

# BRIEFLY SOLUTION OF INTRODUCTION TO MATHEMATICAL ANALYSIS CHAPTER 3

TA: SINGYUAN YEH

## 1. HW5 Problem 4

Let sequence  $\{x_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$  in  $\mathbb{R}$ . Show that the following definition is equivalent.

(a) Define  $\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} x_n := \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sup\{x_k : k \geq n\}$ .

(b) This set  $E$  contains all subsequential limits. Define  $\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} := \sup E$ .

*Hint:* For convenience, let  $y_n = \sup\{x_k : k \geq n\}$  and  $\alpha = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sup\{x_k : k \geq n\}$ ,  $\beta = \sup E$ . WLOG, we only consider  $\alpha, \beta < \infty$  here.

First, claim  $\alpha \geq \beta$ . We have to construct a subsequence bounded below by  $y_n$ . Since  $y_n$  is supreme of  $\{x_k : k \geq n\}$  for all  $n$ , there exist  $x_n$  such that  $y_n - \epsilon < x_n < y_n$ . Choose  $\epsilon = \frac{1}{i}$  for all  $i \in \mathbb{N}$ . We can construct subsequence  $\{x_{n_i}\}$  by

$$\begin{aligned} y_1 - 1 &< x_{n_1} < y_1 \\ y_2 - \frac{1}{2} &< x_{n_2} < y_2 \\ &\vdots \end{aligned}$$

where the index  $n_i \neq n_j$  if  $i \neq j$ . By Sandwich theorem,  $\{x_{n_i}\}$  converges to  $\alpha = \lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} y_i$ . However,  $x_{n_i}$  bounded above by  $y_i$ , so  $\alpha \geq \beta$ .

Second, claim  $\alpha - \epsilon < \beta \leq \alpha$ , for all  $\epsilon$ . Take  $r \in (\alpha - \epsilon, \alpha)$ . Now, we hope to construct a subsequence converge to  $[r, \alpha] \subset (\alpha - \epsilon, \alpha]$ . Now, claim that exist infinitely many  $x_i$  greater than  $\alpha - \epsilon$ . So, we can construct the subsequence  $\{x_{n_i}\}$  by

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha - \epsilon &< r < x_{n_1} < y_1 \\ \alpha - \epsilon &< r < x_{n_2} < y_2 \\ &\vdots \end{aligned}$$

by the claim, where the index  $n_i \neq n_j$  if  $i \neq j$ . Since the sequence  $\{x_{n_i}\}$  bounded by  $r$  and  $y_1$ , exist subsequence of  $\{x_{n_i}\}$  such that the subsequence converges in  $[r, y_1]$ . However,  $y_i$  decreasing to  $\alpha$ , so exist a subsequence converge in  $[r, \alpha] \subset (\alpha - \epsilon, \alpha]$ . Since  $\epsilon$  is arbitrary chosen, we have  $\alpha = \beta$ , which the desired results follows. Finally, we have to prove the claim, do it by yourself.

## 2. HW6 Problem 2 Determine whether each of the following conditions implies the convergence of the sequence $\{x_n\}$ in metric space $X$ .

(a) Every proper subsequence of  $\{x_n\}$  converges.

(b) Suppose  $X \subset \mathbb{R}$  and  $\{x_n\}$  is a monotonic Cauchy sequence.

(c)  $\{x_n\}$  is a Cauchy sequence and some subsequence of  $\{x_n\}$  converges.

*Hint:*

- (a) Construct two proper subsequences which union is equal to origin sequence. We may assume two subsequence

$$\{x_{n_i} : 2i\} \quad \text{and} \quad \{x_{m_i} : 2i - 1\}$$

Note that above two sequence are proper subsequences. Assume they converge to  $x$  and  $y$  respectively. Suppose that  $x \neq y$ . Let another proper subsequence  $\{x_{k_i} : 3i\}$ . Let  $\epsilon = \frac{d(x,y)}{4}$ . If  $i, j$  sufficient large,  $d(x_{n_i}, x) < \epsilon$  and  $d(x_{m_j}, y) < \epsilon$ . However,

$$d(x_{k_i}, x_{k_{i+1}}) \geq d(x, y) - d(x_{k_i}, x) - d(x_{k_{i+1}}, y) > d(x, y) - \frac{d(x, y)}{4} - \frac{d(x, y)}{4}$$

where for every  $i$ , one of  $\{k_i, k_{i+1}\}$  belongs to  $\{n_j\}$  and the other belongs to  $\{m_j\}$ . Now, we have  $\lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} d(x_{k_i}, x_{k_{i+1}}) > 0$ , which leads a contradiction to the proper subsequence  $\{x_{k_i} : 3i\}$  converge. Therefore,  $\{x_{n_i} : 2i\}, \{x_{m_i} : 2i - 1\}$  converge to the same point so the origin sequence converge.

- (b) Let  $X = (0, 1)$  and  $\{x_n = \frac{1}{n}\}$ . Verify the sequence  $\{x_n\}$  satisfy Cauchy sequence by yourself but  $x_n$  doesn't converge in  $X$ .
- (c)

### 3. HW6 Problem 6

We will find all the possible  $p \in \mathbb{R}$  such that  $\sum_n (\sqrt[n]{n} - 1)^p$  converges.

*Hint:* First, we can use Taylor expansion of  $\sqrt[n]{n} - 1$ , so we guess that we compare with  $(\frac{\log n}{n})^p$ . By limit comparison test,

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\sqrt[n]{n} - 1}{\left(\frac{\log n}{n}\right)^p} = 1.$$

Find above limit by yourself. Hence,  $\sum_n \sqrt[n]{n} - 1$  converge if and only if  $\sum_n \left(\frac{\log n}{n}\right)^p$  converge. Second, by Cauchy condensation test,  $\sum_n \left(\frac{\log n}{n}\right)^p$  converge if and only if

$$\sum_n 2^n \left(\frac{\log 2^n}{2^n}\right)^p = (\log n)^p \sum_n \frac{n^p}{2^{n(p-1)}}$$

converge. By root test, we can know convergence of series,  $p \neq 1$ . For  $p = 1$  case, just back to the first equation, compare  $\sum \frac{\log n}{n}$  with  $\sum \frac{1}{n}$ , so the series diverge.

### 4. HW6 Problem 7

Show that if  $a_n > 0$  then  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (na_n) = l$  with  $l \neq 0$  then series  $\sum a_n$  diverge.

### 5. HW7 Problem 1

Solve  $\left(\sum \left(1 + \frac{1}{2} + \cdots + \frac{1}{n}\right) \frac{\sin nx}{n}\right)$

### 6. HW7 Problem 2

If  $\sum a_n$  is converge if  $\{b_n\}$  is monotonic and bounded, prove that  $\sum a_n b_n$  converge.