

# Exception handling

# how to deal with errors at run time

- return 0 or 1 or infinity?
- ignore?
- abort?
- return error flag?

none of these is ideal

- when returning 0 or 1 how to make sure the program continues meaningfully?
- error flags are easily ignored by users
- [abort](#) : should unexpected errors lead to a loss of the whole calculation?

C++ offers the [exception class](#) as a solution

- the library encounters an exception but does not know how to deal with it
- it [throws](#) an exception
- the calling program might be able to deal with an exception
- the calling program [catches](#) the exception
- uncaught exceptions lead to the *termination* of the program
- does not help for segmentation faults or other (dumb) pointer-based errors

# try...throw...catch...

The syntax for error handling is the try...throw...catch... block

```
#include <iostream>
using namespace std;

int main () {
    try
    {
        throw 20;           // error nr 20
    }
    catch (int e)
    {
        cout << "An exception occurred. Exception Nr. " << e << '\n';
    }
    return 0;
}
```

Errors of different type can be caught as follows:

```
try {
    // comment any of the lines below and compare the output
    throw 'a';
    throw 5;
    throw 5.0;
    cout << "This line will not be executed\n";
}
catch (int param) { cout << "int exception"; }
catch (char param) { cout << "char exception"; }
catch (...) { cout << "default exception"; }
```

# try...throw...catch...

Nesting is also allowed:

```
try {  
    try {  
        throw 20;  
    }  
    catch (int n) {  
        throw; ← throws the exception again  
    }  
}  
catch (...) {  
    cout << "Exception occurred";  
}
```

The inner catch block can throw an exception which is then caught by the outer catch block

Throwing an exception interrupts the normal execution

- the stack is unwound, functions are exited, local objects are destroyed
- only objects that are fully constructed are destroyed, otherwise its destructor is not called
- until a **catch** clause is found

# exception class

The C++ exception class looks like this:

```
class exception {  
public:  
    exception () noexcept;  
    exception (const exception&) noexcept;  
    exception& operator= (const exception&) noexcept;  
    virtual ~exception();  
    virtual const char* what() const noexcept;  
}
```

**C++11**

the `noexcept` at the end guarantees that these functions never throw exceptions (in C++98 this is `throw()`). Let us look at an example how to use it:

# standard exception class

it contains a virtual member function `what()` that can be overwritten in derived classes to provide information on the type of error. It returns a `const char*`

```
// using standard exceptions      -- C++11
#include <iostream>
#include <exception>
using namespace std;

class myexception: public exception
{
    virtual const char* what() const noexcept
    {
        return "My exception happened";
    }
} myex;

int main () {
    try
    {
        throw myex;
    }
    catch (exception& e)
    {
        cout << e.what() << '\n';
    }
    return 0;
}
```

Through the ampersand (&) we also catch exceptions of types derived from exception such as myexception

# standard exception

Let us see how inheritance, polymorphism, and slicing (when invoking the copy constructor) are useful for exception handling:

```
// exception constructor
#include <iostream>          // std::cout
#include <exception>         // std::exception

struct oops : std::exception {
    const char* what() const noexcept {return "Oops!\n";}
};

int main () {
    oops e;
    std::exception* p = &e;
    try {
        throw e;          // throwing copy-constructs: oops(e)
    } catch (std::exception& ex) {
        std::cout << ex.what();
    }
    try {
        throw *p;          // throwing copy-constructs: std::exception(*p)
    } catch (std::exception& ex) {
        std::cout << ex.what();
    }
    return 0;
}
```

**C++11**

What is the output of this program?

# standard exception

The standard library provides a base class `std::exception` defined in the header `<exception>`. It has several derived types

<http://www.cplusplus.com/reference/exception/exception/>

- `bad_alloc` : thrown by `new` on allocation failure
- `bad_cast` : thrown by `dynamic_cast` (see `ex13.cpp`)
- `bad_exception` : thrown by certain dynamic exception specifiers
- `bad_typeid` : thrown by `typeid`
- `bad_function_call` : thrown by empty function objects (C++11)
- `bad_weak_ptr` : thrown by `shared_ptr` when passed a bad `weak_ptr` (C++11)
- `logic_error` : an error related to the internal logic of the program (`domain_error`, `out_of_range`, `length_error` are members)
- `runtime_error` : errors detected during runtime (`overflow_error`, `underflow_error`, and `range_error` are members)

The last two can be inherited by custom exceptions to report errors:

```
// bad_alloc standard exception
#include <iostream>
#include <exception>
using namespace std;

int main () {
    try
    {
        int* myarray= new int[1000];
    }
    catch (exception& e)
    {
        cout << "Standard exception: " << e.what() << endl;
    }
    return 0;
}
```



# example: domain\_error

example: the square root of a function is only defined for non-negative numbers. Thus, a negative number for such a function would qualify as a domain error

```
class domain_error : public logic_error {  
public:  
    explicit domain_error (const string& what_arg);  
    explicit domain_error (const char* what_arg);  
};
```

constructor: the value as what\_arg has the same content as the value returned by member `what`

The class inherits the `what` member from `logic_error`

Exception safety:

strong guarantee: if the constructor throws an exception, there are no side effects

# standard exception

- `bool std::uncaught_exception()` detects if the current thread has a live exception object, ie if stack unwinding is in progress
- `std::terminate()` is called when exception handling fails. The program terminates
- `std::unexpected()` is called when an exception is thrown from a function whose exception specification forbids exceptions of this type; will most likely result in `std::terminate()`

Dynamic exception specification:

- `void f() throw(int);` // guarantees to throw only an int; otherwise will result in `std::unexpected()` — C++98
- `void f() throw;` // guarantees to throw no exceptions, all errors result in `std::unexpected()` — C++98
- `void f();` // normal exception handling
- as of C++11: `void f() noexcept;` and `void f() noexcept(false);`

# implications

One has to assume that exceptions can occur at any time in the code. As an example let us look at the following code. Is it safe?

```
void SimpleFunction() {  
    int* myArray = new int[1000];  
    Some_operation(myArray);  
    delete [] myArray;  
}
```

In case Some\_operation throws an exception we have a memory leak

- do not use exception handling (eg, Mozilla firefox web browser)
- catch-and-rethrow
- object memory management

```
void SimpleFunction() {  
    int* myArray = new int[1000];  
    try {  
        Some_operation(myArray);  
    }  
    catch (...) {  
        delete [] myArray;  
        throw;  
    }  
    delete [] myArray;  
}
```

This is what the catch-and-rethrow approach might look like. The lone `throw` rethrows the caught exception. We need to be inside the `catch` clause of Some\_operation, otherwise the program will crash. We have to duplicate the `delete []` which looks like bad style

# smart pointers

C++11

How to make sure that we clean up all memory?

Answer: [smart pointers](#), a kind of encapsulated pointers that properly clean up the resource when destroyed. There exist different types: [shared\\_ptr](#), [weak\\_ptr](#), [unique\\_ptr](#) etc. The concept of smart pointers existed long before C++11:

in the header file [<memory>](#) there is the templated [auto\\_ptr](#). It accepts in its constructor a pointer to dynamically allocated memory and calls [delete](#) (not [delete \[\]](#), so you cannot store dynamically allocated arrays in [auto\\_ptr](#)). Through operator overloading you can use dereferencing and arrow operations as though it were an ordinary pointer. However, the syntax of [auto\\_ptr](#) was essentially broken. [auto\\_ptr](#) should never be used. We will focus here on [unique\\_ptr](#).

```
unique_ptr<vector<int> > pVector(new vector<int>);
pVector->push_back(100); // Add 100
(*pVector)[0] = 200; // Dereferencing works as usual
```

A unique pointer differs fundamentally in assignment and initialization from ordinary pointers

```
unique_ptr<int> one(new int);
*one = 1;
unique_ptr<int> two;
two = std::move(one);
cout << "one : " << (one == nullptr ? "nullptr" : "1") << " two : " << *two << "\n";
```

After these lines, *two* contains what *one* originally contained whereas *one* is [nullptr](#). Using *one* from now on would lead to trouble

# smart pointers

- there can be at most one `unique_ptr` to a resource
- you don't have to worry about clean-up
- it is safe to return `unique_ptr` from functions without clean-up (see below and `ex9.cpp`)
- corresponds to *move semantics* (see chapter on C++11)

member functions:

- (constructor)
- (destructor)
- `get()`
- `operator*` (dereferencing)
- `operator->`
- `reset`
- `release`
- `swap`
- `operator=(T&& rhs)`

[http://www.cplusplus.com/reference/memory/unique\\_ptr/](http://www.cplusplus.com/reference/memory/unique_ptr/)

example : see `ex6.cpp`

having objects manage resources through their constructors and destructors is commonly referred to as *resource acquisition is initialization* (RAII)

# smart pointers and exception handling

Smart pointers are invaluable in combination with exception handling. Consider

```
class mylist {  
public:  
    int element;  
    mylist *next;  
};
```

```
mylist m;  
mylist* m2 = GetNewList();  
cout << m2->element << "\n";
```

In the code below, a memory leak would occur if Somecalculation() produces an exception:

```
mylist* GetNewList() {  
    mylist* newlist = new mylist;  
    newlist->next = nullptr;  
    newlist->element = SomeCalculation();  
    return newlist;  
}  
  
delete m2;
```

By using a smart pointer this problem can be solved because local variables are unwound from the stack when an exception is caught:

```
mylist* GetNewList_better() {  
    unique_ptr<mylist> newlist(new mylist);  
    newlist->next = nullptr;  
    newlist->element = SomeCalculation();  
    return newlist.release();  
}
```

# smart pointers and exception handling

However, when calling `GetNewList_better()` without left-hand-side a memory leak would still occur because the caller does not clean up the memory. But this problem can also be eliminated:

```
unique_ptr<mylist> GetNewList_evenbetter() {  
    unique_ptr<mylist> newlist(new mylist);  
    newlist->next = nullptr;  
    newlist->element = SomeCalculation();  
    return newlist;  
}
```

For *runtime logical errors* we recall `assert` defined in `<assert.h>`. It is better suited for coding bugs. It slows code down, but most compilers disable it in release or optimized builds. Example:

```
int operation_on_even_int(const int n) {  
    assert(!(n%2));  
    return n/2;  
}
```

Invariants can (should) always be checked like this.



# exceptions vs function call

Although at first it may seem that `throw catch` blocks and function calls behave in a similar way, there are notable differences:

- in both cases pass by value, pointer and reference are legal syntax; however, as we will see below, `exceptions should be passed by reference` only
- after a function call control is given back to the caller; after a `catch` this is not the case
- *an exception must always be copied* (even if called by reference or if a static variable is called by reference): *a local object is needed*, it is not possible for a catch clause to modify the object.
- if a catch clause is encountered for a parent class but called with an argument from a derived class, it will be evaluated (cf `runtime_error` and `range_error`). In case a `range_error` is `thrown` and a `catch` clause for a `runtime_error` is provided, the `catch` clause will be evaluated
- `catch` clauses are evaluated in the order of appearance; ie if a `catch` specification for a derived class appears after the one for a parent class, it will never be evaluated.



# Constructors

Prevent resource leaks in constructors. If a class constructor calls multiple constructors of dynamic data (via `new`) and an exception occurs during one of them, then the class destructor is not called, resulting in a resource leak.

Safe syntax looks like this:

```
class Simulation {  
public:  
    Simulation(const string&, const Model&, const Lattice&); // constructor  
    /* more public member functions */  
private:  
    std::string MyName;  
    unique_ptr<Model> MyModel; // model  
    unique_ptr<Lattice> MyLattice; // lattice  
};  
  
Simulation::Simulation(const string& name, const Model& m, const Lattice& latt) : MyName(name), MyModel(new Model(m)), MyLattice(new Lattice(latt)) {}
```

If an exception occurs during initialization of `MyLattice`, `MyModel` is already a fully constructed object and will be destroyed, just like `MyName`. In addition, they are full objects and will automatically be destroyed when the `Simulation` object containing them is. So nothing needs to be written in the destructor of `Simulation`.

Compare this to the same code where bare pointers are used.

# Destructors

Prevent resource leaks in destructors. Keep exceptions from propagating out of destructors:

- if an exception occurs before completion of a destructor not all resources are released
- it prevents calling of `std::terminate`

```
Matrix::~~Matrix() {  
    try {  
        logDestruction(this);  
    }  
    catch (...) {}  
    /* more code */  
}
```

Imagine an exception occurs in `logDestruction`. Without `try...catch` the error propagates beyond the destructor and `terminate` will be called. More code is not executed. This can be improved with the `try...catch` block.

In the example above the `catch` block prevents here the propagation beyond the destructor, so it is not doing nothing even with just `{}`!

# Pass exceptions by reference, throw by value

Do not pass exceptions by pointers:

- since the exception must be copied, a (local) pointer will go out of scope before execution and this *makes* hence *no sense*. Static and global pointers could work
- an object on the heap (with `new`) leads to ambiguities that can not be answered: who should delete the resource on the heap?

Do not pass exceptions by value:

- pass by value requires two copies: the exception and the copying of it into the argument
- since copying is based on the *static* type, the expected result of the exception may differ from the intended one in case of class derivations (cf the example before on slide nr 7 on slicing)

```
DerivedClassObject d;  
ParentClassObject& p = d;    // p refers to DerivedClassObject  
throw p;                     // this throws an exception of type ParentClassObject
```

Always pass exceptions by reference:

- only one copy operation (cf ex7.cpp) — you always need a local object!!
- avoids the problems of temporaries
- fixes the problem with inheritance

# why exception handling is slow

- exception handling should be used for a major change in the flow of control only
- while you can use exception handling as a fancy form of function call and return, do not do so (see also before) : the cost of throwing an exception is about 1000 times bigger than a normal function return
- if any part of a program (including a library) uses exceptions, the rest of the program must support them, too. So you cannot avoid it completely
- throwing an exception is much slower than returning a function value because of the copying involved
- bookkeeping of all types that require destruction at any moment of the runtime is required (assuming an error can occur at any time)
- whenever a try block is entered, exceptions for all possible types in the catch clause must be considered (extra bookkeeping)
- runtime comparisons are needed to ensure that exception specifications are satisfied
- this leads to slower code as well as larger files (both around 5-10%) : avoid hence unnecessary try blocks in performance-critical regions of the program.