grade 100%

## **Application: Photo OCR**

LATEST SUBMISSION GRADE

100%							
1.		Suppose you are running a sliding window detector to find	1/1 point				
		text in images. Your input images are 1000x1000 pixels. You					
		will run your sliding windows detector at two scales, 10x10					
		and 20x20 (i.e., you will run your classifier on lots of 10x10					
		patches to decide if they contain text or not; and also on					
		lots of 20x20 patches), and you will "step" your detector by 2					
		pixels each time. About how many times will you end up					
		running your classifier on a single 1000x1000 test set image?					
	0	100,000					
	0	1,000,000					
	•	500,000					
	0	250,000					
	•	Correct With a stride of 2, you will run your classifier approximately 500 times for each dimension. Since you run the classifier twice (at two scales), you will run it 2 * 500 * 500 = 500,000 times.					
2.		Suppose that you just joined a product team that has been	1/1 point				
		developing a machine learning application, using $m=1,000$					
		training examples. You discover that you have the option of					
		hiring additional personnel to help collect and label data.					
		You estimate that you would have to pay each of the labellers					
		\$10 per hour, and that each labeller can label 4 examples per					
		minute. About how much will it cost to hire labellers to					
		label 10,000 new training examples?					
	•	\$400					
	0	\$250					
	0	\$600					
	0	\$10,000					
		✓ Correct On labeller can label $4\times60=240$ examples in one hour. It will thus take him $10,000/240\approx40$ hours to complete 10,000 examples. At \$10 an hour, this is \$400.					
3.		What are the benefits of performing a ceiling analysis? Check all that apply.	1/1 point				
		A ceiling analysis helps us to decide what is the most promising learning algorithm (e.g., logistic regression vs. a neural network vs. an SVM) to apply to a specific component of a machine learning pipeline.					
	<b>~</b>	It can help indicate that certain components of a system might not be worth a significant amount of work improving, because even if it had perfect performance its impact on the overall system may be small.					
	,	Correct An unpromising component will have little effect on overall performance when it is replaced with ground truth.					
		If we have a low-performing component, the ceiling analysis can tell us if that component has a high bias problem					

It gives us information about which components, if improved, are most likely to have a significant impact on the

The ceiling analysis gives us this information by comparing the baseline overall system performance with ground truth results from each component of the pipeline.

4. Suppose you are building an object classifier, that takes as input an image, and recognizes that image as either containing 1/1 point a car (y = 1) or not (y = 0). For example, here are a positive example and a negative example:





After carefully analyzing the performance of your algorithm, you conclude that you need more positive (y=1) training examples. Which of the following might be a good way to get additional positive examples?

- Mirror your training images across the vertical axis (so that a left-facing car now becomes a right-facing one).
- Take a few images from your training set, and add random, gaussian noise to every pixel.
- Take a training example and set a random subset of its pixel to 0 to generate a new example.
- Select two car images and average them to make a third example.



A mirrored example is different from the original but equally likely to occur, so mirroring is a good way to generate new data.

5. Suppose you have a PhotoOCR system, where you have the following pipeline:



You have decided to perform a ceiling analysis on this system, and find the following:

Component Accuracy Overall System 70% Text Detection 72% Character Segmentation 82% Character Recognition 100%

Which of the following statements are true?

If the text detection system was trained using gradient descent, running gradient descent for more iterations is unlikely to help much.



## ✓ Correct

Plugging in ground truth text detection improved the overall system by only 2%, so even if you could improve  $text\ detection\ performance\ with\ more\ gradient\ descent\ iterations,\ this\ would\ have\ minimal\ impact\ on\ the$ overall system performance.

If we conclude that the character recognition's errors are mostly due to the character recognition system having high variance, then it may be worth significant effort obtaining additional training data for character recognition.



## ✓ Correct

Since the biggest improvement comes from character recognition ground truth, we would like to improve the performance of that system. It the character recognition system has high variance, additional data will improve its performance.

- We should dedicate significant effort to collecting additional training data for the text detection system.
- The least promising component to work on is the character recognition system, since it is already obtaining 100% accuracy.