Retrospective Sprint 2

Morry

Good:

1. Overall well scheduled and planned

2. Break down of big features into small functionalities

3. Wrote simple automated tests for debugging

Not good:

1. Communication with client was not very sufficient at the start and this means we need more time to communicate with them regarding requirements in the middle

Improvement:

1. Keep up with good work on workflow in the final sprint

2. Confirm with client final requests

Shouyin

Good:

1. We read more articles in the second sprint and got a better sense of Android development in React Native

2. Better executions

Not good:

1. Design should be improved, i.e. we don't have a very inclusive consideration at the start

Improvement:

1. Implementation separate from design: when we find some issues in implementation, we shall finish implementation and then revise design part to ensure workflow efficiency.

Kevin

Good:

1. Good coding practice in app development, including pull requests, code review, good coding habits

2. Smooth cooperation among team members, weekly meetings and all progress on track

3. Break down big tasks, made use of user stories and used Trello for task management

Not good:

1. Design and implementations sometimes a bit inconsistent due to a lack of consideration for design at the start.

Improvement:

1. Polish and finishing up all components in the final sprint

2. Obtain more accurate requirements from the client

Miley

Good:

1. Overall well organised and smooth development

2. Regular meeting and good communication within our group. Everyone contributes to the project.

3. Already finished most of the work and had time for polish

Bad:

1. Not Enough communication with clients.

Improve:

1. Make a timeline for the last sprint so that we can finish the project smoothly and without rush