INTRODUCTION TO HUME'S DIALOGUES CONCERNING NATURAL RELIGION

Text source:

Dialogues Concerning Natural Religion, parts 2 and 3

TWO VIEWS OF GOD THAT WERE CURRENT IN HUME'S DAY

THEISM

- A perfect being created the world.
- He is actively involved in the course of events in the world, influencing history through miraculous interventions, issuing revelations through prophets and inspired scripture, the answering of prayer, etc.

DEISM

- A perfect being created the world.
- Since He created a perfect world, there is no need for Him to keep tampering with it, or to intervene in its natural laws. The various stories of scripture and prophecy are not credible.
- We can know about this being through rational inquiry, but not through any alleged sacred text.

POSSIBLE GROUNDS FOR RELIGIOUS BELIEF

(1) FIDEISTIC RELIGION

- Where we disavow all rational justification for our religious beliefs, but believe anyway: a 'leap of faith' is taken.
 - In the main monotheistic traditions, this first approach is historically not so popular. But it does have some advocates (e.g. Tertullian, Calvin, Pascal, Kierkegaard).

POSSIBLE GROUNDS FOR RELIGIOUS BELIEF (continued)

(2) RATIONAL RELIGION

 Where we provide reasons and arguments for our religious beliefs.

(2a) REVEALED RELIGION

- We can know certain religious truths via God's revelations, either DIRECTLY, or INDIRECTLY (= the usual case, where God's revelation is mediated by prophets and/or scripture)
- Of course, we have to provide arguments for believing that our sources of revelation are indeed authentically divine. Usually this involves (i) the evidence of *miracles* as vouching for this authenticity (*Augustine*, *Aquinas*, *Locke*); some also argue (ii) from the aesthetic and ethical beauty of scripture.

POSSIBLE GROUNDS FOR RELIGIOUS BELIEF (continued)

(2b) NATURAL RELIGION

- We can know certain religious truths through general reason and argument, independently of any actual historical revelation. (Aristotle, Aquinas, Descartes, Locke)
- E.g. The argument from design, the regress argument to a first cause, the ontological argument etc...
- Note: Natural religion alone would presumably only underwrite a deistic sort of belief. To get to theism, we'd also need some sort of argument from revealed religion.

HUME'S TREATMENT OF THESE VARIOUS POSSIBLE GROUNDS

- (1) FIDEISTIC RELIGION
 - Barring the odd satirical jibe, Hume largely ignores this.
- (2) RATIONAL RELIGION
 - (2a) REVEALED RELIGION
 - Hume argues that the testimonial evidence for alleged miracles falls far short of our usual standards for historical evidence. (See ECHU 10)
 - (2b) NATURAL RELIGION
 - Hume presents a comprehensive critique of the scope and limits of natural religion in the *Dialogues*. He devastates many traditional arguments here, but (arguably) endorses ends up endorsing a (very) threadbare version of natural religion.

THE THREE CHARACTERS IN HUME'S DIALOGUES

DEMEA

- Endorses the a priori 'first cause' argument for God's existence
- Argues that, while we certainly know that God exists, it is impious to think that we can understand God or to think that he can be conceived in human terms.

CLEANTHES

- Advocates the a posteriori argument from design for God's existence.
- Argues that we can know some things about God's nature (reasoning from the type of Universe He has produced), and that He is like us in some respects.

PHILO

- Spends most of the *Dialogues* raising problems for natural religion.
- Says that he believes in God, though the content of this belief turns out to be a very attenuated form of threadbare deism.