High-Level Language Interface

Computer Organization and Assembly Languages Yung-Yu Chuang 2005/12/15

with slides by Kip Irvine

Why link ASM and HLL programs?



- Use high-level language for overall project development
 - Relieves programmer from low-level details
- Use assembly language code
 - Speed up critical sections of code
 - Access nonstandard hardware devices
 - Write platform-specific code
 - Extend the HLL's capabilities

Overview



- Why Link ASM and HLL Programs?
- Inline Assembly Code
- Linking to C++ Programs
- Optimizing Your Code

General conventions



- Considerations when calling assembly language procedures from high-level languages:
 - Both must use the same naming convention (rules regarding the naming of variables and procedures)
 - Both must use the same memory model, with compatible segment names
 - Both must use the same calling convention

Calling convention



- Identifies specific registers that must be preserved by procedures
- Determines how arguments are passed to procedures: in registers, on the stack, in shared memory, etc.
- Determines the order in which arguments are passed by calling programs to procedures
- Determines whether arguments are passed by value or by reference
- Determines how the stack pointer is restored after a procedure call
- Determines how functions return values

External identifiers



- An external identifier is a name that has been placed in a module's object file in such a way that the linker can make the name available to other program modules.
- The linker resolves references to external identifiers, but can only do so if the same naming convention is used in all program modules.

Inline assembly code



- Assembly language source code that is inserted directly into a HLL program.
- Compilers such as Microsoft Visual C++ and Borland C++ have compiler-specific directives that identify inline ASM code.
- Efficient inline code executes quickly because CALL and RET instructions are not required.
- Simple to code because there are no external names, memory models, or naming conventions involved.
- Decidedly not portable because it is written for a single platform.

_asm directive in Microsoft Visual C++



- Can be placed at the beginning of a single statement
- Or, It can mark the beginning of a block of assembly language statements
- Syntax:

```
__asm statement
__asm {
    statement-1
    statement-2
    ...
    statement-n
}
```

Commenting styles



All of the following comment styles are acceptable, but the latter two are preferred:

```
mov esi,buf ; initialize index register
mov esi,buf // initialize index register
mov esi,buf /* initialize index register*/
```

You can do the following . . .



- Use any instruction from the Intel instruction set
- Use register names as operands
- Reference function parameters by name
- Reference code labels and variables that were declared outside the asm block
- Use numeric literals that incorporate either assembler-style or C-style radix notation
- Use the PTR operator in statements such as inc BYTE PTR [esi]
- Use the EVEN and ALIGN directives
- Use LENGTH, TYPE, and SIZE directives

You cannot do the following . . .



- Use data definition directives such as DB, DW, or BYTE
- Use assembler operators other than PTR
- Use STRUCT, RECORD, WIDTH, and MASK
- Use macro directives such as MACRO, REPT, IRC, IRP

Register usage



- In general, you can modify EAX, EBX, ECX, and EDX in your inline code because the compiler does not expect these values to be preserved between statements
- Conversely, always save and restore ESI, EDI, and EBP.

File encryption example



- Reads a file, encrypts it, and writes the output to another file.
- The TranslateBuffer function uses an __asm block to define statements that loop through a character array and XOR each character with a predefined value.

File encryption



```
while (!infile.eof())
{
   infile.read(buffer, BUFSIZE );
   count = infile.gcount();
   TranslateBuffer(buffer, count,
   encryptCode);
   outfile.write(buffer, count);
}
```

TranslateBuffer



File encryption



```
while (!infile.eof())
{
   infile.read(buffer, BUFSIZE);
   count = infile.gcount();
   __asm {
     lea esi,buffer
     mov ecx,count
     mov al, encryptChar
   L1:
     xor [esi],al
     inc esi
     Loop L1
} // asm
   outfile.write(buffer, count);
}
```

Linking assembly language to C++



- Basic Structure Two Modules
 - The first module, written in assembly language, contains the external procedure
 - The second module contains the C/C++ code that starts and ends the program
- The C++ module adds the extern qualifier to the external assembly language function prototype.
- The "C" specifier must be included to prevent name decoration by the C++ compiler:

```
extern "C" functionName( parameterList );
```

Optimizing Your Code



- The 90/10 rule: 90% of a program's CPU time is spent executing 10% of the program's code
- We will concentrate on optimizing ASM code for speed of execution
- Loops are the most effective place to optimize code
- Two simple ways to optimize a loop:
 - Move invariant code out of the loop
 - Substitute registers for variables to reduce the number of memory accesses
 - Take advantage of high-level instructions such as XLAT, SCASB, and MOVSD.

Name decoration



Also known as name mangling. HLL compilers do this to uniquely identify overloaded functions. A function such as:

```
int ArraySum( int * p, int count )
```

would be exported as a decorated name that encodes the return type, function name, and parameter types. For example:

```
int_ArraySum_pInt_int
```

The problem with name decoration is that the C++ compiler assumes that your assembly language function's name is decorated. The C++ compiler tells the linker to look for a decorated name.

Loop optimization example



- We will write a short program that calculates and displays the number of elapsed minutes, over a period of *n* days.
- The following variables are used:

```
.data
days DWORD ?
minutesInDay DWORD ?
totalMinutes DWORD ?
str1 BYTE "Daily total minutes: ",0
```

Sample program output



```
Daily total minutes: +1440
Daily total minutes: +2880
Daily total minutes: +4320
Daily total minutes: +5760
Daily total minutes: +7200
Daily total minutes: +8640
Daily total minutes: +10080
Daily total minutes: +11520

.
Daily total minutes: +67680
Daily total minutes: +69120
Daily total minutes: +70560
Daily total minutes: +72000
```

Version 1



```
No optimization.
   mov days,0
   mov totalMinutes,0
L1:
                   ; loop contains 15 instructions
                        ; minutesInDay = 24 * 60
   mov eax,24
   mov ebx,60
   mul ebx
   mov minutesInDay,eax
   mov edx,totalMinutes ; totalMinutes += minutesInDay
   add edx, minutes In Day
   mov totalMinutes,edx
   mov edx, OFFSET str1 ; "Daily total minutes: "
   call WriteString
   mov eax, total Minutes; display total Minutes
   call WriteInt
   call Crlf
                        ; days++
   inc davs
   cmp days,50
                        ; if days < 50,
   ib L1
                        ; repeat the loop
```

Version 2



```
Move calculation of minutesInDay outside the loop, and
assign EDX before the loop. The loop now contains 10
instructions.
  mov days,0
  mov totalMinutes.0
  mov eax,24
                        ; minutesInDay = 24 * 60
  mov ebx,60
  mul ebx
  mov minutesInDay,eax
  mov edx,OFFSET str1 ; "Daily total minutes: "
L1:mov edx, totalMinutes ; totalMinutes += minutesInDay
  add edx, minutes InDay
  mov totalMinutes.edx
  call WriteString
                        ; display str1 (offset in EDX)
  mov eax, total Minutes; display total Minutes
  call WriteInt
  call Crlf
  inc davs
                        ; days++
  cmp days,50
                        ; if days < 50,
   ib L1
                     ; repeat the loop
```

Version 3



```
Move total Minutes to EAX, use EAX throughout loop. Use
constant expresion for minutesInDay calculation. The
loop now contains 7 instructions.
   C minutesInDay = 24 * 60 ; constant expression
   mov days,0
   mov totalMinutes,0
   mov eax, total Minutes
   mov edx, OFFSET str1 ; "Daily total minutes: "
L1:add eax, C minutesInDay; totalMinutes+=minutesInDay
                        ; display strl (offset in EDX)
   call WriteString
   call WriteInt
                        ; display totalMinutes (EAX)
   call Crlf
   inc days
                        ; days++
   cmp days,50
                        ; if days < 50,
                        ; repeat the loop
   mov totalMinutes, eax; update variable
```

Version 4



```
Substitute ECX for the days variable. Remove initial
assignments to days and totalMinutes.
   C minutesInDay = 24 * 60
                             ; constant expression
   mov eax,0
                        ; EAX = totalMinutes
   mov ecx,0
                        ; ECX = days
   mov edx, OFFSET str1 ; "Daily total minutes: "
L1:; loop contains 7 instructions
   add eax, C minutesInDay; totalMinutes+=minutesInDay
   call WriteString
                        ; display str1 (offset in EDX)
   call WriteInt
                        ; display totalMinutes (EAX)
   call Crlf
   inc ecx
                        ; days (ECX)++
   cmp ecx,50
                        ; if days < 50,
                        ; repeat the loop
   ib L1
   mov totalMinutes, eax; update variable
   mov days,ecx
                        ; update variable
```

Using assembly to optimize C++



- Find out how to make your C++ compiler produce an assembly language source listing
 - /FAs command-line option in Visual C++, for example
- Optimize loops for speed
- Use hardware-level I/O for optimum speed
- Use BIOS-level I/O for medium speed

FindArray example



Let's write a C++ function that searches for the first matching integer in an array. The function returns true if the integer is found, and false if it is not:

```
#include "findarr.h"
bool FindArray( long searchVal, long array[],
                long count )
 for(int i = 0; i < count; i++)
    if( searchVal == array[i] )
      return true;
 return false;
```

Code produced by C++ compiler



optimization switch turned off (1 of 3)

```
searchVal$ = 8
array$ = 12
count$ = 16
_{i} = -4
FindArray PROC NEAR
; 29 : {
   push ebp
   mov ebp, esp
; 30 : for(int i = 0; i < count; i++)
   mov DWORD PTR _i$[ebp], 0
   jmp SHORT $L174
$L175:
   mov eax, DWORD PTR _i$[ebp]
   add eax, 1
   mov DWORD PTR _i$[ebp], eax
```

Code produced by C++ compiler



(2 of 3)

```
$L174:
   mov ecx, DWORD PTR _i$[ebp]
   cmp ecx, DWORD PTR _count$[ebp]
   ige SHORT $L176
; 31 : if( searchVal == array[i] )
   mov edx, DWORD PTR _i$[ebp]
   mov eax, DWORD PTR _array$[ebp]
   mov ecx, DWORD PTR _searchVal$[ebp]
   cmp ecx, DWORD PTR [eax+edx*4]
   ine SHORT $L177
; 32 : return true;
   mov al, 1
   jmp SHORT $L172
$L177:
; 33 :
; 34 : return false;
   jmp SHORT $L175
```

Code produced by C++ compiler



(3 of 3)

Hand-coded assembly language



```
true = 1
false = 0
; Stack parameters:
srchVal
          equ [ebp+08]
arrayPtr equ [ebp+12]
count
          equ [ebp+16]
.code
FindArray PROC near
    push ebp
    mov
          ebp,esp
    push edi
                           ; search value
    mov
          eax, srchVal
                           ; number of items
    mov
          ecx, count
          edi, arrayPtr ; pointer to array
```

Hand-coded assembly language



```
repne scasd
                            ; do the search
           returnTrue
                            ; ZF = 1 if found
returnFalse:
           al, false
           short exit
returnTrue:
           al, true
    mov
exit:
           edi
     pop
           ebp
     pop
     ret
FindArray ENDP
```