```
P(A) = P(ABE) + P(A7B) + P(A7BE)+P(AB7E)
       = P(A)7B7E).P(7B7E) + P(A|BE).P(BE) +P(A|7BE)
           · P(7BE) + P(A|B7E) · P(B7E) ELPABRE)
       = 0.001 x (1-0.001) x (1-0.002) + 0.95 (0.001) x (0.002)
         + 0,20x0,999 x0,02 +0,95 x0,001 x0,998
       = 0.001 + 0.0009 + 0.0006 = 0.00252 - (6)
   By keeping P(A) en (5)
() = 0.9 P(A) + 0.05 P(7A)
        = 0.9 (0.0025) +0.05 (1-0.0025)
         substituting (7) & (4) in (m)
  P(B/J) = P(JB) (P(J)
          = 0.00086 = 0.016 = R.H.S
             0.05212
     . Litis = Ritis . that is
```

P(Burglary | John (alls) = 0-016

(ii) P(John Calls | Burglary) = 0.86

From equation (4) in question (i) we have.

and from the given Bayesian network, we have P(B) = 0.001 - (2)

:. By substituting (1) & (2) on (M)

$$(PN) \Rightarrow \frac{P(J,B)}{P(B)} = \frac{0.00086}{0.001} = 0.86 = R.H.S$$

.. Hence proved

```
= \frac{P(M,B)}{P(B)} - M
P(MB) = P(M | A,B) P(AB) + P(M | 7AB) P(FAB)
        = P(M/A) . P(AB) + P(M/1A) P(7AB)
         = 0.70 P(AB) + (0.01) P(7AB) - (1)
 P(A,B) = P(ABE) + P(ABTE)
        = P(AIB,E). P(B)P(E) +P(AIBFE)P(B)P(7E)
         = (0.95) (0.001) x(0.002) + (0.99) (0.001)(0.998)
  P(A,B) = 0.00094. (2) [reasonable]
                                        approximation
P(TAB) = P(TABE)+ P(TABTE)
         = P(7A/B, E) + P(B) P(E) + P(7A/B7E) P(B)P(E)
          = (1-0.95) (0.001) (0.002) + (1-0.95) (0.001) (0.998)
           = 0.000059
(1) => P (M,B) = 0.70 P(AB) + 0.01 P(7AB)
             = 0.20 (0.00095) + 0.01 (0.000055)
              = 0.000665 --- (3)
 substitute (3) in (M)
 (M) = 1 P (Mary calls | Burglary) = P(M,B) = 0.000665
                             ~ 0.0665 ≥ 0.67
        .. Hence proved.
```

(iii) P(Mary Calls | Bunglary) = 0.67

$$L \cdot H \cdot S = P(B|A) = \frac{P(B,A)}{P(A)}$$
 — (M)

and from equation (6) in question (1), we have
$$e(A) = 0.00252 - 12$$

$$P(B|A) = \frac{P(B,A)}{P(A)} = \frac{0.00095}{0.00252} \approx 0.376 = RiHis$$

$$= \frac{1}{0.00058} = 1724.13$$

... P(Burglary | Alarm, Earthquake) = x.P(B,A,E)

. Hence proved

L. H.S = R. H.S

•

:. P(JIA) P(AZE)/P(J,ZE)

., hence proved.

(Vii) P(Burglary | JohnCalls, Mary(alls) = 0.284 LIHS = P(B| I, M) = x x P(b, s, m) - (M) P(blim)= a P(b) EP(ila) P(mla) E D(alhe) P(e) = 2 P(b) = P(3/a) P(m/a) { P(a/b,e) P(e) + P(a/b,7e) = LP(b)[P(sla)P(mla){P(alb,e)P(e)+P(alb,ne)P(ne)} + P(1/29) P (m/20) & P(20/6, P(20)) P(20) P(20) = 2 x 01001 x (0.9x017 (0,95x01002+0,94x0,995) + 0.05 x0.01 x (0.05 x0.002+ 0.71 x 0.998)) = x x 0.00059 - (1) -> [P(b,1,m)=0.00059) P(75/3,m) = &P(7b) & P(3/a)P(m/a) & P(a/75,e)P(e) = d P(76) Z P(3/a) P(m/a) of P(a/16, e) P(e) + P(a/76, 7e) P(e) = 2P(75) [P(3 la) P(mla) of P(a 175, e) P(e) + P(a 176, 7e) P(re)} + P(3/12)P(m/12) (P(72/15, e)P(e)+P(78(175,7e)P(7e))) = 1 x 0.999x (0.9x007 (0.29. x0.002 +0.001 x0.998) +0.05×0.01× (0.71 ×0.002 +0.999×0.998)) = d x 0.0015 - (3) -> [P(7b,3,m) = 0.0015]

from (1) and (2)

d = 478,5

LOHIS = ROHIS

. hence proved.

- Q2. Conditional independence relations
 - (i) Markov blanket, non-descendants, d-separation
 - (ii) Markou blanket
 - (iii) Non-descendants
 - (iv) d-separation
 - (v) d-separation