



Comments (8)    Dependencies    Duplicates (0)    Blocking (0)    Resources (3)

WAI Feature Request P3 + Add Hotlist [AOSP] assigned

 STATUS UPDATE No update yet. [Edit](#)



DESCRIPTION xi...@xiaomi.corp-partner.google.com created issue #1

The M12 development board and stable version have all been reproduced, and the project is nearing package closure. Could you please help expedite the processing. Could you please help check

```
Build fingerprint: 'Xiaomi/corot_global/corot:13/PP1A.220624.014/23.05.9-M12-MTBF-PRE-GLO:user/test-keys' Revision: '0' ABI: 'arm64' Timestamp: 2023-05-10 10:34:36.738652770+0800 Proc
tagged_addr_ctl: 000000000000000001 (PR_TAGGED_ADDR_ENABLE) signal 11 (SIGSEGV), code 1 (SEGV_MAPERR), fault addr 0x0000000000000010 Cause: null pointer dereference x0 b4000000
000000000001a58be54 x8 b40000779ac1b180 x9 000000000000000002 x10 000000000000000000 x11 490fe001bae08f360 x12 000000000000000004 x13 00000077b2492180 x14 00000000000000000a x
00000077a3c72d60 x22 000000000000000005 x23 a0d7b5462b2f2a04 x24 000000000000000002 x25 0000000000000001a11b020 x26 0000000001a585810 x27 0000000001a585cc0 x28 0000000001a11b00
```

```
backtrace: #00 pc 00000000000bcc84 /system/lib64/libgui.so (std::__1::pair<std::__1::__hash_iterator<std::__1::__hash_node<android::sp<@ android::SurfaceControl, void*>, bool> std::__1::__ha  
std::__1::allocator<android::sp<@ android::SurfaceControl >*>::_emplace_unique_key_args<android::sp<@ android::SurfaceControl, android::sp<@ android::SurfaceControl const&>+120)>(BuildId: 0c4  
0000000000171c48 /system/lib64/libgui.so (android::SurfaceComposerClient::Transaction::registerSurfaceControlForCallback(android::sp<@ android::SurfaceControl const&)+120) (BuildId: 0c4  
(android::SurfaceComposerClient::Transaction::reparent(android::sp<@ android::SurfaceControl const&, android::sp<@ android::SurfaceControl const&)+152) (BuildId: 0c4899e2a897627fa8001a  
844680c10f98755dda467c523bfa737f) #04 pc 00000000001e054c /system/framework/arm64/boot-framework.oat (art_jni_trampoline+124) (BuildId: e919b6fd402cc98ebf8684868cff2e859d9f  
e919b6fd402cc98ebf8684868cff2e859d9925e6) #06 pc 0000000000785218 /system/framework/arm64/boot-framework.oat (android.view.SurfaceControl$Transaction.remove+56) (BuildId: e9  
(com.android.server.wm.AppWindowAnimatorHelper.destroyMiuiActivityThumbnailLeash+96) (BuildId: be1204eeb734931495b23cf543e3ca6088b1bbe1) #08 pc 00000000022da744 /system/fr  
be1204eeb734931495b23cf543e3ca6088b1bbe1) #09 pc 000000000011d674 /system/framework/oat/arm64/services.odex ([DEDUPED]+68) (BuildId: be1204eeb734931495b23cf543e3ca6088  
(BuildId: be1204eeb734931495b23cf543e3ca6088b1bbe1) #11 pc 0000000002af77a0 /system/framework/oat/arm64/services.odex (com.android.server.wm.SurfaceAnimator.cancelAnimation  
(com.android.server.wm.WindowContainer.cancelAnimation+200) (BuildId: be1204eeb734931495b23cf543e3ca6088b1bbe1) #13 pc 000000000246f1c0 /system/framework/oat/arm64/service  
00000000001b330e8 /system/framework/oat/arm64/services.odex (com.android.server.wm.RemoteAnimationController$$ExternalSyntheticLambda3.accept+168) (BuildId: be1204eeb734931495  
(com.android.server.wm.ActivityRecord.forAllActivities+76) (BuildId: be1204eeb734931495b23cf543e3ca6088b1bbe1) #16 pc 0000000002ce3654 /system/framework/oat/arm64/services.ode  
/system/framework/oat/arm64/services.odex (com.android.server.wm.WindowContainer.forAllActivities+56) (BuildId: be1204eeb734931495b23cf543e3ca6088b1bbe1) #18 pc 00000000022c7f  
be1204eeb734931495b23cf543e3ca6088b1bbe1) #19 pc 000000000022c516c /system/framework/oat/arm64/services.odex (com.android.server.wm.RemoteAnimationController$FinishedCallb  
(android.view.IRemoteAnimationFinishedCallback$Stub.onTransact+336) #21 pc 00000000005f58b0 /system/framework/arm64/boot-framework.oat (android.os.Binder.execTransactInternal+1  
(android.os.Binder.execTransact+304) (BuildId: e919b6fd402cc98ebf8684868cff2e859d9925e6) #23 pc 00000000004347fc /apex/com.android.runtime/lib64/libart.so (art_quick_invoke_stub+556  
art::InvokeVirtualOrInterfaceWithVarArgs<@ art::ArtMethod*(art::ScopedObjectAccessAlreadyRunnable const&, _jobject*, art::ArtMethod*, std::__va_list)+828) (BuildId: 28c5aa8a2e8fc5df069f717  
std::__va_list)+184) (BuildId: 28c5aa8a2e8fc5df069f717d6e94f7fe) #26 pc 00000000000c47b8 /system/lib64/libandroid_runtime.so (_JNIEnv::CallBooleanMethod(_jobject*, _jmethodID*)+12  
android::Parcel const&, android::Parcel*, unsigned int)+156) (BuildId: 844680c10f98755dda467c523bfa737f) #28 pc 0000000000050a4c /system/lib64/libbinder.so (android::BBinder::transact(u  
/system/lib64/libbinder.so (android::IPCThreadState::executeCommand(int)+1012) (BuildId: 1d66fcc1bedcfd8a51220cead36f0f4) #30 pc 000000000005b7d0 /system/lib64/libbinder.so (andro  
id::IPCThreadState::joinThreadPool(bool)+68) (BuildId: 1d66fcc1bedcfd8a51220cead36f0f4) #32 pc 000000000008bed8 /system/lib64/libbinder.so (android::PoolThread::threadLoop()+2)  
263dd89cc6d0dd79143c5915c4821ef) #34 pc 00000000000dc55c /system/lib64/libandroid_runtime.so (android::AndroidRuntime::javaThreadShell(void*)+140) (BuildId: 844680c10f98755dda  
3908c7c57fa04c64df24425cf16523cf) #36 pc 000000000008e5f0 /apex/com.android.runtime/lib64/bionic/libc.so (__start_thread+64) (BuildId: 3908c7c57fa04c64df24425cf16523cf)
```



deleted

0 B ?

✓ Links (3)

### ⇒ Links (3)

“...e version have all been reproduced, and the project is nearing package closure. Could you please help expedite the processing. Could you please help check if your company has encountered this is  
“about:invalid#zCSafez”

"For steps to capture a bug report, please refer: <https://developer.android.com/studio/debug/bug-report#bugreportdevice>"

COMMENTS



vi...@google.com <vi...@google.com> #2

Assigned to vi...@google.com.

Thank you for reporting this issue. For us to further investigate this issue, please provide the following additional information:

What steps are needed to reproduce this issue? Frequency of occurrence?

Which Android build are you using? (e.g. OPP1.170223.012)

Which device did you use to reproduce this issue?

Can you confirm if this issue is reproducible on a Pixel/Nexus device?

Android bug report (to be captured after reproducing the issue)

For steps to capture a bug report, please refer: <https://developer.android.com/studio/debug/bug-report#bugreportdevice>

### Alternate method

Navigate to "Developer options", ensure "USB debugging" is enabled, then enable "Bug report shortcut". Capture bug report by holding the power button and selecting the "Take bug report" o

xi...@xiaomi.corp-partner.google.com <xi...@xiaomi.corp-partner.google.com> [#3](#)

Thank you for your feedback.

1. The problem did not reproduce the scene, and the frequency of occurrence: Development version -1/107, stable version 1/25.
2. Xiaomi M12 project: M12-V14.0.23.5.26. TMLCNXM version: Android 13.
3. Currently, Pixel/Nexus devices have not been replicated. Looking forward to your company's next suggestion, thank you!

vi...@google.com <vi...@google.com> [#4](#)

We have shared this with our product and engineering team and will update this issue with more information as it becomes available.

xi...@xiaomi.corp-partner.google.com <xi...@xiaomi.corp-partner.google.com> [#5](#)

Recently, the above situation has reappeared. Please help to take a look, thank you  
As above, a null pointer was reported at the same position:

```
template <class _Tp, class _Hash, class _Equal, class _Alloc>
template <class _Key, class _Args>
pair<typename __hash_table<_Tp, _Hash, _Equal, _Alloc>::iterator, bool>
__hash_table<_Tp, _Hash, _Equal, _Alloc>::__emplace_unique_key_args(_Key const& __k, _Args& __args)
#endif
{
    size_t __hash = hash_function()(__k);
    size_type __bc = bucket_count();
    bool __inserted = false;
    __next_pointer __nd;
    size_t __chash;
    if (__bc != 0)
    {
        __chash = __constrain_hash(__hash, __bc);
        __nd = __bucket_list[__chash];           //Final error position
        if (__nd != nullptr)
        {
            for (__nd = __nd->__next; __nd != nullptr &&
                (__nd->__hash() == __hash || __constrain_hash(__nd->__hash(), __bc) == __chash);
                __nd = __nd->__next_)
            {
                if (key_eq)(__nd->__upcast()->__value_, __k)
                    goto __done;
            }
        }
    }
}
```

Can locking be used to solve the problem? Or can you provide other solutions?

```
...
size_t __chash;
std::mutex mtx;
if (__bc != 0)
{
    __chash = __constrain_hash(__hash, __bc);
    __nd = __bucket_list[__chash];
    if (__nd != nullptr)
    {
        for (__nd = __nd->__next; __nd != nullptr &&
            (__nd->__hash() == __hash || __constrain_hash(__nd->__hash(), __bc) == __chash);
            __nd = __nd->__next_)
        {
            std::lock_guard<std::mutex> lock(mtx);
            if (key_eq)(__nd->__upcast()->__value_, __k)
                goto __done;
        }
    }
}
```

 **deleted**  
0 B 

vi...@google.com <vi...@google.com> [#6](#)

Thanks for reporting this issue!

The crash is usually due to unsynchronized access to the Transaction object. The call seems to be coming from code that is not in our internal framework .

Specifically, AppWindowAnimatorHelper#destoryMiuiActivityThumbnailLeash does not exist. Please note that the SurfaceControl#Transaction objects do not have any built in synchroni



**xi...@xiaomi.corp-partner.google.com** <xi...@xiaomi.corp-partner.google.com> [#7](#)

Thank you very much for your answer

---



**vi...@google.com** <vi...@google.com> [#8](#)

*Status: Won't Fix (Intended Behavior)*

Based on the above comment we are closing this issue, thanks!