

Review

# Molecular Diversity, Structure and Domestication of Grasses

EDWARD S. BUCKLER IV<sup>1,2\*</sup>, JEFFRY M. THORNSBERRY<sup>2</sup> AND STEPHEN KRESOVICH<sup>3</sup>

<sup>1</sup> USDA-ARS, Plant Science Research Unit

<sup>2</sup> Dept. of Genetics, North Carolina State University, Raleigh, NC 27665-7614

<sup>3</sup> Institute for Genomic Diversity, Cornell University, Ithaca, NY 14853-2703

Over the last 10,000 years, crop domestication has been the single most important human cultural development. Grasses are prominent among these crops, and provide the vast majority of the world's food. Similar traits have been selected during the domestication and breeding of these critically important grasses, and since they share a similar complement of genes, the same set of genes may have been selected. Even though the process of domestication occurred over the same 5000 to 10,000 year period, the domesticated grasses have major differences in genome structure, diversity, and life history. Molecular investigations of grass domestication have succeeded in identifying progenitor species and are beginning to catalog genetic resources. Additionally, research is now elucidating some of the basic processes by which crops have evolved over the last few millennia. In this review, we discuss our present knowledge of molecular diversity among the grass crops and relate that diversity to the genes involved in domestication and to yield gains. Understanding the connection between diversity and genome structure will be critical to future crop breeding.

## Genome Structure

Three major grass crops – maize, wheat, and rice – share a common ancestor within the last 55–70 million years (Kellogg, 2001). Despite the differentiation that has occurred over this time period, chromosomal synteny between each of these genomes remains (Ahn *et al.*, 1993). Recently, genome sequencing has clarified the question of whether synteny is maintained over smaller regions (Bennetzen,

2000; Chen *et al.*, 1997; Tarchini *et al.*, 2000). These investigations have highlighted the preservation of colinear regions across grass species, but there are also many cases where individual genes are missing or locally rearranged. In addition, the amount of repetitive DNA found in genes conserved between species varies greatly, due in large part to retrotransposons (SanMiguel *et al.*, 1996). For example, the maize genomic distance between *sh2* and *a1* is 140 kb, while in rice and sorghum the distance is roughly 19 kb (Chen *et al.*, 1997).

In general, basic gene order has been preserved. An interesting contrast arises with respect to differences in gene copy number (Hancock, 1992). Relative to other grasses, rice appears to have few duplicated genomic regions, although genome sequencing has and will continue to uncover some regions of genome duplication. Wheat, a recent hexaploid, incorporates three genomes with varying levels of divergence, while maize appears to have undergone an ancient tetraploidization event roughly 10 to 20 million years ago (Gaut and Doebley, 1997). Recent statistical searches for map colinearity indicate that many parts of the maize genome may have actually been duplicated, triplicated, and quadruplicated (Gaut, 2001). Overall, it appears that 60–80% of the maize genome is duplicated. Across these important domesticates, there is a large range of variation in terms of gene copy number.

These genome duplications provide new mutational opportunities for creating greater phenotypic diversity. In maize, many of these duplicated genes are expressed at slightly different times in development (Van der Meer *et al.*, 1993). Diversity in expression and copy number is also possible through the elimination of DNA sequences in polyploids. Relative to its progenitors, hexaploid wheat appears to have deleted many low copy DNA sequences since the polyploidization event (Feldman *et al.*, 1997).

\* Corresponding author. Tel: +1 (919) 513 1475. Fax: +1 (919) 515-3355. e-mail: buckler@statgen.ncsu.edu

Mutational inactivation of paralogous loci also provides less severe phenotypes than might normally be found in the diploid state. For example, wheat breeders have been able to use mutants of the duplicated gibberellin insensitivity loci to design wheat with specific heights (Peng *et al.*, 1999). Further studies contrasting the relative rates of insertion and deletion events should soon shed light on the reasons for the enormous variation in c-value among plant species, as recently argued for invertebrate genome evolution (Petrov *et al.* 2000).

#### *What genes have been the targets of domestication and breeding?*

Despite the independent domestication of the four major cereal complexes, (maize in America; wheat, barley, oats and rye in the Near East; rice in Asia; sorghum and millet in Africa), the earliest plant 'selectors' desired the same sets of traits. Wild grasses that flowered in short-days and produced small, naturally dispersed seeds were transformed into domesticates in which flowering time was unaffected by day length, and which produced large seeds necessitating human planting and harvesting.

The probable mechanism of this convergent domestication across grasses was selection at a common set of loci. Quantitative trait loci (QTL) for seed size, seed dispersal (shattering), and photoperiod have been mapped in maize, rice, and sorghum (Paterson *et al.*, 1995). These QTL correspond to homologous regions between taxa more often than would be expected by chance, suggesting that homologous loci/genes may be involved in the evolution of these phenotypes. Three QTL that affect seed size correspond closely in sorghum, rice, and maize (Paterson *et al.*, 1995) and explain large portions of the phenotypic variation in seed size when the taxa are compared in a pairwise fashion. A single seed dispersal locus was mapped in sorghum that corresponds to a single rice QTL on chromosome 9 and to maize QTL on the duplicated regions of chromosomes 4 and 1. QTL relating to flowering time and photoperiod also show correspondence (Lin *et al.*, 1995). For example, a QTL on chromosome 10 of maize corresponds to a region of the sorghum genome bearing *Ma1*, the locus responsible for 85% of the flowering time variation in sorghum. Lin *et al.* (1995) also demonstrated that this correspondence of QTL could be extended to wheat and barley populations. Overall, these QTL correspondences suggest that domestication of these grasses was the result of mutations in a small number of genes with potentially large effects.

Due to the difficulties of map-based cloning of QTL, it is unclear if the genes underlying these corresponding QTL are identical. However, some

evidence indicates that the targets of breeding and domestication continue to be the same genes across the species. For example, mutations of the wheat *RhtD1a* and rice *Gai* orthologues both affect plant height and flowering time (Peng *et al.*, 1999). This gene appears to have played a role in the "Green Revolution" varieties of wheat that increased yield greatly in the 1960s and 1970s. Association and selection tests of the maize orthologue *dwarf8* also suggest that this locus is currently a target of selection and adaptation of maize to various flowering times (Thornsberry *et al.*, submitted). Orthologous loci have also been targeted for grain processing; for example, low amylose was selected for in both rice and maize by using the starch synthase-encoding *waxy* loci (Isshiki *et al.*, 1998; Shure *et al.*, 1983).

#### *How has diversity changed during domestication?*

Numerous studies have examined changes in diversity between wild relatives and domesticated grass species. Most of these studies were done with isozymes, SSRs, or RFLPs. These markers have been very useful for addressing evolutionary relationships and comparing diversity within species. However, differences in experimental systems make comparisons between species difficult. Nucleotide diversity studies have the primary advantage of being more comparable between laboratories and experimental systems. The main limitation with any type of diversity survey is that there can be a wide variance in diversity between loci, and only in maize have a large number of loci been examined thus far (Gaut *et al.*, 2000).

Maize (*Zea mays* ssp. *mays*) nucleotide diversity at silent sites averages 1.6% for genes that appear to be behaving neutrally, while the diversity in maize's wild relative *Z. mays* ssp. *parviglumis* is roughly 2% (Gaut *et al.*, 2000; White and Doebley, 1999). At individual loci, diversity estimates have ranged from 0.2% to 5% (White and Doebley, 1999). There has been roughly a 30% drop in diversity at the average locus from maize's wild relatives. Relative to other grasses, maize and its wild relatives appear to have high levels of genetic diversity, which is probably the result of high levels of outcrossing. Maize is a monoecious species with male and female reproductive parts that are physically separated, which facilitates outcrossing. Long-term effective population size is estimated at roughly a million plants, although smaller populations could have persisted for shorter times (Eyre-Walker *et al.* 1998).

The drop in diversity is substantially greater at genes involved in domestication. One maize gene involved in domestication, *teosinte branched1*, controls tillering and apical dominance, and was key in converting maize from a plant with multiple stalks

(tillers) to a plant with a single tiller (Doebley *et al.*, 1997). The promoter of this locus has 61-fold lower diversity in the crop than it does in the closest wild relative (Wang *et al.*, 1999). Interestingly, this drop in diversity does not extend for the entire length of the gene, as the coding region has levels of diversity similar to those at neutral loci. Analysis of this data assuming a reasonable set of population genetic parameters suggests that the process of domestication could have taken at least hundreds of years for *tb1* with only modest levels of selection (Wang *et al.*, 1999). Other surveys in maize kernel starch accumulation have found additional genes involved in domestication and breeding (Buckler in preparation). At these loci, low levels of diversity generally persist for the entire length of the gene indicating selection intensity or recombination rates could vary dramatically for each domestication locus. Currently, we do not have a good estimate of how many genes were involved in the domestication of maize.

In sorghum (*Sorghum bicolor*), surveys of nucleotide diversity of the members of the phytochrome gene family (*phyA*, *phyB* and *phyC*) in a set of wild subspecies, including the progenitors for cultivated sorghum, show an average diversity of 0.35%. However the diversity is heterogeneous between the gene family members, ranging from 0.14% (*phyA*) to 0.5% (*phyC*) (G. White, in preparation). Isozyme surveys suggest that domesticated *Sorghum bicolor* also has about two-thirds of the diversity of its wild relative (Morden *et al.*, 1990). A single survey of *Adh1* nucleotide diversity in millet (*Pennisetum glaucum*) indicates that cultivated type has modest diversity of 0.24% while the wild progenitor is at 0.36% (Gaut and Clegg, 1993b).

Wheat (*Triticum aestivum*) is a hexaploid (designated AABBDD). If wheat were the product of a single polyploidization event (AB x D), the likely result would have been a complete genetic bottleneck. However, this model is not consistent with some of the molecular surveys for the wheat genome. For example, the *A1* locus of the D genome has two very distinct haplotypes, which are found in both wheat and *Triticum tauschii* (D genome progenitor) (Talbert *et al.*, 1998). Overall diversity was roughly 1% at the locus in both the domesticated *T. aestivum* and the wild *T. tauschii* (Talbert *et al.*, 1998). A wider survey of the nucleotide diversity of the B and D genomes at anonymous loci suggests that average diversity in *Triticum aestivum* is 0.6% for the B genome and 0.4% for the D genome (Blake *et al.*, 1999). In wheat, estimates of RFLP diversity at *RbcS* have indicated that hexaploid wheat has perhaps 30% of the diversity levels found in its diploid relatives, but there are substantial differences between the A, B, and D genomes (Galili *et al.*, 2000).

In barley (*Hordeum vulgare*), the three examined

loci exhibit very different patterns of diversity. Surveys of *Adh1* and *Bkn-3* found low diversity levels of roughly 0.1% to 0.2% (Badr *et al.*, 2000; Cummings and Clegg, 1998; Petersen and Seberg, 1998). Diversity levels were roughly the same in both the domesticated and wild subspecies for these loci. In contrast, the *Adh3* locus in the wild *H. vulgare* ssp. *spontaneum* had diversity 10 fold higher at roughly 2.2% (Lin *et al.*, 2001). This high level of diversity results from two very different alleles that were distributed along geographic lines, unlike *Adh1*. *Adh3* diversity may be the product of ancient geographic separation combined with introgression and selection in this predominantly selfing subspecies. More surveys would be needed to clarify this fascinating situation in *Hordeum*.

Nucleotide diversity studies have not been carried out in hexaploid oat (*Avena sativa*), but isozyme surveys suggest that the domesticate has roughly two-thirds of the diversity in the hexaploid wild relative, *Avena sterilis* (Murphy and Phillips, 1993).

In rice (*Oryza sativa*), few nucleotide diversity studies have been conducted. A survey of a phytochrome intron in rice's wild relative *Orzya rufipogon* indicated the nucleotide diversity was 0.35% (Barbier *et al.*, 1991), while diversity at the multicopy prolamin family found silent diversity of 0.73% (Barbier and Ishihama, 1990). The evolutionary dynamics of this multicopy gene family are not comparable to the single copy loci. Divergence between *O. sativa* ssp. *japonica* and *indica* at the waxy locus promoter is 0.83% (Hirano *et al.*, 1998). Isozyme data suggests that domesticated rice has roughly 71% of the diversity of its wild relatives (Oka, 1988).

Overall, it is rather surprising how much diversity has been maintained in these grasses relative to their wild progenitors. In general, the domesticated relatives have two-thirds of the diversity found in wild relatives (Table 1). There has probably been a greater loss in terms of alleles for agronomic use, as nucleotide diversity estimates are relatively insensitive to the loss of rare alleles. The most likely factor for the maintenance of diversity in domesticated grasses is that they are generally used as a basis for subsistence. Large quantities of grass (and grain) are required before they are useful. For example, if 10 people derived 10% of the calories from wild *Triticum* or *Zea*, they would have to grow roughly 1–6 ha of plants (Hillman and Davies, 1990). Roughly 250,000–350,000 plants would have to be grown annually. Even in limited geographic regions, many millions of plants would have been grown during cultivation and the early stages of domestication. Although people likely applied strong selection during various phases of domestication, the bottlenecks were likely less severe in the grasses because of the need to grow large numbers for subsistence. The relatively small drops in diversity at neutral loci would correlate with dom-

Table 1. *Ploidy, nucleotide diversity, and yield differences between domesticated crops and wild progenitors*

	<i>Zea mays</i>	<i>Sorghum bicolor</i>	<i>Orzya sativa</i>	<i>Avena sativa</i>	<i>Hordeum vulgare</i>	<i>Triticum aevestium</i>	<i>Pennisetum glaucum</i>
	Maize	Sorghum	Rice	Oats	Barley	Wheat	Pearl Millet
Ploidy	Tetraploid <sup>1</sup>	Diploid	Diploid	Hexaploid	Diploid	Hexaploid	Diploid
Progenitor Diversity	0.0210	0.0035	0.0035 <sup>f</sup>		0.0014 <sup>f</sup>	0.0070 <sup>f</sup>	0.0036 <sup>f</sup>
Cultivar Diversity	0.0163				0.0016 <sup>f</sup>	0.0050	0.0024 <sup>f</sup>
Cult./Prog. Diversity <sup>2</sup>	78 %	60 %	71 %	65 %	117 %	71 %	67 %
Wild Yield <sup>3</sup>	0.16 <sup>w</sup>	< 0.60 <sup>c</sup>	1.12 <sup>a</sup>	2.93 <sup>a</sup>	0.65 <sup>w</sup>	0.65 <sup>w</sup>	< 0.55 <sup>c</sup>
Yield in 1961 <sup>4</sup>	2.5	2.4	4.1	1.8	2.4	2.4	1.1
Yield in 2000 <sup>4</sup>	9.1	5.9	5.6	4.5	6.4	7.1	1.5
Recent Yield Gain	3.6	2.5	1.4	2.5	2.7	3.0	1.4
Gain Over Progenitor	55.9	> 9.9	5.0	1.5	9.8	11.0	> 2.7

1. Maize has many duplications and may be an ancient tetraploid.

2. Ratio of cultivar to wild progenitor nucleotide diversity. If nucleotide diversity estimates were missing, isozyme data was substituted. References for diversity estimates can be found in the text. Averages based on only one or two loci are indicated by <sup>f</sup>.

3. Estimates of yield for wild progenitors come from both the wild (<sup>w</sup>) and agricultural field stations (<sup>a</sup>). The field station estimates are higher than would be expected in the wild. When wild estimates were unavailable, estimates from landrace cultivars (<sup>c</sup>) in the region of domestication were substituted (ICRISAT, Murphy and Frey, 1984; Oka, 1988; Wilkes, 1967; Zohary, 1969).

4. Yield estimates are from FAO average yields in France from 1961 and 2000.

estication events involving millions of plants. For instance, the patterns of diversity at the maize domestication gene *tb1* are consistent with reasonably large effective population sizes being maintained during domestication (Wang *et al.*, 1999).

#### *What gains in yield have been realized from grass domestication and breeding?*

The first targets of domestication were likely genes that made harvesting easier and allowed expansion into new environments. Soon after, yield must have become a primary objective as greater yield would have substantially reduced the labor input and land needs. Domestication and breeding have been remarkably successful at increasing yield in the grasses for a small group of today's cultivars. For the most successful cultivars, the average yields of wild stands were probably under 1 Mg/ha compared to today's yield of 4–9 Mg/ha (Table 1).

This represents a gain over the wild yield of roughly 5–10 fold, and this increase is continuing as most of the major grass species have exhibited two to three fold increases in yield over the last 40 years. Although some of this gain is through intensification of agricultural inputs, a substantial portion reflects gains by genetics. Generally, estimates suggest that 40–80 % of the yield gain in maize, wheat, and barley over the last century has been from genetic improvements (Evans *et al.*, 1993; Hallauer *et al.*, 1988). Impressively, maize yield has increased 55 fold through its history of domestication. This dramatic rise in yield from a

physiological perspective probably reflects the poor yield of maize's wild relatives. But from a genetic perspective, this increase was likely possible only through maize's high diversity, which is 3–10 fold higher than other grasses (Table 1).

Domestication has radically changed many grass species, and in many cases human selection has moved these species towards similar phenotypes and adaptations. It is likely that many of the same genes have been involved in all of these domestication events, but the origin of the genetic variation, which allows for the modification of these species, still remains unclear. The variation necessary for selection may come from genome duplications, large effective population size, and/or high mutations rates. The two most productive domesticates (maize and wheat) both have many duplicated loci, yet maize has higher diversity compared to wheat. To more fully understand the importance of genome structure and diversity in the grasses it will be necessary to sample nucleotide diversity and genome structure from some of the domestication failures. For example, prior to the shift to maize, Mexican *Setaria* species appear to have been more productive than maize and more widely used throughout Mexico, but domestication does not appear to have occurred (Callen, 1967). Was this a result of the species not responding to selection? One method of addressing this question would be to contrast nucleotide diversity at a sample of unlinked loci from several cultivars of unsuccessful grasses with nucleotide diversity in successful grass domesticates. It would be important to do this for loci that are not expected to play a role in domestication, as selection

at specific loci can greatly skew diversity estimates. The research at the *Adh1* locus for three grasses has already been very informative in suggesting how different life history traits may relate to diversity (Cummings and Clegg, 1998; Gaut and Clegg, 1993a; Gaut and Clegg, 1993b), but with high throughput sequencing becoming more accessible, surveys across multiple taxa and loci are now becoming feasible.

As the human population continues to grow and arable land becomes limited, it is critical that substantial yield increases continue. The grasses are key to meeting these needs, and their similar genomes provide a unique opportunity to use them as a single evolutionary genetic and functional genomic system (Freeling, 2001). Understanding why grass domestication has succeeded or failed in the past should provide important knowledge on how to exploit diversity and genome structure for future agricultural improvement.

We thank Gemma White for contributing unpublished data. We also thank Carlyn Keith Buckler, Julie Ho, Sherry Whitt, Ken Olsen, and Greg Gibson for commenting on this manuscript. JMT was supported with supported by NSF grant DBI-9872631.

## References

- Ahn, S., Anderson, J. A., Sorrells, M. E. & Tanksley, S. D. (1993). Homoeologous relationships of rice, wheat and maize chromosomes. *Molecular and General Genetics* **241**, 483–490.
- Badr, A., Muller, K., Schafer-Pregl, R., El Rabey, H., Effgen, S., Ibrahim, H. H., Pozzi, C., Rohde, W. & Salamini, F. (2000). On the origin and domestication history of barley (*Hordeum vulgare*). *Molecular Biology and Evolution* **17**, 499–510.
- Barbier, P. & Ishihama, A. (1990). Variation in the nucleotide sequence of a prolamin gene family in wild rice. *Plant Molecular Biology* **15**, 191–195.
- Barbier, P., Morishima, H. & Ishihama, A. (1991). Phylogenetic relationships of annual and perennial wild rice: probing by direct DNA sequencing. *Theoretical and Applied Genetics* **81**, 693–702.
- Bennetzen, J. L. (2000). Comparative sequence analysis of plant nuclear genomes: Microcolinearity and its many exceptions. *Plant Cell* **12**, 1021–1029.
- Blake, N. K., Leffeldt, B. R., Lavin, M. & Talbert, L. E. (1999). Phylogenetic reconstruction based on low copy DNA sequence data in an allopolyploid: the B genome of wheat. *Genome* **42**, 351–60.
- Callen, E. O. (1967). The first New World cereal. *American Antiquity* **32**, 535–538.
- Chen, M., SanMiguel, P., deOliveira, A. C., Woo, S. S., Zhang, H., Wing, R. A. & Bennetzen, J. L. (1997). Microcolinearity in sh2-homologous regions of the maize, rice, and sorghum genomes. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the USA* **94**, 3431–3435.
- Cummings, M. P. & Clegg, M. T. (1998). Nucleotide sequence diversity at the *alcohol dehydrogenase 1* locus in wild barley (*Hordeum vulgare* ssp. *spontaneum*): An evaluation of the background selection hypothesis. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the USA* **95**, 5637–5642.
- Doebley, J., Stec, A. & Hubbard, L. (1997). The evolution of apical dominance in maize. *Nature* **386**, 485–488.
- Evans, L. T. & Evans, T. L. T. (1993). *Crop evolution, adaptation, and yield*. Cambridge University Press: New York.
- Eyre-Walker, A., Gaut, R. L., Hilton, H., Feldman, D. L. & Gaut, B. S. (1998). Investigation of the bottleneck leading to the domestication of maize. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the USA* **95**, 4441–4446.
- Feldman, M., Liu, B., Segal, G., Abbo, S., Levy, A. & Vega, J. (1997). Rapid elimination of low-copy DNA sequences in polyploid wheat: a possible mechanism for differentiation of homoeologous chromosomes. *Genetics* **147**, 1381–1387.
- Freeling, M. (2001). Grasses as a single genetic system. Reassessment 2001. *Plant Physiology* **125**, 1191–7.
- Galili, S., Avivi, Y., Millet, E. & Feldman, M. (2000). RFLP-based analysis of three *RbcS* subfamilies in diploid and polyploid species of wheat. *Molecular and General Genetics* **263**, 674–80.
- Gaut, B. S. (2001). Patterns of chromosomal duplication in maize and their implications for comparative maps of the grasses. *Genome Research* **11**, 55–66.
- Gaut, B. S. & Clegg, M. T. (1993a). Molecular evolution of the *Adh1* locus in genus *Zea*. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the USA* **90**, 5095–5099.
- Gaut, B. S. & Clegg, M. T. (1993b). Nucleotide polymorphism in the *Adh1* locus of pearl millet (*Pennisetum glaucum*) (Poaceae). *Genetics* **135**, 1091–1097.
- Gaut, B. S. & Doebley, J. F. (1997). DNA sequence evidence for the segmental allotetraploid origin of maize. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the USA* **94**, 6809–6814.
- Gaut, B. S., Le Thierry d'Ennequin, M., Peek, A. S. & Sawkins, M. C. (2000). Maize as a model for the evolution of plant nuclear genomes. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the USA* **97**, 7008–15.
- Hallauer, A. R., Russell, W. A. & Lamkey, K. R. (1988). Corn Breeding. Pp. 463–564 in G. F. Sprague and J. W. Dudley, eds. *Corn and Corn Improvement*. American Society of Agronomy, Inc., Madison, Wisconsin.
- Hancock, J. F. (1992). *Plant evolution and the origin of crop species*. Prentice Hall: Englewood Cliffs, NJ.
- Hillman, G. & Davies, M. S. (1990). Domestication rates in wild-type wheats and barley under primitive cultivation. *Biological Journal of the Linnean Society* **39**, 39–78.
- Hirano, H. Y., Eiguchi, M. & Sano, Y. (1998). A single base change altered the regulation of the *Waxy* gene at the posttranscriptional level during the domestication of rice. *Molecular Biology and Evolution* **15**, 978–987.
- Isshiki, M., Morino, K., Nakajima, M., Okagaki, R. J., Wessler, S. R., Izawa, T. & Shimamoto, K. (1998). A naturally occurring functional allele of the rice *waxy* locus has a GT to TT mutation at the 5' splice site of the first intron. *Plant Journal* **15**, 133–138.
- Kellogg, E. A. (2001). Evolutionary history of the grasses. *Plant Physiology* **125**, 1198–205.
- Lin, J. Z., Brown, A. H. D. & Clegg, M. T. (2001). Heterogeneous geographic patterns of nucleotide sequence diversity between two alcohol dehydrogenase genes in wild barley (*Hordeum vulgare* subspecies *spontaneum*). *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the USA* **98**, 531–536.
- Lin, Y. R., Schertz, K. F. & Paterson, A. H. (1995). Comparative analysis of QTLs affecting plant height and maturity across the Poaceae, in reference to an interspecific sorghum population. *Genetics* **141**, 391–411.

- Morden, C. W., Doebley, J. & Schertz, K. F. (1990). Allozyme variation among the spontaneous species of *Sorghum* section *Sorghum* (Poaceae). *Theoretical and Applied Genetics* **80**, 296–304.
- Murphy, J. P. & Frey, K. J. (1984). Comparisons of oat populations developed by intraspecific and interspecific hybridization. *Crop Science* **24**, 531–536.
- Murphy, J. P. & Phillips, T. D. (1993). Isozyme variation in cultivated oat and its progenitor species, *Avena sterilis* L. *Crop Science* **33**, 1366–1372.
- Oka, H. I. (1988). *Origin of cultivated rice*. Elsevier Science Publishing Co., New York.
- Paterson, A. H., Lin, Y. R., Li, Z., Schertz, K. F., Doebley, J. F., Pinson, S. R. M., Liu, S. C., Stansel, J. W. & Irvine, J. E. (1995). Convergent domestication of cereal crops by independent mutations at corresponding genetic loci. *Science* **269**, 1714–1718.
- Peng, J., Richards, D. E., Hartley, N. M., Murphy, G. P., Devos, K. M., Flintham, J. E., Beales, J., Fish, L. J., Worland, A. J., Pelica, F., Sudhakar, D., Christou, P., Snape, J. W., Gale, M. D. & Harberd, N. P. (1999). 'Green revolution' genes encode mutant gibberellin response modulators. *Nature* **400**, 256–61.
- Petersen, G. & Seberg, O. (1998). Molecular characterization and sequence polymorphism of the *alcohol dehydrogenase 1* gene in *Hordeum vulgare* L. *Euphytica* **102**, 57–63.
- Petrov, D. A., Sangster, T., Johnston, J., Hartl, D. L. & Shaw, K. L. (2000). Evidence for DNA loss as a determinant of genome size. *Science* **287**, 1060–1062.
- SanMiguel, P., Tikhonov, A., Jin, Y. K., Motchoulskaia, N., Zakharov, D., Melakeberhan, A., Springer, P. S., Edwards, K. J., Lee, M., Avramova, Z. & Bennetzen, J. L. (1996). Nested retrotransposons in the intergenic regions of the maize genome. *Science* **274**, 765–768.
- Shure, M., Wessler, S. & Fedoroff, N. (1983). Molecular identification and isolation of the *Waxy* locus in maize. *Cell* **35**, 225–233.
- Talbert, L. E., Smith, L. Y. & Blake, M. K. (1998). More than one origin of hexaploid wheat is indicated by sequence comparison of low-copy DNA. *Genome* **41**, 402–407.
- Tamayo, P., Slonim, D., Mesirov, J., Zhu, Q., Kitareewan, S., Dmitrovsky, E., Lander, E. S. & Golub, T. R. (1999). Interpreting patterns of gene expression with self-organizing maps: Methods and application to hematopoietic differentiation. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the USA* **96**, 2907–2912.
- Tarchini, R., Biddle, P., Wineland, R., Tingey, S. & Rafalski, A. (2000). The complete sequence of 340 kb of DNA around the rice *Adh1-Adh2* region reveals interrupted colinearity with maize chromosome 4. *Plant Cell* **12**, 381–391.
- Van der Meer, I. M., Stuitje, A. R. & Mol, J. N. M. (1993). Regulation of general phenylpropanoid and flavonoid gene expression. Pp. 125–155 in D. P. S. Verma, ed. *Control of Plant Gene Expression*. CRC Press: Boca Raton, Florida.
- Wang, R. L., Stec, A., Hey, J., Lukens, L. & Doebley, J. (1999). The limits of selection during maize domestication. *Nature* **398**, 236–9.
- White, S. E. & Doebley, J. F. (1999). The molecular evolution of *terminal ear1*, a regulatory gene in the genus *Zea*. *Genetics* **153**, 1455–1462.
- Wilkes, H. G. (1967). *Teosinte: the closest relative of maize*. The Bussey Institute of Harvard University: Cambridge, MA.
- Zohary, D. (1969). The progenitors of wheat and barley in relation to domestication and agricultural dispersal in the Old World. Pp. 47–66 in P. J. Ucko and G. W. Dimbleby, eds. *The domestication and exploitation of plants and animals*. Aldine Publishing Co., Chicago.