# 2630 - CID510130\_RoanokeCity\_CFPF-3

## **Application Details**

**Funding Opportunity:** 

2337-Virginia Community Flood Preparedness Fund - Study Grants - CY24 Round 5

Program Area: Virginia Community Flood Preparedness Fund

Application Status: Under Review
Stage: Final Application
Organization: ROANOKE CITY
Applicant: Mckenzie Brocker

**Internal Status:** 

Initial Submit Date: Jan 9, 2025 11:37 AM

Initially Submitted By: Logan Helsley

Last Submit Date:
Last Submitted By:

# Karyn Reid

### **Review Details**

Round: 1

Reviewer: Karyn Reid
Type: Internal
Role: Primary

Review Status: Submitted

Submitted Date: Feb 7, 2025 11:47 AM

Score: 22428665.00

## Studies Grant Scoring - Round 4

#### Eligibility and Scoring

## Eligibility

Is the applicant a local government (including counties, cities, towns, municipal corporations, authorities, districts, commissions, or political subdivisions created by the General Assembly or pursuant to the Constitution or laws of the Commonwealth, or any combination of these)?

Yes = Eligible for consideration No = Not eligible for consideration

Local Government\*: Yes

Does the local government have an approved resilience plan and has provided a copy or link to the plan with this application?

Yes = Eligible for consideration under all categories

No = Eligible for consideration for studies, capacity building, and planning only

Resilience Plan\*: Yes

If the applicant is <u>not a town, city, or county</u>, are letters of support from all affected local governments included in this application?

Yes = Eligible for consideration No = Not eligible for consideration

Letters of Support\*: N/A

Has this or any portion of this project been included in any application or program previously funded by the Department?

Yes = Not eligible for consideration No = Eligible for consideration

Previously Funded\*: No

Has the applicant provided evidence of an ability to provide the required matching funds?

Yes = Eligible for consideration
No = Not eligible for consideration

N/A = Match not required

Required Matching Funds\*: Yes

Project Eligible for Yes

Consideration\*:

#### **Eligibility Comments:**

Unclear if Authorization includes this study's two areas of interest, Trout Run (TR) and Hortons Branch (HB) watersheds. Authorization specifically lists Ore Branch Floodplain Restoration (not in TR or HB) & Indian Village Floodplain Restoration (undetermined boundaries) and Strauss Park Floodplain Bench (not in TB or HB).

## Scoring - Eligible Studies (Select all that apply)

Revising floodplain ordinances to maintain compliance with the NFIP or to incorporate higher standards that may reduce the risk of flood damage. This must include establishing processes for implementing the ordinance, including but not limited to, permitting, record retention, violations, and variances. This may include revising a floodplain ordinance when the community is getting new Flood Insurance Rate Maps (FIRMs), updating a floodplain ordinance to include floodplain setbacks or freeboard, or correcting issues identified in a Corrective Action Plan.

(If Yes - 30 Points | If No - 0 Points)

Revising Floodplain No

Ordinances\*:

Creating tools or applications to identify, aggregate, or display information on flood risk or creating a crowd-sourced mapping platform that gathers data points about real-time flooding. This could include a locally or regionally based web-based mapping product that allows local residents to better understand their flood risk.

(If Yes - 25 Points | If No - 0 Points)

Mapping Platform\*:

Conducting hydrologic and hydraulic studies of floodplains. Applicants who create new maps must apply for a Letter of Map Revision or a Physical Map Revision through the Federal Emergency Management Agency (FEMA).

(If Yes - 15 Points | If No - 0 Points)

Hydrologic and Hydraulic Yes

Studies\*:

# Funding of studies of statewide and regional significance and proposals will be considered for the studies listed below - Up to 45 points

#### Studies and Data Collection of Statewide and Regional Significance Scoring:

Updating precipitation data and IDF information (rain intensity, duration, frequency estimates) including such data at a sub-state or regional scale on a periodic basis. (45)

Regional relative sea level rise projections for use in determining future impacts. (45)

Vulnerability analysis either statewide or regionally to state transportation, water supply, water treatment, impounding structures, or other significant and vital infrastructure from flooding. (45)

Flash flood studies and modeling in riverine regions of the state. (45)

Statewide or regional stream gauge monitoring to include expansion of existing gauge networks. (45)

New or updated delineations of areas of recurrent flooding, stormwater flooding, and storm surge vulnerability in coastal areas that include projections for future conditions based on sea level rise, more intense rainfall events, or other relevant flood risk factors. (45)

Regional flood studies in riverine communities that may include watershed scale evaluation, updated estimates of rainfall intensity, or other information. (45)

Regional hydrologic and hydraulic studies of floodplains. (45)

Studies of potential land use strategies that could be implemented by a local government to reduce or mitigate damage from coastal or riverine flooding. (40)

Other proposals that will significantly improve protection from flooding on a statewide or regional basis (35)

#### Studies and Data Collection of

#### Statewide and Regional

#### Significance\*:

**Is the project area socially vulnerable?** (based on ADAPT Virginia's Social Vulnerability Index Score) **Social Vulnerability Scoring:** 

Very High Social Vulnerability (More than 1.5) - 10 Points

High Social Vulnerability (1.0 to 1.5) - 8 Points

Moderate Social Vulnerability (0.0 to 1.0) - 5 Points

Low Social Vulnerability (-1.0 to 0.0) - 0 Points

Very Low Social Vulnerability (Less than -1.0) - 0 Points

Socially Vulnerable High Social Vulnerability

#### Scoring\*:

Is the proposed project part of an effort to join or remedy the community's probation or suspension from the NFIP? (If Yes - 5 Points | If No - 0 Points)

NFIP\*: No

#### Is the proposed project in a low-income geographic area as defined below?

"Low-income geographic area" means any locality, or community within a locality, that has a median household income that is not greater than 80 percent of the local median household income, or any area in the Commonwealth designated as a qualified opportunity zone by the U.S. Secretary of the Treasury via his delegation of authority to the Internal Revenue Service. A project of any size within a low-income geographic area will be considered.

(If Yes - 10 Points | If No - 0 Points)

Low-Income Geographic Area\*: Yes

Projects eligible for funding may also reduce nutrient and sediment pollution to local waters and the Chesapeake Bay and assist the Commonwealth in achieving local and/or Chesapeake Bay TMDLs.

Does the proposed project include implementation of one or more best management practices with a nitrogen, phosphorus, or sediment reduction efficiency established by the Virginia Department of Environmental Quality or the Chesapeake Bay Program Partnership in support of the Chesapeake Bay TMDL Phase III Watershed Implementation Plan?

(If Yes - 5 Points | If No - 0 Points)

Reduction of Nutrient and No

**Sediment Pollution\*:** 

Study - Rep Loss Properties\*: 95.00

Study - Count Total Rep 0.00

Losses\*:

Study - Total All Losses\*: 20228450.00

Study - Reviewer Project

Description\*:

Watershed modelling for the Trout Run and Hortons Branch watersheds in Downtown Roanoke.

#### **Scoring Comments:**

This application should receive points for conducting hydrologic and hydraulic studies, flash flood studies and modeling in riverine regions, and studies of potential land use strategies.

There are no records of Repetitive Loss Properties or Count Total Repetitive Loss in the City of Roanoke.

#### **Special Conditions 1:**

Applicant must submit either a new/revised "Authorization to request funding" clearly including the study's areas of interest or a clarification on how the study's areas of interest are connected to the projects specifically listed in the provided authorization.

**Special Conditions 2:** 

**Special Conditions 3:** 

**Special Conditions 4:** 

**Special Conditions 5:** 

Approval Decision\*: Yes

Recommended CFPF Amount\*: 990000.00

Recommended Match Amount\*: 110000.00

Recommended Total Amount\*: 1100000.00

# **Brandy Buford**

#### **Review Details**

Round: 2

Reviewer: Brandy Buford

Type: Internal Role: Primary

Review Status: Submitted

Submitted Date: Feb 24, 2025 7:39 AM

Score: 0.00

## Studies Review - Floodplain Manager 1 - Round 4

#### Capacity Building & Planning - Floodplain Manager Review 1 - Round 4

Select "Approved" to move application to next round as approved.

Select "Denied" to move application to next round as denied.

Approved Application:\*: Approved

Comments

Comments:

Attach relevant documents if necessary

Attachments: