

Visualising plants and metadata

Final Report for CS39440 Major Project

Author: Siôn Griffiths (sig2@aber.ac.uk)

Supervisor: Dr. Hannah Dee (hmd1@aber.ac.uk)

18th of April 2016

Version: 1.1 (Draft)

This report was submitted as partial fulfilment of a BEng degree in
Software Engineering (G600)

Department of Computer Science
Aberystwyth University
Aberystwyth
Ceredigion
SY23 3DB
Wales, UK

Declaration of originality

In signing below, I confirm that:

- This submission is my own work, except where clearly indicated.
- I understand that there are severe penalties for Unacceptable Academic Practice, which can lead to loss of marks or even the withholding of a degree.
- I have read the regulations on Unacceptable Academic Practice from the University's Academic Quality and Records Office (AQRO) and the relevant sections of the current Student Handbook of the Department of Computer Science.
- In submitting this work I understand and agree to abide by the University's regulations governing these issues.

Name

Date

Consent to share this work

In signing below, I hereby agree to this dissertation being made available to other students and academic staff of the Aberystwyth Computer Science Department.

Name

Date

Acknowledgements

I am grateful to...

I'd like to thank...

Abstract

Visualising plants and metadata is a project delivering a web-based system which enables the convenient exploration of plant images and associated metadata captured as part of experiments carried out at the National Plant Phenomics Centre(NPPC).

CONTENTS

1	Background & Objectives	1
1.1	Background	1
1.2	Analysis	1
1.3	Process	2
2	Design	3
2.1	Overall Architecture	3
2.2	Framework and Programming Language	3
2.3	Domain modelling	3
2.4	Database	3
2.5	UI	5
2.6	Tools and third-party services	5
2.6.1	Intellij	5
2.6.2	Git and Github	6
2.6.3	Jira	7
2.6.4	Codeship	9
2.6.5	Plotly	10
2.7	Some detailed design	10
2.7.1	Even more detail	10
2.8	User Interface	10
2.9	Other relevant sections	10
3	Implementation	11
3.1	Stuff	11
4	Testing	12
4.1	Overall Approach to Testing	12
4.2	Automated Testing	12
4.2.1	Unit Tests	13
4.2.2	Integration Testing	14
4.2.3	Stress and Performance Testing	15
4.3	Manual Testing	17
4.3.1	Admin Page Test Table	17
4.3.2	Graph Page Test Table	17
4.4	User Testing	18
5	Evaluation	19
	Appendices	20
A	Third-Party Code and Libraries	21
B	Ethics Submission	22
C	Code Examples	23
3.1	Random Number Generator	23

LIST OF FIGURES

2.1	Detail of ORM annotations in a Java class	4
2.2	An entity relationship diagram for the database schema	5
2.3	The IntelliJ IDE showing result of static analysis	6
2.4	A tagged release of the project within Github	7
2.5	Current sprint screen in Jira	7
2.6	A sample of bugs raised in Jira	8
2.7	The version control commit tracking within Jira	8
2.8	The project build script on Codeship	9
2.9	A sample of the build history in Codeship	9
2.10	Project graph page featuring a Plotly.js generated graph	10
4.1	Automated test result page generated by IntelliJ	13
4.2	Visulisation of Jmeter test result of a fully initialised experiment	16
4.3	Visulisation of Jmeter test result of a partially initialised experiment	16

LIST OF TABLES

4.1	Test Table for Admin page functionality	17
4.2	Test Table for Graph page functionality	18

Chapter 1

Background & Objectives

This section should discuss your preparation for the project, including background reading, your analysis of the problem and the process or method you have followed to help structure your work. It is likely that you will reuse part of your outline project specification, but at this point in the project you should have more to talk about.

Note:

- All of the sections and text in this example are for illustration purposes. The main Chapters are a good starting point, but the content and actual sections that you include are likely to be different.
- Look at the document on the Structure of the Final Report for additional guidance.

1.1 Background

What was your background preparation for the project? What similar systems did you assess? What was your motivation and interest in this project?

1.2 Analysis

Taking into account the problem and what you learned from the background work, what was your analysis of the problem? How did your analysis help to decompose the problem into the main tasks that you would undertake? Were there alternative approaches? Why did you choose one approach compared to the alternatives?

There should be a clear statement of the objectives of the work, which you will evaluate at the end of the work.

In most cases, the agreed objectives or requirements will be the result of a compromise between what would ideally have been produced and what was felt to be possible in the time available. A discussion of the process of arriving at the final list is usually appropriate.

1.3 Process

Plan driven approaches traditionally associated with software development projects usually expect that all system requirements are understood and collected prior to any further work on design or implementation. A number of factors made such an approach unsuitable for this project, chiefly a lack of domain knowledge made up-front requirement gathering difficult and the requirements themselves were likely to be poorly defined and subject to change. With these considerations in mind it was decided that an agile approach would be best.

A SCRUM-inspired approach was adopted for the project methodology, featuring time-boxed iterations in the form of sprints with regular releases of the software. Work would be tracked in the form of user-stories, the planning and organisation of work would revolve around a defined functionality goal for each sprint and release.

Chapter 2

Design

2.1 Overall Architecture

MVC - for ease of testing, scalability, separation of concerns, maintainability through familiarity (people know mvc and what to expect), maturity of supporting technologies

3-tier based approach to data layer / service / presentation stuff that may not entirely fit with the mvc pattern

2.2 Framework and Programming Language

The sheer range of MVC frameworks available to developers is incredible and the decision of which to use is potentially difficult. It was not within scope to review all the available choices

2.3 Domain modelling

2.4 Database

For this project the database structure is entirely derived by the Hibernate [3] object relational mapping (ORM) which is included in the Spring framework as part of the Spring Data project. The ORM system allows a developer to annotate Java code with keywords that inform the ORM system of how to represent a given class and persist it in the database. Figure 2.1 shows an example of these annotations within the Plant class. The class is annotated with `@Entity` to inform the ORM that it is a managed class to be persisted and table constraints are declared. The getter methods for the instance variables in the class are annotated with relationship definitions if applicable, including foreign key mappings and what manner of database instructions should cascade through the relationship to the related entity. The annotations can also define a fetch type which can take the value `LAZY` or `EAGER`, this defines whether the related entity objects should be fully initialised when the parent is called or whether, in the case of a `LAZY` fetch, a proxy object with no instance variables initialised should be returned. For this project, the use of `LAZY` fetch is preferred in all situations since it allows greater control over the performance of the system. If

for example, the `Plant` class made an eager fetch for its associated list of `PlantDay` objects, each `PlantDay` would be fully initialised at the time when the `Plant` object is retrieved from the database via a query, resulting in extra queries to the database in order to fully populate each `PlantDay`. Using this fetch technique allowed the `PlantDays` to be initialised when a method like `.size()` was invoked on their containing list or a getter method was invoked on the `PlantDay` itself.

```
@Entity
@Table(uniqueConstraints = @UniqueConstraint(columnNames = {"bar_code"}))
public class Plant {

    @Id
    @GeneratedValue(strategy = GenerationType.AUTO)
    public long getId() { return id; }

    @OneToOne(cascade = {CascadeType.ALL})
    @JoinColumn(name="plant_meta_data_id")
    public Metadata getMetadata() { return metadata; }

    @OneToMany(mappedBy = "plant", cascade = {CascadeType.ALL}, fetch = FetchType.LAZY)
    public List<PlantDay> getPlantDays() { return plantDays; }
```

Figure 2.1: Detail of ORM annotations in a Java class

Figure 2.2 details the database schema resulting from the ORM annotated relationships within the system. As discussed previously in this section, the relationships are a direct result of the structure of the Java code and the choices made with certain annotations, such as which side of a relation should hold a reference to the other.

The main deliberate change made to the default ORM mapping onto the database was to pull the `dataAttributes` map (a `Map<String, String>`) out from the `Metadata` object into its own table, `'metadata_dataattributes'`. The default would have been to map this as a blob column within the `metadata` table, however, in order to ensure that all data within the database can be queried via native queries on the database itself, this extra table approach was taken.




Figure 2.2: An entity relationship diagram for the database schema

2.5 UI

2.6 Tools and third-party services

2.6.1 IntelliJ

IntelliJ [4] is the core development tool used during the completion of this project. It is a fully featured Java integrated development environment (IDE) that has support for a wide range of features including Spring and Github (see section 2.6.2) integration right out of the box. Its code completion and debugging tools are significantly more refined in comparison to the most popular alternative Eclipse, allowing for faster writing of code and easier debugging. As with any reasonably modern IDE, IntelliJ comes with the facility to run sophisticated test suites, providing code coverage metrics and providing auto-generated method stubs in implementation or test classes further speeding up development time, especially in boiler-plate heavy languages such as Java.

IntelliJ also provides in-built static analysis tools that run automatically as part of committing changes to version control via the IDE. This is useful as it is configured to highlight warning level issues which include code style along with potential logical mistakes within sections of code and even spelling errors. Having these checks at commit time enables the developer to review any potential problems before the code gets checked into the repository, although the results are often a little too pessimistic they are still useful. Figure 2.3 shows the IntelliJ IDE with static analysis results displayed.




Figure 2.3: The IntelliJ IDE showing result of static analysis

2.6.2 Git and Github

The use of version control is invaluable in modern software development. It has become a necessity in even the smallest of hobby projects since it allows the developer to be confident in making changes without having to worry about rescuing previous version if things go wrong and provides development teams with the means to work concurrently and collaboratively on the same code base.

The version control system selected for this project was Git [2], having previously used alternatives such as Subversion I chose Git for its integration with more numerous, modern services and the fact that it allows local copies of a repository which is synced with a remote repository as opposed to the remote-only approach taken by Subversion.

The Git repository for this project is hosted on Github [11], a web based service dedicated to providing git repository hosting and related facilities, such as commit history tracking, release visioning and integration with third party services. There are alternatives to Github available but due to familiarity brought on by hosting all previous projects and the fact that Github is now an industry leading solution, it was decided to use Github for this project without any real evaluation of alternatives since it was well known that Github could provide all facilities required for the purpose of this project. Figure 2.4 details one of the tagged release versions of the system within Github. Having releases tagged in this way allows easy rollbacks to previous release versions in the event of any major issues in a new release.




Figure 2.4: A tagged release of the project within Github

2.6.3 Jira

Jira [8] is an issue tracking and project management tool provided by Atlassian, an Australian software company. It is an industry leading product used by many companies for tracking their projects and the issues within them. Its use on this project was in support of the agile approach to project development, allowing the specification of user stories, development tasks and their inclusions within configurable sprints or development iterations. Figure 2.5 shows the current sprint view in Jira, user stories are grouped into 'lanes' corresponding to their status, allowing a simple way to track the work completed and left to do within in the current development iteration.




Figure 2.5: Current sprint screen in Jira

Bugs could also be tracked as issues within Jira and added to the current sprint if necessary, I found this to be a valuable way to deal with emergent issues during development as it allowed a simple way to assign priority to urgent issues and keep track of less urgent bugs in the project backlog to be worked on in a future sprint. Figure 2.6 shows a selection of bugs raised as part of development, Jira provides simple methods for filtering all issues against a project by type or

status allowing quick access to screens such as this.

T	Key	Summary	Assignee	Reporter	P	Status	Resolution	Created	Updated	Due
	VPM-37	First image for each plantDay is skipped	sion griffiths [Administrator]	sion griffiths [Administrator]	↑	DONE	Done	18/Mar/16	18/Mar/16	...
	VPM-34	java.net.SocketException: Broken pipe in production system	sion griffiths [Administrator]	sion griffiths [Administrator]	↑	DONE	Done	16/Mar/16	21/Mar/16	
	VPM-29	Option to create graph for duplicate attribute appears occasionally	sion griffiths [Administrator]	sion griffiths [Administrator]	↑	DONE	Done	14/Mar/16	18/Mar/16	
	VPM-26	Ajax replace is replacing div used to target further replacements resulting in failure	sion griffiths [Administrator]	sion griffiths [Administrator]	↑	DONE	Done	12/Mar/16	12/Mar/16	
	VPM-24	Adding a tag containing a space results in display and/or db commit issues	sion griffiths [Administrator]	sion griffiths [Administrator]	↑	DONE	Done	11/Mar/16	12/Mar/16	
	VPM-23	Adding tag with same text to the same plant day causes exception	sion griffiths [Administrator]	sion griffiths [Administrator]	↑	DONE	Done	10/Mar/16	10/Mar/16	









Figure 2.6: A sample of bugs raised in Jira

Another helpful feature was the integration with the version control repository hosted on Github. Referencing the issue ID in Jira in a commit message linked the commits with the issue within Jira. This provided a handy way to track development against particular issues over time and allowed a quick way to navigate between the issues in Jira and the commits on Github.

VPM-41: 8 unique commits

MajorProject

Show all files

Author	Commit	Message	Date	Files
	20a3fe1	VPM-41 - Experiment admin updates, multiple experiment delete and enrich	04/Apr/16	27 files
	8f58349	VPM-41 - Pagination and experiment switching	04/Apr/16	4 files
	bfc5b1a	VPM-41 - Pagination on details page	04/Apr/16	5 files
	8e9c237	VPM-41 - Update to pagination - add pagesize select, tidy some scripts	04/Apr/16	7 files
	767362f	VPM-41 - Update to pagination and some more error handling	01/Apr/16	3 files
	b3f8865	VPM-41 - Update to pagination and some more error handling	01/Apr/16	9 files
	55e3bd1	VPM-41 - Testing pagination	01/Apr/16	6 files
	7057933	VPM-41 - Testing pagination	01/Apr/16	9 files

Close

Figure 2.7: The version control commit tracking within Jira

There are a vast array of alternatives that could have been used for issue tracking within the project, many provide the full array of features that were used in Jira during the development of this project. However, Jira being the industry leader, provided an opportunity to gain further valuable experience of its use in a day to day, agile development project. Having previously been involved in the running of a Jira system during my time in industry provided me with familiarisation in configuring a project for my needs and confidence in being able to do so quickly. This was enough to chose Jira over the alternatives that were evaluated such as Waffle.io and the native issue tracking

feature provided with Github.

2.6.4 Codeship

Codeship [10] is a web based Continuous Integration(CI) service. Working in conjunction with the version control repository, Codeship will detect up any commits made to the repository hosted on Github and execute build and test scripts defined as part of the initial setup of the CI service. Use of a CI system within the project provided assurance that each incremental change made to the system integrated correctly and that all tests continued to pass. A notification would be sent in the event of build or test failure.

The build script for the project can be seen in figure 2.8 showing how the project databases are setup and the environment is configured prior to executing the project build and test commands.

The scripts are invoked within small Docker [7] based environments which allow build dependencies to be modularised and configured quickly. The initial integration of the CI system into the project environment was extremely simple, linking the Github repository for the project was a couple of mouse clicks and the script below is the entirety of the extra configuration required to get the CI system fully up and running. It was because of this speed and simplicity of configuration that Codeship was chosen over rival offerings such as TravisCI [6] which appeared to have a much more complex initial setup during evaluation.

Setup Commands

```
mysql -u $MYSQL_USER -p$MYSQL_PASSWORD -e "CREATE DATABASE nppcviz"
mysql -u $MYSQL_USER -p$MYSQL_PASSWORD -e "CREATE DATABASE nppcvistest"
mysql -u $MYSQL_USER -p$MYSQL_PASSWORD -e "CREATE USER 'nppc_user'@'localhost' IDENTIFIED BY 'nppc_pass';"
mysql -u $MYSQL_USER -p$MYSQL_PASSWORD -e "GRANT ALL PRIVILEGES ON *.* to 'nppc_user'@'localhost';"
jdk_switcher home oraclejdk7
jdk_switcher use oraclejdk7
cd NppcDataVisualiser
mvn clean package
```

Figure 2.8: The project build script on Codeship

SionGriffiths/MajorProject: VPM-32 - Add null checks to display attrib fragment	3fff8ae3	master	0 min 38 sec	16/03/2016 11:31	SUCCESS	↻
SionGriffiths/MajorProject: VPM-32 - Add absolutely key class that I forgot to stage for commit	80294293	master	1 min 14 sec	16/03/2016 11:15	FAILED	↻
SionGriffiths/MajorProject: VPM-32 - Prototype data import system	0f2150fc	master	0 min 27 sec	16/03/2016 11:09	FAILED	↻
SionGriffiths/MajorProject: Undo commit different experiment root, back to good old O7	bfa5f7c3	master	0 min 42 sec	15/03/2016 13:10	SUCCESS	↻
SionGriffiths/MajorProject: Adding data	33e5afa2	master	0 min 37 sec	15/03/2016 13:04	SUCCESS	↻

Figure 2.9: A sample of the build history in Codeship

2.6.5 Plotly

Plotly is an open

[5]

Graphs

Select X axis attribute :

genotype

Select Y axis attribute :

Height 10/02/16

☒ Scatter ☐ Box

Swap axis

Create Graph




Figure 2.10: Project graph page featuring a Plotly.js generated graph

2.7 Some detailed design

2.7.1 Even more detail

2.8 User Interface

2.9 Other relevant sections

Chapter 3

Implementation

The implementation should look at any issues you encountered as you tried to implement your design. During the work, you might have found that elements of your design were unnecessary or overly complex; perhaps third party libraries were available that simplified some of the functions that you intended to implement. If things were easier in some areas, then how did you adapt your project to take account of your findings?

It is more likely that things were more complex than you first thought. In particular, were there any problems or difficulties that you found during implementation that you had to address? Did such problems simply delay you or were they more significant?

You can conclude this section by reviewing the end of the implementation stage against the planned requirements.

3.1 Stuff

Model plant domain DB building Show plants in page, Data reading and routing via annotated csv
Ajax submission of forms -¿ Graphing

Chapter 4

Testing

4.1 Overall Approach to Testing

The overall approach to testing was to have high test coverage of system features and functionality and to automate these tests wherever it was feasible to do so. Automated tests would run often as part of the normal development workflow and provide continuous assurance of functionality and system environments. Where automation was impractical, alternative approaches were taken to ensure that the system was fully tested in a robust manner.

4.2 Automated Testing

For the purposes of automated testing, a separate database was used. The database would be completely recreated for the start of each run of the test suite and dropped at the end. Prior to the tests running, the database would be seeded with test data that is similar to the real world data expected in the production database. By using this method the tests would more closely mirror the real world behaviours of the system and each run could be insulated from the data changes made in previous runs.

A Continuous Integration(CI) system was used in order to facilitate the convenient and regular running of all automated tests in the project. The CI system would build the project from source each time a commit was made into the version control repository. As part of this build process the full test suite would be run. Any issues encountered during this process, from compilation errors to test failures, would result in the build being rejected by the CI system. In the event of a rejected build, the CI system would notify via email of the build failure. This feature turned out to be invaluable since it highlighted a configuration issue that did not affect my local development environment but would have affected the server the project is hosted on. Because the tests were automated and I was notified of a failure, I saved what likely would have been a significant amount of debugging time at the next release of the project to the server. Time was also saved since the full test suite didn't need to be run locally at development time, single tests could be run and the full test and integration suite would be invoked on commit to the version control repository.

Figure 4.1 shows the test results page for the automated tests as generated by IntelliJ when the full test suite is executed locally. Certain tabs within the page are expanded for display purposes.

All in NppcDataVisualiser: 78 total, 78 passed			2.18 s
			Collapse Expand
AdminPageControllerTest			538 ms
AdminPageControllerTest.testShowAdminAuthorised	passed		496 ms
AdminPageControllerTest.testAdminLogout	passed		23 ms
AdminPageControllerTest.testRedirectToLoginIfNotAuthorised	passed		7 ms
AdminPageControllerTest.testShowAdminLogin	passed		12 ms
ErrorPageControllerTest			21 ms
GraphPageControllerTest			263 ms
HomePageControllerWebTest			27 ms
HomePageControllerWebTest.testRedirectToPlantsPage	passed		8 ms
HomePageControllerWebTest.testShowHome	passed		19 ms
PlantPageControllerTest			743 ms
PlantPageControllerTest.testAddPlantAttribute	passed		43 ms
PlantPageControllerTest.testPlantPagePaginationPageSize	passed		95 ms
PlantPageControllerTest.testPlantPagePaginationPage	passed		142 ms
PlantPageControllerTest.testPlantDetailsPagePaginationPage	passed		149 ms
PlantPageControllerTest.testPlantDetailsPagePaginationPageSize	passed		124 ms
PlantPageControllerTest.testShowPlantDetail	passed		34 ms
PlantPageControllerTest.testShowPlantsNoExperiment	passed		18 ms
PlantPageControllerTest.testTagPlantDay	passed		43 ms
PlantPageControllerTest.testPlantNotFound	passed		11 ms
PlantPageControllerTest.testTagPlant	passed		20 ms
PlantPageControllerTest.testShowPlants	passed		41 ms
PlantPageControllerTest.testBadPaginationParams	passed		10 ms
PlantPageControllerTest.testAddAttribToPlantDay	passed		13 ms
TagPageControllerTest			76 ms
ExperimentDataImportServiceTest			47 ms
GraphingManagerTest			33 ms
MetaDataManagerImplTest			85 ms
TagManagerImplTest			42 ms
ExperimentManagerTest			45 ms
PlantDayManagerTest			68 ms
PlantImageManagerTest			13 ms
PlantManagerTest			177 ms

Generated by IntelliJ IDEA on 15/04/16 13:24

Figure 4.1: Automated test result page generated by IntelliJ

4.2.1 Unit Tests

When implementing most of the service layer classes for the system a TDD approach was employed in order to ensure high test coverage of the parts of the system which incorporate the business logic. Using TDD helped evolve the design of these service classes by ensuring that nothing was built in a way that was difficult or convoluted to test. Tests are implemented on a method by method basis for the most part, that is, each method in a service will have its own unit test to ensure functionality.

A simple example is shown in listing 4.1 detailing a test for the tags reset functionality in the PlantManager service class that is invoked as part of deleting the data associated with an experiment.

```
1      @Test
2      public void resetTagsForExperiment() {
3          Long id = 10L;
4          Plant plant = plantManager.getPlantByID(id);
5          Experiment experiment = plant.getExperiment();
6
7          assertEquals("Expected number of tags to be 2", 2 ,
8                      plant.getTags().size());
9
10         plantManager.resetTagsForExperiment(experiment);
11         assertEquals("Expected number of tags to be 0", 0 ,
12                     plant.getTags().size());
13     }
```

Listing 4.1: Unit test for the PlantManager service

Most of the classes not covered by unit testing are tested via integration testing. The overall coverage for automated tests in the system is 79 % of all lines written in Java.

4.2.2 Integration Testing

Integration testing for this project was achieved primarily through testing of the MVC controller classes. The goal behind these tests was to make requests to the various available routes within the system and verify that the correct results are returned. Being a web based system, all functionality is in some way linked to a request mapping or route in a controller class. Testing these routes provides a convenient method to ensure the distinct layers and components that make up the system are working as intended and the interactions between them are as expected.

Integration tests for this project take advantage of features provided by the Spring framework in order to simplify the configuration of the tests and the mocking of certain aspects of the system, such as the application context in which the tests are running. These mocked dependencies and use of the same static data and database each run ensure that results can be verified consistently.

The example test in listing 4.2 shows how a `mockMvc` object is used to simulate the web application context and perform requests against the application, in this case a HTTP GET to the path `/plants` which should return the plants page. The HTTP session object can be managed as part of the tests and injected into individual requests to ensure compatibility with real world usage. Following the HTTP request the results can be verified, in the case of the example test the HTTP status is checked to ensure that the server returned status code 200 (Ok). The content of the response is verified then finally, a check against the `view()` method is made to ensure that the correct page has been returned as a result of the request.

```
1      @Test
2      public void testShowPlants() throws Exception {
3          String testBarCode = "bc1";
4          this.mockMvc.perform(get("/plants").sessionAttrs(sessionattr))
5                      .andDo(print())
6                      .andExpect(status().isOk())
7                      .andExpect(content().string(containsString(testBarCode)))
```

```
8         .andExpect (view().name ("plants/show")) ;  
9     }
```

Listing 4.2: Simple integration test example

A similar approach is adopted for all integration tests throughout the system. For each tested route, the request is simulated and results verified in much the same way as in the example test. In more complex tests or those testing functionality which require more robust verification there are extra steps taken such as asserting the existence of certain page model attributes or objects being passed to the front end views.

4.2.3 Stress and Performance Testing

Performance and stress testing was carried out through the use of Apache Jmeter [1], an open source Java application built to measure site and application performance under controlled loads. Jmeter enables the simulation of a number of concurrent users accessing a given site, these simulated agents follow a defined sequence of actions as specified in the test script. Unless otherwise stated the tests run with ten concurrent agents and the tests are repeated thirty times in order to smooth out any outliers in the data.

The tests were all carried out against the project hosted on the remote server provided by Ibers. The machine used to run the Jmeter scripts is a powerful desktop machine using a recent generation of Intel i7 processor featuring 4 cores and able to process 8 concurrent threads. It is necessary that the test machine be connected to the Aberystwyth University VPN in order to reach the target server although the impact of the extra overhead appears minimal and is considered for the sake of comparing results. Although the ten concurrent users may seem low, the throughput on average is over 100 requests per second when run from the test machine which is significantly more than ten real users would be able to generate.

For the purposes of this project Jmeter was used to assess whether pages in the site would load within defined time limits and whether implementation decisions have an adverse effect on performance. In general the goal was to have pages served within 300ms with a hard limit of 1000ms, or one second, although this does not include image load times. A target of 300ms is well under the 1 second limit for keeping a users flow of though as identified as part of a study conducted by Nielsen [15]. Running the tests regularly could also help highlight issues that may not be uncovered under other forms of testing such as intermittent problems that could result in request errors that would be difficult to reproduce otherwise.

General results as output by Jmeter are included in figure 4.2 for an experiment which has been initialised with data. The initialisation is an important distinction because the amount of experiment data significantly affects the initial page response time for the Graphs page, other pages are affected somewhat but to a much lesser degree. Figure 4.3 displays the results of running the same test without the data having been added to the experiment and it's clear to see the effect on the load time for the Graphs page. Having these results available during development informed some of the design choices within the Graph page such as having the graphs themselves and any plant objects loaded via Ajax following user interaction as opposed to being populated into the page on load.




Figure 4.2: Visulisation of Jmeter test result of a fully initialised experiment




Figure 4.3: Visulisation of Jmeter test result of a partially initialised experiment

The effect of choosing pagination defaults for the plants and plant detail pages could also be measured although in the case of pagination the real limiting factor is the bandwidth and render time required. However, the effect on request time and loading on the server could be seen and monitored for any potential issues. In an experiment with many plants or a large amount of data the response time would increase significantly with page sizes of over 50 or so plants but no other adverse affects were noticed on the system even with a significant number of requests.

4.3 Manual Testing

For areas of the system where automated testing was impractical or insufficient to verify results, a manual approach was taken and test tables used to verify functionality is as expected.

4.3.1 Admin Page Test Table

Much of the functionality on the Admin page relies on an active network connection to the NPPC data repository and as such is unsuitable for automated testing. There was no feasible way to establish a connection between the continuous integration server and the NPPC data repository therefore the manual verification of functionality is necessary.

Test	Input	Expected Output	Pass
Attempt to access admin area without login	Go to /admin without login	Redirected to administrator login page	✓
Attempt to access admin area with correct login	Go to /admin with login	Admin is page is displayed	✓
Attempt admin login with incorrect credentials	Submit admin login form with incorrect credentials	Error displayed to user.	✓
Admin log out	Click logout button from admin page	Redirect to home page and authorisation cleared from session	✓
Initialise Experiment	Click initialise button for uninitialised experiment	Experiment begins initialising - plants are created	✓
Update experiment	Click Update button on initialised experiment	Experiment begins update, plants are updated or created	✓
Import data with valid csv	Click Init Data button on initialised experiment	Data is imported from csv	✓
Import data with invalid csv	Click Init Data button on initialised experiment	Invalid csv data is ignored	✓
Delete data	Click delete data on experiment	Data is deleted from the experiment	✓
Delete plants	Click delete plants button on experiment	Plant data and images are deleted	✓

Table 4.1: Test Table for Admin page functionality

4.3.2 Graph Page Test Table

Although most of the functionality within the Graph page is verified via automated testing, certain aspects require visual verification and as such a manual approach is taken to verify functionality within the page.

Test	Input	Expected Output	Pass
Test view graphs with no experiment	Go to /graphs with no selected experiment	No data' page is show with back button	✓
Test view graphs with experiment that has no data	Go to /graphs with experiment in session that has no data	No data' page is show with back button	✓
Test view graphs with experiment that has data	Go to /graphs with experiment in session that has data	Graph page is shown with graph creation options	✓
Test create graph	Click create graph button on /graphs page	A graph is displayed in the page with selected axis attributes	✓
Test box plot	Select 'Box' and create graph	Nodes in the graph are represented as box plots	✓
Test scatter plot	Select 'Scatter' and create graph	Nodes in the graph are represented as scatter plot	✓
Test swap axis	Click swap axis button	Selected axis attributes are swapped, x value becomes y value and vice versa	✓
Test plant results on graph node click	Click on or near a node in the graph	A clickable list of plants corresponding to the values of the clicked node appear in the page	✓
Test click result plant	Click on a plant link generated as result of clicking on a graph node	User is redirected to the detail page for the clicked plant link	✓

Table 4.2: Test Table for Graph page functionality

4.4 User Testing

When development was near complete a small sample of volunteer test users were recruited to use the system and give feedback on usability and the system in general. An online form was provided with a number of questions and a section for general feedback the responses to which can be found in Appendix

Following the user testing, a number of changes were implemented according to the feedback given. Namely, adding pagination controls to the bottom of the plants and plant detail pages for more convenient page navigation and fixing an overlooked issue on the plant detail graph page. If a plant has no attributes recorded against individual plant days then the page should make the user aware that graph generation is not possible and provide a means to return to the previous page. Prior to user testing the page was confusing and mostly blank in the event that no graphable data was available.

Chapter 5

Evaluation

Examiners expect to find in your dissertation a section addressing such questions as:

- Were the requirements correctly identified?
- Were the design decisions correct?
- Could a more suitable set of tools have been chosen?
- How well did the software meet the needs of those who were expecting to use it?
- How well were any other project aims achieved?
- If you were starting again, what would you do differently?

Such material is regarded as an important part of the dissertation; it should demonstrate that you are capable not only of carrying out a piece of work but also of thinking critically about how you did it and how you might have done it better. This is seen as an important part of an honours degree.

There will be good things and room for improvement with any project. As you write this section, identify and discuss the parts of the work that went well and also consider ways in which the work could be improved.

Review the discussion on the Evaluation section from the lectures. A recording is available on Blackboard.

Appendices

Appendix A

Third-Party Code and Libraries

If you have made use of any third party code or software libraries, i.e. any code that you have not designed and written yourself, then you must include this appendix.

As has been said in lectures, it is acceptable and likely that you will make use of third-party code and software libraries. The key requirement is that we understand what is your original work and what work is based on that of other people.

Therefore, you need to clearly state what you have used and where the original material can be found. Also, if you have made any changes to the original versions, you must explain what you have changed.

As an example, you might include a definition such as:

Apache POI library The project has been used to read and write Microsoft Excel files (XLS) as part of the interaction with the clients existing system for processing data. Version 3.10-FINAL was used. The library is open source and it is available from the Apache Software Foundation [?]. The library is released using the Apache License [?]. This library was used without modification.

Appendix B

Ethics Submission

This appendix includes a copy of the ethics submission for the project. After you have completed your Ethics submission, you will receive a PDF with a summary of the comments. That document should be embedded in this report, either as images, an embedded PDF or as copied text. The content should also include the Ethics Application Number that you receive.

Appendix C

Code Examples

3.1 Random Number Generator

The Bayes Durham Shuffle ensures that the psuedo random numbers used in the simulation are further shuffled, ensuring minimal correlation between subsequent random outputs [?].

Annotated Bibliography

- [1] "Apache JMeter - Apache JMeter." [Online]. Available: <http://jmeter.apache.org/>

An open-source Java based performance and load testing tool originally designed for web applications.

- [2] "Git." [Online]. Available: <https://git-scm.com/>

Git version control system page

- [3] "Hibernate ORM - Hibernate ORM." [Online]. Available: <http://hibernate.org/orm/>

Hibernate object relational mapping system

- [4] "IntelliJ IDEA the Java IDE." [Online]. Available: <https://www.jetbrains.com/idea/>

The IntelliJ Java IDE

- [5] "plotly." [Online]. Available: <https://plot.ly/javascript/>

Plotly.js, an opensource javascript charting library

- [6] "Travis CI User Documentation." [Online]. Available: <https://docs.travis-ci.com/>

Travis continuous integration documentation

- [7] "What is Docker?" May 2015. [Online]. Available: <https://www.docker.com/what-docker>

Docker continuous integration system overview

- [8] Atlassian, "JIRA Software - Issue & Project Tracking for Software Teams." [Online]. Available: <https://www.atlassian.com/software/jira>

Homepage for the Jira issue tracking system

- [9] R. Boyle, F. Corke, and C. Howarth, "Image-based estimation of oat panicle development using local texture patterns," *Functional Plant Biology*, vol. 42, no. 5, p. 433, 2015. [Online]. Available: <http://www.publish.csiro.au/?paper=FP14056>

Paper detailing a technique used to detect oat panicles via computer vision techniques. Development of panicles can be directly correlated with certain growth stage (around GS55) in oats

- [10] "Codeship," Codeship Inc. [Online]. Available: <https://codeship.com/>

A continuous integration tool which can hook into other online resources such as GitHub

- [11] “Build software better, together,” GitHub, Inc. [Online]. Available: <https://github.com>

An online Git repository hosting service

- [12] D. Kendal, C. E. Hauser, G. E. Garrard, S. Jellinek, K. M. Giljohann, and J. L. Moore, “Quantifying Plant Colour and Colour Difference as Perceived by Humans Using Digital Images,” *PLoS ONE*, vol. 8, no. 8, p. e72296, Aug. 2013. [Online]. Available: <http://dx.doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0072296>

Paper detailing how a humans perception of colour in images of plants can affect judgements made about these images.

- [13] M. N. Merzlyak, A. A. Gitelson, O. B. Chivkunova, and V. Y. Rakitin, “Non-destructive optical detection of pigment changes during leaf senescence and fruit ripening,” *Physiologia Plantarum*, vol. 106, no. 1, pp. 135–141, May 1999. [Online]. Available: <http://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/10.1034/j.1399-3054.1999.106119.x/abstract>

Paper detailing senescence detection in plant images by analysis of colour

- [14] “National Plant Phenomics Centre,” National Plant Phenomics Centre. [Online]. Available: <http://www.plant-phenomics.ac.uk/en>

National Plant Phenomics Centre

- [15] J. Nielsen, “Response times: the three important limits,” 1994.

Article discussing tollerable wait times for web page loads

- [16] J. R. Quinlan, “Induction of Decision Trees,” *Mach Learn*, vol. 1, no. 1, pp. 81–106, Mar. 1986. [Online]. Available: <http://link.springer.com/article/10.1023/A%3A1022643204877>

Paper detailing the ID3 decision tree algorithm

- [17] J. M. Tanner, R. H. Whitehouse, W. A. Marshall, M. J. R. Healty, and H. Goldstein, “Assessment of Skeleton Maturity and Maturity and Prediction of Adult Height (TW2 Method),” 1975. [Online]. Available: <http://core.tdar.org/document/125299>

Paper detailing the atlas approach used in this instance to predict adult height in human’s from skeletal features in children

- [18] G. W. Williams, “Comparing the Joint Agreement of Several Raters with Another Rater,” *Biometrics*, vol. 32, no. 3, pp. 619–627, 1976. [Online]. Available: <http://www.jstor.org/stable/2529750>

A paper describing the comparison of expert opinion with that of other experts or a group of experts

- [19] J. C. Zadoks, T. T. Chang, C. F. Konzak, and others, “A decimal code for the growth stages of cereals,” *Weed res*, vol. 14, no. 6, pp. 415–421, 1974. [Online]. Available: http://old.ibpdev.net/sites/default/files/zadoks_scale_1974.pdf

Paper detailing the decimal growth stages of cereal plants