### Comparing Domestication

#### Nathan Hughes

July 4, 2018

#### Information

- Significance testing for these values were done with one-way-ANOVA
  - Bayesian estimations where used to fit the data to a normal distribution
    - \* From 40,000 estimated data points, sampling was done on every 1000th point
    - \* These points were used for the ANOVA parameters.
- Quantifying the differences where done with the Bayesian T-Test on estimated data.

#### **Initial Thoughts**

- Einkorn seems most interesting with significant changes across the board, with the exception of length(this has been previously reported?), length is also not significantly different in Emmer either.
- Einkorn and Emmer both see significant changes in the length, depth, width ratio showing that this is a consistently significant change/indicator.
- Einkorn and Emmer depth is significant too I think John/Candida mentioned this being interesting as previous studies haven't been able to examine this trait fully?
- Oddly, the surface area in Emmer isn't highly significant (<0.05,>0.01), yet the ratio trait (length,width,depth) is. Indicating it's perhaps a better measurement to consider when looking at 3D traits.
  - Perhaps, like in previous studies, an interaction trait of lengthXwidth could be used for the marvin data, this might make a good proxy for comparing?
- Numbers for the Emmer, spike-wise, are too low. With 3 data points for the wild, it's not sufficient to grasp anything meaningful
  - The grain averages, I think are still useful enough
- I don't know how best to interpret / relate the barley and it's meaning with wheat?

# **Summary Tables**

### $T.\ boeticum\ Vs.\ T.\ monococcum\ (Einkorn)$

#### Grains

	T. monococcum+T. beoticum
length	0.7045
width	< 0.001
depth	< 0.001
volume	< 0.001
surface_area	< 0.001
$length\_depth\_width$	< 0.001

#### Spike Averages

	T. monococcum+T. beoticum
mean_length	0.1848
$mean\_width$	< 0.001
$mean\_depth$	< 0.001
mean_volume	< 0.001
mean_surface_area	< 0.001
mean length depth width	< 0.001

## $T.\ dicoccum\ {\it Vs.}\ T.\ dicoccoides\ ({\it Emmer})$

#### Grains

	T. dicoccum+T. dicoccoides
length	0.1106
width	0.0141
depth	0.0035
volume	< 0.001
surface_area	0.0401
length depth width	< 0.001

#### Spike Averages

	T. dicoccum+T. dicoccoides
mean_length	0.4605
$mean\_width$	0.3065
$mean\_depth$	< 0.001
$mean\_volume$	< 0.001
mean_surface_area	0.192
mean_length_depth_width	< 0.001

## $H.\ spontaneum\ \mathrm{Vs.}\ H.\ vulgare\ (\mathrm{Barley})$

#### ${\bf Grains}$

	H. spontaneum+H. vulgare
length	< 0.001
width	0.2417
depth	0.0001
volume	0.6158
surface_area	0.0629
length depth width	0.3784

#### Spike Averages

	H. spontaneum+H. vulgare
mean_length	0.0067
$mean\_width$	0.4459
$mean\_depth$	0.0149
mean_volume	0.2436
mean_surface_area	0.0575
$mean\_length\_depth\_width$	0.2752















































































































































