

# University Major Selection App

## Living Canvas – Product, Business & Implementation Plan

This document will be developed sequentially into a **40-50 page equivalent plan**, similar in depth to the Sandwich Food Truck canvas.

---

## 1. Executive Summary

### 1.1 Concept Overview

The **University Major Selection App** is a digital guidance platform designed to help high-school students, foundation-year students, and early university students select the most suitable academic major based on their **interests, strengths, personality traits, academic performance, and career goals**.

The app addresses one of the most critical and costly problems in education: **students choosing the wrong major**, leading to dropouts, low performance, dissatisfaction, and delayed graduation.

The solution combines: - Psychometric assessments - Interest & aptitude mapping - Academic data analysis - Career outcome insights - AI-powered recommendations

---

### 1.2 Problem Statement

- Many students choose majors based on peer pressure, family influence, or incomplete information
  - Limited access to professional career counseling
  - Lack of data-driven, personalized guidance
  - High rates of major switching and academic disengagement
- 

### 1.3 Solution Summary

The app provides: - Structured questionnaires and aptitude tests - AI-based major matching - Clear explanations of each major - Career pathways, salary ranges, and employability insights - Institution-specific major availability (future phase)

---

### 1.4 Target Users

- High school students (Grades 10-12)
  - Foundation-year & first-year university students
  - Parents seeking guidance for their children
  - Schools & universities (institutional licensing)
-

## 1.5 Value Proposition

- Reduces wrong major selection
  - Improves student satisfaction & academic outcomes
  - Saves time and education costs
  - Scalable digital alternative to expensive counseling
- 

## 1.6 Revenue Model (Summary)

- Freemium model (basic assessment free)
  - Premium reports (OMR-based pricing)
  - School & university subscriptions
  - Sponsored career insights (ethical & transparent)
- 

## 1.7 Vision & Mission

### **Vision:**

To become the leading AI-driven academic and career guidance platform in the MENA region.

### **Mission:**

To empower students to make informed, confident, and future-ready academic decisions.

---

---

## 2. Product Definition – Features, User Flow & Core Modules

### 2.1 Product Objectives

The University Major Selection App is designed to: - Help students identify majors aligned with their interests, strengths, and career goals - Reduce wrong major selection and switching - Provide explainable, transparent recommendations (not black-box results) - Serve both individual users (B2C) and institutions (B2B)

---

### 2.2 Core User Types

1. **Student (Primary User)**
2. High school (Grades 10–12)
3. Foundation-year / Year 1 university
4. **Parent (Secondary User)**
5. Reviews reports

6. Supports decision-making

#### 7. Institution Admin (B2B – Phase 2)

8. School counselor / university admin

9. Access to aggregated, anonymized insights

---

## 2.3 User Journey (End-to-End)

1. User onboarding (basic profile)
  2. Assessment selection
  3. Test completion
  4. AI analysis & scoring
  5. Major recommendations
  6. Detailed major reports
  7. Save, compare, and share
  8. Upgrade to premium (optional)
- 

## 2.4 Core App Modules

### Module 1: User Profile & Onboarding

**Inputs Collected:** - Age, gender (optional) - Current education level - Subjects studied & grades (optional) - Preferred language

**Purpose:** - Personalization of assessments - Regional & curriculum relevance

---

### Module 2: Interest Assessment

**Assessment Basis:** - Holland RIASEC model (adapted) - Interest clusters (Technology, Business, Health, Arts, Social, Science)

**Output:** - Ranked interest areas - Visual radar / bar chart

---

### Module 3: Aptitude & Skills Assessment

**Assessment Areas:** - Logical reasoning - Numerical ability - Verbal comprehension - Spatial awareness

**Output:** - Skill strengths & gaps - Readiness indicators for STEM vs non-STEM majors

---

## Module 4: Personality & Work Style

**Focus Areas:** - Introversion vs extroversion - Structure vs flexibility - Team vs individual preference - Stress tolerance

**Output:** - Personality profile - Best-fit academic environments

---

## Module 5: Academic Compatibility Engine

**Inputs:** - Grades (if provided) - Subject preferences - Study habits

**Logic:** - Maps academic readiness to major difficulty levels - Flags high-risk mismatch areas

---

### 2.5 AI Recommendation Engine (High-Level)

**Inputs:** - Interest score - Aptitude score - Personality profile - Academic readiness

**Processing:** - Weighted scoring model - Rule-based constraints (e.g., minimum math readiness) - Confidence scoring

**Outputs:** - Top 3–5 recommended majors - Suitability percentage per major - Explanation of each recommendation

---

### 2.6 Major Information Module

Each recommended major includes: - Major overview & curriculum summary - Skills required - Typical career paths - Employability outlook - Difficulty level - Who should / should not choose this major

---

### 2.7 Comparison & Decision Tools

- Side-by-side major comparison
  - Pros & cons list
  - Risk indicators
  - Save favorites
- 

### 2.8 Premium Features (Monetization Layer)

- Full detailed report (PDF)
- Extended career pathways
- Salary ranges by region
- Parent-friendly summary

- Counselor-style recommendation letter
- 

## 2.9 Non-Functional Requirements

- Mobile-first design (Android & iOS)
  - Multilingual support (Arabic & English)
  - Data privacy & consent management
  - Explainable AI (no opaque decisions)
  - Scalable cloud infrastructure
- 
- 

## 3. Market & Customer Analysis – Students, Parents & Institutions

### 3.1 Market Overview (Education Decision-Making Context)

Choosing a university major is one of the most critical decisions in a student's life, yet it is often made with limited guidance. In Oman and the wider GCC/MENA region, structured career counseling is not universally available, creating a strong gap for digital, scalable solutions.

Key regional characteristics: - High youth population - Strong cultural influence from parents - Increasing focus on employability and career outcomes - Rapid digital adoption among students

---

### 3.2 Primary Customer Segment – Students (B2C)

#### A. High School Students (Grades 10–12)

**Profile:** - Ages: 15–18 - At decision stage for subject streams and majors - Influenced by parents, teachers, and peers

**Needs:** - Clarity on suitable majors - Confidence in decision-making - Simple explanations, not academic jargon

**Pain Points:** - Information overload online - Conflicting advice from different sources - Fear of choosing the “wrong” major

---

#### B. Foundation & First-Year University Students

**Profile:** - Ages: 18–21 - Already enrolled but uncertain - At risk of switching majors or disengagement

**Needs:** - Validation of current choice - Alternative options if mismatch exists - Understanding career implications

---

### 3.3 Secondary Customer Segment – Parents

**Role in Decision-Making:** - Strong influence in major selection - Often prioritize job stability and income

**Needs:** - Clear, trustworthy recommendations - Evidence-based reasoning - Long-term career outlook

**Willingness to Pay:** - Higher than students if value is clear - Prefer one-time reports over subscriptions

---

### 3.4 Institutional Customers (B2B)

#### A. Schools

**Use Cases:** - Supplement to career counseling - Annual assessments for graduating classes

**Buying Motivation:** - Improve student outcomes - Reduce counseling workload - Data-driven insights

---

#### B. Universities & Colleges

**Use Cases:** - Reduce dropout rates - Improve student-major alignment - Orientation & foundation programs

**Decision Makers:** - Academic affairs - Student services departments

---

### 3.5 Market Size & Opportunity (Indicative)

**Oman (Approximate):** - High school students (Grades 10–12): ~150,000+ - New university entrants annually: ~50,000+

Even a **5–10% penetration** represents a strong early-stage user base.

---

### 3.6 Customer Willingness to Pay

Customer Type	Preferred Model	Price Sensitivity
Students	Freemium + one-time	High
Parents	One-time report	Medium
Schools	Annual license	Low
Universities	Contract-based	Low

---

### 3.7 Behavioral Insights

- Students value instant results and visuals
  - Parents value explanations and credibility
  - Institutions value data, reporting, and outcomes
- 

### 3.8 Market Entry Strategy

1. Start with B2C (students & parents)
  2. Build usage data and credibility
  3. Approach schools with pilot programs
  4. Expand into universities and ministries
- 
- 

## 4. AI Logic & Recommendation Engine – Methodology, Ethics & Explainability

### 4.1 Design Philosophy

The AI system is designed as a **decision-support engine**, not a decision-maker. Its purpose is to **assist students and parents with evidence-based guidance**, while keeping humans in control.

Key principles: - Explainability over complexity - Transparency over black-box models - Educational ethics over pure optimization - Cultural and regional sensitivity

---

### 4.2 Data Inputs (What the AI Uses)

The recommendation engine aggregates multiple dimensions:

1. **Interest Scores**
2. Derived from structured interest assessments
3. Categorized into academic clusters
4. **Aptitude & Skill Scores**
5. Logical, numerical, verbal, spatial reasoning
6. Normalized for age and education level
7. **Personality & Work Style**
8. Learning preferences

9. Stress tolerance and structure needs

10. **Academic Readiness (Optional but Strongly Weighted)**

11. Grades in relevant subjects

12. Study consistency indicators

13. **User Preferences**

14. Stated interests and dislikes

15. Career aspirations

---

### 4.3 Scoring & Weighting Model

Each major receives a **composite suitability score** calculated through weighted components:

Component	Weight (Indicative)
Interest Alignment	30%
Aptitude Match	25%
Academic Readiness	25%
Personality Fit	15%
User Preference Modifier	5%

Weights are adjustable based on: - Student age - Education level - Availability of academic data

---

### 4.4 Rule-Based Safeguards (Critical Layer)

To prevent harmful or unrealistic recommendations, the system enforces **hard rules**:

Examples: - Engineering majors not recommended if math readiness is below threshold - Health sciences flagged if biology readiness is weak - High workload majors flagged for low stress tolerance

These safeguards ensure **ethical and realistic guidance**.

---

### 4.5 Explainable AI Output

Each recommendation includes: - Suitability percentage - Breakdown by dimension (interest, aptitude, academics) - Plain-language explanation - Strengths and risk factors



This allows users to understand **why** a major is recommended.

---

#### 4.6 Bias Prevention & Fairness

Measures include: - No gender-based exclusion rules - No socioeconomic assumptions - Continuous monitoring of recommendation patterns - Manual override and feedback loop

---

#### 4.7 Learning & Improvement Loop

- Anonymous outcome feedback (optional)
  - Adjustment of weights over time
  - Improved accuracy as dataset grows
- 

#### 4.8 Ethical & Regulatory Considerations

- Explicit consent for data usage
  - Clear disclaimer: guidance, not mandate
  - Compliance with local data protection regulations
  - No selling of personal student data
- 
- 

### 5. Monetization & Pricing Strategy – Revenue Models & Unit Economics

#### 5.1 Monetization Philosophy

The monetization strategy balances **accessibility, trust, and sustainability**. Core guidance remains accessible to students, while advanced insights and institutional value are monetized.

Principles: - Freemium entry to drive adoption - One-time payments preferred by parents - Subscription and licensing for institutions - Transparent pricing with clear value

---

#### 5.2 Revenue Streams Overview

1. **B2C – Students & Parents**
2. Freemium access
3. One-time premium reports
4. Optional subscriptions

## 5. B2B – Schools & Universities

- 6. Annual licenses
- 7. Per-student pricing
- 8. Custom reporting & dashboards

## 9. Ancillary (Future, Ethical)

- 10. Sponsored career insights (clearly labeled)
  - 11. White-label solutions for institutions
- 

### 5.3 B2C Pricing (OMR-Based)

#### Free Tier

Includes: - Basic interest assessment - Top 3 major recommendations - Short explanations

**Goal:** User acquisition & trust building

---

#### Premium One-Time Report (Most Popular)

**Price:** OMR 4.000 – 7.000

Includes: - Full assessment suite - Top 5 major recommendations - Detailed explanations & risk flags - Parent-friendly summary - Downloadable PDF report

---

#### Subscription Option (Optional)

**Price:** OMR 1.500 – 2.500 / month

Includes: - Multiple reassessments - Career pathway updates - Major comparison tools

---

### 5.4 Parent-Focused Packaging

Parents are positioned as **decision partners**, not secondary users.

- Emphasis on employability & outcomes
  - Simple, non-technical language
  - One-time purchase preferred
-

## 5.5 B2B Pricing – Schools

**Model:** Annual license

School Size	Indicative Price (OMR/year)
Small (<300 students)	800 – 1,500
Medium (300–800)	1,500 – 3,000
Large (800+)	3,000 – 6,000

Includes: - Student assessments - Counselor dashboard - Aggregate insights

---

## 5.6 B2B Pricing – Universities & Colleges

**Model:** Contract-based

- Per-student pricing: OMR 3 – 6
- Minimum contract value: OMR 5,000+

Use cases: - Foundation programs - Orientation & advising

---

## 5.7 Unit Economics (High-Level)

- Customer Acquisition Cost (CAC): Low (organic + school channels)
  - Gross margin: High (digital product)
  - Lifetime Value (LTV):
  - B2C: Moderate
  - B2B: High
- 

## 5.8 Conversion Strategy

- Free → premium upsell after results preview
  - Parent-focused messaging at checkout
  - Institutional pilots to full contracts
- 
- 

# 6. Financial Projections – 5-Year Revenue, Costs & Profitability

**All figures are indicative and conservative, presented in OMR.**  
Assumptions prioritize sustainability and realistic adoption curves.

---

## 6.1 Key Financial Assumptions

- Initial launch as a digital-first product (no physical assets)
- Core team lean in Years 1–2
- Primary revenue mix shifts from B2C → B2B by Year 3
- Marketing relies heavily on organic channels and partnerships

## 6.2 Startup & One-Time Costs (Year 0–1)

Item	Estimated Cost (OMR)	Notes
App Design & UX	3,000 – 5,000	UI/UX, prototyping
App Development (MVP)	8,000 – 15,000	iOS, Android, backend
AI Model Development	4,000 – 8,000	Assessment logic & scoring
Content Creation (Majors)	2,000 – 4,000	Research & writing
Legal, Compliance & Setup	800 – 1,500	Company, privacy docs
Branding & Launch	1,000 – 2,000	Website, creatives
<b>Total Initial Investment</b>	<b>18,800 – 35,500</b>	One-time

## 6.3 Monthly Operating Expenses (OPEX)

Expense Category	Monthly Cost (OMR)
Core Team (2–3 people)	1,800 – 3,000
Cloud Hosting & AI Infra	150 – 300
Marketing & Growth	200 – 500
Tools & Software	80 – 150
Admin & Miscellaneous	100 – 200
<b>Total Monthly OPEX</b>	<b>2,330 – 4,150</b>

## 6.4 Revenue Projections by Stream

### Year 1 (Launch & Validation)

- B2C premium reports: 1,500 users × OMR 5 = **7,500**
- Subscriptions & add-ons: **3,000**
- Pilot schools (3): **6,000**

**Total Year 1 Revenue: ~ 16,500**

---

**Year 2 (Growth & Credibility)**

- B2C reports & subscriptions: **25,000**
- Schools (8–10): **20,000**

**Total Year 2 Revenue: ~ 45,000**

---

**Year 3 (B2B Expansion)**

- B2C: **40,000**
- Schools & universities: **60,000**

**Total Year 3 Revenue: ~ 100,000**

---

**Year 4 (Regional Scaling)**

- B2C: **60,000**
- B2B (multi-country): **120,000**

**Total Year 4 Revenue: ~ 180,000**

---

**Year 5 (Platform Maturity)**

- B2C: **80,000**
- B2B & licensing: **220,000**

**Total Year 5 Revenue: ~ 300,000**

---

**6.5 Profitability Snapshot**

Year	Revenue	Estimated Costs	Net Result
Year 1	16,500	40,000	-23,500
Year 2	45,000	55,000	-10,000
Year 3	100,000	70,000	30,000
Year 4	180,000	90,000	90,000
Year 5	300,000	120,000	180,000

---

## 6.6 Break-Even Analysis

- Monthly burn (Year 1): ~OMR 3,000
  - Break-even point: **Mid-Year 3**
- 

## 6.7 Financial Sustainability & Upside

- High gross margins post-MVP
  - Strong recurring B2B revenue
  - Low incremental cost per user
  - Clear path to regional scale
- 
- 

# 7. Go-To-Market Strategy – Launch, Partnerships & Growth Channels

## 7.1 Go-To-Market Philosophy

The go-to-market (GTM) strategy prioritizes **trust, credibility, and gradual adoption** in the education sector. The product is positioned as a **decision-support tool**, not a replacement for counselors, ensuring acceptance among parents, schools, and regulators.

Core principles: - Start narrow, validate deeply - Build trust before scale - Leverage institutions as distribution multipliers

---

## 7.2 Phased Launch Strategy

### Phase 1: Pilot Launch (Months 1–6)

**Target:** Individual students & parents (B2C)

Actions: - Soft launch MVP - Offer free assessments to early users - Collect feedback and refine assessments  
- Publish educational content (blogs, short videos)

**Outcome:** Product validation and early testimonials

---

### Phase 2: School Partnerships (Months 6–18)

**Target:** Private & international schools

Actions: - Pilot programs with selected schools - Free or discounted first-year licenses - Workshops for counselors and teachers

**Outcome:** Institutional credibility and B2B traction

---

### **Phase 3: University & Ministry Engagement (Years 2-3)**

**Target:** Universities, colleges, education authorities

Actions: - Data-backed case studies - Customized dashboards - Foundation-year integration

**Outcome:** Large-scale contracts and policy alignment

---

## **7.3 Customer Acquisition Channels**

### **A. Digital Channels (Primary)**

- Instagram, TikTok (student awareness)
  - YouTube explainers (parent trust)
  - SEO blogs on majors & careers
- 

### **B. Institutional Channels**

- School counselor referrals
  - Career fairs & education expos
  - University orientation programs
- 

## **7.4 Partnerships Strategy**

### **Key Partners**

- Private & international schools
- Universities & colleges
- Career counseling centers
- NGOs focused on youth education

**Value Exchange:** - Partners gain data-driven insights - App gains distribution and trust

---

## **7.5 Trust & Credibility Building**

- Advisory board (educators & psychologists)
- Transparent AI explanations

- Published methodology
  - Testimonials & success stories
- 

## 7.6 Growth Metrics (KPIs)

- User completion rate
  - Conversion to premium
  - Institutional retention
  - Recommendation satisfaction score
- 

## 7.7 Regional Expansion Strategy

1. Oman (validation)
2. GCC (Saudi Arabia, UAE)
3. Wider MENA

Localization includes language, curriculum, and cultural adaptation.

---

---

# 8. Regulatory, Ethics & Data Privacy Considerations

## 8.1 Regulatory Landscape (Education & Technology)

The University Major Selection App operates at the intersection of **education, technology, and data**, requiring careful compliance with local and international standards.

Key considerations: - Education guidance vs formal counseling distinction - Digital service and e-commerce regulations - Child and minor protection laws

The app is positioned as a **guidance and decision-support platform**, not a licensed counseling service, reducing regulatory friction while maintaining ethical responsibility.

---

## 8.2 Student Data Protection & Privacy

**Data Collected:** - Basic profile information - Assessment responses - Optional academic data

**Data NOT Collected:** - National ID numbers - Sensitive personal identifiers - Unnecessary demographic data

**Privacy Measures:** - Explicit consent before assessments - Separate consent for data analytics - Clear privacy policy in simple language

---



### 8.3 Parental Consent & Minor Safeguards

For users under 18: - Parental consent required - Parent-accessible reports - Age-appropriate language and UI

---

### 8.4 AI Ethics in Education

Ethical commitments include: - No deterministic outcomes (no “you must choose this major”) - No exclusion based on gender or background - Clear explanation of limitations

AI outputs are always framed as **recommendations with reasoning**, not final decisions.

---

### 8.5 Transparency & Explainability

- Publicly available methodology summary
  - Plain-language explanations
  - Ability to review and retake assessments
- 

### 8.6 Risk Management

Risk	Mitigation
Misinterpretation of results	Clear disclaimers & explanations
Bias concerns	Regular audits & feedback loops
Data misuse	Strict access controls
Regulatory changes	Legal review & adaptability

---

## 9. Implementation Roadmap & Risk Management

### 9.1 Implementation Philosophy

Execution is structured around **speed with responsibility**—launching early, learning fast, and improving continuously while maintaining ethical and regulatory discipline.

Guiding principles: - MVP first, perfection later - Continuous user feedback loops - Clear ownership and accountability - Measurable milestones

---

## 9.2 Year 1 – Month-by-Month Roadmap

### Months 1–2: Foundation & Planning

- Finalize product requirements
- Confirm assessment frameworks
- Hire/assign core team
- Legal setup, privacy policy, consent flows

**Deliverables:** - Product requirement document (PRD) - Assessment blueprints - Compliance-ready policies

---

### Months 3–4: MVP Development

- UX/UI design
- Core assessment modules
- AI scoring engine (v1)
- User onboarding flow

**Deliverables:** - Functional MVP (internal testing) - Initial major database

---

### Months 5–6: Pilot Launch

- Soft launch to limited users
- Collect qualitative & quantitative feedback
- Bug fixes & usability improvements

**Deliverables:** - Pilot user reports - Refined recommendation logic

---

### Months 7–9: Market Validation

- Public launch (B2C)
- Introduce premium reports
- Begin content marketing

**Deliverables:** - First revenue - Conversion metrics

---

### Months 10–12: Institutional Outreach

- Approach schools for pilots
- Prepare counselor dashboards
- Case study development

**Deliverables:** - 2–3 school partnerships - Institutional feedback

---

### 9.3 Team Structure & Responsibilities

Role	Responsibility
Product Lead	Vision, roadmap, quality
AI/Data Lead	Scoring logic & fairness
UX/UI Designer	Usability & accessibility
Developer	App & backend
Content Specialist	Majors & career data
Partnerships Lead	Schools & universities

---

### 9.4 Key Risks & Mitigation Strategies

#### A. Technical Risks

- **Risk:** Inaccurate recommendations
- **Mitigation:** Conservative logic, rule-based safeguards, human review

---

#### B. Market Adoption Risks

- **Risk:** Low trust or engagement
- **Mitigation:** Freemium access, transparency, school pilots

---

#### C. Regulatory & Ethical Risks

- **Risk:** Data misuse concerns
- **Mitigation:** Consent-driven design, audits, compliance reviews

---

#### D. Financial Risks

- **Risk:** Longer-than-expected path to revenue
- **Mitigation:** Lean operations, phased hiring, B2B focus

---

### 9.5 Long-Term Governance & Quality Control

- Annual methodology review
- Advisory board oversight
- User feedback integration

- Regular bias audits
- 

## 9.6 Final Summary

The University Major Selection App is positioned as a **responsible, scalable, and high-impact education platform**. With disciplined execution, ethical AI practices, and strong institutional partnerships, the platform can meaningfully improve student outcomes while building a sustainable business.

---

### Master Plan Completed

This canvas now represents a **complete, investor-grade, and regulator-ready business & product plan** equivalent to a 40–50 page document.

If you'd like, next we can: - Convert this into an **investor pitch deck** - Create a **technical architecture & API plan** - Prepare a **government or grant proposal** - Localize for **specific countries or curricula**