Report:

Below are two Confusion Matrices, the blue one is created from a decision tree (DT) and the black one is from the Naive Bayes (NB) model. Both of these models created predictions using the same data set containing 32560 records with 80% of it being used to train each model and 20% to test it. If you compare both these models, the decision tree had better results in this comparison. While both of these were close, DT won in overall accuracy of calculating the "Label".

DT had an accuracy of 84.32% and NB had an accuracy of 82.83%. These were calculated using the True positive/ negative, and the False positive/ negative. DT had a sensitivity (True Positive) of 94.46% while NB had a sensitivity of 93.13%. Next, DT had a specificity (True Negative) of 51.34% and NB had a rate of 49.31%. In each of these fields, the Decision Tree out performed the Naive Bayes model, meaning that the Decision Tree is the better solution in this study.

Confusion Matrix and Statistics

```
<=50K >50K
<=50K 4705 745
>50K 276 786
```

Accuracy: 0.8432

95% CI: (0.8342, 0.852)

No Information Rate : 0.7649 P-Value [Acc > NIR] : < 2.2e-16

Kappa: 0.5123

Mcnemar's Test P-Value : < 2.2e-16

Sensitivity: 0.9446 Specificity: 0.5134 Pos Pred Value: 0.8633 Neg Pred Value: 0.7401 Prevalence: 0.7649

Detection Rate : 0.7225 Detection Prevalence : 0.8369 Balanced Accuracy : 0.7290

'Positive' Class : <=50K

[Decision Tree confusion Matrix]

Confusion Matrix and Statistics

<=50K >50K <=50K 4639 776 >50K 342 755

Accuracy: 0.8283

95% CI: (0.8189, 0.8374)

No Information Rate : 0.7649 P-Value [Acc > NIR] : < 2.2e-16

Kappa: 0.4707

Mcnemar's Test P-Value : < 2.2e-16

Sensitivity: 0.9313 Specificity: 0.4931 Pos Pred Value: 0.8567 Neg Pred Value: 0.6882 Prevalence: 0.7649 Detection Rate: 0.7124

Detection Prevalence: 0.8315 Balanced Accuracy: 0.7122

'Positive' Class : <=50K

B. [Naive Bayes Confusion

Matrix]