Springboard Recursion 🌋 Springboard Recursion Download Demo Code « Back to Homepage Having a function call itself Also: a very powerful programming technique Recursion Also: a popular interview question topic Recursion The Tiniest Review **Functions Calling Functions The Tiniest Review** Loops and Recursion **Functions Calling Functions** Loops versus Recursion Count to 3 Call Frames / Stack function a() { More Counting console.log("hello");  $\mid \rightarrow a()$ b(); Loops versus Recursion | hello console.log("coding"); Requirements | | → **b()** | | world Base Case function b() { No Base Case console.log("world"); Explicit vs. Hidden Base Cases c(); Progress console.log("love"); Returning Data | | love Finding Sum of List function c() { console.log("i"); List Doubler | coding The Problem The Challenge Oh No! Arbitrary Depth with Loop → "hello world i love coding" Non-Recursively Recursively Remember, when you call a function, you "freeze" where you are until that function returns, and then continue Recognizing Recursion where you left off. Filesystems Fractals So  $\boldsymbol{a}$  prints  $|\boldsymbol{hello}|$ , calls  $\boldsymbol{b}$  which prints  $|\boldsymbol{world}|$ , calls  $\boldsymbol{c}$  which prints  $|\boldsymbol{i}|$  and returns back to  $\boldsymbol{b}$  which then prints love which then returns back to **a** which prints coding. Parsing Nested Data **Loops and Recursion** Runtime Improving Runtime **Loops versus Recursion Accumulating Output** Accumulators Any loop can be written instead with recursion Resources Any recursion can be written instead with a loop Resources ... but often, one way is easier for a problem Count to 3 Using a *while* loop: Using recursion: function count() { function count(n=1) { **let** n = 1; if (n > 3) return; **while** (n <= 3) { console.log(n); console.log(n); count(n + 1); n += 1;count(); count(); **Call Frames / Stack** function count(n=1) { if (n > 3) return;  $\mid$   $\rightarrow$  count(n=1) console.log(n); count(n + 1); | | | 2 count(); **More Counting** function count(n=1) { if (n > 3) return;  $\rightarrow$  count(n=1) console.log(n); count(n + 1); console.log(n); | | 2 count(); | | | 3 | | 3 | | 2 **Loops versus Recursion** Using a while loop: Using recursion: function count(n=1) { function count() { **let** n = 1; if (n > 3) return; **while** (n <= 3) { console.log(n); console.log(n); count(n + 1); n += 1;count(); count(); Which do you prefer? Requirements **Base Case** function count(n=1) { if (n > 3) return; console.log(n); count(n + 1);• Every recursive function needs a *base case* How do we know when we're done? Often a base case is a "degenerate case". • concat([1, 2, 3]) → • "1" + concat([2, 3]) → • "1" + "2" + concat([3]) → • "1" + "2" + "3" + concat([]) ← degenerate: empty array **Note: Degenerate Cases** A "degenerate case" is one that is so reduced that it's fundamentally different from the others and would need to be treated differently. Consider counting up to 3 recursively: function count(n=1) { if (n > 3) return; console.log(n); count(n + 1); Here, our base case is "when we hit 3, don't keep recursing". This is a base case, but it's not "degenerate" we could keep counting up after 3; there's nothing preventing us from doing so besides our goal to stop. Compare this with finding the length of a list recursively: function lenlist(nums) { if (nums[0] === undefined) return 0 return 1 + lenlist(nums.slice(1)); Here, our base case is "the length of an empty list is 0, so return that and don't recurse". This base is "degenerate" — there's no possible way for us to find the length of a list with -1 items in it! It wouldn't even be possible for us to keep recursing; this base case is a hard limit on what's possible. Not all recursive problems have a degenerate base case, but thinking about if one is possible is often helpful in figuring what your base case is and how the recursion should work. **No Base Case** function count(n=1) { console.log(n); count(n + 1); count(); **Stack Overflow! Explicit vs. Hidden Base Cases** function count(n=1) { function count(n=1) { if (n > 3) return; **if** (n <= 3) { console.log(n); console.log(n); count(n + 1); count(n + 1); Which do you prefer? **Progress** function count(n=1) { if (n > 3) return; console.log(n); count(n + 1); **Returning Data Finding Sum of List** "Return sum of list using recursion" • What's our base case? • An empty list has sum = 0! function sum(nums) { if (nums.length === 0) return 0; return nums[0] + sum(nums.slice(1)); sum([1, 2, 4, 5]); **List Doubler The Problem** "For every number in array, print the value, doubled" data = [ 1, 2, 3 ] // => 2 4 6 function doubler(nums) { for (let n of nums) { console.log(n \* 2); **The Challenge** • Some items can be lists themselves • We want to "flatten" them and still print doubled data = [ 1, [2, 3], 4 ] // => 2 4 6 8 function doubler(nums) { for (let n of nums) { if Array.isArray(n) { for (let o of n) console.log(o \* 2); console.log(n \* 2); Oh No! Some of those items can be lists! data = [ 1, [2, [3], 4], 5 ] // => 2 4 6 8 10 function doubler(nums) { for (let n of nums) { if Array.isArray(n) { for (let o of nums) { if Array.isArray(o) { for (let p of o) console.log(p \* 2); } else { console.log(o); } else { console.log(n \* 2); **Arbitrary Depth with Loop** data = [ 1, [2, [3], 4], 5 ] // => 2 4 6 8 10 function doubler(nums) { stack = nums.reverse(); while (stack.length > 0) { let n = stack.pop(); if Array.isArray(n) { // If array, add it to stack, reversed for (let inner of n.reverse() { stack.append(inner); } else { console.log(n \* 2); It works, but it's pretty hairy! This solution uses a data structure called a "stack", adding new work to the end and popping them off the end. This code may be worth study, even though this problem is more easily solved with recursion. **Non-Recursively** Print For Item in List Is Item a List? Is Item a List? Is Item a List? . For Item in List Recursively For Item in List Print Is Item a List? Function() data = [ 1, [2, [3], 4], 5 ] | → doubler(nums=[1, [2, [3], 4], 5]) function doubler(nums) { | 2 for (let n of nums) { if Array.isArray(n) {  $| \ | \rightarrow doubler(nums=[2, [3], 4])$ doubler(n); } else { console.log(n \* 2); | | | → doubler(nums=[3]) | | | 6 **1θ Recognizing Recursion Filesystems** index.html **Fractals Parsing**  $1 \times (2 + 3 \times (4 + 5 \times 6) + 7)$ This is a particularly good, hard exercise to give yourself. **Nested Data** <html> <head> <title>Title</title> </head> <body> <h1>Body</h1> ul> 0ne Two <**ul>** Two A Two B </**ul>** </**ul>** </body> </html> **Runtime** What's the runtime? function sum(nums) { if (nums.length === 0) return 0; return nums[0] + sum(nums.slice(1)); O(n ^ 2) — we keep making new lists! It also has **O(n ^ 2)** runspace — keeping all lists in memory! **Improving Runtime** Often, you can keep track of position in array, rather than slice: function sum(nums, i=0) { if (i === nums.length) return 0; return nums[i] + sum(nums, i + 1); Now runtime and runspace are O(n) **Accumulating Output** Given array of numbers, return even numbers function evens(nums, i=0) { if (nums.length === i) return []; **if** (nums[i] % 2 === 0) { return [nums[i], ...evens(nums, i +1)]; return evens(nums, i + 1); Back to **O(n ^ 2)** — making all those lists! Can solve with "helper recursion": function evens(nums) { **let** out = []; function \_evens(nums, i) { if (nums.length === i) return; if (nums[i] % 2 === 0) out.push(nums[i]); \_evens(nums, i + 1); \_evens(nums, 0); return out; Back to O(n) **Accumulators** Often, can also solve with "accumulator": function evens(nums, out=[], i=0) { if (nums.length === i) return out; if (nums[i] % 2 === 0) out.push(nums[i]); return evens(nums, out, i + 1); Back to O(n) In some browsers, this can be "tail-call optimized" Resources **How Recursion Works** 

Advanced: Tail Call Optimization