### The Relational Model

School of Computer Science University of Waterloo

CS 348 Introduction to Database Management Fall 2007

## Review: Network and Hierarchical Models

### Idea

Structural information is encoded implicitly using pointers.

### Consequences:

- difficult to separate external, conceptual, and physical schema
- queries must explicitly navigate the data graph ⇒ procedural queries
- procedural (not semantic) specification of integrity constraints

## The Relational Model

### Idea

All information is organized in (flat) relations.

#### Features:

- simple and clean data model
- powerful and declarative query/update languages
- semantic integrity constraints
- data independence

## The Relational Model: Formal Definition

## Universe

- a set of atomic values D with equality (=)
- Domain Relation
- a name D with a set of values  $dom(D) \subseteq \mathbf{D}$
- schema:  $R(A_1 : D_1, A_2 : D_2, ..., A_k : D_k)$  with
  - name R
  - A<sub>1</sub>,..., A<sub>k</sub> a set of distinct attribute names
  - $D_1, \ldots, D_k$  a collection of (not necessarily distinct) domain names
- instance: a finite relation  $\mathbf{R} \subseteq \text{dom}(D_1) \times \cdots \times \text{dom}(D_k)$ .

### Database

- schema: finite set of uniquely-named relation schemas
- instance: a relation  $R_i$  for each  $R_i$

### Note

- Intention of a relation: The associated relation schema.
- Extension of a relation: The associated set of tuples.

# The Relational Model: Properties

### Note

- Relational schemas have named and typed attributes
- Relational instances are finite

### Properties of a relation:

- 1 Based on (finite) set theory
  - Attribute ordering: not strictly necessary
  - Value oriented: tuples identified by attribute values
  - Instance has set semantics:
    - No ordering among tuples
    - No duplicate tuples
- 2 All attribute values are atomic
- 3 Degree (arity) = # of attributes in schema
- 4 Cardinality = # of tuples in instance

# Example: A Bibliography Database

#### Database schema:

```
author(aid:int, name:string)
wrote(author:int, publication:int)
publication(pubid:int, title:string)
book(pubid, publisher, year)
journal(pubid, volume, no, year)
proceedings(pubid, year)
article(pubid, crossref, startpage, endpage)
```

### Note

Relational schemas are sometimes abbreviated by omitting the attribute domains.

# Example: A Bibliography Database

### Sample database instance:

```
author = \{(1, John), (2, Sue)\}
       wrote = \{(1,1),(1,4),(2,3)\}
publication = { (1, Mathematical Logic),
                      (3, Trans. Databases),
                       (2, Principles of DB Syst.),
                       (4, Query Languages)
         book = \{ (1, AMS, 1990) \}
     journal = \{ (3, 35, 1, 1990) \}
proceedings = \{ (2,1995) \}
     article = \{ (4, 2, 30, 41) \}
```

# Example: A Bibliography Database

Sample database instance (tabular form):

0.0.01101	
aid	name
1	John
2	Sue

#### wrote

author	publication
1	1
1	4
2	3

### publication

pubid	title
1	Mathematical Logic
3	Trans. Databases
2	Principles of DB Syst.
4	Query Languages

# Relations vs. SQL Tables

#### Note

The standard language for interfacing with relational DBMSs is Structured Query Language (SQL). Unfortunately, there are few important differences between the Relational Model and the data model used by SQL (and relational DBMSs).

### Discrepencies between Relational Model and SQL:

- 1 Semantics of Instances
  - Relations are sets of tuples
  - Tables are multisets (bags) of tuples
- 2 Unknown values
  - SQL data model defines a particular value null (intended to mean "unknown") which has some special properties (requires three-value logic)

## Integrity Constraints

A relational schema captures only the structure of relations

#### Idea

Extend relational/database schema with rules called constraints. An instance is only valid if it satisfies all schema constraints.

#### Reasons to use constraints:

- 1 Ensure data entry/modification respects database design
  - Shift responsibility from applications to DBMS
- 2 Protect data from bugs in applications

# Types of Integrity Constraints

- Tuple-level
  - Domain restrictions
  - Attribute comparisons
- Relation-level
  - · Key constraints
    - Superkey: a set of attributes for which no pair of distinct tuples in the relation will ever agree on the corresponding values
    - Candidate key: a minimal superkey (a minimal set of attributes that uniquely identifies a tuple)
    - Primary key: a designated candidate key
  - Functional dependencies, etc.

# Types of Integrity Constraints (cont'd)

- Database-level
  - · Referential integrity
    - Foreign key: Primary key of one relation appearing as attributes of another relation.
    - Referential integrity: A tuple with a non-null value for a foreign key
      that does not match the primary key value of a tuple in the
      referenced relation is not allowed.
  - Inclusion dependencies

## Example: Database Schema showing ICs

