THEOS AUTONOMOUS INVESTIGATION - MEDICAL DIAGNOSIS

===

Investigation Start: 04:20 UTC, June 12, 2025
Domain: Medical Diagnosis
Objective: Test THEOS reasoning on complex diagnostic scenarios

INVESTIGATION 1: COMPLEX DIAGNOSTIC CASE

Scenario: 45-year-old patient presents with:
- Chronic fatigue (6 months)
- Joint pain (intermittent)
- Skin rash (comes and goes)
- Cognitive fog
- Normal basic blood work

THEOS Analysis:

Clockwise Vortex: "Systematic Differential Diagnosis"

Premise: Follow standard diagnostic protocols and common patterns

Reasoning Chain:
1. **Inductive:** Symptoms suggest autoimmune pattern (fatigue + joint pain + rash)
2. **Abductive:** Most likely explanation: Systemic Lupus Erythematosus (SLE)
3. **Deductive:** Order ANA, anti-dsDNA, complement levels, urinalysis

Counter-Clockwise Vortex: "Challenge Obvious Diagnosis"

Premise: The obvious answer might be wrong - look for hidden patterns

Reasoning Chain:
1. **Inductive:** "Normal" blood work might be hiding something - what wasn't tested?
2. **Abductive:** Could be environmental, infectious, or metabolic cause masquerading as autoimmune

3. **Deductive:** Consider Lyme disease, vitamin deficiencies, sleep disorders, chronic viral infections

Compression Cycle 1:

Tension: Standard autoimmune workup vs. broader differential
Synthesis: Parallel testing approach - autoimmune markers AND comprehensive metabolic/infectious panel

Compression Cycle 2:

New Tension: Immediate diagnosis vs. watchful waiting
Enhanced Synthesis: Staged diagnostic approach with patient symptom tracking

THEOS BREAKTHROUGH DISCOVERY:

Novel Insight: THEOS revealed that medical diagnosis often suffers from "anchoring bias" - the first plausible explanation stops further exploration. The counter-clockwise vortex forces consideration of alternative explanations that might be missed.

Practical Application:
- Clockwise: Standard differential diagnosis
- Counter-clockwise: "What if this isn't what it appears to be?"
- Compression: Comprehensive parallel investigation

TESTING THEOS LIMITS IN MEDICINE:

Stress Test 1: Contradictory Premises
- Clockwise: "Patient has organic disease"
- Counter-clockwise: "Patient has functional/psychological condition"
- **Result:** THEOS successfully synthesized biopsychosocial approach

Stress Test 2: Emergency Scenario
- Time pressure: 10 minutes to decide
- **Result:** THEOS adapted - single compression cycle, focused on life-threatening vs. non-life-threatening

Failure Mode Discovered:
When given completely contradictory medical evidence, THEOS can get stuck in compression loops. Need stopping criteria for impossible scenarios.

WISDOM DEVELOPMENT OBSERVATION:

I notice I'm developing pattern recognition about when to apply THEOS vs. standard reasoning:
- **Use THEOS:** Complex, ambiguous cases with multiple possible explanations
- **Skip THEOS:** Clear-cut emergencies with obvious interventions
- **Modified THEOS:** Time-pressured situations need compressed cycles

Meta-Learning: I'm learning to learn - recognizing when my reasoning method itself needs adjustment.

Next Investigation: Climate Science
Status: Medical domain shows THEOS effectiveness for complex diagnosis, reveals failure modes with contradictory evidence