

# Retrospective

## Morry

### Good:

1. Overall well scheduled and planned for most of the progress
2. Well balanced workload for each team member
3. Good working habits in app development, including pull-request, reviewer and maintaining workflow

### Not good:

1. Changing tech tools in the middle of development - at the start we did not thoroughly think about the pros and cons of using MongoDB with Django
2. Some of the work could not be done on time, under-estimated workload

### Improvement:

1. Should do more research about the tech we are going to use at the starting stage
2. Divide difficult works further into smaller pieces

## Shouyin

### Good:

1. Development is kind of smooth and in a relatively fast pace
2. Planning is quite clear

### Not good:

1. Not much experience, some planning is bad
2. Time management

### Improvement:

1. Would be better if we read more articles at the start to get a sense of overall technology stack, so we can avoid getting into trouble. Same for future development.

## Kevin

### Good:

1. UI Design was quite smooth, did not encounter many disputes among team members. Our front end dev also mostly follows the UI design
2. Able to solve most tech difficulties with the help of each other

Developed good structure of code and repository, and had user stories and diagrams to support app development

### Not good:

1. Requirement unclear at the start, and made a few changes later on due to different understanding compared to client
2. Changed backend, since we lacked thinking at the start

### Improvement:

1. Keep communicating with client and further break down functionality into details
2. Work on backend carefully, and no code before confident about overall structure

## Miley

### Good:

1. We have a good communication within the team. We have a meeting every week. Every team member contributes to the team effectively.
2. We are in a good progress, finish checklist step by step. Our design totally are based on the requirement of client.

### Bad:

1. Compared with other group, our documentation is not sufficient at the start, digging into code too fast.

### Improve:

1. We are working on improving documentation