



## MAT 3007 – Optimization

### Assignment 7

Due: 11:59pm, Nov. 24 (Friday), 2023

#### Instructions:

- Homework problems must be carefully and clearly answered to receive full credit. Complete sentences that establish a clear logical progression are highly recommended.
  - Please submit your assignment on Blackboard.
  - The homework must be written in English.
  - Late submission will not be graded.
  - Each student must not copy homework solutions from another student or from any other source.
- 

#### Problem 1 (Convex Sets):

(approx. 25 points)

In this exercise, we study convexity of various sets.

- a) Verify whether the following sets are convex or not and explain your answer!

$$\Omega_1 = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n : \alpha \leq (a^\top x)^2 \leq \beta\}, \quad \alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{R}, \quad 0 < \alpha \leq \beta, \quad a \in \mathbb{R}^n,$$
$$\Omega_2 = \{(x, t) \in \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R} : x^\top x \leq t^2\}.$$

- b) Decide whether the following statements are true or false. Explain your answer and either present a proof / verification or a counter-example.
- The intersection of two convex sets  $\Omega_1, \Omega_2 \subset \mathbb{R}^n$  is always a convex set.
  - Let  $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$  be a convex set and suppose that the set  $S := \{(x, t) \in \Omega \times \mathbb{R} : f(x) \leq t\} \subset \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}$  is convex. Then,  $f : \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is a convex function.

#### Solution :

- a) The set  $\Omega_1$  is not convex. To see this, let us set  $n = 1$ . Let  $a = 1$ ,  $\alpha = 1$  and  $\beta = 4$ , the set  $\Omega_1$  is

$$\Omega_1 = [1, 2] \cup [-2, -1].$$

Let  $x_1 = 1$  and  $x_2 = -1$ . Then, obviously  $x_1, x_2 \in \Omega_1$ , but the point  $\frac{1}{2}x_1 + \frac{1}{2}x_2 = 0$  is not contained in  $\Omega_1$ . Hence,  $\Omega_1$  cannot be convex.

The set  $\Omega_2$  is not convex. To see this, let us set  $n = 1$  and  $(x_1, t_1) = (1, 1)$ ,  $(x_2, t_2) = (1, -1)$ . Then, obviously  $(x_1, t_1), (x_2, t_2) \in \Omega_2$ , but the point  $\frac{1}{2}(x_1, t_1) + \frac{1}{2}(x_2, t_2) = (1, 0)$  is not contained in  $\Omega_2$ . Hence,  $\Omega_2$  cannot be convex.

- b) The first statement is true: let  $x, y \in \Omega_1 \cap \Omega_2$  and  $\lambda \in [0, 1]$  be arbitrary. Then, by convexity of  $\Omega_1$  and  $\Omega_2$ , it follows  $\lambda x + (1 - \lambda)y \in \Omega_1$  and  $\lambda x + (1 - \lambda)y \in \Omega_2$ . This shows  $\lambda x + (1 - \lambda)y \in \Omega_1 \cap \Omega_2$  and thus, the intersection  $\Omega_1 \cap \Omega_2$  is a convex set.

We verify the second statement briefly. Let  $x, y \in \Omega$  and  $\lambda \in [0, 1]$  be arbitrary. Then, we have  $(x, f(x)), (y, f(y)) \in S$ . By the convexity of  $S$ , we can infer  $\lambda(x, f(x)) + (1 - \lambda)(y, f(y)) = (\lambda x + (1 - \lambda)y, \lambda f(x) + (1 - \lambda)f(y)) \in S$ . However, by definition of  $S$ , this means

$$f(\lambda x + (1 - \lambda)y) \leq \lambda f(x) + (1 - \lambda)f(y).$$

Since  $x, y \in \Omega$  and  $\lambda \in [0, 1]$  are arbitrary, this implies that  $f$  is convex on  $\Omega$ .

**Problem 2 (Convex Compositions):**

(approx. 20 points)

Either prove or find a counterexample for each of the following statements (you can assume that all functions are twice continuously differentiable if needed):

- If  $f : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  and  $g : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  are concave, then the composition  $f \circ g : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ ,  $(f \circ g)(x) = f(g(x))$  is concave.
- Let  $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$  be a convex set and suppose that  $g : \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is concave and  $f : I \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is concave and nondecreasing where  $I \supseteq g(\Omega)$  is an interval containing  $g(\Omega)$ . Then,  $f \circ g$  is convex.
- If  $f : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is convex, then  $x \mapsto |f(x)|$  is a convex function on  $\mathbb{R}$ .

**Solution :**

- False.* Let  $n = 1$ . Set  $g(x) = -x^2$  and  $f(x) = -x$ . Both functions are obviously concave, but  $f(g(x)) = x^2$  is a convex function.
- False.* We prove this result by using the basic definition of concavity. Let  $x, y \in \Omega$  and  $\lambda \in [0, 1]$  be arbitrary. Using the concavity of  $g$ , we have  $g(\lambda x + (1 - \lambda)y) \geq \lambda g(x) + (1 - \lambda)g(y)$ . Since the interval  $I$  is convex and we have  $g(\Omega) \subseteq I$ , it follows  $\lambda g(x) + (1 - \lambda)g(y) \in I$ . Moreover, since  $f$  is nondecreasing, we have

$$f(g(\lambda x + (1 - \lambda)y)) \geq f(\lambda g(x) + (1 - \lambda)g(y)) \geq \lambda f(g(x)) + (1 - \lambda)f(g(y)),$$

where we used the concavity of  $f$  in the last step. This shows that  $f \circ g$  is concave.

- False.* Consider the following counterexample

$$f(x) = x^2 - 1 \quad \text{and} \quad |f(x)| = \begin{cases} x^2 - 1 & |x| \geq 1, \\ 1 - x^2 & |x| \leq 1, \end{cases}.$$

Taking second order derivative, we know that  $f$  is convex, but  $|f(x)|$  is not convex when  $|x| \leq 1$ .

**Problem 3 (Convex Functions):**

(approx. 30 points)

In this exercise, convexity properties of different functions are investigated.

- Let  $r : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  be defined as  $r(x) = \max_i |x_i|$ . Show that  $r$  is a convex function.
- Verify that the following functions are convex over the specified domain:

$$- f : \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}_{++} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}, f(x) := x_1^2/x_2, \text{ where } \mathbb{R}_{++} := \{x \in \mathbb{R} : x > 0\}.$$

- $f : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ ,  $f(x) := \frac{1}{2}\|Ax - b\|^2 + \mu\|Lx\|_\infty$ , where  $A \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times n}$ ,  $L \in \mathbb{R}^{p \times n}$ ,  $b \in \mathbb{R}^m$ , and  $\mu > 0$  are given and  $\|y\|_\infty := \max_{i=1, \dots, p} |y_i|$ ,  $y \in \mathbb{R}^p$ .
- $f : \mathbb{R}^{n+1} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ ,  $f(x, y) := \frac{\lambda}{2}\|x\|^2 + \sum_{i=1}^m \max\{0, 1 - b_i(a_i^\top x + y)\}$ , where  $a_i \in \mathbb{R}^n$  and  $b_i \in \{-1, 1\}$  are given data points for all  $i = 1, \dots, m$  and  $\lambda > 0$  is a parameter.
- c) Let us set  $f(x) = \|x\|_1 := \sum_{i=1}^n |x_i|$  and define  $g : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ ,  $g(x) := \max_{y \in \mathbb{R}^n} y^\top x - f(y)$ .

Calculate  $g(x)$  explicitly and verify that the function  $g$  is convex.

**Solution :**

- a) Let  $x, y \in \mathbb{R}^n$  and  $\lambda \in [0, 1]$  be given. Then the triangle inequality implies

$$\begin{aligned} r(\lambda x + (1 - \lambda)y) &= \max_i |\lambda x_i + (1 - \lambda)y_i| \\ &\leq \max_i |\lambda x_i| + \max_i |(1 - \lambda)y_i| \\ &= \lambda r(x) + (1 - \lambda)r(y). \end{aligned}$$

This shows that  $r$  is convex.

- b) To show  $f(x) = x_1^2/x_2$  is convex, we note that (for  $x_2 > 0$ ), we have

$$\nabla^2 f(x) = \begin{pmatrix} 2/x_2 & -2x_1/x_2^2 \\ -2x_1/x_2^2 & 2x_1^2/x_2^3 \end{pmatrix} = \frac{2}{x_2^3} \begin{pmatrix} x_2 & -x_1 \\ -x_1 & x_1^2/x_2 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} x_2 \\ -x_1 \end{pmatrix}^T \succeq 0.$$

Hence,  $f(x)$  is convex.

We now study  $f(x) := \frac{1}{2}\|Ax - b\|^2 + \mu\|Lx\|_\infty$ . Since  $\frac{1}{2}\|\cdot\|^2$  is convex (the Hessian is the identity matrix), we know that  $x \mapsto \frac{1}{2}\|Ax - b\|^2$  is convex as a composition of a linear and convex function. Moreover, part a) implies that the maximum-norm  $\|\cdot\|_\infty$  is convex. Again  $x \mapsto \|Lx\|_\infty$  is then a convex function. Together, this shows that  $f$  is convex.

Finally, let us define  $g(x, y) = \frac{\lambda}{2}\|x\|^2$  and  $g_i(x, y) = \max\{0, 1 - b_i(a_i^\top x + y)\}$ . Then,  $f$  can be interpreted as the sum of the functions  $g$  and  $g_i$ ,  $i = 1, \dots, m$  and convexity follows if each of the functions  $g$ ,  $g_i$ ,  $i = 1, \dots, m$  is convex. The mapping  $g_i$  is the maximum of the constant function  $(x, y) \mapsto 0$  and of the affine-linear function  $(x, y) \mapsto h_i(x, y) := 1 - b_i(a_i^\top x + y)$ . Since both of these functions are convex (as linear mappings), the function  $g_i$  is convex. Finally, the Hessian of  $g$  is given by

$$\mathbb{R}^{(n+1) \times (n+1)} \ni \nabla^2 g(x, y) = \lambda \begin{pmatrix} I & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \succeq 0.$$

This establishes convexity of  $f$ .

- c) Defining  $h_y(x) := y^\top x - f(y)$ , we see that  $h_y$  is linear in  $x$  for every fixed  $y \in \mathbb{R}^n$ . Hence,  $g(x) := \sup_{y \in \mathbb{R}^n} h_y(x)$  can be interpreted as the maximum/supremum of the infinite family  $\{h_y(x)\}_{y \in \mathbb{R}^n}$ . According to the lecture, since each  $h_y$  is convex, we can infer that  $g$  is a convex mapping (this observation is completely independent of  $f$ ).

We now want to express  $g(x)$  explicitly. First, let us notice that  $f(x) = \|x\|_1$  is a convex

function.

$$\begin{aligned}
f(\lambda x + (1 - \lambda)y) &= \|\lambda x + (1 - \lambda)y\|_1 \\
&= \sum_{i=1}^n |\lambda x_i + (1 - \lambda)y_i| \\
&\leq \sum_{i=1}^n |\lambda x_i| + \sum_{i=1}^n |(1 - \lambda)y_i| \\
&= \lambda f(x) + (1 - \lambda)f(y)
\end{aligned}$$

Consequently, the function  $y \mapsto y^\top x - f(y)$  is concave in  $y$  and we can show that

$$g(x) = \begin{cases} 0 & \|x\|_\infty \leq 1, \\ \infty & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}.$$

To see this, let's consider  $\|x\|_\infty > 1$  first, i.e., there exists  $j$  such that  $x_j > 1$ . Choose a special  $y$  such that it is all 0 except for the  $j$ -th positions and set  $y_j = tx_j$  with  $t > 0$ . Then

$$x^\top y - \|y\|_1 = \sum_j (x_j y_j - |y_j|) = \sum_j t(x_j^2 - |x_j|) \rightarrow \infty$$

as  $t \rightarrow \infty$ , which shows  $g(x) = \infty$ . Conversely, if  $\|x\|_\infty \leq 1$ , then

$$x^\top y - \|y\|_1 = \sum_{j=1}^n (x_j y_j - |y_j|) \leq \sum_{j=1}^n (|x_j| |y_j| - |y_j|) \leq \sum_{j=1}^n (|y_j| - |y_j|) = 0.$$

Therefore, the maximum value is 0.

**Problem 4:**

(approx. 25 points)

- Let  $A \in \mathbb{R}^{4 \times 4}$  be a symmetric matrix with nonnegative components and  $A1 = 1$ , i.e., each row of the matrix  $A$  has sum 1. Prove that  $I - A$  is positive semidefinite.
- Let  $a \in \mathbb{R}^n$ . We define  $f : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ ,  $f(x) := \log(1 + \exp(a^\top x))$ . Show that  $f$  is a convex function.
- Let  $A \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times n}$ ,  $b \in \mathbb{R}^m$ ,  $c \in \mathbb{R}^n$ , and  $d \in \mathbb{R}$ . Prove that the nonconvex optimization problem

$$\begin{aligned}
&\min_{x \in \mathbb{R}^n} \frac{\|Ax - b\|}{c^\top x + d} \\
&\text{subject to } \|x\| \leq 1, c^\top x + d > 0
\end{aligned} \tag{1}$$

is equivalent to the convex optimization problem

$$\begin{aligned}
&\min_{y \in \mathbb{R}^n, t} \|Ay - bt\| \\
&\text{subject to } \|y\| \leq t \\
&\quad c^\top y + dt = 1
\end{aligned} \tag{2}$$

- Use CVX (in MATLAB or Python) to solve problem (2) with

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 2 \\ 3 & 4 \end{bmatrix}, \quad b = \begin{bmatrix} 2 \\ 4 \end{bmatrix}, \quad c = \begin{bmatrix} 4 \\ 3 \end{bmatrix}, \quad d = 1$$

**Solution :**

- a) Assume  $x$  is the eigenvector of  $A$  but not corresponding to eigenvalue 1, i.e.  $Ax = \lambda x$ . Denote  $i$  be the coordination corresponding to the largest absolute value of  $x$ . Then we can see that  $\sum_j A_{ij}x_j = \lambda x_i$  and

$$|\lambda||x_i| \leq \sum_j A_{ij}|x_j| \leq \sum_j A_{ij}|x_i| = |x_i|.$$

Hence,  $\lambda \leq 1$ . In addition, 1 is also the eigenvalue, then  $I - A$  is positive semidefinite.

- b) We can see that

$$\nabla f(x) = \frac{a \exp(a^T x)}{1 + \exp(a^T x)}$$

and

$$\nabla^2 f(x) = \frac{aa^T \exp(a^T x)}{(1 + \exp(a^T x))^2} \succeq 0$$

Hence,  $\nabla^2 f(x)$  is positive semidefinite for all  $x$  and  $f$  is convex on  $\mathbb{R}^n$ .

- c) To show the equivalence, we can define

$$y = \frac{x}{c^T x + d}, \quad t = \frac{1}{c^T x + d},$$

then we have  $c^T y + dt = 1$ . Note that

$$\|y\| = \left\| \frac{x}{c^T x + d} \right\| = \|tx\| = t\|x\|,$$

then we can see that  $\|x\| \leq 1 \iff \|y\| \leq t$ . In addition,

$$\|Ay - bt\| = \left\| A \frac{x}{c^T x + d} - b \frac{1}{c^T x + d} \right\| = \frac{\|Ax - b\|}{c^T x + d}.$$

Hence, they have the same objective value. Therefore, they are equivalent.

- d) Exemplary code can be found in Listing 1 & 2. The following solutions (for the original problem (1)) will be returned:  $y_1 = 0$ ,  $y_2 = 0.25$ ,  $t = 0$ , and **opt-val** = 0.

Listing 1: Problem 4d: MATLAB code

```

1 A = [1,2;3,4]; b = [2;4]; c = [4;3]; d = 1;
2 cvx_begin
3 cvx_precision high
4 variables y(2,1) t
5
6 minimize norm(A*y-b*t)
7 subject to
8     norm(y) <= t;
9     c'*y + d*t == 1;
10 cvx_end

```

Listing 2: Problem 4d: Python code

```

1 import cvxpy as cp
2 import numpy as np
3 A= np.array([[1,2],[3,4]])
4 b = np.array([[2],[4]])
5 c = np.array([[4],[3]])
6 d = 1
7
8 y = cp.Variable((2,1))
9 t = cp.Variable()
10
11 con = [cp.norm(y, 2) <= t]
12 con += [cp.sum(cp.multiply(c, y)) + d*t == 1]
13
14 obj = cp.Minimize(cp.norm(A @ y - b*t,2))
15 prob=cp.Problem(obj,con)
16 prob.solve()
17
18
19 print(y.value)
20 print(t.value)

```