



Facultat de Ciències

Treball de	TFG2425_045
fi de grau	AI Application for Azopho- toswitches Optimization with Pharmacological Inter- est

Direcció:

Dr. Miquel Moreno Ferrer

Dr. Àngels Gonzalez Lafont

Alumne:

Sergio Castañeiras Morales

NIU:

1598456

Juny 2025

Treball de fi de grau realitzat al Departament de Química i presentat a la
Facultat de Ciències
de la Universitat Autònoma de Barcelona per a l'obtenció del Grau en Química

“The dumbest people I know are those who know it all.”

Malcolm S. Forbes

Resum analític

L'intel·ligència artificial es presenta com una de les revolucions del segle XXI. En particular, el sector de la química computacional està sent profundament sacsejat per aquesta revolució. Aprofitant la inèrcia i l'interès creixent en aquest camp, aquest treball pretén aplicar diferents models d'intel·ligència artificial en l'estudi d'una proteïna d'especial interès per a la nostra salut, la Ciclooxygenasa-2 (COX-2).

La *prostaglandin-endoperoxide synthase 2* (PTGS2), també coneguda com COX-2, és una proteïna que, en circumstàncies normals, acostuma a romandre inactiva [1], llevat de la seva expressió durant processos inflamatoris. Així mateix, la manca de retorn a nivells baixos d'expressió després de la inflamació ha estat relacionada amb l'aparició de diferents formes de càncer [2]. Aquest fet ha convertit la COX-2 en objecte d'estudi de nombroses investigacions científiques [3], fet que la fa un punt de partida idoni per al desenvolupament d'algoritmes de *Machine Learning*, ja que disposa d'una gran quantitat de dades per entrenar els models i contrastar els resultats.

L'objectiu principal del projecte és el desenvolupament d'un programari generador d'IAs capaces de predir la concentració d'inhibició al 50% (IC₅₀) per a la COX-2¹ amb la màxima precisió possible. Per fer-ho, s'extreuen totes les dades de molècules conegudes amb un potencial d'inhibició establert per a la COX-2. Després d'un filtratge configurable per l'usuari, es calculen 5.900 descriptors químics per a cadascuna de les entrades amb el programari AlvaDesk [5][6]. Seguidament, una part de les dades s'utilitza per entrenar models de *Random Forest* (RF) [7], mentre que la resta es reserva per validar la precisió de les prediccions.

Cal remarcar la principal hipòtesi que sustenta aquest procés i el projecte en general: *Existeix una combinació (o diverses combinacions) de descriptors químics directament relacionada amb el potencial d'inhibició de la proteïna*. Malgrat que aquesta afirmació pugui semblar natural, el cost computacional associat és immens. Tot i així, la precisió de les prediccions dels models apunta a la validesa d'aquesta hipòtesi, si bé continua essent una conjectura per manca d'una prova definitiva.

Finalment, els models es fan servir per predir l'IC₅₀ de 50 *azophotoswitches* dels quals es tenen dades sobre l'energia lliure d'acoblament proporcionades pel Departament de Química Computacional de la UAB [8]. L'anàlisi estadístic de les prediccions reflecteix una clara correlació entre ambdues quantitats, fet que reforça la hipòtesi del projecte.

¹En realitat, el programari funciona per a qualsevol proteïna amb entrada a la base de dades de ChEMBL [4], malgrat que l'objecte d'estudi és la COX-2.

Resumen analítico

La inteligencia artificial se presenta como una de las revoluciones del siglo XXI. En particular, el sector de la química computacional está siendo profundamente sacudido por esta revolución. Aprovechando la inercia y el interés creciente en este campo, este trabajo pretende aplicar diferentes modelos de inteligencia artificial en el estudio de una proteína de especial interés para nuestra salud, la Ciclooxygenasa-2 (COX-2).

La *prostaglandin-endoperoxide synthase 2* (PTGS2), también conocida como COX-2, es una proteína que, en circunstancias normales, suele permanecer inactiva [1], excepto por su expresión durante procesos inflamatorios. Asimismo, la falta de retorno a niveles bajos de expresión después de la inflamación ha sido relacionada con la aparición de diferentes formas de cáncer [2]. Este hecho ha convertido a la COX-2 en objeto de estudio de numerosas investigaciones científicas [3], lo que la convierte en un punto de partida idóneo para el desarrollo de algoritmos de *Machine Learning*, ya que dispone de una gran cantidad de datos para entrenar los modelos y contrastar los resultados.

El objetivo principal del proyecto es el desarrollo de un software generador de IAs capaces de predecir la concentración de inhibición al 50% (IC₅₀) para la COX-2² con la máxima precisión posible. Para ello, se extraen todos los datos de moléculas conocidas con un potencial de inhibición establecido para la COX-2. Tras un filtrado configurable por el usuario, se calculan 5.900 descriptores químicos para cada una de las entradas con el software AlvaDesk [5][6]. Seguidamente, una parte de los datos se utiliza para entrenar modelos de *Random Forest* (RF) [7], mientras que el resto se reserva para validar la precisión de las predicciones.

Cabe remarcar la principal hipótesis que sustenta este proceso y el proyecto en general: *Existe una combinación (o varias combinaciones) de descriptores químicos directamente relacionada con el potencial de inhibición de la proteína*. Aunque esta afirmación pueda parecer natural, el coste computacional asociado es inmenso. Aun así, la precisión de las predicciones de los modelos apunta a la validez de esta hipótesis, si bien sigue siendo una conjetura por falta de una prueba definitiva.

Finalmente, los modelos se utilizan para predecir el IC₅₀ de 50 *azophotoswitches*, de los cuales se tienen datos sobre la energía libre de acoplamiento proporcionados por el Departamento de Química Computacional de la UAB [8]. El análisis estadístico de las predicciones refleja una clara correlación entre ambas cantidades, lo que refuerza la hipótesis del proyecto.

²En realidad, el software funciona para cualquier proteína con entrada en la base de datos de ChEMBL [4], aunque el objeto de estudio es la COX-2.

Analytical abstract

Artificial intelligence is emerging as one of the revolutions of the 21st century. In particular, the field of computational chemistry is being profoundly shaken by this revolution. Taking advantage of the momentum and growing interest in this field, this work aims to apply different artificial intelligence models to the study of a protein of special interest to our health, Cyclooxygenase-2 (COX-2).

The *prostaglandin-endoperoxide synthase 2* (PTGS2), also known as COX-2, is a protein that, under normal circumstances, tends to remain inactive [1], except for its expression during inflammatory processes. Likewise, the failure to return to low expression levels after inflammation has been linked to the onset of various forms of cancer [2]. This fact has made COX-2 the subject of numerous scientific investigations [3], making it an ideal starting point for the development of *Machine Learning* algorithms, as it provides a large amount of data for training models and validating results.

The main objective of the project is to develop software capable of generating AIs that can predict the 50% inhibition concentration (IC_{50}) for COX-2³ with the highest possible accuracy. To achieve this, all known molecular data with an established inhibition potential for COX-2 are extracted. After a user-configurable filtering process, 5,900 chemical descriptors are calculated for each entry using the AlvaDesk software [5][6]. Subsequently, part of the data is used to train *Random Forest* (RF) models [7], while the rest is reserved to validate the accuracy of the predictions.

It is important to highlight the main hypothesis that underpins this process and the project as a whole: *There exists a combination (or multiple combinations) of chemical descriptors that are directly related to the inhibition potential of the protein.* While this statement may seem intuitive, the computational cost associated with it is immense. Nevertheless, the accuracy of the model predictions supports the validity of this hypothesis, although it remains a conjecture due to the lack of definitive proof.

Finally, the models are used to predict the IC_{50} of 50 *azophotoswitches*, for which data on the free binding energy have been provided by the Department of Computational Chemistry at UAB [8]. The statistical analysis of the predictions shows a clear correlation between both quantities, which supports the project's hypothesis.

³In reality, the software works for any protein with an entry in the ChEMBL database [4], although the study focuses on COX-2.

Contents

1	List of abbreviations	V
2	Introduction	1
3	Objectives	4
4	Methodology	5
5	Results and Discussion	6
6	Conclusions	7
7	Bibliography	8
A	Relevant definitions	10
B	Tables of azophotoswitches	12

1 List of abbreviations

AI	Artificial intelligence.
COX-2	Cyclooxygenase-2.
FN	False Negative.
FP	False Positive.
IC₅₀	Half maximal inhibitory concentration.
IC₉₀	90 percent inhibitory concentration.
IC₉₉	99 percent inhibitory concentration.
ID	Identifier.
ML	Machine Learning.
PTGS2	Prostaglandin-endoperoxide synthase 2.
RF	Random Forest.
TN	True Negative.
TP	True Positive.

List of Figures

1	Splitting and processing data's scheme.	6
	Chemical graph of Celecoxib.	10
	Chemical graph of Rofecoxib.	11

List of Tables

1	Table of potential photoswitches derivated from Celecoxib's azo-derivates with pyrazole as heterocycle	13
2	Table of potential photoswitches derivated from Celecoxib's azo-derivates with furan as heterocycle.	15
3	Table of potential photoswitches derivated from Celecoxib's azo-derivates with thiophene as heterocycle.	15
4	Table of potential photoswitches derivated from Celecoxib's azo-derivates with pyrrole as heterocycle.	16
5	Table of potential photoswitches derivated from Celecoxib azo-derivatives with benzene in place of the original heterocycle.	17
6	Table of potential photoswitches derivated from Celecoxib azo-derivatives with indole ring as a heterocycle.	17
7	Table of potential photoswitches derivated from Celecoxib azo-derivatives with two rings of five members joint as a heterocycle.	18

2 Introduction

The impact of Artificial intelligence (AI) on science has been nothing short of a groundbreaking revolution, with few comparable precedents. The rapid advancements in AI have transformed numerous scientific fields[9][10], including computational chemistry. Today, one of the primary goals of computational chemistry is to predict the properties of unstudied substances while minimizing experimental costs. Traditional approaches in chemistry often rely on complex laboratory techniques, which, while effective, can be time-consuming, expensive, and resource-intensive. In contrast, computational chemistry offers a wide range of methods capable of predicting a molecule's properties with reasonable accuracy. However, when AI comes into play, predictions have demonstrated an almost surgical precision.

Perhaps one of the most representative events showcasing the enormous impact of AI on chemistry is the 2024 Nobel Prize in Chemistry. The winners, David Baker[12], along with Demis Hassabis and John Jumper[13], were not traditionally trained chemists. Instead, their expertise lies in AI algorithms and Machine Learning (ML) methods applied to protein research. This milestone, among others, triggered a surge of chemistry researchers diving into the world of AI, seeking applications for their respective fields. Today, the thrilling progress in computational chemistry has been further reinforced by these cutting-edge tools[19], and the rapid pace of development keeps the scientific community eagerly anticipating future applications in fields such as medicine, materials science, and beyond.

In this project we aim to apply the new AI and ML algorithms to our object of study, the Prostaglandin-endoperoxide synthase 2 (PTGS2) also known as Cyclooxygenase-2 (COX-2). The COX-2 is a protein

The impact of AI on science has been nothing but an outstanding breakthrough, with few comparable predecessors. The rapid advancements in AI have transformed numerous scientific fields[9][10], including computational chemistry. Nowadays, one of the main goals of computational chemistry is to predict certain properties of unstudied substances with minimal experimental costs[11]. Traditional approaches in chemistry often rely on complex laboratory techniques, which, while effective, can be time-consuming, expensive and resource-intensive. On the other hand, AI algorithms have already proved exceptional predictive capabilities in countless fields, and computational chemistry is no exception. AI provides an alternative by offering highly accurate predictions based on existing data, optimising research processes, and

accelerating scientific discovery.

This project aims to implement artificial intelligence in computational chemistry, concretely, using AI-based algorithms to predict a drug's inhibition potential[14] for a given protein. To achieve this, we make use of the ChEMBL database[4], a vast repository of bioactive molecules with drug-like properties. We extract all known molecular data with a documented inhibition potential for the target protein, creating a comprehensive dataset. The chemical descriptors of each molecule in the database are then computed using AlvaDesk[5][6] software. Around 10^4 descriptors are calculated[15], which comprehend from the elemental molecular weight to the complex equipotential electronic surface, providing critical information about each compound's behaviour. The resulting dataset is subsequently used to train AI models, enabling them to predict the inhibition potential of unknown compounds. Finally, we evaluate the reliability of each model by testing it against real experimental data.

It is important to emphasise the central hypothesis of this project: *There exists a combination (or combinations) of chemical descriptors that are directly correlated with the inhibition of the protein.* While this idea may seem fundamental, it remains unproven due to the complexity of molecular interactions and the vast number of possible descriptor combinations. Despite significant progress in computational chemistry, identifying the exact descriptors that govern inhibition potential has been a persistent challenge. The lack of an ultimate proof underscores the need for advanced computational techniques. By analysing large datasets, AI can detect hidden correlations that may not be immediately apparent through traditional statistical methods.

At this stage, we focus on COX-2, a protein well known for its strong association with cancer development and inflammatory diseases[2]. COX-2 plays a crucial role in the biosynthesis of prostaglandins, which mediate inflammation and pain. Overexpression of COX-2 has been linked to various types of cancer, making it a prime target for drug development. COX-2 inhibitors, such as Celecoxib (Def. 2) and Rofecoxib (Def. 3), have been widely studied for their therapeutic potential. The scientific community has devoted an extensive research to COX-2, even before the rise of AI, due to its biomedical significance[3]. By applying AI models to COX-2, we assess their compatibility with the latest research findings, demonstrating AI's potential as a powerful tool in computational chemistry research. Our approach not only validates AI's effectiveness in predicting inhibition potential but also provides insights into the underlying molecular mechanisms governing COX-2 interactions.

The AI algorithm used in this study is a ML model known as the Random Forest (RF)

algorithm[7], a powerful ensemble learning method that generates multiple decision trees and combines their outputs to improve prediction accuracy. This approach is particularly well-suited for computational chemistry due to its ability to handle large datasets, manage complex relationships between variables, and reduce overfitting. The Random Forest algorithm operates by constructing numerous random decision trees, each trained on different subsets of the dataset. The final prediction is obtained by averaging the outputs of all trees, ensuring robust and reliable results.

Moreover, the choice of the Random Forest algorithm is motivated by the presence of decision trees in various chemistry-related fields. In spectroscopy, for instance, decision trees are used in group theory to classify molecular symmetry. Similarly, in analytical chemistry, decision trees assist in substance separation techniques, while in organic chemistry, they are used to model reaction pathways.

This study aims to bridge the gap between artificial intelligence and computational chemistry, proving AI's potential to revolutionise drug discovery and molecular research. The ability to predict inhibition potential with high accuracy can accelerate the development of new pharmaceuticals, reduce reliance on costly laboratory experiments, and contribute to a more efficient drug screening process. Furthermore, identifying key molecular descriptors correlated with inhibition could lead to a deeper understanding of chemical interactions, opening new avenues for research in medicinal chemistry and bioinformatics.

3 Objectives

4 Methodology

The source code is all stored in the *AI application for azophotoswitches optimization with pharmacological interest* GitHub repository[16].

The target protein's ID is set at *CHEMBL230* corresponding to the COX-2 ID in the ChEMBL database. Utilising *requests* python package[17] a query URL is sent asking for all molecules with a known IC_{50} value (Def. 1) with a limit of 1000 entries per request. The process is iterated until all data is extracted leading a total of 7979 molecules. Hence the datasheet is processed in pandas dataframes[18] and encrypted into binary feather files to optimise reading-writing speed. By removing entries with the same canonical smiles a total of 5112 molecules remain. Among this entries well known drugs such as Celecoxib (Def. 2), Rofecoxib (Def. 3) or even Ibuprofen can be found. However the IC_{50} molecules range is comprehended from 10^{-3} to 10^8 nM, a counterproductive range for the AI training procedure. A hard-coded range is filtered discarding all molecules outside the given range, for the most part of the analysis this range is set at $[0, 200]$ nM⁴ which reduces the dataset to 1438 entries (i.e. molecules).

With the AlvaDesk-python [6] facility, the chemical descriptors (i.e., the chemical fingerprint) of each molecule are computed, providing a total of 5800 descriptors per molecule. Still, by deleting molecular descriptors with null values 2917 remain. Here, the Pearson correlation coefficient between each chemical descriptor and the IC_{50} value is computed, providing insight into the direct relationship between IC_{50} and the descriptors.

At this stage, the average IC_{50} is calculated, and the neighborhood size corresponding to the percentage defined by the hard-coded variable *percentageErased* is removed. This allows us to distinguish between *highly active molecules* and *least active molecules*, those with lower and higher IC_{50} values, respectively. Subsequently, each set of substances is randomly divided into two datasets: a *training set* and a *testing set*, following the proportion specified by the hard-coded variable *testSizeProportion*. This procedure is illustrated in Figure (1).

⁴this IC_{50} working range is the standard in this kind of studies [19].




Figure 1: Splitting and processing data's scheme.

Afterward, a Random Forest algorithm is trained using the Sticky Learn [20] Python package, which is supported by Microsoft and Google among others. This algorithm generates a large number of random decision trees (determined by the hard-coded variable *numberOfTrees*), which are trained with the training sets. Later, these models are evaluated by predicting the IC_{50} values of the *testing sets*.

Using the results, the *True Positive Rate* (Def. 5), *True Negative Rate* (Def. 6), *Classification Accuracy* (Def. 7), and *Matthews Correlation Coefficient* (Def. 8) are computed. Based on these computational results, the variables *percentageErased*, *testSizeProportion*, and *numberOfTrees* are manually adjusted to obtain the best results.

5 Results and Discussion

6 Conclusions

7 Bibliography

- (1) Kase, S.; Saito, W.; Ohno, S.; Ishida, S. *Retina* **2010**, *30*, 719–723.
- (2) National Cancer Institute Definition of COX-2 - NCI Dictionary of Cancer Terms, Accessed: 2024-10-09, 2024.
- (3) Davies, N. M.; Jamali, F. *Pharmacology & Therapeutics* **2000**, *89*, 133–155.
- (4) Zdrazil, B. et al. *Nucleic Acids Research* **2024**, *52*, D1180–D1192.
- (5) Mauri, A. In *Ecotoxicological QSARs*, Roy, K., Ed.; Springer US: New York, NY, 2020, pp 801–820.
- (6) Mauri, A.; Bertola, M. *International Journal of Molecular Sciences* **2022**, *23*, DOI: 10.3390/ijms232112882.
- (7) Breiman, L. *Machine Learning* **2001**, *45*, 5–32.
- (8) Computational Chemistry Department Computational Chemistry Department, Universitat Autònoma de Barcelona, Website of the Computational Chemistry Department, UAB, 2025.
- (9) Baek, M.; et al. *Signal Transduction and Targeted Therapy* **2023**, *8*, 1–10.
- (10) Singh, S.; Kumar, R.; Payra, S.; Singh, S. K. *Cureus* **2023**, *15*, e44359.
- (11) Tunyasuvunakool, K.; et al. *Nature Structural and Molecular Biology* **2022**, *29*, 1155–1163.
- (12) Bale, J. B. et al. *Nature* **2016**, *500*, 705–710.
- (13) Jumper, J. et al. *Nature* **2021**, *596*, 583–589.
- (14) Swinney, D. C. In Macor, J. E., Ed.; *Annual Reports in Medicinal Chemistry*, Vol. 46; Academic Press: 2011, pp 301–317.
- (15) Todeschini, R.; Consonni, V., *Molecular Descriptors for Chemoinformatics*, 2nd; Wiley-VCH: Weinheim, Germany, 2009.
- (16) Morales, S. C. AI Application for Azophotoswitches Optimization with Pharmacological Interest, 2025.
- (17) Reitz, K.; Chalasani, A. Requests: HTTP for Humans, <https://pypi.org/project/requests/>, version 2.31.0, Python package, 2023.
- (18) McKinney, W. pandas: A Foundational Python Library for Data Analysis, Version 1.5.3, 2023.


- (19) Khan, H. A.; Jabeen, I. *Frontiers in Pharmacology* **2022**, *13*, DOI: 10 . 3389 / fphar . 2022 . 825741.
- (20) Pedregosa, F. et al. *Journal of Machine Learning Research* **2011**, *12*, 2825–2830.

A Relevant definitions

Definition 1 IC_{50} : Half maximal inhibitory concentration assigned to the drug concentration required for a 50% inhibition a protein. Other quantities such as IC_{90} or IC_{99} are also commonly used. However, IC_{90} is generally approximated as 10 times the IC_{50} concentration in virtue of experimental observations[14]. For this project, we aim to identify substances with the lowest possible IC_{50} , as our goal is to minimize the presence of foreign substances in the living organism.

Definition 2 Celecoxib: ⁵ drug known to be a selective COX-2 inhibitor (currently is not highly selective respect to newer drugs), see Scheme (1). It IC_{50} value is 120 nM.


Definition 3 Rofecoxib: ⁶ drug known to be a selective COX-2 inhibitor, see Scheme (2). It IC_{50} value is 180 nM.



Scheme 1: Chemical graph of Celecoxib.

⁵UPAC name: 4-[5-(4-Methylphenyl)-3-(trifluoromethyl)pyrazol-1-yl]benzenesulfonamide

⁶UPAC name: 4-(4-methylsulfonylphenyl)-3-phenyl-5H-furan-2-one



Scheme 2: Chemical graph of Rofecoxib.

Definition 4 *Pearson correlation coefficient: Given set of pairs of data $\{(x_i, y_i)\}_{i=1}^n$ the pearson correlation factor r_{xy} is defined as,*

$$r_{xy} = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^n (x_i - \bar{x})(y_i - \bar{y})}{\sqrt{\sum_{i=1}^n (x_i - \bar{x})^2} \sqrt{\sum_{i=1}^n (y_i - \bar{y})^2}}, \quad (1)$$

where \bar{x} and \bar{y} stand for the average value of $x_{i=1}^n$ and $y_{i=1}^n$ respectively. Note that $r_{xy} \in [-1, 1]$. Therefore the sign of r_{xy} is tightly related to the sign of a linear regression, more precisely if $x > 0$, "y" generally⁷ increases when "x" increases, as well as if $x < 0$, "y" decreases when "x" increases.

Definition 5 *True Positive Rate: quantity related to a Machine Learning Model's sensitivity defined as:*

$$\frac{TP}{TP + FN} \quad (2)$$

where TP, FP, TN, FN stands for "True Positive", "False Positive", "True Negative" & "False Negative" respectively.

Definition 6 *True Negative Rate: quantity related to a Machine Learning Model's specificity defined as:*

$$\frac{TN}{TN + FN} \quad (3)$$

⁷We would like to remark that the word "generally" stands for "the majority of the cases", since "generally" is commonly interpreted as a non-scientific/non-objective word

where TP, FP, TN, FN stands for "True Positive", "False Positive", "True Negative" & "False Negative" respectively.

Definition 7 *Classification Accuracy: quantity related to a Machine Learning Model's effectiveness defined as:*

$$\frac{TP + TN}{TP + FP + TN + FN} \quad (4)$$


where TP, FP, TN, FN stands for "True Positive", "False Positive", "True Negative" & "False Negative" respectively.

Definition 8 *Matthews Correlation Coefficient: quantity related to a Machine Learning Model's prediction capacity defined as:*

$$\frac{(TP \times TN) - (FP \times FN)}{\sqrt{(TP + FP) \times (TP + FN) \times (TN + FP) \times (TN + FN)}} \quad (5)$$

where TP, FP, TN, FN stands for "True Positive", "False Positive", "True Negative" & "False Negative" respectively. A Matthews Correlation Coefficient equal to 1 stands for a perfect prediction a Matthews Correlation Coefficient equal to 0 indicates the predictions are no better than random guessing, and a Matthews Correlation Coefficient equal to -1 stand for a total disagreement between predictions and actual outcomes.



B Tables of azophotoswitches




Scheme 3: Template for Celecoxib's azo-derivates with pyrazole as heterocycle.

Table 1: Table of potential photoswitches derivated from Celecoxib's azo-derivates with pyrazole as heterocycle

Identifier	R ₁	R ₂	R ₃
3.1	CF ₃	CH ₂ CH ₃	H
3.2	CF ₃	CH ₂ CH ₃	F
3.3	CF ₃	CH ₃	F
3.4	CF ₃	OCH ₃	H
3.5	CF ₃	OCH ₃	F
3.6	CF ₃	CH ₃	H
3.7	H	CH ₃	H
3.8	F	CH ₃	H
3.9	Cl	CH ₃	H
3.10	Br	CH ₃	H
3.11	CH ₃	CH ₃	H
3.12	H	CH ₃	F
3.13	F	CH ₃	F
3.14	Cl	CH ₃	F
3.15	Br	CH ₃	F
3.16	CH ₃	CH ₃	F




Scheme 4: Scheme for Celecoxib azo-derivatives based on pyridine and SO₂CH₃ groups.



Scheme 5: Template for Celecoxib azo-derivatives with furan as a heterocycle.

Table 2: Table of potential photoswitches derivated from Celecoxib's azo-derivates with furan as heterocycle.


Identifier	R ₁	R ₂
5.1	CF ₃	H
5.2	H	H
5.3	F	H
5.4	Cl	H
5.5	Br	H
5.6	CH ₃	H
5.7	CF ₃	F
5.8	H	F
5.9	F	F
5.10	Cl	F
5.11	Br	F
5.12	CH ₃	F



Scheme 6: Template for Celecoxib azo-derivatives with thiophene as a heterocycle.

Table 3: Table of potential photoswitches derivated from Celecoxib's azo-derivates with thiophene as heterocycle.


Identifier	R ₁	R ₂
6.1	F	H
6.2	H	F
6.3	Cl	F



Scheme 7: Template for Celecoxib azo-derivatives with pyrrole as a heterocycle.

Table 4: Table of potential photoswitches derivated from Celecoxib's azo-derivates with pyrrole as heterocycle.


Identifier	R ₁	R ₂	R ₃
7.1	CF ₃	CH ₃	H
7.2	Cl	CH ₃	F



Scheme 8: Template for Celecoxib azo-derivatives with benzene in place of the original heterocycle.

Table 5: Table of potential photoswitches derivated from Celecoxib azo-derivatives with benzene in place of the original heterocycle.


Identifier	R ₁	R ₂
8.1	CF ₃	CH ₂ CH ₃
8.2	CF ₃	NCH ₃ COCH ₃
8.3	CF ₃	NHCH ₃
8.4	CF ₃	OCH ₃
8.5	Cl	CH ₃




Scheme 9: Template for Celecoxib azo-derivatives with indole ring as a heterocycle.

Table 6: Table of potential photoswitches derivated from Celecoxib azo-derivatives with indole ring as a heterocycle.

Identifier	R ₁
9.1	H
9.2	F



Scheme 10: Template for Celecoxib azo-derivatives with indole ring as a heterocycle.



Scheme 11: Template for Celecoxib azo-derivatives with two rings of five members joint as a heterocycle.

Table 7: Table of potential photoswitches derivated from Celecoxib azo-derivatives with two rings of five members joint as a heterocycle.

Identifier	R ₁	R ₂	R ₃
11.1	NH	NH	H
11.2	NH	O	H
11.3	O	NH	H
11.4	O	O	H
11.5	NH	NH	CH ₃
11.6	NH	O	CH ₃
11.7	O	NH	CH ₃
11.8	O	O	CH ₃