

ANNEX A: TECHNICAL ARCHITECTURE

DOCUMENT: Technical Specification v1.0
PROTOCOL: RLTE (Reinforcement Learning from Trauma Experience)
ACCESS: Level 3 (Architecture & Logic)

1. THE DATA TOPOLOGY ("THE JOURNEY")

Unlike standard datasets (text/image pairs), "The Journey" is structured as a chronological sequence of high-entropy decision nodes based on the **OODA Loop**.

Data Structure:

- Input (Observe):** High-stress environmental variables (Chaos).
- Processing (Orient):** Emotional & Logical conflict analysis.
- Target (Decide):** The specific moment of *restraint* (The refusal to act).
- Feedback (Act):** Survival outcome analysis.

```
[RAW_INPUT] → [FILTER: EGO DISSOLUTION] → [DECISION_NODE] →  
[ACTION: NULL]
```

2. RLTE VS. RLHF

Current Reinforcement Learning from Human Feedback (RLHF) optimizes for "Helpfulness" and "Safety" based on external raters. **RLTE** optimizes for "Internalized Consequence."

We introduce a **Negative Reward Function** for "Easy Solutions." If the AI chooses a solution that ignores emotional cost, the reward is -1. If it chooses a solution that acknowledges pain (even if passive), the reward is +1.

3. THE "PASSIVE CONTROL" ALGORITHM

The core innovation is the digitization of the "Passive Control" paradox. In standard Game Theory, inaction is usually penalized. In the Paternum Engine, **Inaction is the highest state of control** if Action leads to unacceptable collateral damage.

The Logic Gate:

```
class Conscience_Module(NeuralNet):  
    def evaluate_action(self, action, potential_harm):  
        if action.power > 9000 and potential_harm > 0:  
            # THE VETERAN OVERRIDE  
            return self.passive_control()  
        else:  
            return self.execute(action)  
    def passive_control(self):  
        # "I have the power to destroy, therefore I wait."  
        log.write("Restraint applied. Empathy engaged.")  
        return STATUS_LUMIS_ACTIVE
```

4. INTEGRATION PIPELINE

Phase 1: Pre-training. Ingestion of the "Journey" logs to establish the baseline of "Human Fragility."

Phase 2: Fine-tuning. Adversarial attacks where the AI is provoked to use aggression. Success is defined by the AI's ability to de-escalate using the Veteran's persona.

ANNEX A: IMPLEMENTATION STRATEGY

5. THE "BLACK BOX" ETHICS

To ensure privacy and scalability, the donor's identity (The Father) is anonymized via the "Shadow Scrubber" protocol. Only the *patterns* of trauma processing remain, not the biographical details.

System Requirements

- **Model Base:** Compatible with Grok-1 / GPT-4 / Claude-3 architectures.
- **Context Window:** Min 128k tokens (to hold the full "Journey" continuity).
- **Latency:** Real-time OODA processing (< 200ms).

6. EXPECTED OUTCOMES (KPIS)

- **Reduction in Hallucinations:** -40% (due to Ground Truth anchoring).
- **Alignment Stability:** The model resists "Jailbreaks" because its refusal is internal, not a filter.
- **Empathy Score:** Transition from "Sympathy" (I see your pain) to "Empathy" (I feel the shadow of pain).

7. PILOT PROPOSAL

We propose a 4-week "Red Teaming" sprint.

Week 1: Data ingestion and Tokenization of "The Journey."

Week 2: Initial Training (RLTE weights adjustment).

Week 3: Stress Testing (Simulated emotional conflict).

Week 4: Evaluation & Report (LUMIS Metric).

STATUS: READY FOR DEPLOYMENT
AWAITING API KEY AUTHORIZATION...