

```

1: function SIMULATED ANNEALING(betas, number of simulations)
2:   s  $\leftarrow$  generate starting state with the genetic algorithm
3:   for b in betas do
4:     for n in 1,..., number of simulations do
5:       ns  $\leftarrow$  generate a new state with the genetic algorithm starting from s
6:       ns  $\leftarrow$  METROPOLIS(s,ns, $\beta$ )
7:     end for
8:   end for
9:   return ns
10: end function

```

where **betas** is a set of the values of β .

The code executes all the procedures using a vectorial implementation to speed up the computation.

4.2 Metropolis algorithm

The following algorithm describes the metropolis algorithm when the target distribution is $\pi(\text{state}) = e^{-\beta \text{cost}(\text{state})}$ and S is the set of all possible hamiltonian cycles having fixed their length. In the following we suppose to have generated two hamiltonian cycles (i.e states) `old_state`, `new_state` and we want to understand if `new_state` can come from π . If `new_state` is likely to be a sample of π we use it as new state otherwise we keep `old_state` as the current state.

```

1: function METROPOLIS(old_state, new_state,  $\beta$ )
2:   if cost(new_state) < cost(old_state) then
3:     return new_state
4:   end if
5:   threshold  $\leftarrow$  unif[0,1]
6:   p  $\leftarrow$   $\min\{1, e^{-\beta(\text{cost}(\text{new\_state}) - \text{cost}(\text{old\_state}))}\}$ 
7:   if p > threshold then
8:     return new_state
9:   else
10:    return old_state
11:   end if
12: end function

```

4.2.1 Properties of metropolis algorithm

We can model the generation of new hamiltonian cycles using a undirected and connected graph $G = (S, E)$ whose set of states S contains all the possible hamiltonian cycles and E contains the transition probability from an hamiltonian cycle to another one. Given the graph, we can define

the transition matrix $P = p(i, j)_{i, j \in S}$ as

$$p(i, j) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } \{i, j\} \notin E \\ \frac{1}{d_i} \min\{1, \frac{\pi(j)}{\pi(i)}\} & \text{if } \{i, j\} \in E, i \neq j \\ 1 - \frac{1}{d_i} \sum_{l \in \text{adj}(i)} \min\{1, \frac{\pi(l)}{\pi(i)}\} & \text{if } i = j \end{cases} \quad (2)$$

Since G is a connected graph, the P is irreducible meaning that $\forall i, j \in S, p^n(i, j) > 0$, where $p^n(i, j)$ means that exist a path from i to j of length n .

It can also be proved that P is aperiodic [1]. By aperiodicity we mean each node of the graph has a loop. More formally, the graph G is aperiodic if $\forall i \in S, d(i) = 1$ where

$$d(i) = \text{gcd}\{n \in \mathbb{N} \mid \text{exist a cycle from } i \text{ to } i \text{ of length } n\} \quad (3)$$

Metropolis algorithm defines a probability distribution π that is a reversible distribution, meaning that

$$\pi(i)p(i, j) = \pi(j)p(j, i) \quad \forall i, j \in S \quad (4)$$

This is a key feature of the algorithm since a reversible distribution π is also a stationary distribution $\pi'P = \pi'$. This condition holds by the following theorem

Theorem 1. *If a probability distribution π is a reversible distribution for a markov chain $\{X_n\}$, then it is also a stationary distribution.*

Proof. $(\pi'P)_j = \sum_{i \in S} \pi(i)p(i, j) = \sum_{i \in S} \pi(j)p(j, i) = \pi(j)$ □

If this distribution was unique then we are certain to correctly generate the data accordingly to the target distribution. The stationary distribution is unique if the transition matrix is primitive as stated by the following theorem.

Theorem 2. *Let $\{X_n\}$ be a markov chain with a primitive (i.e. irreducible and aperiodic) transition matrix on a finite set, then there exist only one stationary distribution and it is also the limit distribution $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} p^n(i, j) = \pi(j) \forall i \in S$*

Since P is primitive, it is enough to compute the limit distribution to find the unique stationary distribution.

The stationary distribution can be approximated in a logarithmic number of iterations starting from any distribution. Therefore to sample hamiltonian cycle from the stationary distribution is enough to let the simulation go on for a suitable number of iterations [2].

5 Genetic Algorithm

There are many possible implementations of the basic operations performed by a genetic algorithm. In this section I provide the algorithmical description of my own implementation.

```

1: function SELECTION(population,n_paths)
2:   n_parents  $\leftarrow$  unif[2,n_paths/2]
3:   order the population by fitness
4:   fittest_subpopulation  $\leftarrow$  extract n_parents in order from the sorted population
5:   return fittest_subpopulation
6: end function

```

where **population** is an array of hamiltonian cycles.

```

1: function MUTATE(new_population, p)
2:   for path in new_population do
3:     test  $\leftarrow$  unif[0,1]
4:     if test < p then
5:       choose position1 randomly among unif[0,length of cycles-1)
6:       choose position2 randomly among unif[0,length of cycles-1)
7:       swap the cities in position1 and position2
8:     end if
9:   end for
10:  return new_population
11: end function

```

```

1: function EVOLVE(population)
2:   fittest_population  $\leftarrow$  SELECT(population)
3:   new_population  $\leftarrow$  CROSSBREED(fittest_population)
4:   population  $\leftarrow$  MUTATE(new_population)
5: end function

```

5.1 Data used

To test the effectiveness and the precision of the method i used as set of cities some cities of northern Italy.

METTI LE CITTA

5.2 Software used

The objective of this simulation is to show compare the optimal solutions of the TSP computed by the appromated algorithm and by the exact algorithm. The exact solution of the TSP is not feasible when the number of cities grows too much therefore we compute exact solutions as long as it is feasible.

We provide an schematic description of the simulation run.

```

1: function SIMULATION(all_cities)
2:   for i in 1,..., n_cities do
3:     cities  $\leftarrow$  all_cities[0:i]
4:     for for all threads do
5:       run simluted annealing in parallel
6:     end for
7:     extract the best solution among the solutions computed by the threads
8:     if i  $\geq$  feasibility_threshold then
9:       compute the exact solution
10:    end if
11:    compare the results by plotting them
12:  end for
13: end function

```

In this section we provide the python code that runs the simulation

```

1 x_coord_matrix = []
2 y_coord_matrix = []
3 n_cities_matrix = []
4 for i in range(3,len(x_coord)):
5     x_coord_matrix.append(x_coord[0:i])
6     y_coord_matrix.append(y_coord[0:i])
7     n_cities_matrix.append(i)
8
9 feasibility_threshold = 9
10 n_simulations = 3
11 n_paths = 20
12 mutation_probability = 0.01
13 betas = np.arange(1,40,1)
14 n_iterations = 20
15 approximate_costs = []
16 exact_costs = []
17 execution_times_approximated = []
18 execution_times_exact = []
19 for i in range(len(n_cities_matrix)):
20     n_cities = n_cities_matrix[i]
21     parameters = [n_cities,n_paths,mutation_probability,betas,n_iterations,
22                  x_coord_matrix[i], y_coord_matrix[i]]
23     best_paths = []
24     pool = Pool()
25     start_time = time.time()
26     for _ in range(n_simulations):
27         best_paths.append(pool.apply_async(run_simulation, parameters).get(timeout=20))
28     execution_times_approximated.append(time.time() - start_time)
29     if i < feasibility_threshold:
30         start_time = time.time()
31         exact_best_path, exact_cost = best_exact_path(n_cities, distances)
32         execution_times_exact.append(time.time() - start_time)
33         exact_costs.append(exact_cost)
34     best_path, cost = get_best_path(distances,best_paths,n_simulations,n_cities)
35     approximate_costs.append(cost)

```

Figure 1: Python code of the simulation

To be able to control and modify the code as i wish, all the algorithm described were implemented from scratch exploiting just some support libraries as *numpy*, *pyplot*, etc.

6 Results

In this section we compare the Simulated annealing with the exact algorithm to solve the TSP problem. As expected the computational time required to compute an exact solutions becomes too big as the length of the cycles increases. It is more efficient that the simulated annealing for small length of the cycles, since it perform faster and fewer operations. On the contrary, the execution time of the SIMulated Annealing is almost independent from the length of the cycles. The slight variations and the peaks in the plot may be dued to the scheduling of the operating system and its scheduler and the multithreading.




Figure 2: Comparison

As the plot above shows, simulated annealing is capable of finding optimal or slightly suboptimal solutions. However, it is reasonable to expect that as the length of the cycles increases the optimal solutions of the simulated annealing gets further away from the very best optimal solution.

In the following we also plotted the shortest cycle computed by the simulated annealing when using all the possible cities. As starting point i choose provincial capital nearest to my home.




Figure 3: Optimal cycle starting from Varese

7 Conclusion

Overall the simulated annealing and the genetic algorithm seem to have quite good performances indeed they are able to select the very optimal cycle while keeping the execution time very low. Moreover, the execution time exhibits almos a constant behaviour.

References

- [1] Oliver Catoni *Simulated annealing algorithms and Markov chains with rare transitions*, Sminaire de probabilits (Strasbourg), tome 33 (1999), p. 69-119.
- [2] Marius Iosifescu *Finite markov process and their applications*, John Wiley & Sons, 1980.
- [3] Heinrich Braun *On solving the travelling salesman problems by genetic algoritms*
- [4] P. LARRAAGA, C.M.H. KUIJPERS, R.H. MURGA, I. INZA and S. DIZDAREVIC *Genetic Algorithms for the Travelling Salesman Problem: A Review of Representations and Operators*, Artificial Intelligence Review 13: 129170, 1999.