Springboard Introduction to Big-O Notation

« Back to Homepage

Goals Goals **Big-O Notation** What's the idea here? Who cares? An example What does better mean? The problem with timers If not time, then what? Let's try counting operations! Another example

What have we learned? Introducing... Big O Introducing... Big O Big O Definition Back to our example Another example **Worst Case** Simplifying Big O Expressions Helpful hints **Common Runtimes** log what? What's the difference? How about things we know?

Must knows for now **Space Complexity Space Complexity** Rules of Thumb in JS

An example

Recap

Another example

## **Big-O Notation**

### What's the idea here?

• Explain need for notation

• Analyze time complexity

• Compare different time complexities

- Imagine we have multiple implementations of the same function

**Introduction to Big-O Notation** 

Develop a conceptual understanding of Big-O notation

- Bad?

**Goals** 

• Meh?

- Useful for discussing trade-offs between different approaches
- When code slows, identifying inefficient parts helps find pain points
- Less important: it comes up in interviews!

function addUpToFirst(n) { function addUpToSecond(n) { **return** n \* (n + 1) / 2;

```
let total = 0;
   for (let i = 1; i <= n; i++) {</pre>
     total += i;
   return total;
Which is better?
```

🌋 Springboard

### Faster?

### Less memory-intensive?

• Let's focus on speed

What does better mean?

- We can time them!

If not time, then what?

- Rather than counting seconds, which are so variable...
- Let's try counting operations!

- function addUpToSecond(n) {

return n \* (n + 1) / 2;

```
let total = 0;
for (let i = 1; i <= n; i++) {</pre>
  total += i;
return total;
```

### • Regardless of exact number, number of operations grows proportional to *n*

### • If *n* doubles, number of operations will also double

What have we learned?

• Counting is hard!

- We won't care about the details, only the trends

## **Big O Definition**

- f(n) could be linear (f(n) = n)
- f(n) could be quadratic (f(n) = n<sup>2</sup>)
- f(n) could be something entirely different!

**return** n \* (n + 1) / 2;

function printAllPairs(n) {

• This algorithm "runs in" O(n<sup>2</sup>)

**Worst Case** 

return true;

for (var i = 0; i < n; i++) {

console.log(i, j);

for (var j = 0; j < n; j++) {

• O(n) operation inside of an O(n) operation

- **Back to our example**
- Always 3 operations
- return total; of **n** (say, 10n) • This algorithm "runs in" O(n) **Another example**
- function allEven(nums) { if (nums[i] % 2 !== 0) {

# for (var i = 0; i < nums.length; i++) {</pre> return false;

• Always make sure you can answer - what is n?

### **Helpful hints** • Arithmetic operations are constant

- **Common Runtimes** 
  - **Big-O Complexity Chart**

Constants do not matter

• Smaller terms do not matter

• Variable assignment is constant

O(n log n) Operations

### O(n) O(log n), O(1) Elements log what? • We're in base 2 (think about 0s and 1s) • $log_2 8 = 3$ (2 to the power of what gives me 8?) • The logarithm of a number roughly measures the number of times you can divide that number by 2 before you get a value that's less than or equal to one. • Logarithmic time complexity is great! You've written an algorithm that can find a value in a sorted array in log<sub>2</sub>n time! What's the difference? For *n*= 100: **Function** Result Type Constant

1,267,650,600,228,229,401,496,703,205,376

# Linear

Н	ow about things we know?
	What is the time complexity of .includes()? What is the time complexity of .indexOf()?
M	ust knows for now
•	A loop does not mean it's O(n)!
•	A loop in a loop does not mean it's O(n²)!
•	Best runtime for sorting is $O(n \times log_2 n)$ (also referred to as $n log_2 n$ )
•	It is <i>not</i> same as log <sub>2</sub> n

10,000

 $\approx$ 9.332622 × 10<sup>157</sup>

### Can also use big O notation to analyze **space complexity**: how will memory usage scale as size of inputs increase? **Rules of Thumb in JS**

increase?

• Reference types: generally O(n), where n is the length of array (or keys in object) An example

### for (let i = 0; i < nums.length; i++) {</pre> total += nums[i];

```
return total;
O(1) space
Another example
 function double(nums) {
```

### for (let i = 0; i < nums.length; i++) {</pre> doubledNums.push(2 \* nums[i]);

- O(n) space • Time complexity is more of the focus for now
- Recap • To analyze performance of algorithm, use Big O Notation
  - Depends only on algorithm, not hardware used to run code

- How can we determine which one is the "best?"
- Function that accepts a string and returns reversed copy • Good?

- Who cares? • It's important to have precise vocabulary about how code performs
- An example • Calculate sum of numbers from 1 up to (and including) some number n

# More readable?

- The problem with timers • Different machines will record different times
- For fast algorithms, speed measurements may not be precise enough • Instead, count number of simple operations the computer has to perform!

• The same machine will record different times!

• Let's count *number* of simple operations the computer has to perform!

## 3 simple operations, regardless of the size of n

```
Another example
 function addUpToFirst(n) {
```

Let's try counting number of operations!

### • We can use this idea to measure speed allocation of algorithms

- **Introducing...** Big O
- Big O Notation is a way to formalize fuzzy counting • Can use to talk about how the runtime of algorithm grows as inputs grow
- An algorithm is O(f(n)) if number of simple operations is eventually less than a constant times f(n), as nincreases

### • f(n) could be constant (f(n) = 1)

function addUpToFirst(n) { function addUpToSecond(n) {

• The number of operations is bounded by a multiple

for (let i = 1; i <= n; i++) {</pre>

let total = 0;

total += i;

- This is O(n), since even though it may not always take n times, it will scale with n**Simplifying Big O Expressions**


• When determining algorithm time complexity, rule for big O expressions:

Big O notation is concerned with worst case of algorithm's performance.

• Loops: length of the loop times complexity of whatever happens in loop

• Accessing elements in array (by index) or object (by key) is constant

Horrible Bad Fair Good Excellent O(n!) O(2^n) O(n^2)



### Logarithmic Quadratic

Exponential

Factorial

 $n^2$ 

2<sup>n</sup>

n!

### • Most primitives (booleans, numbers, undefined, null): constant space • Strings: O(n) space (where *n* is the string length)

function sum(nums) { let total = 0;

So far, we've been focusing on time complexity: how can we analyze runtime of an algorithm as size of inputs

# return doubledNums;

let doubledNums = [];

- We will be covering space complexity in more detail later on in the course
  - Can give high level understanding of time or space complexity • Doesn't care about precision, only general trends (linear? quadratic? constant?)
- Big O Notation is everywhere, so get lots of practice!