**Screening**

Please verify that you are not a
robot:

Thank you for deciding to participate in this survey!

Please read the questions on the screen carefully, and answer them honestly. There are no right or wrong answers, we are simply interested in your opinion. All responses will be kept confidential.

Thank you in advance for your honest and thoughtful responses.

These page timer metrics will not be displayed to the recipient.

First Click: *0 seconds*

Last Click: *0 seconds*

Page Submit: *0 seconds*

Click Count: *0 clicks*

Please click anywhere on this page at least five times. The number of times you click will be recorded automatically, even if you do not see any change on this page.This is an attention check. Failing this attention check will result in rejecting your hit.Thank you.

Attention check failed, your hit will be rejected.

If you see this note, it means that you failed the attention check presented on the previous page, which read: "Please click anywhere on

this page at least five times. The number of times you click will be recorded automatically, even if you do not see any change on this page. This is an attention check. Failing this attention check will result in rejecting your hit. Thank you.

Intro

Please read the news story on the next page carefully.

You will be asked for your opinion about it.

Human

Recently, a man was found driving a car that had been stolen. He was arrested, found guilty of stealing the car, and sentenced to prison. To determine the length of the sentence, a judge evaluated the risk that the defendant would re-offend. The judge evaluated the defendant as high-risk. Based on the judge's assessment, the defendant was sentenced to five years in prison.

"The judge should have to explain exactly what information was considered for the risk-assessment"

Strongly disagree	Disagree	Somewhat disagree	Neither agree nor disagree	Somewhat agree	Agree	Strongly agree
○	○	○	○	○	○	○

"The judge should have to explain exactly how the information considered was weighted for the risk-assessment"

Strongly disagree	Disagree	Somewhat disagree	Neither agree nor disagree	Somewhat agree	Agree	Strongly agree
○	○	○	○	○	○	○

"It is ok for the judge not to have to explain exactly how the defendant's risk of re-offending was determined"

Strongly disagree	Disagree	Somewhat disagree	Neither agree nor disagree	Somewhat agree	Agree	Strongly agree
○	○	○	○	○	○	○

Algorithm

Recently, a man was found driving a car that had been stolen. He was arrested, found guilty of stealing the car, and sentenced to prison. To determine the length of the sentence, a computerized algorithm evaluated the risk that the defendant would re-offend. The algorithm evaluated the defendant as high-risk. Based on the algorithm's assessment, the defendant was sentenced to five years in prison.

"The algorithm should have to explain exactly what information was considered for the risk-assessment"

Strongly disagree	Disagree	Somewhat disagree	Neither agree nor disagree	Somewhat agree	Agree	Strongly agree
○	○	○	○	○	○	○

"The algorithm should have to explain exactly how the information considered was weighted for the risk-assessment"

Strongly disagree	Disagree	Somewhat disagree	Neither agree nor disagree	Somewhat agree	Agree	Strongly agree
○	○	○	○	○	○	○

"It is ok for the algorithm not to have to explain exactly how the defendant's risk of re-offending was determined"

Strongly disagree	Disagree	Somewhat disagree	Neither agree nor disagree	Somewhat agree	Agree	Strongly agree
○	○	○	○	○	○	○

Demos

What is your gender?

○ Male

○ Female

○ Prefer not to say

What is your age?

[   ]