

TTK4215 System Identification and Adaptive Control

Solution 13

Problem 1

a) From the transfer function of the plant we get,

$$s^2 y + 2\xi\omega_n s y + \omega_n^2 y = \omega_n^2 u. \quad (1)$$

Therefore, the parametric model of the system is $z = \theta^* \phi$, where

$$z = \frac{s^2}{\Lambda_0(s)} y + \frac{\omega_n^2}{\Lambda_0(s)} y - \frac{\omega_n^2}{\Lambda_0(s)} u, \quad \theta^* = \xi, \quad \phi = \frac{2\omega_n s}{\Lambda_0(s)} y, \quad \Lambda_0(s) = (s + \lambda_0)^2, \quad \lambda_0 > 0. \quad (2)$$

If we choose the gradient algorithm, then

$$\dot{\theta} = \gamma \epsilon \phi, \quad \epsilon = \frac{z - \theta^T \phi}{m_s^2}, \quad m_s^2 = 1 + \phi^T \phi, \quad \gamma > 0, \quad \xi(0) = \xi_0. \quad (3)$$

b) Designing the controller: Since $y_m = c$, we select $Q_m = s$, so that $q = 1$. Since $n = 2$ and $q = 1$, P , L and Λ are in the form

$$L = s + l_0, \quad P = p_2 s^2 + p_1 s + p_0, \quad \Lambda = (s + \lambda_1)^2 \quad (4)$$

where $\lambda_1 > 0$ and p_2 , p_1 , p_0 and l_0 are calculated by solving

$$(s + l_0) s (s^2 + 2\xi\omega_n s + \omega_n^2) + (p_2 s^2 + p_1 s + p_0) \omega_n^2 = A^*(s) \quad (5)$$

where the roots of $A^*(s) = 0$ are the desired closed-loop poles. From the overshoot and settling time requirements of the closed-loop system we can find its transfer function poles. The characteristic equation of a 2nd order system is

$$s^2 + 2\xi_c \omega_{nc} s + \omega_{nc}^2 = 0. \quad (6)$$

The overshoot and settle time of this system are $y(t_{overshoot})/y(\infty) = 1 + \exp\left(-\frac{\pi\xi_c}{\sqrt{1-\xi_c^2}}\right)$, $t_s \cong \frac{3.2}{\xi_c \omega_{nc}}$. Thus, we have

$$y(t_{overshoot})/y(\infty) = \frac{1.05c}{c} = 1 + \exp\left(-\frac{\pi\xi_c}{\sqrt{1-\xi_c^2}}\right) \quad (7)$$

which gives

$$\xi_c = \frac{-\ln 0.05}{\sqrt{\pi^2 + (-\ln 0.05)^2}} = 0.69, \quad (8)$$

and

$$2 = \frac{3.2}{\xi_c \omega_{nc}}, \quad (9)$$

which gives

$$\omega_{nc} = \frac{3.2}{2\xi_c} = 2.32. \quad (10)$$

The characteristic equation of the system is $s^2 + 3.2s + 5.4 = 0$. Now, we can choose $A^*(s)$ as

$$A^*(s) = (s^2 + 3.2s + 5.4)(s + 30)^2. \quad (11)$$

The last factor is added to get $A^*(s)$ to the required degree of $2n + q - 1 = 4$. Since the factor represents a much faster mode than the first part, the dominant poles of $A^*(s)$ are the poles of $s^2 + 3.2s + 5.4 = 0$. After equating powers of s in (5), we find L and P , and the control law is given by

$$u_p = \frac{\Lambda - LQ_m}{\Lambda} u_p - \frac{P}{\Lambda} (y_p - y_m). \quad (12)$$

The APPC scheme now appears by solving for $\hat{p}_2, \hat{p}_1, \hat{p}_0$ and \hat{l}_0 by replacing ξ with θ in the Diophantine equation (5).

Problem 7.5 in I&S

a) From the transfer function we have $s^2y + asy = su + bu$. This gives us the parametric model $z = \theta^{*T} \phi$ with

$$z = \frac{s^2}{\Lambda_0(s)}y - \frac{s}{\Lambda_0(s)}u, \quad \theta^* = \begin{bmatrix} a & b \end{bmatrix}^T, \quad \phi = \begin{bmatrix} -\frac{s}{\Lambda_0(s)}y & \frac{1}{\Lambda_0(s)}u \end{bmatrix}^T, \quad (13)$$

$\Lambda_0 = (s + \lambda_0)^2$ and $\lambda_0 > 0$. Using the gradient algorithm, we have

$$\dot{\theta} = \Gamma \epsilon \phi, \quad \epsilon = \frac{z - \theta^T \phi}{m_s^2}, \quad m_s^2 = 1 + \phi^T \phi, \quad \Gamma = \Gamma^T > 0 \quad (14)$$

where $\theta = \begin{bmatrix} \hat{a} & \hat{b} \end{bmatrix}^T$.

b) Since we are regulating to zero, $Q_m = 1$. Thus, we have $q = 0$, and from $R_p = s^2 + as$, $n = 2$. Thus, L has degree 1, P has degree 1, and A^* has degree 3. So,

$$L = s + l_0, \quad P = p_1s + p_0, \quad (15)$$

and we select

$$A^* = (s + 1)^2. \quad (16)$$

To solve the Diophantine equation, $LQ_mR_p + PZ_p = A^*$, we must require that R_p and Z_p are co-prime. That is, $b \neq 0$ and $a \neq b$. Under these conditions, we can solve

$$(s + l_0)(s^2 + as) + (s + b)(p_1s + p_0) = (s + 1)^3, \quad (17)$$

to obtain

$$l_0 = \frac{3 - 3b + ab + 1/b}{a - b}, \quad (18)$$

$$p_1 = 3 - a - \frac{3 - 3b + ab + 1/b}{a - b}, \quad (19)$$

$$p_0 = 1/b. \quad (20)$$

Using the estimates from a), we get estimates of the controller parameters as follows

$$\hat{l}_0 = \frac{3 - 3\hat{b} + \hat{a}\hat{b} + 1/\hat{b}}{\hat{a} - \hat{b}}, \quad (21)$$

$$\hat{p}_1 = 3 - \hat{a} - \frac{3 - 3\hat{b} + \hat{a}\hat{b} + 1/\hat{b}}{\hat{a} - \hat{b}}, \quad (22)$$

$$\hat{p}_0 = 1/\hat{b}. \quad (23)$$

The control law becomes

$$u_p = \frac{\lambda - \hat{l}_0}{s + \lambda} u_p - \frac{\hat{p}_1 s + \hat{p}_0}{s + \lambda} y_p, \quad (24)$$

where $\lambda > 0$.

c) Loss of stabilizability occurs if $\hat{b} = 0$ or $\hat{a} - \hat{b} = 0$. Therefore, the stabilizability conditions require that $\hat{b} \neq 0$ or $\hat{a} - \hat{b} \neq 0$ at all times, avoiding zero-pole cancellations in the estimated plant $(s + \hat{b})/(s(s + \hat{a}))$, or equivalently, ensuring that the estimated plant is controllable and observable at all times.

d) The problem can be solved by using projection. This requires that b be bounded away from 0 and that $a - b$ be bounded away from zero. This in turn, requires some a priori knowledge about the real parameters a and b , so that the initial parameter estimate is on the "right side" of these constraints.