## Case Reference Report

Query: Simplify this legal document for a layperson. Add IPC/Acts, prominent cases and compare verdict outc **Prominent Matches** 

1. uploads/Civil\_Land\_Law\_Cases\_Detailed50.txt | 0.91

Snippet: 1. K.K. Dewan v. District Judge, Chandigarh (1993)

Facts: Concerned with land allotment disputes in Chandigarh.

Issue: Whether allotment made by authorities was arbitrary and violated

fairness.

Verdict: Court held that allotments must follow transparent and fair process.

Outcome: Strengthened rule of law in land allotment.

2. Somnath Berman v. Dr. S.P. Raju (1970)

Facts: Related to property owner

2. uploads/Civil\_Land\_Law\_Cases\_Detailed50.txt | 0.64

Snippet: ensation claim for acquired land.

Issue: Whether state's acquisition without compensation violated Article 300A.

Verdict: Court held right to property includes right to fair compensation.

Outcome: Strengthened constitutional protection for landowners.

4. State of Haryana v. Mukesh Kumar (2011)

Facts: Issue of adverse possession claimed by government against citizens.

Issue: Can state claim owners

3. uploads/Civil Land Law Cases Detailed50.txt | 0.54

Snippet: andards for housing allotment.

23. Union of India v. Kamla Verma (2010)

Facts: Compensation dispute for acquired urban land.

Issue: Method of computing market value. Verdict: Court applied fair market principles.

Outcome: Strengthened compensation law.

24. M.I. Builders Pvt. Ltd. v. Radhey Shyam Sahu (1999)

Facts: Construction of mall on public park land.

Issue: Whether public land can be conver

## Al Analysis

\*\*1. Overview/Meaning\*\*

This document summarizes several Indian court cases related to land acquisition, allotment, ownership, and transfer. These cases interpret and clarify various aspects of land law in India, focusing on fairness, transparency, compensation, and the limits of state power concerning property rights. The cases highlight the importance of legal documentation, adherence to due process, and the constitutional protection afforded to property owners under Article 300A of the Indian Constitution.

\*\*2. IPC Codes/Acts/Amendments/Sections\*\*

The cases primarily deal with interpretations of the Indian Constitution, particularly Article 300A (right to property), and various land acquisition and reform acts enacted by different states. Specific sections of these acts are not consistently mentioned in the provided summaries. The relevant acts vary depending on the specific case and the state involved. The Right to Fair Compensation and Transparency in Land Acquisition, Rehabilitation and Resettlement Act, 2013 (LARR Act), is implicitly relevant to several cases, particularly those dealing with compensation and acquisition procedures.

- \*\*3. Prominent Cases (with one-line relevance)\*\*

  Disclaimer: This report is for educational purposes only.

  \* \*\*K.K. Dewan v. District Judge, Chandigarh (1993):\*\* Established the principle of transparency and fairness in land allotments.
- \*\*Somnath Berman v. Dr. S.P. Raju (1970):\*\* Highlighted the necessity of valid documentation for property transfers.