

University Major Selection App

Living Canvas – Product, Business & Implementation Plan

This document will be developed sequentially into a **40-50 page equivalent plan**, similar in depth to the Sandwich Food Truck canvas.

1. Executive Summary

1.1 Concept Overview

The **University Major Selection App** is a digital guidance platform designed to help high-school students, foundation-year students, and early university students select the most suitable academic major based on their **interests, strengths, personality traits, academic performance, and career goals**.

The app addresses one of the most critical and costly problems in education: **students choosing the wrong major**, leading to dropouts, low performance, dissatisfaction, and delayed graduation.

The solution combines:

- Psychometric assessments
- Interest & aptitude mapping
- Academic data analysis
- Career outcome insights
- AI-powered recommendations

1.2 Problem Statement

- Many students choose majors based on peer pressure, family influence, or incomplete information
 - Limited access to professional career counseling
 - Lack of data-driven, personalized guidance
 - High rates of major switching and academic disengagement
-

1.3 Solution Summary

The app provides:

- Structured questionnaires and aptitude tests
- AI-based major matching
- Clear explanations of each major
- Career pathways, salary ranges, and employability insights
- Institution-specific major availability (future phase)

1.4 Target Users

- High school students (Grades 10-12)
 - Foundation-year & first-year university students
 - Parents seeking guidance for their children
 - Schools & universities (institutional licensing)
-

1.5 Value Proposition

- Reduces wrong major selection
 - Improves student satisfaction & academic outcomes
 - Saves time and education costs
 - Scalable digital alternative to expensive counseling
-

1.6 Revenue Model (Summary)

- Freemium model (basic assessment free)
 - Premium reports (OMR-based pricing)
 - School & university subscriptions
 - Sponsored career insights (ethical & transparent)
-

1.7 Vision & Mission

Vision:

To become the leading AI-driven academic and career guidance platform in the MENA region.

Mission:

To empower students to make informed, confident, and future-ready academic decisions.

2. Product Definition – Features, User Flow & Core Modules

2.1 Product Objectives

The University Major Selection App is designed to:

- Help students identify majors aligned with their interests, strengths, and career goals
- Reduce wrong major selection and switching
- Provide explainable, transparent recommendations (not black-box results)
- Serve both individual users (B2C) and institutions (B2B)

2.2 Core User Types

1. Student (Primary User)

2. High school (Grades 10-12)
3. Foundation-year / Year 1 university

4. Parent (Secondary User)

5. Reviews reports

6. Supports decision-making

7. Institution Admin (B2B – Phase 2)

8. School counselor / university admin

9. Access to aggregated, anonymized insights

2.3 User Journey (End-to-End)

1. User onboarding (basic profile)
 2. Assessment selection
 3. Test completion
 4. AI analysis & scoring
 5. Major recommendations
 6. Detailed major reports
 7. Save, compare, and share
 8. Upgrade to premium (optional)
-

2.4 Core App Modules

Module 1: User Profile & Onboarding

Inputs Collected: - Age, gender (optional) - Current education level - Subjects studied & grades (optional) - Preferred language

Purpose: - Personalization of assessments - Regional & curriculum relevance

Module 2: Interest Assessment

Assessment Basis: - Holland RIASEC model (adapted) - Interest clusters (Technology, Business, Health, Arts, Social, Science)

Output: - Ranked interest areas - Visual radar / bar chart

Module 3: Aptitude & Skills Assessment

Assessment Areas: - Logical reasoning - Numerical ability - Verbal comprehension - Spatial awareness

Output: - Skill strengths & gaps - Readiness indicators for STEM vs non-STEM majors

Module 4: Personality & Work Style

Focus Areas: - Introversion vs extroversion - Structure vs flexibility - Team vs individual preference - Stress tolerance

Output: - Personality profile - Best-fit academic environments

Module 5: Academic Compatibility Engine

Inputs: - Grades (if provided) - Subject preferences - Study habits

Logic: - Maps academic readiness to major difficulty levels - Flags high-risk mismatch areas

2.5 AI Recommendation Engine (High-Level)

Inputs: - Interest score - Aptitude score - Personality profile - Academic readiness

Processing: - Weighted scoring model - Rule-based constraints (e.g., minimum math readiness) - Confidence scoring

Outputs: - Top 3-5 recommended majors - Suitability percentage per major - Explanation of each recommendation

2.6 Major Information Module

Each recommended major includes: - Major overview & curriculum summary - Skills required - Typical career paths - Employability outlook - Difficulty level - Who should / should not choose this major

2.7 Comparison & Decision Tools

- Side-by-side major comparison
 - Pros & cons list
 - Risk indicators
 - Save favorites
-

2.8 Premium Features (Monetization Layer)

- Full detailed report (PDF)
- Extended career pathways
- Salary ranges by region
- Parent-friendly summary

- Counselor-style recommendation letter
-

2.9 Non-Functional Requirements

- Mobile-first design (Android & iOS)
 - Multilingual support (Arabic & English)
 - Data privacy & consent management
 - Explainable AI (no opaque decisions)
 - Scalable cloud infrastructure
-
-

3. Market & Customer Analysis – Students, Parents & Institutions

3.1 Market Overview (Education Decision-Making Context)

Choosing a university major is one of the most critical decisions in a student's life, yet it is often made with limited guidance. In Oman and the wider GCC/MENA region, structured career counseling is not universally available, creating a strong gap for digital, scalable solutions.

Key regional characteristics:

- High youth population
- Strong cultural influence from parents
- Increasing focus on employability and career outcomes
- Rapid digital adoption among students

3.2 Primary Customer Segment – Students (B2C)

A. High School Students (Grades 10–12)

Profile: - Ages: 15–18 - At decision stage for subject streams and majors - Influenced by parents, teachers, and peers

Needs: - Clarity on suitable majors - Confidence in decision-making - Simple explanations, not academic jargon

Pain Points: - Information overload online - Conflicting advice from different sources - Fear of choosing the "wrong" major

B. Foundation & First-Year University Students

Profile: - Ages: 18–21 - Already enrolled but uncertain - At risk of switching majors or disengagement

Needs: - Validation of current choice - Alternative options if mismatch exists - Understanding career implications

3.3 Secondary Customer Segment – Parents

Role in Decision-Making: - Strong influence in major selection - Often prioritize job stability and income

Needs: - Clear, trustworthy recommendations - Evidence-based reasoning - Long-term career outlook

Willingness to Pay: - Higher than students if value is clear - Prefer one-time reports over subscriptions

3.4 Institutional Customers (B2B)

A. Schools

Use Cases: - Supplement to career counseling - Annual assessments for graduating classes

Buying Motivation: - Improve student outcomes - Reduce counseling workload - Data-driven insights

B. Universities & Colleges

Use Cases: - Reduce dropout rates - Improve student-major alignment - Orientation & foundation programs

Decision Makers: - Academic affairs - Student services departments

3.5 Market Size & Opportunity (Indicative)

Oman (Approximate): - High school students (Grades 10–12): ~150,000+ - New university entrants annually: ~50,000+

Even a **5–10% penetration** represents a strong early-stage user base.

3.6 Customer Willingness to Pay

Customer Type	Preferred Model	Price Sensitivity
Students	Freemium + one-time	High
Parents	One-time report	Medium
Schools	Annual license	Low
Universities	Contract-based	Low

3.7 Behavioral Insights

- Students value instant results and visuals
 - Parents value explanations and credibility
 - Institutions value data, reporting, and outcomes
-

3.8 Market Entry Strategy

1. Start with B2C (students & parents)
 2. Build usage data and credibility
 3. Approach schools with pilot programs
 4. Expand into universities and ministries
-
-

4. AI Logic & Recommendation Engine – Methodology, Ethics & Explainability

4.1 Design Philosophy

The AI system is designed as a **decision-support engine**, not a decision-maker. Its purpose is to **assist students and parents with evidence-based guidance**, while keeping humans in control.

Key principles: - Explainability over complexity - Transparency over black-box models - Educational ethics over pure optimization - Cultural and regional sensitivity

4.2 Data Inputs (What the AI Uses)

The recommendation engine aggregates multiple dimensions:

1. **Interest Scores**
2. Derived from structured interest assessments
3. Categorized into academic clusters
4. **Aptitude & Skill Scores**
5. Logical, numerical, verbal, spatial reasoning
6. Normalized for age and education level
7. **Personality & Work Style**
8. Learning preferences

9. Stress tolerance and structure needs

10. Academic Readiness (Optional but Strongly Weighted)

11. Grades in relevant subjects

12. Study consistency indicators

13. User Preferences

14. Stated interests and dislikes

15. Career aspirations

4.3 Scoring & Weighting Model

Each major receives a **composite suitability score** calculated through weighted components:

Component	Weight (Indicative)
Interest Alignment	30%
Aptitude Match	25%
Academic Readiness	25%
Personality Fit	15%
User Preference Modifier	5%

Weights are adjustable based on: - Student age - Education level - Availability of academic data

4.4 Rule-Based Safeguards (Critical Layer)

To prevent harmful or unrealistic recommendations, the system enforces **hard rules**:

Examples: - Engineering majors not recommended if math readiness is below threshold - Health sciences flagged if biology readiness is weak - High workload majors flagged for low stress tolerance

These safeguards ensure **ethical and realistic guidance**.

4.5 Explainable AI Output

Each recommendation includes: - Suitability percentage - Breakdown by dimension (interest, aptitude, academics) - Plain-language explanation - Strengths and risk factors

This allows users to understand **why** a major is recommended.

4.6 Bias Prevention & Fairness

Measures include:

- No gender-based exclusion rules
- No socioeconomic assumptions
- Continuous monitoring of recommendation patterns
- Manual override and feedback loop

4.7 Learning & Improvement Loop

- Anonymous outcome feedback (optional)
 - Adjustment of weights over time
 - Improved accuracy as dataset grows
-

4.8 Ethical & Regulatory Considerations

- Explicit consent for data usage
 - Clear disclaimer: guidance, not mandate
 - Compliance with local data protection regulations
 - No selling of personal student data
-
-

5. Monetization & Pricing Strategy – Revenue Models & Unit Economics

5.1 Monetization Philosophy

The monetization strategy balances **accessibility, trust, and sustainability**. Core guidance remains accessible to students, while advanced insights and institutional value are monetized.

Principles:

- Freemium entry to drive adoption
- One-time payments preferred by parents
- Subscription and licensing for institutions
- Transparent pricing with clear value

5.2 Revenue Streams Overview

1. **B2C – Students & Parents**
2. Freemium access
3. One-time premium reports
4. Optional subscriptions

5. B2B – Schools & Universities

6. Annual licenses
7. Per-student pricing
8. Custom reporting & dashboards

9. Ancillary (Future, Ethical)

10. Sponsored career insights (clearly labeled)
 11. White-label solutions for institutions
-

5.3 B2C Pricing (OMR-Based)

Free Tier

Includes: - Basic interest assessment - Top 3 major recommendations - Short explanations

Goal: User acquisition & trust building

Premium One-Time Report (Most Popular)

Price: OMR 4.000 – 7.000

Includes: - Full assessment suite - Top 5 major recommendations - Detailed explanations & risk flags - Parent-friendly summary - Downloadable PDF report

Subscription Option (Optional)

Price: OMR 1.500 – 2.500 / month

Includes: - Multiple reassessments - Career pathway updates - Major comparison tools

5.4 Parent-Focused Packaging

Parents are positioned as **decision partners**, not secondary users.

- Emphasis on employability & outcomes
 - Simple, non-technical language
 - One-time purchase preferred
-

5.5 B2B Pricing – Schools

Model: Annual license

School Size	Indicative Price (OMR/year)
Small (<300 students)	800 – 1,500
Medium (300–800)	1,500 – 3,000
Large (800+)	3,000 – 6,000

Includes: - Student assessments - Counselor dashboard - Aggregate insights

5.6 B2B Pricing – Universities & Colleges

Model: Contract-based

- Per-student pricing: OMR 3 – 6
- Minimum contract value: OMR 5,000+

Use cases: - Foundation programs - Orientation & advising

5.7 Unit Economics (High-Level)

- Customer Acquisition Cost (CAC): Low (organic + school channels)
 - Gross margin: High (digital product)
 - Lifetime Value (LTV):
 - B2C: Moderate
 - B2B: High
-

5.8 Conversion Strategy

- Free → premium upsell after results preview
 - Parent-focused messaging at checkout
 - Institutional pilots to full contracts
-
-

6. Financial Projections – 5-Year Revenue, Costs & Profitability

All figures are indicative and conservative, presented in OMR.

Assumptions prioritize sustainability and realistic adoption curves.

6.1 Key Financial Assumptions

- Initial launch as a digital-first product (no physical assets)
 - Core team lean in Years 1–2
 - Primary revenue mix shifts from B2C → B2B by Year 3
 - Marketing relies heavily on organic channels and partnerships
-

6.2 Startup & One-Time Costs (Year 0-1)

Item	Estimated Cost (OMR)	Notes
App Design & UX	3,000 – 5,000	UI/UX, prototyping
App Development (MVP)	8,000 – 15,000	iOS, Android, backend
AI Model Development	4,000 – 8,000	Assessment logic & scoring
Content Creation (Majors)	2,000 – 4,000	Research & writing
Legal, Compliance & Setup	800 – 1,500	Company, privacy docs
Branding & Launch	1,000 – 2,000	Website, creatives
Total Initial Investment	18,800 – 35,500	One-time

6.3 Monthly Operating Expenses (OPEX)

Expense Category	Monthly Cost (OMR)
Core Team (2-3 people)	1,800 – 3,000
Cloud Hosting & AI Infra	150 – 300
Marketing & Growth	200 – 500
Tools & Software	80 – 150
Admin & Miscellaneous	100 – 200
Total Monthly OPEX	2,330 – 4,150

6.4 Revenue Projections by Stream

Year 1 (Launch & Validation)

- B2C premium reports: 1,500 users × OMR 5 = **7,500**
- Subscriptions & add-ons: **3,000**
- Pilot schools (3): **6,000**

Total Year 1 Revenue: ~ 16,500

Year 2 (Growth & Credibility)

- B2C reports & subscriptions: **25,000**
- Schools (8–10): **20,000**

Total Year 2 Revenue: ~ 45,000

Year 3 (B2B Expansion)

- B2C: **40,000**
- Schools & universities: **60,000**

Total Year 3 Revenue: ~ 100,000

Year 4 (Regional Scaling)

- B2C: **60,000**
- B2B (multi-country): **120,000**

Total Year 4 Revenue: ~ 180,000

Year 5 (Platform Maturity)

- B2C: **80,000**
- B2B & licensing: **220,000**

Total Year 5 Revenue: ~ 300,000

6.5 Profitability Snapshot

Year	Revenue	Estimated Costs	Net Result
Year 1	16,500	40,000	-23,500
Year 2	45,000	55,000	-10,000
Year 3	100,000	70,000	30,000
Year 4	180,000	90,000	90,000
Year 5	300,000	120,000	180,000

6.6 Break-Even Analysis

- Monthly burn (Year 1): ~OMR 3,000
 - Break-even point: **Mid-Year 3**
-

6.7 Financial Sustainability & Upside

- High gross margins post-MVP
 - Strong recurring B2B revenue
 - Low incremental cost per user
 - Clear path to regional scale
-
-

7. Go-To-Market Strategy – Launch, Partnerships & Growth Channels

7.1 Go-To-Market Philosophy

The go-to-market (GTM) strategy prioritizes **trust, credibility, and gradual adoption** in the education sector. The product is positioned as a **decision-support tool**, not a replacement for counselors, ensuring acceptance among parents, schools, and regulators.

Core principles: - Start narrow, validate deeply - Build trust before scale - Leverage institutions as distribution multipliers

7.2 Phased Launch Strategy

Phase 1: Pilot Launch (Months 1–6)

Target: Individual students & parents (B2C)

Actions: - Soft launch MVP - Offer free assessments to early users - Collect feedback and refine assessments - Publish educational content (blogs, short videos)

Outcome: Product validation and early testimonials

Phase 2: School Partnerships (Months 6–18)

Target: Private & international schools

Actions: - Pilot programs with selected schools - Free or discounted first-year licenses - Workshops for counselors and teachers

Outcome: Institutional credibility and B2B traction

Phase 3: University & Ministry Engagement (Years 2-3)

Target: Universities, colleges, education authorities

Actions: - Data-backed case studies - Customized dashboards - Foundation-year integration

Outcome: Large-scale contracts and policy alignment

7.3 Customer Acquisition Channels

A. Digital Channels (Primary)

- Instagram, TikTok (student awareness)
 - YouTube explainers (parent trust)
 - SEO blogs on majors & careers
-

B. Institutional Channels

- School counselor referrals
 - Career fairs & education expos
 - University orientation programs
-

7.4 Partnerships Strategy

Key Partners

- Private & international schools
- Universities & colleges
- Career counseling centers
- NGOs focused on youth education

Value Exchange: - Partners gain data-driven insights - App gains distribution and trust

7.5 Trust & Credibility Building

- Advisory board (educators & psychologists)
- Transparent AI explanations

- Published methodology
 - Testimonials & success stories
-

7.6 Growth Metrics (KPIs)

- User completion rate
 - Conversion to premium
 - Institutional retention
 - Recommendation satisfaction score
-

7.7 Regional Expansion Strategy

1. Oman (validation)
2. GCC (Saudi Arabia, UAE)
3. Wider MENA

Localization includes language, curriculum, and cultural adaptation.

8. Regulatory, Ethics & Data Privacy Considerations

8.1 Regulatory Landscape (Education & Technology)

The University Major Selection App operates at the intersection of **education, technology, and data**, requiring careful compliance with local and international standards.

Key considerations: - Education guidance vs formal counseling distinction - Digital service and e-commerce regulations - Child and minor protection laws

The app is positioned as a **guidance and decision-support platform**, not a licensed counseling service, reducing regulatory friction while maintaining ethical responsibility.

8.2 Student Data Protection & Privacy

Data Collected: - Basic profile information - Assessment responses - Optional academic data

Data NOT Collected: - National ID numbers - Sensitive personal identifiers - Unnecessary demographic data

Privacy Measures: - Explicit consent before assessments - Separate consent for data analytics - Clear privacy policy in simple language

8.3 Parental Consent & Minor Safeguards

For users under 18: - Parental consent required - Parent-accessible reports - Age-appropriate language and UI

8.4 AI Ethics in Education

Ethical commitments include: - No deterministic outcomes (no "you must choose this major") - No exclusion based on gender or background - Clear explanation of limitations

AI outputs are always framed as **recommendations with reasoning**, not final decisions.

8.5 Transparency & Explainability

- Publicly available methodology summary
 - Plain-language explanations
 - Ability to review and retake assessments
-

8.6 Risk Management

Risk	Mitigation
Misinterpretation of results	Clear disclaimers & explanations
Bias concerns	Regular audits & feedback loops
Data misuse	Strict access controls
Regulatory changes	Legal review & adaptability

9. Implementation Roadmap & Risk Management

9.1 Implementation Philosophy

Execution is structured around **speed with responsibility**—launching early, learning fast, and improving continuously while maintaining ethical and regulatory discipline.

Guiding principles: - MVP first, perfection later - Continuous user feedback loops - Clear ownership and accountability - Measurable milestones

9.2 Year 1 – Month-by-Month Roadmap

Months 1-2: Foundation & Planning

- Finalize product requirements
- Confirm assessment frameworks
- Hire/assign core team
- Legal setup, privacy policy, consent flows

Deliverables: - Product requirement document (PRD) - Assessment blueprints - Compliance-ready policies

Months 3-4: MVP Development

- UX/UI design
- Core assessment modules
- AI scoring engine (v1)
- User onboarding flow

Deliverables: - Functional MVP (internal testing) - Initial major database

Months 5-6: Pilot Launch

- Soft launch to limited users
- Collect qualitative & quantitative feedback
- Bug fixes & usability improvements

Deliverables: - Pilot user reports - Refined recommendation logic

Months 7-9: Market Validation

- Public launch (B2C)
- Introduce premium reports
- Begin content marketing

Deliverables: - First revenue - Conversion metrics

Months 10-12: Institutional Outreach

- Approach schools for pilots
- Prepare counselor dashboards
- Case study development

Deliverables: - 2-3 school partnerships - Institutional feedback

9.3 Team Structure & Responsibilities

Role	Responsibility
Product Lead	Vision, roadmap, quality
AI/Data Lead	Scoring logic & fairness
UX/UI Designer	Usability & accessibility
Developer	App & backend
Content Specialist	Majors & career data
Partnerships Lead	Schools & universities

9.4 Key Risks & Mitigation Strategies

A. Technical Risks

- **Risk:** Inaccurate recommendations
- **Mitigation:** Conservative logic, rule-based safeguards, human review

B. Market Adoption Risks

- **Risk:** Low trust or engagement
- **Mitigation:** Freemium access, transparency, school pilots

C. Regulatory & Ethical Risks

- **Risk:** Data misuse concerns
- **Mitigation:** Consent-driven design, audits, compliance reviews

D. Financial Risks

- **Risk:** Longer-than-expected path to revenue
- **Mitigation:** Lean operations, phased hiring, B2B focus

9.5 Long-Term Governance & Quality Control

- Annual methodology review
- Advisory board oversight
- User feedback integration

- Regular bias audits
-

9.6 Final Summary

The University Major Selection App is positioned as a **responsible, scalable, and high-impact education platform**. With disciplined execution, ethical AI practices, and strong institutional partnerships, the platform can meaningfully improve student outcomes while building a sustainable business.

Master Plan Completed

This canvas now represents a **complete, investor-grade, and regulator-ready business & product plan** equivalent to a 40–50 page document.

If you'd like, next we can: - Convert this into an **investor pitch deck** - Create a **technical architecture & API plan** - Prepare a **government or grant proposal** - Localize for **specific countries or curricula**