On rigid circuit graphs

By G. A. DIRAC (Hamburg)

1. Introduction

The graphs considered in this paper may have loops (German: Schlinge) and multiple edges and they may be infinite, except where the contrary is stated. The axiom of choice is assumed throughout.

Definitions 1. If Ω is a circuit and x and y are two distinct vertices of Ω which are not joined by any edge belonging to Ω , then an edge which joins x and y is called a *chord* of Ω . A graph in which every circuit with more than three vertices has at least one chord, is called a *rigid circuit graph*, or ri. eir. graph for short.

All trees and all cliques (see below) are ri. cir. graphs, and so are the interval graphs investigated by G. Hajós [2]. Theorems on ri. cir. graphs have been given by A. Hajnal and J. Surányi [3], and by C. Berge [1].

In the present paper a new characterisation of ri. cir. graphs will be established (Theorem 1) and the results of the above mentioned authors, and some new results, will be simply derived.

Definitions 2. If Γ is a connected graph and \Im is a set of vertices contained in Γ , then \Im is called a *cut-set* of Γ if $\Gamma - \Im$ is disconnected. A cut set \Im is called a *minimal cut-set* of Γ if no proper subset of \Im is a cut-set of Γ , and it is called a *relatively minimal cut-set* of Γ if Γ contains two vertices which are separated by \Im but by no proper subset of \Im .

A graph in which every pair of distinct vertices are connected by at least one edge is called a *clique*. A graph having one vertex is counted as a clique, but the empty graph is not. A clique with μ vertices is called a μ -clique.

2. The properties of rigid circuit graphs

If Γ is a ri. cir. graph and \mathfrak{S} is a proper subset of the set of vertices of Γ , then $\Gamma - \mathfrak{S}$ is a ri. cir. graph. This follows immediately from the definition.

Theorem 1. A graph is a ri. cir. graph if and only if every pair of vertices which belong to the same relatively minimal cut-set are joined by at least one edge.

(In other words, if \Im is a relatively minimal cut-set, then the vertices of \Im are the vertices of a clique.)

72 G. A. Dirac

Proof. First suppose that Γ is a connected ri. cir. graph, that \Im is a relatively minimal cut-set of Γ , and that i and i' are two vertices which belong to \Im and are not joined by an edge. Let a_1 and a_2 be two vertices which are separated by \Im , but by no proper subset of \Im , and let Γ'_1 and Γ'_2 denote the connected components of Γ — \Im to which a_1 and a_2 respectively belong. i is joined by an edge to at least one vertex of Γ'_1 and to at least one vertex of Γ'_2 , and so is i', because no proper subset of \Im separates a_1 and a_2 . For j=1,2, let Y_j be a path with the least number of vertices among the paths which have i and i' as their end-vertices, and whose intermediate vertices all belong to Γ'_j . Y_1 and Y_2 exist because Γ'_1 and Γ'_2 are connected. $Y_1 \cup Y_2$ is then a circuit with at least four vertices, and it has no chord. This contradicts the assumption that Γ is a ri. cir. graph.

Secondly suppose that Γ is a connected graph in which every pair of vertices which belong to the same relatively minimal cut-set are joined by at least one edge, but Γ is not a ri. cir. graph. Let Ω denote a circuit contained in Γ which has more than three vertices, but no chord. Let w_1 and w_2 denote two vertices of Ω which are not joined by any edge belonging to Ω , and let the two paths connecting w_1 and w_2 which together make up Ω be denoted by Y and Y'. w_1 and w_2 are not joined to each other by any edge in Γ , because Ω has no chord. Consequently Γ contains at least one cut-set separating w_1 and w_2 (all vertices which are adjacent to w_1 form such a cut-set, for example). Therefore Γ contains a cut set \Im such that \Im , but no proper subset of \Im , separates w_1 and w_2 . \Im is a relatively minimal cut-set, therefore, by hypothesis, every pair of vertices belonging to \Im are joined by at least one edge. Y and Y' each contain at least one vertex belonging to \Im , because \Im separates w_1 and w_2 , and $(Y \cap \Im)$ $\cap (Y' \cap \mathfrak{F}) = \emptyset$ because $Y \cup Y' = \Omega$. Every edge joining a vertex of $Y \cap \mathfrak{F}$ to a vertex of $Y' \cap \mathfrak{F}$ is however obviously a chord of Ω , and this contradicts the hypothesis that Ω has no chord. Theorem 1 is now proved.

Corollary to Theorem 1. In a ri. cir. graph every pair of vertices belonging to the same minimal cut-set are joined by at least one edge.

For a minimal cut-set is also relatively minimal.

But the converse is not true. A simple counterexample is the graph with the eight vertices $x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4, y_1, y_2, y_3, y_4$, and the eight edges $(x_1, x_2), (x_2, x_3), (x_3, x_4), (x_4, x_1), (x_i, y_i), i = 1, 2, 3, 4$. In this graph every minimal cut-set contains just one vertex, but the graph is not a ri. cir. graph. A generalisation of this counterexample is the graph which contains the $\alpha\beta$ vertices x_{pq} $(p = 1, \ldots, \alpha; q = 1, \ldots, \beta), \alpha \geq 4$, $\beta \geq 2$, and the edges (x_{pq}, x_{pr}) $(p = 1, \ldots, \alpha; q = 1, \ldots, \beta; r = 1, \ldots, \beta; q \neq r)$ and (x_{st}, x_{s+1t}) $(s = 1, \ldots, \alpha - 1; t = 1, \ldots, \beta - 1)$, and (x_{at}, x_{1t}) $(t = 1, \ldots, \beta - 1)$.

Theorem 2. If Γ_1 and Γ_2 are ri. cir. graphs and $\Gamma_1 \cap \Gamma_2$ is a clique or empty, then $\Gamma_1 \cup \Gamma_2$ is a ri. cir. graph.

Proof. If $\Gamma_1 \cup \Gamma_2$ contains no circuit with more than three vertices then it is a ri. cir. graph. If $\Gamma_1 \cup \Gamma_2$ contains such circuits, then let one of them be denoted by Ω . If $\Omega \subseteq \Gamma_1$ or $\Omega \subseteq \Gamma_2$, then Ω has a chord. If $\Omega \not\subseteq \Gamma_1$ and $\Omega \not\subseteq \Gamma_2$, then Ω contains at least one vertex from each of $\Gamma_1 - (\Gamma_1 \cap \Gamma_2)$ and $\Gamma_2 - (\Gamma_1 \cap \Gamma_2)$, w_1 and w_2 respectively say. w_1 and w_2 are separated by the vertices of $\Gamma_1 \cap \Gamma_2$. It now follows, exactly as in the second part of the proof of Theorem 1, that Ω has a chord. Thus every circuit of $\Gamma_1 \cup \Gamma_2$ with more than three vertices has a chord.

Theorem 1 shows that any ri. cir. graph which is not a clique can be constructed out of two smaller mutually disjoint ri. cir. graphs by identifying a clique in one with a similar clique in the other. It follows that any ri. cir. graph which is not a clique, can be obtained by applications of this process starting from a set of cliques. Theorem 2 shows that conversely, whenever the process is applied to two mutually disjoint ri. cir. graphs, the result is a ri. cir. graph. But the union of two ri. cir. graphs whose intersection is neither empty nor a clique may of course be a ri. cir. graph.

The theorems of Berge [1] and of Hajnal and Surányi [3] will now be deduced from Theorem 1.

Definitions 3. A graph is called κ -colourable, κ a positive integer, if the vertices of the graph can be devided into κ mutually disjoint (colour) classes in such a way that no two vertices belonging to the same class are joined by an edge. It is called κ -chromatic if it is κ -colourable and not (κ — 1)-colourable.

Theorem 3. (BERGE [1]). If a ri. cir. graph is not \varkappa -colourable, then it contains a $(\varkappa + 1)$ -clique.

Proof. It is sufficient to prove the theorem for finite ri. cir. graphs, because every infinite graph which is not κ -colourable contains a finite subgraph which is not κ -colourable [4]. Suppose that the theorem does not hold for all finite ri. cir. graphs. Then for some value of $\kappa \geq 2$ there exists a finite ri. cir. graph which is not κ -colourable and does not contain a $(\kappa + 1)$ -clique, but every ri. cir. graph with fewer vertices which is not κ -colourable, contains a $(\kappa + 1)$ -clique.

 Γ is not a clique, hence it contains a minimal cut-set, \Im say. Let $\Gamma - \Im = \Gamma_1' \cup \Gamma_2'$, where $\Gamma_1' \neq \emptyset$, $\Gamma_2' \neq \emptyset$ and $\Gamma_1' \cap \Gamma_2' = \emptyset$. Let $\Gamma - \Gamma_2' = \Gamma_1$ and $\Gamma - \Gamma_1' = \Gamma_2$. Then $\Gamma = \Gamma_1 \cup \Gamma_2$ and $\Gamma_1 \cap \Gamma_2 = \Gamma - (\Gamma - \Im)$. By Theorem 1 $\Gamma_1 \cap \Gamma_2$ is a clique. Γ_1 and Γ_2 are ri. cir. graphs and contain

74 G. A. Dirac

fewer vertices than Γ , therefore they are κ -colourable. Because $\Gamma_1 \cap \Gamma_2$ is a clique, it follows at once that Γ is κ -colourable. This contradiction proves the theorem.

Theorem 4. If Γ is a finite connected ri. cir. graph which is not a clique and \Im is a cut-set of Γ with the property that Γ — $(\Gamma - \Im)$ is a clique, and if $\Gamma - \Im$ consists of ν connected components, then Γ contains a set of ν vertices v_1, \ldots, v_{ν} , such that no two of them are joined by an edge, and if $v_i(\Gamma)$ denotes the set of vertices of Γ which are adjacent to v_i , then $\Gamma - (\Gamma - v_i(\Gamma))$ is a clique, for $i = 1, \ldots, \nu$.

Proof. By induction over the number of vertices μ . The theorem is clearly true for $\mu=3$. (A connected ri.cir. graph with three vertices which is not a clique is a path with three vertices, and the two endvertices of this path have the required property.) Let Γ be any finite connected ri.cir. graph with $\mu \geq 4$ vertices which is not a clique, and assume the theorem true when the number of vertices is less than μ .

Let \Im be any cut-set of Γ such that $\Gamma - (\Gamma - \Im)$ is a clique, and let $\Gamma'_1, \ldots, \Gamma'_r$ denote the connected components of $\Gamma - \Im$. Let $\Gamma_i = \Gamma - \bigcup_{j=1,\ldots,r,j\neq i}\Gamma'_i$. Then $\Gamma = \bigcup_{i=1,\ldots,r}\Gamma_i$, and $\Gamma_i \cap \Gamma_j = \Gamma - (\Gamma - \Im)$ if $1 \leq i < j \leq r$. $\Gamma_1, \ldots, \Gamma_r$ are all ri. cir. graphs, because each of them is obtained from Γ by deleting vertices.

If Γ_i ($1 \le i \le v$) is a clique, then let v_i denote some vertex of Γ_i' . If Γ_i is not a clique, then it contains a minimal cut-set \mathfrak{F}_i , by Theorem 1. $\Gamma_i - (\Gamma_i - \mathfrak{F}_i)$ is a clique, therefore by our induction hypothesis Γ_i contains two vertices v_i and v_i' not joined by an edge, and such that $\Gamma_i - (\Gamma_i - v_i(\Gamma_i))$ and $\Gamma_i - (\Gamma_i - v_i(\Gamma_i))$ are cliques. At most one of v_i and v_i' belongs to \mathfrak{F} since $\Gamma - (\Gamma - \mathfrak{F})$ is a clique, suppose that $v_i \notin \mathfrak{F}$. Then $v_i \in \Gamma_i'$, so that $v_i(\Gamma_i) = v_i(\Gamma)$ and $\Gamma_i - (\Gamma_i - v_i(\Gamma_i)) = \Gamma - (\Gamma - v_i(\Gamma))$, consequently $\Gamma - (\Gamma - v_i(\Gamma))$ is a clique. No two of the vertices v_i , $i = 1, \ldots, r$, are joined by an edge, since $v_i \in \Gamma_i'$. Theorem 4 is therefore true for Γ , and consequently it is true generally.

Theorem 4 is not necessarily true if Γ is an infinite ri. cir. graph. It is not true for an infinite path. More generally, if Γ is any graph and Γ^* is constructed from Γ by attaching an infinite path Y(a) to each vertex a of Γ so that $Y(a) \cap Y(a') = \emptyset$ if $a \neq a'$, then Γ^* contains no vertex v such that $\Gamma^* - (\Gamma^* - v(\Gamma^*))$ is a clique.

Definitions 4. A set of vertices in a graph are called mutually independent if no two of them are joined by an edge. Two graphs are called complementary if they have the same vertices and their union is a clique.

Theorem 5 (Hajnal and Surányi [3]). If a ri.cir. graph does not contain $\alpha + 1$ mutually independent vertices, where α is a positive integer, then it contains a set of $\leq \alpha$ mutually disjoint cliques which together include all its vertices.

Alternative forms of Theorem 5:

- 1. If a ri. cir. graph does not contain $\alpha + 1$ mutually independent vertices, then its complementary graph is α -colourable.
- 2. If the complementary graph of a ri.cir. graph is not α -colourable, then it contains an $(\alpha + 1)$ -clique.

Theorem 5 will first be proved for all ri. cir. graphs with a finite number of vertices by induction over the number of vertices μ , and then its truth for all ri. cir. graphs will be deduced.

The theorem is obviously true for $\mu=1$ and for all cliques. Assume it to be true for ri. cir. graphs with fewer than μ vertices, and suppose that Γ is a ri. cir. graph with μ vertices which is not a clique. If Γ is disconnected, then it follows at once from the induction hypothesis that Theorem 5 holds for Γ . If Γ is connected, then it contains a minimal cut-set, \Im say. By Theorem 1 $\Gamma - (\Gamma - \Im)$ is a clique, therefore by Theorem 4 there are two vertices v_1 and v_2 in Γ , which are not joined by an edge and such that $\Gamma - (\Gamma - v_1(\Gamma))$ and $\Gamma - (\Gamma - v_2(\Gamma))$ are cliques. Let the clique contained in Γ whose set of vertices is $\{v_1\} \cup v_1(\Gamma)$ be denoted by \Re_1 .

 $\Gamma - \mathfrak{B}_1$ does not contain α mutually independent vertices, because any such set and v_1 would together constitute a set of $\alpha + 1$ mutually independent vertices in Γ . Therefore, by the induction hypothesis, $\Gamma - \mathfrak{B}_1$ contains a set of $\leq \alpha - 1$ mutually disjoint cliques which together include all its vertices. Such a set of cliques and \mathfrak{B}_1 together satisfy the requirements of the theorem, which is therefore true for all finite ri. cir. graphs.

Let Δ be an infinite ri. cir. graph which does not contain $\alpha+1$ mutually independent vertices. If $\mathfrak S$ is a subset of the vertices of Δ such that $\Delta-\mathfrak S$ is finite, then it follows from Theorem 5 that $\Delta-\mathfrak S$ contains a set of $\leq \alpha$ mutually disjoint cliques which together include all the vertices of $\Delta-\mathfrak S$. Consequently the graph complementary to $\Delta-\mathfrak S$ is α -colourable. It follows that every finite subgraph of the graph complementary to Δ is α -colourable. Consequently the graph complementary to Δ is α -colourable, i. e. Theorem 5 holds for Δ [4].

The converse of Theorem 5 is not true, a graph which does not contain $\alpha + 1$ mutually independent vertices, and which contains α cliques which together include all its vertices, is not necessarily a ri. cir. graph. A circuit with an even number of vertices is a simple counterexample.

3. The construction of rigid circuit graphs by the addition of edges

Theorem 6. From any graph with μ vertices which contains α mutually independent vertices, it is always possible to obtain a $(\mu - \alpha + 1)$ -colourable ri. cir. graph by adding edges.

Proof. Let $\mathfrak A$ denote a set of α mutually independent vertices of Γ . The graph obtained from Γ by making $\Gamma - \mathfrak A$ into a clique is a ri. cir. graph by Theorem 2, and is $(\mu - \alpha + 1)$ -colourable.

For all $\varkappa \geq 1$ and all $\mu \geq \varkappa$ there exist \varkappa -chromatic graphs having μ vertices, for which Theorem 6 is best possible.

Proof. A graph with μ isolated vertices is 1-chromatic and a ri.cir. graph. For $\varkappa \geq 2$ and $\mu \geq \varkappa$ let $\Gamma(\mu, \varkappa)$ be a graph having μ vertices and such that its vertices fall into \varkappa non empty mutually disjoint classes $\mathfrak{C}_1, \ldots, \mathfrak{C}_{\varkappa}$, and two vertices are joined by an edge if and only if they do not belong to the same class. In order to obtain a ri.cir. graph from $\Gamma(\mu, \varkappa)$ by adding edges, it is necessary to join every pair of distinct vertices by an edge in $\varkappa - 1$ of the classes $\mathfrak{C}_1, \ldots, \mathfrak{C}_{\varkappa}$.

Theorem 7. From any x-chromatic graph it is always possible to obtain a x-chromatic graph in which every circuit with at least five vertices has chords, by adding edges.

Proof. Colour the graph with κ colours, and join every pair of vertices which do not have the same colour, by an edge.

In Theorem 6 and 7 some or all of μ , α , \varkappa may be infinite cardinals.

The graphs $\Gamma(\mu, \kappa)$ show that the least number of new edges required to make a κ -chromatic graph , $\kappa \geq 2$, with μ vertices into a ri. cir. graph may be as high as $\mu(\mu - \kappa)(\kappa - 1)\kappa^{-2}$.

References

- [1] C. Berge, Färbung von Graphen, deren sämtliche bzw. deren ungerade Kreise starr sind. Beiträge zur Graphentheorie (Vorträge während des graphentheoretischen Kolloquiums in Halle im März 1960). Wiss. Zeitschr. Univ. Halle 10 (1961), 114.
- [2] G. Hajos, Über eine Art von Graphen. Internationale Math. Nachrichten 11 (1957), Sondernummer, 65.
- [3] A. HAJNAL und J. SURÁNYI, Über die Auflösung von Graphen in vollständige Teilgraphen. Ann. Univ. Sci. Budapest, Sect. Math. 1 (1958), 113.
- [4] N. G. DE BRUIJN and P. ERDÖS, A colour problem for infinite graphs and a problem in the theory of relations. Indigationes Math. 13 (1951), 371.