

Case Reference Report

Query: Simplify this legal document for a layperson. Add IPC/Acts, prominent cases and compare verdict outc

Prominent Matches

1. uploads/finalhack8.pdf | 0.82

Snippet: Govt. Of Maharashtra And Others (Water ... vs M/S. Borse

Brothers Engineers And ... on 17 December, 2020

Author: Mangesh S. Patil

Bench: Mangesh S. Patil

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY

BENCH AT AURANGABAD

CIVIL APPLICATION NO.421 OF 2020

IN

ARBITRATION APPEAL (STAMP) NO.36068 OF

2. uploads/finalhack8.pdf | 0.49

Snippet: neers

& Contractors Pvt. Ltd.,

23, Anand Nagar, Deopur, Dhule

2. Shri P.V. Patil

(Deceased)

RESPONDENTS

Mr. R.N. Dhorde, Senior Advocate instructed by Mr. Suresh D.

Dhongade, Advocate for the applicant

Mr. Vijay B. Patil, Advocate for respondent No.1

3. uploads/finalhack8.pdf | 0.35

Snippet: n and Conciliation Act, 1996 ("Act", for short).

2 CA421-2020

Govt. Of Maharashtra And Others (Water ... vs M/S. Borse Brothers Engineers And ... on 17 December, 2020

Indian Kanoon - <http://indiankanoon.org/doc/40623122/> 1

2. Mr. R.N. Dhorde, learned Senior Advocate for the applicant submits that the arbitration award

was passed on 05.04.2016. It was challenged under Section 34 of the Act. The dec

AI Analysis

1. Overview/Meaning:

This legal document concerns a delay in filing an appeal by the Government of Maharashtra (applicant) against an arbitration award in a contract dispute with M/s Borse Brothers Engineers & Contractors Pvt. Ltd. (respondent). The core issue is whether the High Court should condone the 103-day delay in filing the appeal under Section 37 of the Arbitration and Conciliation Act, 1996.

2. IPC Codes/Acts/Amendments/Sections:

* **Arbitration and Conciliation Act, 1996:** Sections 34 (challenging arbitration awards), 37 (appealing arbitration awards), and 43 (applicability of the Limitation Act).

* **Limitation Act, 1963:** Section 5 (power of court to condone delay).

3. Prominent Cases:

The judgment references several Supreme Court cases regarding condonation of delay in appeals under Section 37 of the Arbitration and Conciliation Act, 1996.

The most crucial is *M/s N.V. International Vs. the State of Assam & Ors.* , which established a strong precedent against condoning delays exceeding 120 days. Other cases cited dealt with similar issues of condonation of delay but their specifics are not detailed in this summary.

4. Precautions:

When dealing with appeals under the Arbitration and Conciliation Act, 1996