CS446: Machine Learning		Fall 2014
	Problem Set HW 4	
Siting Chang		Handed In: 10/17/2011

# 1 VC Dimesion

### 1.1 part a

VC dimension of H is 3.

It is trivial to show that there exists one or two points on the plane that can be shattered by H. We now show that H is able to shatter 3 points which implies that the VC dimension of H is at least 3.

Select three points with coordinates A(1,1), B(-1,1) and C(-1,-1). There are 8 possible combination of labels which H are able to classify all. The origin and radius choices are listed as follows for each combination. The order is: label of point A, label of point B, label of point C, origin, radius.

- $\bullet$  +, +, +, (0,0), 2
- $\bullet$  -, -, -, (0,0), 0.5
- $\bullet$  +, -, -, (1,0), 1
- +, -, +, (1,-1), 2
- -, +, -, (-1,1), 1
- -, +, +, (-1,0), 1
- $\bullet$  -, -, +, (-1,-1), 0.5
- $\bullet$  +, +, -, (0,1), 1

Next, we show that H is not able to shatter any four points on the plane which means that the VC dimension of H is less than 4.

There are two possible situations when randomly choose four points:

- four points form a convex hull. This situation cannot be classified by any hypothese in H when the opposing points with the largest distance both have positive lables and the other two have negative labels.
- three points form a convex hull and one point is internal. This situation cannot be classified when the first three points (on the convex hull) have positive label and the fourth point has negative label.

Therefore, we proved that the VC dimension of H is 3.

Siting Chang 2

### 1.2 part b

VC dimension of H is 2k.

We start with the base case with one point  $x_1$  on the real line. It is easy to see that we are able to shatter this point no matter it has a positive label (choose  $a_1 < x_1 < b_1$  or a negative label (choose  $b_1 < x_1 < a_2$ ).

The most complicated which is also the hardest case to classify is when neighboring points have opposite labels. For example, the most complicated case of four points is when  $x_1$  and  $x_3$  have positive labels and  $x_2$  and  $x_4$  have negative labels. The reasont that it is the hardest case to classify is because each of these four points need to be placed or assigned to a disjoint interval. This leads to the requirement of four intervals. As long as we have enough intervals, at least four intervals, to cope this situation, the points are shattered.

Given 2k points on the real line which has k disjoint intervals within which points are labeled as potive and k more disjoint intervals within which points are negative. The largest number of points that can be shattered is 2k since we are able to assign 2k points with neighboring points have opposite labels into these 2k intervals.

However, H cannot shatter 2k+1 points. Again, consider the most complicated situation with the leftmost point with a positive label. Since the leftmost point must fall in the region with  $x_{leftmost} < a_1$ , there is no way any hypothesis from H can classify these points, especially the leftmost point.

Therefore, we proved that the VC dimension of H is 2k.

## 2 Decision Lists

#### 2.1 part a

$$\neg c = <(c_1, \neg b_1), ..., (c_l, \neg b_l), \neg b >$$

## 2.2 part b

First, show k-DNF $\subseteq k$ -DL. Since each term of k-DNF can be transformed into an item of a decision list with value 1, then clearly k-DNF $\subseteq k$ -DL.

Next, according to DeMorgan's Rules, we can always find some k-DNF that complements any k-CNF. Along with the fact that k-DL is closed under complementation (shown in part a), we say that k-CNF⊆k-DL.

With each component a subset of k-DL, we say there union is also a subset of k-DL denoted as k-DNF  $\cup$  k-CNF $\subset$ k-DL.

## 2.3 part c

Input of the algorithm: sample space S over samples  $\mathbf{x}$  which have n dimensions. Output: decision list DL that is consistent with all samples.

Notations:  $L_n$  denotes the set of 2n literals  $(x_n, \neg x_n)$  associated with samples.  $C_k^n$  denotes the set of all conjunctions of size at most k with literals drawn from  $L_n$ .

Siting Chang 3

1. Check if sample space S is empty. If so, stop the algorithm. If not, continue.

- 2. Set the default output which is denoted by b = 0.
- 3. Check each item in  $C_k^n$  in turn until found an item e that all samples  $\mathbf{x}$  in S outputs the same label l, either positive or negative, when e is true.
- 4. Move the samples from S into T if it makes e true.
- 5. Put e in decision list DL along with its output label l.
- 6. For the rest samples in S, repeat step 1 to 4 until S is empty.

Note that in this algorithm, we didn't double check the existence of an item e or a decision list that is consistent with all samples since the question statement claims that the samples are consistent with some k-decision list.

The intuition of this algorithm is that if a conjunction/item e that is consistent with the given samples, then no matter in which order it presents or being added to the decision list, it will always be consistent with any subset of the samples. Therefore, the order we examine items from  $C_k^n$  does not kill the algorithm.

In summary, the algorithm starts by checking items in  $C_k^n$  and finding the first item that is consistent with the samples in S. As soon as it finds such an item, the algorithm puts it into the decision list and delete the samples from the sample space S. The algorithm continues finding item that is consistent with the updated S until S is empty which means all samples are being classified or explained.

### **2.4** part d

According to Occam's Razor:  $m > \frac{1}{\epsilon}(\ln(|H|) + \ln(\frac{1}{\delta}))$ . In order to show that the class of k-decision lists is efficiently PAC-learnable, we need to show that the size of k-decision list is linear in n.

Again, we adopt the notations  $L_n$  denotes the set of 2n literals  $(x_n, \neg x_n)$  associated with samples and  $C_k^n$  denotes the set of all conjunctions of size at most k with literals drawn from  $L_n$ .

Since all samples have n dimensions, we know that for all items in decision list there are  $3^{|C_k^n|}$  combinations given each term has three options of missing, negative and positive. Another thing to notice is that the order of terms appeared in each item does not matter which gives us  $(C_k^n)!$  combinations.

Therefore, the size of a k-decision list is  $\mathcal{O}(3^{|C_k^n|}(C_k^n)!)$ . Then we have  $lg(|k-DL(n)|) = \mathcal{O}(n^t)$  for some constant t.

We conclude that k-decision list has size which linear in n and the class is efficiently PAC-learnable.