

Philosophical Health Check Answers

Just a few answers I found especially interesting:

1. "Humans are walking contradictions."
2. "A few of the questions were definitely trying to guide you one way or another. For example, 'is anything objectively good or bad' and 'does genocide show the evil in people' were direct opposites, but both are worded to sound 'right'."
3. "I already know that I am a person who tends to be stuck in the middle on views and hate picking a side. I can be conflicted a lot in my opinions, so my high quotient is probably accurate."
4. "Growing up a Christian in the SF Bay Area, you are expected to choose sides and have the answers to every controversial topic. There is so much going on at the same time that it's impossible to know the answers to everything."
5. "It's okay to do anything as long as you don't hurt anyone. This statement sounds mostly correct. But in a different question I'm asked whether to walk, bike, or drive, but driving will get me to where I want to be faster. If I drive, I am going to hurt people because my car is letting out pollution into the air, thus hurting peoples' lungs."
6. "When I need to choose my opinion on an issue, will I rationally compare the pros and cons then make a decision or simply choose a side by first impression, then find reasons or 'excuses' to rationalize my decision? This problem is exactly what the text means to me. I think there's no definite objective truth in the world but I also chose that the holocaust happened without any hesitation."
7. "I said that morality is based on culture but then I contradicted myself when I said genocide is wrong. I honestly believe both but that's because my culture makes genocide to be morally wrong."