

Case Reference Report

Query: Simplify this legal document for a layperson. Add IPC/Acts, prominent cases and compare verdict outc

Prominent Matches

1. uploads/finalhack7.pdf | 0.87

Snippet: Ksl And Industries Ltd., (Formerly ... vs Mannalal Khandelwal

And The State Of ... on 1 February, 2005

Equivalent citations: 2005(1)ALD(CRI)45, III(2005)BC500, 2005CRILJ1201

Author: Dalveer Bhandari

Bench: Dalveer Bhandari, D.Y. Chandrachud

JUDGMENT

Dalveer Bhandari, C.J.

1. All these petitions emanate from the proceedings under Section 138 of the Negotiable Instruments

Act, 1881 (for short, "the

2. uploads/final hack 2.pdf | 0.49

Snippet: have to be turned down.

20. The matter may now be posted on 22/04/2024 as indicated 35 earlier for final disposal."

WP 2155 of 2024 - Judgment.odt

4. The factual position, as narrated in para 2.6 of the interim order dated 04/04/2024, substantially

remains the same, except for the addition that the initial validity granted to the petitioner by the

Caste Scrutiny Committee ("CSC", for short hereina

3. uploads/final hack 2.pdf | 0.47

Snippet: s. The State

of Maharashtra and others (2013) 4 SCC 465 (para 22 and 39). He 20 WP 2155 of 2024 -

Judgment.odt further relies upon Dinesh Gupta Vs. State of Uttar Pradesh and another (Criminal

Appeal No.214/2024, decided on 11/01/2024) AIR 2024 SC 574 (para 39) to submit that substantial

costs should be imposed.

5.2. It is, therefore, contended, that the dictum of the Hon'ble 5 Apex Court in Mange

AI Analysis

****1. Overview / Meaning:****

This document summarizes the case of *Ksl And Industries Ltd. v. Mannalal Khandelwal*, decided on February 1, 2005, by the Bombay High Court. The case revolves around Section 138 of the Negotiable Instruments Act, 1881 (NIA), which deals with the dishonor of cheques. The main issue was the speed and procedure of cases filed under this section, specifically concerning whether complainants must testify in court even if they've submitted affidavits. The Court also addressed the significant backlog of cheque bounce cases.

****2. IPC Codes / Acts / Amendments / Sections:****

The core of the case is Section 138 of the Negotiable Instruments Act, 1881 (NIA). This section criminalizes the issuance of a bounced cheque for debt repayment. The case also discusses Section 145(1) of the NIA, amended in 2002 (Act No. 55 of 2002), which allows for evidence submission via affidavit. The judgment highlights concerns about the delays in the disposal of cases under Section 138, leading to the court examining the overall effectiveness of the amended law.

****3. Prominent Cases (with one-line relevance):****

* **Ameer Trading Corporation Ltd. v. Shapoorji Processing Ltd.:** (Relevance not explicitly stated in the provided text, only referenced as a Supreme Court