

**University of Waterloo**  
**CS 466 — Advanced Algorithm**  
**Spring 2013**  
**Problem Set 2**  
**Siwei Yang - 20258568**

1. [8 marks: The Need for Paid Exchanges] In discussing Move to Front and other self-organizing heuristics the model was very important. In the model in which swapping the requested element with one immediately in front of it (and perhaps repeating this many times) as a free exchange, bring the question as to whether paid exchanges are necessary to achieve the offline optimal. Clearly they are not necessary to come within a factor of two of optimal under this model.

- a. Show that the offline optimal to service the request  $a_3, a_2, a_3, a_2$  is 8. The list is initially in the order  $a_1, a_2, a_3$ .

Assume we know the procedures to achieve offline optimal. Then, let  $\delta_0, \delta_1, \delta_2, \delta_3$  represent the ordering before the before first, second, third and fourth accesses; let  $c_0, c_1, c_2, c_3$  represent the cost for first, second, third and fourth accesses.

We know that  $c_0, c_1, c_2, c_3 \geq 1$ . Assuming  $c_0 = 1$ , then the access cost for  $a_2$  in  $\delta_0$  is greater than 1. Since  $c_1$  is at least access cost for  $a_2$  in  $\delta_0$ , we have  $c_1 \geq 2$ . Thus,

$$c_0 + c_1 \geq 3 \tag{1}$$

Otherwise  $c_0 \geq 2$ , and  $c_1 \geq 1$ , we still have equation 1.

And for the same reason, we have

$$c_2 + c_3 \geq 3 \tag{2}$$

Now, looking at the initial ordering  $a_1, a_2, a_3$ , if  $\delta_0$  is  $a_1, a_2, a_3$ , then we have  $c_0 = 3$  and  $c_1 \geq 2$ . Thus, we have

$$c_0 + c_1 \geq 5 \tag{3}$$

which leads to

$$c_0 + c_1 + c_2 + c_3 \geq 8 \quad (4)$$

Thus, the **total cost is at least 8**.

Otherwise,  $\delta_0$  is derived from  $a_1, a_2, a_3$  after exchanges. However, each paid exchange reduce access cost by one to at most one element. Therefore, we easily have

$$c_0 + c_1 \geq 4 \quad (5)$$

which leads to

$$1 + c_0 + c_1 + c_2 + c_3 \geq 8 \quad (6)$$

Thus, the **total cost is at least 8**.

if  $\delta_0$  is derived from  $a_1, a_2, a_3$  after one paid exchange. And, the **total cost is at least 8**. if  $\delta_0$  is derived from  $a_1, a_2, a_3$  after  $k$  paid exchanges where  $k \geq 2$ , we still have

$$k + c_0 + c_1 + c_2 + c_3 \geq 8 \quad (7)$$

Thus, the **total cost is at least 8**.

From above, we conclude the optimal **total cost is at least 8**. And we actually achieve the total cost of 8 if we have  $\delta_0 = a_2, a_3, a_1$  is derived from  $a_1, a_2, a_3$  after two paid exchanges as well as  $\delta_0 = \delta_1 = \delta_2 = \delta_3$ . Therefore, the optimal **total cost is 8**.

- b. Also show that the optimal offline algorithm without using these paid exchanges is 9.

2. [6 marks] In a splay tree we use double rotations to move an element to the root. It was mentioned that moving the requested element to the root by a sequence can give very bad amortized behavior. Prove that this amortized cost can be  $\Theta(n)$  (actually you can get it to  $n$ ) for an arbitrarily long sequence of requests on a tree with  $n$  nodes, with a starting configuration of your choice. (You need not deal with either insertions or deletions.)