

Business Lingo Primer

Idioms • Phrases • Buzzwords

Edited by Joel Parker Henderson

Version 1.0.0

Contents

What is this book?	5
Who is this for?	6
Why am I creating this?	7
Idioms	8
Ahead of the pack	9
Barking up the wrong tree	10
Big picture	11
Boil the ocean	12
Buy-in	13
Firefighting mode	14
Get on the front foot	15
Have a think	16
In full swing	17
Low-hanging fruit	18
On the bench	19
On the radar	20
Out of scope	21
Over the horizon	22
Put a pin in it	23
Quick wins	24
Red tape	25
Skin in the game	26
Stretch goal	27
Swoop and poop	28
Take the bull by the horns	29
The ball is in your court	30
The proof is in the pudding	31
Think outside of the box	32
Touch base	33
Unknown unknowns	34
Win-win	35

Aphorisms	36
Brooks' Law	37
Conway's law	38
Gresham's Law	39
Hyrum's Law	40
Metcalfe's Law	41
Moore's Law	42
The Law of Demos	43
The Law of Supply and Demand	44
The Law of Conservation of Complexity	45
The Law of Large Numbers	46
The Pareto Principle (The 80/20 Rule)	47
The Principle of Least Knowledge	48
Chesterton's fence	49
The Tragedy of the Commons	50
 Entrepreneur quotations	 51
A rising tide lifts all boats	52
Culture eats strategy for breakfast	53
Execution eats strategy for lunch	54
A startup is a company that is confused	55
Move fast and break things	56
Ideas are easy, implementation is hard	57
Learn early, learn often	58
Make mistakes faster	59
Perfect is the enemy of good	60
Data beats emotions	61
Look for the people who want to change the world	62
See things in the present, even if they are in the future	63
 Conclusion	 64
Thanks	65
About the editor	66
About the AI	67
About the ebook PDF	68

About related projects 69

What is this book?

Business Lingo Primer is a glossary guide ebook that describes one topic per page. The primer is intended for quick easy learning about business idioms, phrases, and buzzwords.

Why these topics?

All the topics here are chosen because they have come up in real-world projects, with real-world stakeholders who want to learn about the topic.

If you have suggestions for more topics, then please let me know.

What is the topic order?

You can read any topic page, in any order, at any time. Each topic page is intended be clear on its own, without needing cross-references or links.

Who is this for?

People should read this primer if they want to learn quickly about business lingo, and how its practiced in companies today. Some of the lingo may be obvious, especially to United States English speakers.

For English language learners

For project managers, this primer is intending to summarize and distill many of your daily concepts and terminology. For you, the value of the primer is in being able to quickly and easily teach stakeholders about your project management concepts. For example, if you want to use a particular technique such as PDCA or OODA or DMAIC with your project stakeholders, then you can quickly and easily direct the stakeholders to this primer and its relevant topic pages, as one aspect of your communications. You can freely excerpt, remix, and share these pages with your coworkers.

For English language learners

For people who know English as a secondary language, or who are English language learners, this primer is intending to bring you up to speed quickly and easily on business lingo, so you can work better with teams and stakeholders that use the lingo. When you know the right terminology, then you're better-able to share information, collaborate, and create the working relationships that you value.

For students

For students and educators, this primer is a snapshot of industry lingo that can help bridge the gap between academic studies, such as computer science studies, and industry jobs, such as computer programming jobs. If students are able to skim what's in this book, they will have an advantage when they go for job interviews and encounter this lingo.

Why am I creating this?

I am creating this ebook because of years of experience in consulting work, with a wide range of clients, from small startups to enormous enterprises. This kind of business lingo is present in many of the projects and at many of the clients.

For team collaboration

When I work with companies and teams, then I'm able to use glossaries like this one to help create shared context and clearer communication. This can accelerate working together, and can help teams forge better project plans, in my direct experience.

For example, one of my enterprise clients describes this kind of shared context and clear communication in a positive sense as “singing from the same songbook”. When a team understands project management terminology, and has a quick easy glossary for definitions and explanations, then it's akin to teammates with the same songbook.

For cross-cultural communication

What I discovered is that these kinds of glossaries can be especially helpful for teams with members coming from various cultures, such as from different countries, or different industries, or different ways of working. The topic pages help provide a baseline for better collaboration.

What I discovered with teammates from non-Western or non-English backgrounds is that business has many social quotations, aphorisms, and idioms that come up frequently and that that teammates are expected to know.

For example, my peers in San Francisco Bay Area startups will likely know the lingo “Get on the front foot”. But it turns out this idiom isn't immediately familiar to many people from many other places. The topic pages cover idioms, to improve shared understanding.

Idioms

Idioms are phrases or expressions that have a meaning that is different from the literal meaning of the words used. These expressions are commonly used in everyday language and are often used to add color or emphasis to a statement.

Idioms can be difficult to understand for non-native speakers or those who are not familiar with the language or culture. The meaning of idioms cannot be understood by simply translating the individual words that make up the expression. Instead, idioms are often understood through their usage and context.

For example, the idiom “the ball is in your court” means that it is now someone’s turn or responsibility to take action. This idiom is often used in situations where someone has made a proposal or suggestion, and it is up to the other person to respond.

For example, the idiom “barking up the wrong tree” means that someone is pursuing a mistaken or misguided course of action. The literal meaning of the words “barking” and “tree” does not convey the same meaning as the idiom.

Idioms can add color and nuance to language, but they can also be confusing or difficult for non-native speakers or those who are not familiar with the language and culture.

Ahead of the pack

The idiom “ahead of the pack” refers to being in a leading or advantageous position compared to others in a competitive or comparative context. It suggests being ahead or superior in terms of performance, achievement, skills, or innovation, surpassing others in a particular field or pursuit.

When someone or something is described as “ahead of the pack,” it implies being at the forefront or leading the way, often in terms of quality, progress, or success. It conveys the idea of standing out from the competition and being in a position of advantage or excellence.

The idiom is often used in various contexts:

- **Sports and Competitions:** In sports, athletes or teams that are “ahead of the pack” are those who are leading or outperforming their competitors.
- **Business and Innovation:** In the business world, companies or individuals who are “ahead of the pack” are those who are innovative, successful, and surpassing their industry peers in terms of growth, market share, or groundbreaking ideas.
- **Academics and Education:** Students or researchers who are “ahead of the pack” excel in their studies or contribute significantly to their field of research, setting themselves apart from their peers.
- **Personal and Professional Development:** Individuals who are “ahead of the pack” in their personal or professional lives are those who have achieved notable success, reached milestones, or demonstrated exceptional skills or qualities.

Barking up the wrong tree

“Barking up the wrong tree” is an idiomatic expression used to convey the idea that someone is pursuing a mistaken or misguided course of action or making incorrect assumptions about something or someone. The phrase suggests that the person’s efforts or focus are misdirected and unlikely to lead to the desired outcome.

The origin of the expression can be traced back to hunting dogs that would bark at the base of a tree when they sensed the presence of prey, mistakenly believing that the target was located in that particular tree. However, the actual target, such as a squirrel or bird, might be in a different tree altogether. The dogs are thus barking up the wrong tree, as their attention is misplaced.

In a figurative sense, “barking up the wrong tree” is used to caution someone that they are directing their efforts or accusations in the wrong direction. It suggests that they should reevaluate their assumptions or approach and consider a different perspective or course of action.

For example, if someone accuses a person of a wrongdoing without sufficient evidence, another person might say, “I think you’re barking up the wrong tree here. There’s no evidence to support your claim.” This indicates that the accuser is targeting the wrong person and should look elsewhere for the truth or the source of the problem.

The phrase is commonly used to indicate that someone’s focus, efforts, or assumptions are misplaced and they should redirect their attention or reconsider their approach. It serves as a gentle reminder to reassess one’s position and explore alternative paths to find a solution or answer.

Big picture

“Big picture” is an idiom that refers to the overall or broader perspective of a situation, concept, or problem. It implies looking at things from a wider viewpoint rather than getting caught up in specific details or immediate concerns. When someone considers the “big picture,” they are focusing on the larger context, long-term goals, and understanding the connections and implications of various elements.

The idiom is often used to encourage individuals to step back and take a broader view, to see beyond the immediate details or challenges and understand the greater significance or impact of a situation. It emphasizes the importance of considering the larger context, understanding the relationships between various components, and making informed decisions based on a comprehensive understanding of the situation.

In various contexts, considering the big picture can help individuals:

- **Gain perspective:** By stepping back and looking at the big picture, individuals can gain a clearer understanding of the situation, its complexities, and its long-term implications.
- **Make informed decisions:** Taking the big picture into account allows individuals to make decisions that align with their goals and have a positive impact in the long run.
- **Identify priorities:** By focusing on the big picture, individuals can identify the most important elements or priorities within a situation or project.
- **Plan strategically:** Understanding the big picture helps individuals develop strategic plans and actions that consider the broader context and potential future developments.

Boil the ocean

“Boil the ocean” is an idiomatic expression that is used to describe a task or project that is so complex, difficult, or extensive that it is virtually impossible to complete. The phrase suggests an impossible task, as boiling the ocean would be impossible due to its size.

In a business context, “boil the ocean” is often used to describe a project or task that is too ambitious or too broad in scope, making it difficult or impossible to achieve. It can also refer to a situation where an organization is trying to solve all of its problems at once, without a clear sense of priorities or a realistic understanding of the resources required to accomplish the task.

In project management, “boil the ocean” can be used to warn against taking on a project that is too large in scope, without first breaking it down into manageable, achievable pieces. The term can also be used to describe a project that is over-ambitious and lacks a clear focus or direction.

In essence, “boil the ocean” is a cautionary phrase that suggests the importance of focusing on achievable goals and breaking complex projects down into smaller, more manageable tasks. It is a reminder that success often comes from taking small, incremental steps, rather than attempting to achieve everything at once.

Buy-in

“Buy-in” refers to the process of getting people to agree with and support a particular idea, project, or decision. In a business or organizational context, buy-in is crucial for the success of a project or initiative, as it ensures that everyone is on board and working together towards the same goal.

The term “buy-in” comes from the idea that people need to invest something, either financially or emotionally, in order to commit to a project. In the business world, this investment can take many forms, such as time, resources, or political capital.

By engaging stakeholders, communicating effectively, and addressing concerns and objections, leaders can build a sense of shared ownership and commitment to a project, which can help ensure its success.

It is important to note that buy-in is not the same as agreement or compliance. Someone may comply with a decision or directive without actually supporting it, which can lead to resentment and resistance down the line. Buy-in, on the other hand, implies a genuine belief in the value and importance of the project or decision.

There are several strategies that can be used to build buy-in for a project or decision. One approach is to involve stakeholders in the planning and decision-making process, so that they feel invested in the outcome. Another approach is to clearly communicate the benefits and rationale behind the project, so that people understand why it is important and how it will impact them. It can also be helpful to address any concerns or objections that people may have, and to provide opportunities for feedback and input throughout the process.

Firefighting mode

“Firefighting mode” is a term commonly used in project management and organizational contexts to describe a situation where individuals or teams are in a reactive mode, scrambling to address urgent or unexpected issues that arise, rather than being able to work proactively and strategically towards long-term goals.

In firefighting mode, the focus is on dealing with the immediate crisis or problem, often at the expense of other important tasks and priorities. This can be a stressful and challenging state to be in, as individuals and teams may be forced to work long hours, make decisions under pressure, and deal with a high level of uncertainty and unpredictability.

While firefighting mode can be necessary at times, it can also be an indication of deeper organizational or management issues. For example, it may be a sign that there is a lack of clear priorities or communication, that resources are spread too thin, or that there is a culture of putting out fires rather than preventing them in the first place.

To avoid getting stuck in firefighting mode, organizations and project teams can take steps to identify and address root causes of problems before they escalate, establish clear priorities and processes for managing urgent issues, and foster a culture of proactive problem-solving and continuous improvement.

Get on the front foot

“Get on the front foot” is a phrase that means to take a proactive approach to a situation, rather than waiting for something to happen and reacting to it. It is often used in a business or professional context to encourage people to be more assertive and take initiative in their work.

The phrase is derived from sports, particularly football (soccer), where players on the offensive team are said to be “on the front foot” when they are attacking the other team’s goal. By getting on the front foot, players are able to control the pace and direction of the game, and put pressure on the opposing team.

In a business context, getting on the front foot means anticipating potential problems or opportunities and taking action before they become urgent or critical. For example, a company might get on the front foot by proactively reaching out to customers to address their concerns, rather than waiting for complaints to come in.

Getting on the front foot can also refer to taking a leadership role in a situation, rather than waiting for someone else to take charge. By being proactive and taking initiative, individuals and organizations can often achieve better results and avoid problems down the line.

Have a think

“Have a think” is an idiomatic expression that means to take some time to reflect or consider something carefully. The phrase is often used to encourage someone to take a moment to ponder an idea or problem before making a decision or taking action.

Saying “have a think” is a polite and encouraging way to suggest that someone takes some time to reflect and consider their options carefully. It is a way of promoting careful decision-making and thoughtful analysis. It is often used in a business or professional context when there is a need to analyze a situation or problem before making a decision.

The expression is similar to “think it over,” “consider it,” or “mull it over”.

The phrase can also be used in a more casual setting, such as when a friend is seeking advice on a personal matter or trying to make a decision. In this context, “have a think” is a way of saying “take your time to consider all the options before making a choice”.

In full swing

The idiom “in full swing” refers to a situation or activity that is happening or progressing at a high level of intensity, energy, or momentum. It suggests that something is fully underway and operating at its peak or most active state.

When something is “in full swing,” it implies that it is fully developed, established, or in a state of maximum productivity or engagement. It often indicates that an event, process, or activity is at its busiest, most vibrant, or most effective stage.

The idiom is often used in the following contexts...

- **Events or Parties:** When a social gathering or event is “in full swing,” it means that it is lively, bustling, and everyone is actively participating or enjoying themselves.
- **Sports or Games:** When a game or match is “in full swing,” it suggests that the players are fully engaged, and the action is intense and at its peak level.
- **Projects or Processes:** When a project or process is “in full swing,” it indicates that it is progressing smoothly, all the necessary elements are in place, and everyone is actively working towards its completion.
- **Seasons or Time Periods:** When a season or time period is “in full swing,” it means that it is at its peak or most active state. For example, “summer is in full swing” suggests that the season is at its busiest and most enjoyable.

Low-hanging fruit

“Low-hanging fruit” is a metaphorical term used to describe tasks, actions or opportunities that are easily achievable, require minimal effort or resources, and provide immediate and significant benefits.

The term “low-hanging fruit” comes from the practice of fruit-picking, where the fruit that is easily accessible and can be harvested quickly is picked first, while the higher or harder-to-reach fruit is left for later. In the context of business, low-hanging fruit can refer to a variety of different opportunities, such as:

- **Software Development:** Low-hanging fruit can refer to tasks that can be accomplished quickly and easily, but still have a meaningful impact. For example, fixing a minor issue that has been causing inconvenience to customers, improving a product feature, or optimizing a process to save time and resources.
- **Targeted Marketing:** Low-hanging fruit can refer to customer segments or markets that are easily accessible and offer significant potential for growth or revenue. For example, focusing on customers who have already shown interest in the product or service, or targeting a specific demographic that is more likely to respond to the marketing message.
- **Risk Management:** Low-hanging fruit can refer to opportunities to mitigate or reduce risks that can have a significant impact on the organization’s operations or reputation. For example, addressing a minor security vulnerability before it can be exploited by malicious actors, or implementing basic safety measures to prevent accidents or injuries.

While low-hanging fruit can provide quick and easy wins, it is important to not solely focus on them and neglect other important tasks or goals. It is also important to evaluate the long-term impact of low-hanging fruit initiatives and ensure that they align with overall strategy.

On the bench

“On the bench” is a term that is often used in the context of project management, software development, or other work situations where employees are assigned to projects or tasks. In this context, being “on the bench” refers to an employee who is not currently assigned to a specific project or task, but is available and waiting to be assigned to one.

The term “on the bench” is derived from the practice of sports teams where players who are not actively playing in a game are said to be “on the bench.” Similarly, in a work context, an employee who is not assigned to a specific project or task is said to be “on the bench.”

Being on the bench can be a challenging situation for employees because they are not actively engaged in a specific project or task, and may feel that their skills and expertise are not being fully utilized. However, being on the bench can also provide an opportunity for employees to develop new skills, work on personal projects, or engage in training or professional development activities.

From a management perspective, having employees on the bench can be both a challenge and an opportunity. On the one hand, it can be costly to have employees who are not actively engaged in revenue-generating work. On the other hand, having a pool of talented and available employees can provide flexibility in responding to new projects or business opportunities, and can help ensure that the organization has the skills and resources it needs to meet its goals.

On the radar

“On the radar” is an idiom that means something or someone is being monitored, watched, or considered for future reference or action. The phrase comes from the idea of a radar system that detects objects in the distance and allows them to be monitored and tracked over time.

The idiom “on the radar” is commonly used in business and other professional settings, such as in meetings or discussions about potential opportunities or risks. It can also be used to describe a person or a company that is gaining prominence or recognition and is worth keeping an eye on for future developments or collaboration.

For example, a manager might say, “We have a new competitor in the market that we need to keep on our radar,” meaning they need to monitor and analyze the actions of the competitor to stay competitive. Another example could be, “The company’s innovative products have put them on our radar for potential partnerships,” meaning the company has caught their attention and is being considered for future collaboration or investment.

Out of scope

“Out of scope” is a phrase commonly used in project management, business analysis, and other fields to indicate that a particular task or activity is not within the bounds of the current project or assignment.

When someone says that a task or activity is “out of scope,” they are essentially saying that it is not something that they are currently responsible for, or that it is not something that they can work on within the context of their current project or assignment.

For example, suppose a software development team is working on a project to create a new e-commerce platform for a client. If the client were to request additional features that were not part of the original scope of the project, the project manager might respond by saying that the new features are “out of scope.” This means that the team will not be able to work on the new features as part of the current project, and that the client will need to initiate a new project or change request to address them.

In other contexts, the phrase “out of scope” can also be used to indicate that a particular problem or issue is not relevant to a particular discussion or debate. For example, if two people are having a conversation about the best way to market a new product, and one person starts talking about the technical details of how the product was designed, the other person might say that the technical details are “out of scope” for the current discussion. This would indicate that they believe the technical details are not relevant to the discussion about marketing the product.

Over the horizon

“Over the horizon” is an idiomatic expression that refers to events, situations, or possibilities that are not currently visible or known but are anticipated or expected to occur in the future. It implies that something lies beyond the current range of perception or understanding.

The phrase draws its metaphorical meaning from the visual concept of the horizon, which represents the farthest point that can be seen or known from a particular vantage point. Anything beyond that point is beyond the observer’s current field of vision.

In various contexts, “over the horizon” is used to convey the idea of looking or thinking ahead, anticipating future developments, or preparing for potential challenges or opportunities. It suggests that one should consider possibilities and plan beyond the immediate present.

For example, in strategic planning, “over the horizon” thinking involves considering long-term trends, technological advancements, and potential disruptions that may affect an organization’s future. It encourages a forward-looking perspective and proactive decision-making to stay ahead of the curve.

In military and defense contexts, “over the horizon” refers to activities, threats, or operations that occur beyond the visible range of radar or surveillance. It involves monitoring and preparing for potential risks that may emerge from unknown or distant sources.

In everyday conversations, “over the horizon” can be used metaphorically to indicate future plans, aspirations, or changes that are not yet visible but are expected to materialize at some point.

Overall, “over the horizon” captures the notion of looking beyond the present and considering the possibilities that lie ahead, whether they are related to strategic planning, risk management, or personal aspirations. It emphasizes the importance of forward-thinking and being prepared for the future.

Put a pin in it

“Put a pin in it” is a common expression used in various settings, including business and project management. The phrase means to temporarily set aside a particular topic or issue to be discussed or addressed later, allowing the conversation or activity to move forward without getting bogged down by that specific item.

The concept behind this phrase is that sometimes during discussions or meetings, certain topics may be important but not immediately relevant to the current agenda or discussion. Rather than spending time discussing it, which can lead to distraction and derailment of the current topic, participants can agree to “put a pin in it” and come back to it later.

This phrase can also be used in project management, particularly when managing a large project with multiple stakeholders. In this context, “putting a pin in it” means recording a particular item or issue to be addressed later in the project plan, ensuring that nothing is overlooked or forgotten.

Quick wins

“Quick wins” is a term commonly used in business to describe projects or actions that can be completed quickly, usually within a short time frame of a few weeks to a few months. These are initiatives that require minimal resources, time, and effort but can yield significant, tangible results.

The idea behind quick wins is to build momentum and show progress, which can help gain buy-in from stakeholders and build enthusiasm among team members. This approach can be particularly useful in situations where a larger project or long-term strategy is being developed or implemented.

Examples of quick wins may include:

- Implementing small process improvements that can improve productivity or efficiency.
- Conducting a small-scale customer survey to identify areas for improvement.
- Upgrading or updating software or hardware to improve performance.
- Launching a small-scale marketing campaign to test a new strategy or target audience.

The key to identifying quick wins is to focus on areas where small changes can lead to significant improvements. It's important to keep in mind that quick wins are not meant to be a substitute for a comprehensive strategy or long-term planning. Rather, they should be used to complement these efforts and help organizations move closer to their overall goals.

Red tape

The idiom “red tape” refers to excessive bureaucracy, rules, regulations, or administrative procedures that can delay or hinder the progress of a task, project, or decision-making process. It implies unnecessary and often frustrating obstacles that slow down or complicate matters.

The origin of the term “red tape” can be traced back to the 16th century, where official documents in the British government were tied with red tape to indicate their importance or urgency. Over time, the term evolved to describe the bureaucratic processes and paperwork associated with official matters.

The idiom is commonly used in various contexts:

- **Government and Administration:** “Red tape” is often associated with government agencies, where bureaucratic processes and excessive regulations can slow down decision-making and hinder efficiency.
- **Corporate or Organizational Settings:** In business environments, “red tape” can refer to cumbersome internal procedures, rigid protocols, or excessive paperwork that delay projects or restrict innovation.
- **Legal and Regulatory Matters:** Within legal contexts, “red tape” may refer to the complex and lengthy procedures associated with compliance, filing documents, or navigating regulatory frameworks.
- **Public Services:** “Red tape” can also be associated with slow or inefficient processes in public services such as healthcare, education, or transportation, where bureaucratic hurdles can affect service delivery.

Skin in the game

“Skin in the game” is a phrase that refers to the concept of having a personal stake or investment in the outcome of a particular decision or venture. It suggests that individuals or organizations are more likely to act in the best interest of the project or enterprise if they have something to lose if it fails.

The phrase was popularized by Nassim Nicholas Taleb, a renowned statistician and author, who argued that many people in positions of power or authority, such as politicians or corporate executives, often make decisions that have little to no personal consequences, while leaving others to bear the risks or costs of those decisions.

The idea of “skin in the game” is often applied in business and finance, where investors, executives, and employees are encouraged to have a personal financial stake in the success of the company. This can take the form of stock options, performance-based bonuses, or other incentives tied to the company’s performance.

Having “skin in the game” is also important in entrepreneurship, where founders often invest their own money and time in their startups, rather than relying solely on outside investors or funding sources. This can help to align the interests of the founder with those of the company and its stakeholders, and ensure that the founder is motivated to work hard and make sound decisions.

In addition to business and finance, “skin in the game” can also be applied to other areas of life, such as politics and social justice. For example, politicians who are personally affected by the policies they enact, such as healthcare or tax reform, may be more motivated to make decisions that benefit their constituents, rather than their own interests or those of their donors.

Stretch goal

“Stretch goal” refers to an ambitious goal that is set beyond what is normally expected or what may be easily achievable. Stretch goals are often set to motivate individuals, teams or organizations to achieve beyond their perceived capabilities. Stretch goals can be used to drive innovation, creativity and encourage individuals or teams to reach higher levels of performance.

Stretch goals are designed to be challenging and push individuals or teams outside of their comfort zones. These goals are usually set with a clear and defined purpose, and should be tied to the organization’s strategy and vision. They are intended to inspire and motivate individuals or teams to work harder, smarter and more efficiently, and to go beyond what they think is possible.

Stretch goals can be useful in a variety of contexts, including project management, business planning, and personal development. In project management, stretch goals can be used to challenge team members to complete a project ahead of schedule, under budget, or with higher quality standards. In business planning, stretch goals can be used to push a company to reach higher levels of performance, such as expanding into new markets or developing new products.

While stretch goals can be effective in motivating individuals or teams, they can also have negative consequences if they are not properly managed. Setting unrealistic or unattainable goals can lead to demotivation, frustration, and burnout. It is important to strike a balance between setting challenging goals and ensuring that they are achievable and realistic.

Swoop and poop

“Swoop and poop” is a slang term used in business and project management to describe a situation where an individual or team comes into a project at the last minute and makes critical comments or decisions that undermine the work that has already been done. Essentially, the “swoop” refers to the sudden and unexpected entrance, while the “poop” refers to the negative impact of the comments or decisions.

The term can also be used to describe a situation where a manager or executive swoops in and takes credit for the work of others, without contributing significantly to the project themselves.

The term is often used in a negative context, as it implies a lack of communication and collaboration between team members, and a disregard for the work that has already been done. In order to avoid “swoop and poop” scenarios, it is important for team members to communicate regularly and openly, and for all stakeholders to be involved in the project from the outset.

Take the bull by the horns

The idiom “take the bull by the horns” means to confront a difficult or challenging situation directly and with determination. It implies tackling a problem head-on, without hesitation or fear, and taking control of the situation.

The idiom is derived from the image of a person facing a raging bull and grabbing its horns to gain control over the animal. It symbolizes bravery, assertiveness, and the willingness to face and overcome obstacles or challenges.

When someone is encouraged to “take the bull by the horns,” it suggests that they should:

- **Confront the Issue:** Instead of avoiding or ignoring the problem, they should address it directly.
- **Act with Courage:** They should be brave and fearless in facing the challenge, even if it seems daunting.
- **Take Control:** They should assert themselves and take charge of the situation, actively seeking solutions and making decisions.
- **Be Proactive:** Rather than waiting for things to improve on their own, they should take the initiative and actively work towards resolving the issue.

The ball is in your court

“The ball is in your court” is an idiom that means it is your turn to take action or make a decision. The phrase is often used in situations where two or more parties are involved in a negotiation or discussion, and one party has made a proposal or presented an idea, and it is now up to the other party to respond.

The origin of this phrase is believed to come from the game of tennis, where the ball is hit back and forth between two players who are trying to score points. When the ball is in one player’s court, it is their turn to hit the ball back to the other player.

In a business or personal context, “the ball is in your court” can be used to indicate that the responsibility for the next step or decision lies with the person being addressed. For example, if an employer offers a job to a candidate and asks them to think it over, they might say “the ball is in your court now.” This means that the candidate must decide whether to accept the job or not.

Saying “the ball is in your court” is a polite way to shift responsibility and create a sense of urgency for the person being addressed to take action.

The proof is in the pudding

“The proof is in the pudding” is a common idiomatic expression that means the effectiveness or quality of something can only be determined by putting it to the test or trying it out. The expression is often used to emphasize the importance of practical experience or experimentation over theoretical arguments or assumptions.

The origin of the expression is unclear, but it is believed to have originated in England in the 1600s. The original version of the expression was “the proof of the pudding is in the eating,” which means that the quality or value of a pudding can only be judged by tasting it.

Over time, the expression was shortened to “the proof is in the pudding,” but the meaning remained the same. The expression is often used in business, politics, and other contexts to emphasize the importance of results and practical experience.

For example, a business executive might say “we can’t just rely on market research to determine whether a new product will be successful; we need to launch it and see how customers respond. The proof is in the pudding.” Similarly, a politician might say “we can’t just make promises and hope voters will support us; we need to deliver results. The proof is in the pudding.”

Think outside of the box

“Think outside of the box” is a common phrase used to describe the act of approaching a problem or situation in an unconventional, creative, or innovative way. It refers to thinking beyond the limitations of traditional or established ideas, methods, and processes, and exploring new possibilities and perspectives.

The phrase originated from a popular puzzle in the 1960s called the “nine dots puzzle,” where nine dots were arranged in a square, and the challenge was to connect all nine dots with four straight lines without lifting the pen. The solution required drawing lines outside of the perceived boundary of the square, and this led to the term “thinking outside of the box” to describe unconventional thinking.

The concept of thinking outside of the box is often associated with creativity, innovation, and problem-solving. It encourages individuals to challenge assumptions, break free from conventional thinking patterns, and generate new ideas and solutions. This type of thinking is particularly important in today’s rapidly changing business environment, where organizations are facing complex challenges and disruptive technologies.

To think outside of the box, individuals need to cultivate a mindset that embraces creativity, curiosity, and risk-taking. They need to be open-minded, flexible, and willing to consider alternative perspectives and approaches. They should also be willing to experiment, learn from failures, and iterate until they arrive at a solution that works.

Touch base

The idiom “touch base” means to make contact or communicate briefly with someone to get an update, share information, or establish a connection. It originated from baseball, where a runner must touch each base in order to score a run. In a similar way, when someone “touches base” with another person, they are metaphorically making contact or connecting with them.

The idiom “touch base” is commonly used in business, professional, and social contexts, where individuals or teams need to periodically check in, share progress, or coordinate activities. It conveys the idea of maintaining open lines of communication and staying connected to keep everyone informed and in sync.

Key aspects of the idiom “touch base” include:

- **Communication:** It emphasizes the act of reaching out or initiating contact to exchange information or establish a connection.
- **Brief and Informal:** It implies a short and informal conversation rather than a formal meeting or in-depth discussion.
- **Update or Alignment:** It often involves sharing updates, getting on the same page, or ensuring that everyone involved is aligned with the current situation.
- **Connectivity:** It emphasizes maintaining regular contact or staying connected with others, particularly in a professional or collaborative context.

Unknown unknowns

“Unknown unknowns” is a phrase used to describe risks, issues, or challenges that are not only unknown but also not anticipated or recognized. It refers to the concept of being unaware of the existence of certain potential problems or factors that could impact a situation or decision.

The phrase was popularized by former U.S. Secretary of Defense Donald Rumsfeld during a news briefing in 2002, where he stated: “There are known knowns; there are things we know we know. We also know there are known unknowns; that is to say, we know there are some things we do not know. But there are also unknown unknowns—the ones we don’t know we don’t know.”

The concept of “unknown unknowns” serves as a reminder that no matter how thorough our analysis or preparations, there may always be factors beyond our current knowledge that can impact outcomes.

In various fields, such as project management, risk assessment, and strategy development, identifying and addressing “unknown unknowns” is challenging but crucial. It requires fostering a mindset of humility, curiosity, and openness to uncover potential blind spots and anticipate unanticipated risks.

Efforts to mitigate “unknown unknowns” involve techniques such as scenario planning, conducting comprehensive risk assessments, seeking diverse perspectives, and maintaining a learning-oriented culture that encourages questioning assumptions and exploring alternative viewpoints.

Recognizing the existence of “unknown unknowns” can lead to more robust decision-making, improved risk management, and enhanced preparedness for a wider range of potential outcomes. It underscores the importance of ongoing vigilance, adaptability, and continuous learning in navigating complex and uncertain environments.

Win-win

“Win-win” is a term used in business and negotiations to describe a situation where all parties involved benefit from a decision or agreement. It suggests a mutually beneficial outcome where everyone wins, as opposed to a zero-sum game where one person’s gain is another person’s loss.

The term “win-win” comes from the idea that in a negotiation, both parties can come to an agreement that allows both of them to walk away feeling like they have gained something valuable. In a win-win situation, each party’s goals and needs are considered and addressed, leading to a satisfactory outcome for everyone involved.

For example, in a salary negotiation, a win-win outcome might involve the employer agreeing to a higher salary for the employee in exchange for the employee taking on additional responsibilities or working on a new project. Both the employer and the employee benefit from the agreement, as the employee receives a higher salary and the employer gains a more skilled and motivated worker.

Win-win solutions can be difficult to achieve in some situations, as different parties may have conflicting goals or interests. However, by focusing on common interests and being open to creative solutions, it is often possible to find a mutually beneficial outcome that meets everyone’s needs.

Aphorisms

Aphorisms are concise, memorable, and often witty statements that convey a general truth or principle. They are succinct expressions of wisdom, offering insights into human nature, life, and various aspects of the human experience. Aphorisms are typically presented in a pithy and memorable form, making them easily quotable and shareable.

The term “aphorism” originates from the Greek word “aphorismos,” which means “definition” or “distinction.” Throughout history, philosophers, writers, and thinkers from various cultures have used aphorisms to encapsulate their observations, beliefs, and moral or philosophical teachings.

The characteristics of aphorisms include brevity, clarity, and an element of universality. They are often expressed in a concise manner, using simple and straightforward language. Aphorisms distill complex ideas or observations into a few memorable words, making them easy to understand and remember.

Aphorisms serve multiple purposes. They can provide guidance, inspire reflection, provoke thought, or offer practical advice. They are often seen as nuggets of wisdom, offering concise and profound insights into the human condition. Aphorisms can encapsulate moral principles, highlight common human foibles, or provide commentary on societal issues. They have the power to stimulate intellectual and emotional responses, encouraging contemplation and discussion.

While aphorisms are valuable for their succinctness and impact, they can also be subject to interpretation and contextual understanding. Their brevity can leave room for multiple interpretations, allowing individuals to apply them to their own experiences and perspectives. As a result, aphorisms often provoke discussions and debates, as different individuals may interpret them in different ways.

Brooks' Law

Brooks' Law is a principle in software development that states that adding more people to a late project only makes it later. It was named after Fred Brooks, who first described the principle in his book "The Mythical Man-Month: Essays on Software Engineering" in 1975.

Brooks' Law is based on the observation that adding more people to a software development project that is already behind schedule will result in decreased productivity due to communication overhead and the time it takes to get new team members up to speed. The law assumes that software development is a complex, knowledge-intensive activity that requires communication, coordination, and collaboration among team members. As a result, adding more people to a project can lead to more communication channels, greater overhead, and more time spent on coordination, which ultimately slows down the project.

According to Brooks, the best way to accelerate a software development project is not to add more people, but to improve the process, remove obstacles, and increase the productivity of existing team members. He suggests that the key to successful software development is to break down the project into smaller, more manageable tasks, and to ensure that each task is well-defined, well-understood, and well-managed.

While Brooks' Law has been challenged and debated over the years, it remains a valuable reminder that adding more people to a project is not always the best solution for accelerating development. The law highlights the importance of effective project management, efficient communication, and careful planning in software development.

Conway's law

Conway's law is a principle in software engineering that states that the structure of a software system reflects the communication structure of the organization that produced it. It was first proposed by Melvin Conway in 1968, who stated that "organizations which design systems ... are constrained to produce designs which are copies of the communication structures of these organizations."

In simpler terms, Conway's law suggests that the way that people communicate and work together within an organization will influence the design of the software system they create. For example, if the development team is siloed and doesn't communicate well with other teams, this may lead to a software system that is also siloed and lacks integration between its components.

Conway's law has important implications for software development teams, as it suggests that a software system should be designed to reflect the desired communication and collaboration structures of the organization. This can be achieved by creating cross-functional teams that work together closely and maintain open lines of communication throughout the development process.

In addition, Conway's law highlights the importance of organizational culture in software development. A culture that prioritizes collaboration and communication can lead to better-designed software systems that are more adaptable and easier to maintain. By contrast, a culture that is siloed or hierarchical may result in software systems that are difficult to maintain or lack coherence.

Conway's law provides a useful reminder that the structure of an organization can have a profound impact on the software systems it produces, and that it is important to consider both technical and organizational factors when designing software.

Gresham's Law

Gresham's Law is an economic principle that states “bad money drives out good.” It refers to the idea that when there are two types of currency in circulation, people will spend the lower-quality (or debased) currency, while hoarding the higher-quality (or full-bodied) currency. This is because the lower-quality currency is generally worth less than the higher-quality currency, making it more desirable for transactions. As a result, the higher-quality currency tends to be removed from circulation, leaving only the lower-quality currency behind.

The principle was named after Sir Thomas Gresham, an English financier who lived in the 16th century. Gresham observed that during the reign of King Henry VIII, English coins had been debased by reducing the amount of precious metal in them, while foreign coins were still made of full-bodied silver or gold. As a result, people began to hoard the full-bodied foreign coins, while spending the debased English coins, which eventually led to a shortage of full-bodied coins in circulation.

Gresham's Law has since been applied to a wide range of economic scenarios, including the phenomenon of “fake news” driving out credible journalism, or inferior products pushing high-quality products out of the market. It is often cited as an example of how markets can behave in unexpected ways, and how the behavior of individuals can have unintended consequences.

Hyrum's Law

Hyrum's Law is a principle that refers to the inevitability of compatibility issues when software components depend on one another. Specifically, Hyrum's Law states: "With a sufficient number of users of an API, it does not matter what you promise in the contract: all observable behaviors of your system will be depended on by somebody."

In other words, as more people use an API, they will start relying on even the most obscure or unintended behaviors. This can result in compatibility issues and errors when the API is updated or changed in any way.

The law was named after Hyrum Wright, a software engineer at Google, who first described the phenomenon in a blog post in 2011. Wright explained that even if a software component has a well-defined interface and documented behavior, users may still rely on undocumented behavior, side effects, or bugs. Over time, as more users depend on the undocumented behavior, it becomes a de facto part of the interface, and changing or removing it becomes difficult or impossible without breaking compatibility.

Hyrum's Law has important implications for software development, especially for developers of APIs, libraries, and frameworks. It suggests that software developers should be cautious when making changes to their code, especially when those changes may affect the behavior of other components that depend on it. It also suggests that developers should be careful to document all the observable behaviors of their systems, even those that are unintended or accidental, to avoid compatibility issues down the road.

Metcalfe's Law

Metcalfe's Law is a principle that states that the value of a telecommunications network is proportional to the square of the number of connected users in the system. This law was first proposed by Robert Metcalfe, the co-inventor of Ethernet, and it applies to all networks that allow communication and interaction between users.

The basic idea of Metcalfe's Law is that the value of a network grows as more people join it. As more users join a network, the number of possible connections between them increases exponentially. This means that the network becomes more valuable as it grows, since there are more potential connections and more opportunities for communication, collaboration, and commerce.

Metcalfe's Law is often used to explain the success of social networking sites, such as Facebook and LinkedIn. These sites have millions of users, which means that there are billions of potential connections between them. This makes the sites very valuable, since they provide a platform for people to connect, share information, and do business with one another.

However, Metcalfe's Law is not without its limitations. One of the main criticisms of the law is that it assumes that all connections between users are of equal value. In reality, some connections may be more valuable than others, and the value of a network may depend on the quality of these connections, as well as the number of users.

Metcalfe's Law is a useful concept for understanding the value of networks and the dynamics of network growth. While it may not be a perfect model, it provides a framework for thinking about the ways in which networks can create value and drive innovation.

Moore's Law

Moore's Law is a prediction made by Gordon Moore, co-founder of Intel Corporation, in 1965. The law stated that the number of transistors on an integrated circuit would double every two years while the cost per transistor would decrease, leading to a significant increase in computing power and a decrease in the cost of technology.

Moore's Law has proven to be remarkably accurate over the years, with computing power increasing exponentially while the cost of technology has decreased. This increase in computing power has enabled the development of faster and more efficient computers, leading to a wide range of technological advancements in fields such as artificial intelligence, robotics, and telecommunications.

Moore's Law has also had a significant impact on the technology industry, driving innovation and competition among technology companies as they race to develop faster and more powerful computers. However, some experts believe that the law may be approaching its limits, as the physical size of transistors approaches the atomic scale and the cost of developing new technology increases.

Despite these limitations, Moore's Law has become a cornerstone of the technology industry and continues to shape the way we think about computing and technological progress.

The Law of Demos

The Law of Demos, also known as Kapor's Law, is a principle that states that any technology demo will eventually fail if it is demonstrated often enough. This law was first formulated by Mitch Kapor, co-founder of Lotus Development Corporation, in 1983.

The idea behind the Law of Demos is that demos are essentially fake, controlled environments that do not accurately represent the real world. Demos are designed to showcase the best features of a product or technology, and they often ignore or gloss over any flaws or limitations that may exist. As a result, demos can create unrealistic expectations in the minds of the audience.

According to the Law of Demos, the more times a technology demo is shown, the more likely it is that the flaws and limitations of the technology will become apparent. The audience may become skeptical or disillusioned, and the technology may lose its appeal. This can be particularly problematic for startups or new technologies that rely on hype and buzz to attract investors and users.

One solution to the problem of the Law of Demos is to be transparent about the limitations and challenges of a technology, even during a demo. By acknowledging the flaws and limitations upfront, a company can build trust with its audience and demonstrate that it is committed to addressing any issues that may arise.

The Law of Demos is a reminder that technology demos are not a substitute for real-world testing and that startups and companies should be honest and transparent about the capabilities and limitations of their products and technologies.

The Law of Supply and Demand

The Law of Supply and Demand is an economic principle that explains how the price and quantity of goods and services in a market are determined. According to this principle, the price of a good or service is determined by the balance between its supply and demand in the market. When the demand for a good or service exceeds its supply, the price tends to rise, and when the supply exceeds the demand, the price tends to fall.

The Law of Supply: all other things being equal, the higher the price of a good or service, the greater the quantity that suppliers will produce and offer for sale. This is because as the price of a good or service increases, suppliers are more likely to allocate more resources to produce and sell it, which increases the quantity supplied. On the other hand, if the price of a good or service falls, suppliers may reduce the quantity they produce and offer for sale, as the profit margins may be lower.

The Law of Demand: all other things being equal, the lower the price of a good or service, the greater the quantity that buyers will demand. This is because as the price of a good or service falls, buyers are more likely to purchase more of it, as they can afford to buy more with their limited income. Conversely, if the price of a good or service rises, buyers may purchase less of it, as it becomes more expensive and their income becomes limited.

The intersection of the supply and demand curves in a market determines the equilibrium price and quantity for a good or service. At this price, the quantity supplied equals the quantity demanded, which means that the market is in balance. Any changes in the supply or demand curves will cause the equilibrium price and quantity to shift, leading to changes in the market price and quantity of goods and services.

The Law of Conservation of Complexity

The Law of Conservation of Complexity, also known as Tesler's Law, is a design principle that was formulated by Larry Tesler, a computer scientist who worked for Xerox PARC and Apple. The Law states that complexity is a finite resource that must be conserved, and that every increase in complexity in one part of a system must be offset by a corresponding decrease in complexity elsewhere.

In other words, the Law is a call for simplicity in design. It suggests that designers and developers should strive to make their products as simple and easy to use as possible, by minimizing unnecessary complexity and focusing on the most important features and functions. This is particularly important in today's technology landscape, where users are inundated with a vast array of products and services, many of which are needlessly complex and difficult to use.

The Law is particularly relevant in the field of user experience (UX) design, where the goal is to create interfaces and interactions that are intuitive, efficient, and satisfying for users. By following this principle, designers can create products that are not only easier to use, but also more accessible to a wider range of users, including those with disabilities or other special needs.

In practice, the Law can be applied in a variety of ways. For example, designers can use it to simplify interfaces by removing unnecessary buttons, menus, or other elements that can confuse or overwhelm users. They can also use it to streamline workflows and reduce the number of steps required to complete a task, making it easier for users to achieve their goals.

The Law of Large Numbers

The Law of Large Numbers is a fundamental concept in probability theory and statistics. It states that as the sample size of a statistical population increases, the sample mean (average) of the observations in the sample will converge to the population mean. In other words, as the sample size becomes larger, the sample mean becomes a more accurate estimate of the true population mean.

This law is based on the idea that random events tend to even out over the long run. For example, if you toss a coin 10 times, it is possible to get seven heads and three tails. However, if you toss the coin 1,000 times, the results will be closer to a 50/50 split between heads and tails. The larger the sample size, the more likely it is that the results will be closer to the expected value.

The Law of Large Numbers is often used in the insurance industry to predict the likelihood of future events based on past data. For example, an insurance company may use past data on the frequency of car accidents to predict the likelihood of future accidents. The more data they have, the more accurate their predictions will be.

The Law of Large Numbers has a number of important applications in fields such as finance, economics, and engineering. It is used to estimate probabilities and to make predictions based on historical data. It is also used to test statistical hypotheses and to determine whether a sample is representative of a larger population.

It is important to note that the Law of Large Numbers does not guarantee that a sample mean will be exactly equal to the population mean. There is always some degree of sampling error or random variation. However, as the sample size becomes larger, the sampling error becomes smaller and the sample mean becomes a more reliable estimate of the population mean.

The Pareto Principle (The 80/20 Rule)

The Pareto Principle, also known as the 80/20 rule, is a principle named after Italian economist Vilfredo Pareto. It suggests that roughly 80% of the effects come from 20% of the causes. This principle has been applied to a wide range of fields, including economics, business, management, and personal productivity.

The Pareto Principle can be applied in various ways. For example, in economics, it can be used to describe the distribution of income, where a small percentage of the population holds a large percentage of the wealth. In business, it can be used to analyze customer profitability, where a small percentage of customers may account for a large percentage of revenue.

In management, the Pareto Principle can be used to identify the most important tasks or activities. By focusing on the 20% of activities that are likely to have the greatest impact, managers can prioritize their efforts and achieve more efficient use of time and resources.

In personal productivity, the Pareto Principle can be used to focus on the most important tasks or activities, rather than trying to do everything at once. By identifying the 20% of activities that are likely to produce 80% of the results, individuals can prioritize their efforts and achieve greater productivity.

It's important to note that the 80/20 split is not a hard and fast rule, and the actual percentages may vary depending on the context.

Nevertheless, the Pareto Principle remains a useful tool for analyzing and prioritizing tasks, resources, and activities in various fields.

The Principle of Least Knowledge

The Principle of Least Knowledge, also known as The Law of Demeter, is a software engineering principle that promotes a modular design approach to programming. The principle states that an object should have limited knowledge about other objects and should only communicate with a select few of its immediate neighbors. This approach helps to reduce coupling between modules and improves the maintainability and scalability of the software system.

The principle is based on the idea that objects should only have knowledge about their immediate neighbors, and not about other objects further away in the system. This is achieved by limiting the number of methods and properties that an object can access on other objects. An object should only communicate with its direct neighbors, and not reach out to other objects through its neighbors.

For example, consider an object A that needs to access a method on object C. Instead of directly accessing the method on C, object A should only communicate with its immediate neighbor, object B, and let object B handle the communication with object C. This way, object A is only aware of object B, and not object C, reducing the coupling between the objects and making the system more modular.

The principle helps to improve the maintainability and scalability of software systems by reducing the coupling between modules. This makes it easier to make changes to the system, as changes to one module are less likely to have an impact on other modules. It also promotes good design practices, as it encourages the use of abstraction and encapsulation to hide the implementation details of an object.

The Law of Demeter is named for the Demeter Project, an adaptive programming and aspect-oriented programming effort. The project was named in honor of Demeter, “distribution-mother” and the Greek goddess of agriculture, to signify a bottom-up philosophy of programming which is also embodied in the law itself.

Chesterton's fence

Chesterton's fence is a principle of cautionary conservatism that states that before changing or removing something, it's important to first understand why it exists in the first place. The idea is that even if a particular practice or object may seem pointless or unnecessary to us, it likely served some purpose in the past that we may not be aware of.

The principle is named after the writer and philosopher G.K. Chesterton, who wrote about it in his 1929 book "The Thing: Why I Am a Catholic." In the book, Chesterton uses the metaphor of a fence to illustrate the principle: imagine that you come across a fence in a field and don't understand why it's there. Rather than immediately tearing it down, it's important to investigate the purpose of the fence first. It could be there to keep animals from escaping, to prevent people from falling into a pit, or to mark the boundary of a property.

The principle is often invoked in fields such as engineering, law, and public policy, where it's important to take a cautious and deliberate approach to change. By understanding why things are the way they are, we can avoid unintended consequences and make more informed decisions about how to move forward. It encourages critical thinking and reflection before making any changes, and is a reminder that just because something doesn't make sense to us doesn't mean it doesn't have a purpose or history.

The Tragedy of the Commons

The Tragedy of the Commons is an economic theory that describes a situation where a shared resource is overused or exploited due to a lack of ownership and control. The concept was first introduced by British economist William Forster Lloyd in the 1830s and later popularized by American biologist Garrett Hardin in a 1968 paper titled “The Tragedy of the Commons.”

The theory proposes that when individuals have free and unrestricted access to a shared resource, such as land, water, or air, they will tend to overuse and exploit it, even if it leads to the depletion or destruction of the resource over time. The reason for this is that individuals acting in their own self-interest will prioritize their short-term gains over the long-term health of the shared resource.

For example, in a fishing community where the ocean is a common resource, fishermen will try to catch as many fish as possible in order to maximize their profits. But if all the fishermen do this, the fish population will decline and eventually collapse, which harms all the fishermen in the long run. Similarly, if a group of farmers have access to a common grazing land, they will tend to overgraze their livestock, which can lead to soil erosion and degradation.

The tragedy of the commons can be mitigated through the establishment of ownership rights and regulations to ensure that the resource is used sustainably. For instance, the government can enforce fishing quotas or grazing limits to prevent overuse of the resource. Alternatively, the resource can be privatized and assigned to a single owner who can manage and conserve it in the long run.

Entrepreneur quotations

Entrepreneur quotations aim to inspire and encourage business leaders, and their mindsets, qualities, and attitudes.

Here are a few themes and examples...

Purpose: “Your work is going to fill a large part of your life, and the only way to be truly satisfied is to do what you believe is great work. And the only way to do great work is to love what you do.” - Steve Jobs, co-founder of Apple

Vision: “Chase the vision, not the money; the money will end up following you.” - Tony Hsieh, co-founder of Zappos

Launching: “If you’re not embarrassed by the first version of your product, you’ve launched too late.” - Reid Hoffman, co-founder of LinkedIn

Risk: “The biggest risk is not taking any risk... In a world that is changing quickly, the only strategy that is guaranteed to fail is not taking risks.” - Mark Zuckerberg, co-founder of Facebook

Failure: “Don’t worry about failure; you only have to be right once.” - Drew Houston, co-founder of Dropbox

Change: “Entrepreneurship is about creating change, not just companies.” - Mark Cuban, co-founder of Broadcast.com

Value: “The value of an idea lies in the using of it.” - Thomas Edison, co-founder of General Electric

Innovation: “The best way to predict the future is to create it.” - Peter Drucker, management consultant

A rising tide lifts all boats

“A rising tide lifts all boats” is a metaphorical expression that conveys the idea that when an overall environment or economic condition improves, it benefits everyone involved, regardless of their individual circumstances or positions. The phrase suggests that a general positive trend or growth in a particular area will have a positive impact on all participants or stakeholders within that domain.

The origin of this phrase is often attributed to John F. Kennedy, the 35th President of the United States, who used it in a speech in 1963. He used the phrase to emphasize the importance of economic growth and the belief that an improving economy benefits all members of society, from the wealthiest to the least privileged.

The essence of the saying is that when there is an overall improvement in a specific field, such as the economy, market conditions, or a particular industry, all participants within that domain, regardless of their size or position, will experience positive effects.

In a broader sense, the phrase can be applied to various situations beyond economics. It can be used to describe the positive impact of collective efforts, collaboration, or a favorable environment on the outcomes and well-being of individuals, organizations, or communities.

It's wise to note that while the expression highlights the potential for shared benefits, it doesn't guarantee that everyone will benefit equally. The phrase acknowledges that certain individuals or groups may benefit more or less than others, depending on their specific circumstances or the actions they take to capitalize on the positive trends.

Culture eats strategy for breakfast

“Culture eats strategy for breakfast” is a famous quote attributed to Peter Drucker, a renowned management consultant and author. The quote means that organizational culture is a more powerful force than strategy when it comes to achieving success. In other words, no matter how well-crafted a strategy may be, it will not be successful if it is not supported by a strong and aligned organizational culture.

Organizational culture refers to the shared values, beliefs, attitudes, and behaviors that characterize an organization. It includes things like the way people communicate, the way decisions are made, the way people are rewarded and recognized, and the level of collaboration and teamwork within the organization. Culture can have a significant impact on employee engagement, productivity, and overall performance, and it can also play a role in attracting and retaining top talent.

On the other hand, strategy refers to the plan of action that an organization develops to achieve its goals. It includes things like market analysis, competitive positioning, and resource allocation. A well-crafted strategy can be a critical factor in achieving success, but it must be supported by an organizational culture that is aligned with the strategy.

The phrase is a reminder that even the best strategy will not be successful if it is not supported by a strong organizational culture. It means that organizations need to pay attention to their culture, and ensure that it is aligned with their strategy. This can involve things like fostering a culture of innovation and risk-taking, developing a strong sense of purpose and mission, and creating a culture of transparency, collaboration, and accountability.

Execution eats strategy for lunch

“Execution eats strategy for lunch” is a popular business saying that emphasizes the importance of execution and implementation in achieving success, even more so than having a great strategy. The quote is often attributed to Peter Drucker, although there is no record of him actually saying it.

In essence, the saying suggests that having a great strategy is important, but it’s not enough. In order to succeed, you also need to have the ability to execute that strategy effectively. This means having a strong focus on getting things done, being agile and adaptable, and being able to respond quickly to changes in the market or other external factors.

Successful execution requires a combination of factors, including having the right people, processes, and tools in place. It also involves being able to prioritize effectively, communicate clearly, and manage resources efficiently.

The saying is often used to encourage organizations to focus more on execution, and to remind them that strategy alone is not enough to achieve success. By emphasizing the importance of execution, the quote encourages businesses to be more proactive, nimble, and adaptable, and to focus on delivering results rather than simply having a good plan.

A startup is a company that is confused

“A startup is a company that is confused about 1. What its product is. 2. Who its customers are. 3. How to make money.” is a quotation by Dave McClure, co-founder of 500 Startups. The quotation highlights the main challenges that startups face in their early stages.

1. What its product is: highlights the importance of having a clear idea of what the startup is offering. Startups often begin with an idea or vision for a product or service, but it can be challenging to define the product and its features in a way that resonates with potential customers. This process often involves significant experimentation and iteration.
2. Who its customers are: highlights the importance of understanding the target audience for the product or service. Startups often begin with a broad idea of who their target market is, but it can be challenging to identify specific customer segments that are willing to pay for the product. This process often involves market research and customer discovery.
3. How to make money: highlights the challenge of monetizing the product or service. Startups often have limited resources and may struggle to identify the best revenue model for their product. This process often involves experimenting with different pricing strategies and revenue models.

The quote highlights the uncertainty and ambiguity that are inherent in the early stages of a startup. It also highlights the importance of quickly iterating and experimenting to find the right product-market fit and revenue model.

Move fast and break things

“Move fast and break things” is a phrase coined by Mark Zuckerberg, the founder of Facebook. The idea behind this phrase is that companies should prioritize speed and innovation over avoiding mistakes or failures. This approach encourages a willingness to take risks and experiment, with the understanding that not every idea will be successful.

The concept is often associated with the culture of Silicon Valley startups, where the focus is on disrupting established industries and creating new markets through the rapid development and deployment of new technologies. The idea is that by moving quickly and being willing to fail, companies can learn from their mistakes and improve their products or services over time.

However, the approach has also been criticized for its potential negative impact on users and society, as well as for encouraging a culture of reckless behavior and disregard for the consequences of actions. Critics argue that companies have a responsibility to consider the potential impact of their products and services on society, and that the “move fast and break things” mentality can lead to unintended consequences that can be difficult to reverse.

In recent years, the phrase has fallen out of favor as companies have become more aware of the need to balance innovation with responsible business practices. Many companies have shifted towards a more deliberate and measured approach to product development, with a focus on user safety, privacy, and long-term sustainability.

Ideas are easy, implementation is hard

“Ideas are easy, implementation is hard” is a quotation by Guy Kawasaki. It highlights the common understanding that coming up with an idea is the easy part, while executing it is the difficult part. The phrase is often used in the context of entrepreneurship, innovation, and business, where ideas are plentiful but successful implementation is rare.

While ideas are important, they are only the starting point of the process. Implementation requires careful planning, resource allocation, and the ability to execute on the plan. It involves overcoming a range of challenges, including operational issues, market changes, competition, and other external factors.

One of the reasons why implementation is hard is because it requires a high level of commitment, perseverance, and attention to detail. Many ideas are not successfully implemented because they lack the necessary resources, skills, or organizational support. Successful implementation requires a clear plan of action, a solid team, and a culture of accountability and continuous improvement.

Another reason why implementation is hard is because it involves taking risks. Successful implementation often requires trying new approaches, testing new markets, and experimenting with new business models. This can be challenging, as it requires a willingness to fail and learn from mistakes.

Ultimately, the phrase “ideas are easy, implementation is hard” emphasizes the importance of action and execution in achieving success. Ideas are important, but they are not enough on their own. Successful implementation requires careful planning, commitment, and a willingness to take risks. By focusing on effective implementation, individuals and organizations can turn their ideas into reality and achieve their goals.

Learn early, learn often

“Learn early, learn often” is a quotation by Drew Houston, co-founder of Dropbox . The phrase is popular in the startup community because it emphasizes the importance of continuous learning and experimentation. It suggests that it is better to start learning and experimenting early on in the development of a product or service, rather than waiting until later when it may be more difficult and expensive to make changes.

The concept behind “Learn early, learn often” is closely tied to the lean startup methodology, which emphasizes rapid experimentation and iteration to quickly validate or invalidate assumptions about a product or service. By learning early and often, startups can quickly identify and correct errors in their assumptions, refine their products or services, and make data-driven decisions.

The “learn” part of the phrase refers to the importance of acquiring knowledge and insights through experimentation, feedback, and data analysis. This learning can come from a variety of sources, such as user feedback, market research, customer behavior analysis, and product usage metrics.

The “early” part of the phrase refers to the importance of starting the learning process as soon as possible, even before a product or service is fully developed or launched.

The “often” part of the phrase emphasizes the importance of continuous learning and iteration throughout the product development process. This means that startups should be constantly testing and experimenting with new ideas, features, and improvements, and using data to inform their decisions.

The quotation effectively encourages startups to adopt a culture of continuous learning and experimentation, and to be agile and responsive to feedback and data. By doing so, they can increase their chances of success, avoid costly mistakes, and ultimately create products or services that better meet the needs and desires of their customers.

Make mistakes faster

“Make mistakes faster” is a quote from Andy Grove, the former CEO of Intel and a renowned business leader. The quote is often used to emphasize the importance of taking risks and being willing to fail in order to achieve success.

The idea behind “make mistakes faster” is that the faster you can make mistakes, the faster you can learn from them and make improvements. In other words, it’s better to learn from a mistake quickly and move on, rather than dwelling on it and wasting time.

For entrepreneurs and innovators, this quote is particularly relevant. In order to develop new ideas and products, it’s important to be willing to take risks and try new approaches. However, not all of these experiments will be successful. By embracing the idea of making mistakes faster, individuals and organizations can iterate more quickly, test new ideas more effectively, and ultimately achieve success more rapidly.

The concept of “making mistakes faster” is closely related to the idea of “fail fast, fail often.” Both concepts encourage individuals and organizations to take risks, experiment, and learn from failures in order to improve and ultimately achieve success. By making mistakes faster and learning from them more quickly, individuals and organizations can accelerate their growth and achieve their goals more efficiently.

Perfect is the enemy of good

“Perfect is the enemy of good” is an aphorism that emphasizes the potential negative impact of striving for perfection in various aspects of life. This suggests that pursuing perfection can hinder progress and prevent the achievement of satisfactory results.

One interpretation of this aphorism is that the quest for perfection often sets unrealistic standards that are difficult, if not impossible, to meet. Perfectionism can become a self-imposed barrier to success and satisfaction. Instead of embracing incremental progress or accepting good outcomes, perfectionism can breed dissatisfaction and create a cycle of never-ending refinement.

Furthermore, the pursuit of perfection can consume valuable time, resources, and energy. In many situations, investing excessive effort into achieving flawless results may yield diminishing returns and prevent one from moving forward. By fixating on minute details or endlessly seeking improvements, individuals may miss opportunities for growth, learning, or the completion of important tasks.

The aphorism also suggests that there is value in recognizing and appreciating the goodness in what is already achieved. It encourages a pragmatic approach that acknowledges the limitations of perfection and celebrates the accomplishments that are already present. Embracing the “good” rather than obsessing over perfection can lead to greater satisfaction, increased productivity, and the ability to adapt and evolve.

Data beats emotions

“Data beats emotions” is a quotation by Sean Rad, founder of Tinder. The quotation suggests that data-driven decision making is superior to relying on emotions or gut feelings when making important decisions. This means that leaders and organizations should prioritize the collection, analysis, and use of data to inform their decisions, rather than relying solely on intuition or emotional reactions.

There are several reasons why data-driven decision making is important. First, data provides an objective basis for decision making. By analyzing relevant data, leaders can gain a clearer understanding of the situation, identify patterns or trends, and make more informed decisions. This is particularly important in complex or uncertain situations, where emotions or biases may cloud judgment.

Second, data can help to mitigate risk. By analyzing past performance data and industry trends, leaders can make more accurate predictions about the future, and identify potential risks or opportunities. This allows organizations to take proactive steps to mitigate risks, rather than simply reacting to them.

Finally, data-driven decision making can lead to better outcomes. By relying on data to guide decisions, organizations can make more informed choices that are backed up by evidence. This can lead to better outcomes, higher efficiency, and improved performance.

Look for the people who want to change the world

“Look for the people who want to change the world” is a phrase that is often associated with Salesforce, one of the world’s leading customer relationship management (CRM) software companies. The phrase represents the company’s commitment to hiring and working with individuals who are passionate about making a difference in the world.

At its core, “look for the people who want to change the world” is a statement about the importance of values alignment in the workplace. By seeking out individuals who are driven by a sense of purpose and a desire to make a positive impact, Salesforce aims to create a culture that is focused on achieving its mission of “making the world a better place.”

In practical terms, this means that Salesforce places a strong emphasis on hiring individuals who are committed to social and environmental causes. The company’s culture is built around the idea that business can be a force for good in the world, and that by working together, individuals can make a significant impact on society.

One way that Salesforce reinforces its commitment to “look for the people who want to change the world” is through its 1-1-1 model, which involves donating 1% of the company’s equity, 1% of its employees’ time, and 1% of its products to charitable causes. By giving back to the community and supporting important causes, Salesforce demonstrates its commitment to making a positive impact beyond the world of business.

Overall, “look for the people who want to change the world” is a powerful statement about the importance of values alignment in the workplace. By prioritizing purpose and passion, companies like Salesforce can create a culture that is focused on making a positive impact on the world, both through its business practices and its support for charitable causes.

See things in the present, even if they are in the future

“See things in the present, even if they are in the future” is a quotation by Larry Ellison, the co-founder of Oracle Corporation, implies the importance of visionary thinking and strategic planning. Ellison is known for his visionary leadership style, and this quote reflects his belief that great leaders have the ability to anticipate and shape the future by acting in the present.

The quote suggests that successful leaders should have a clear understanding of the present realities, trends, and challenges, while also having the vision and foresight to anticipate future changes and opportunities. By “seeing things in the present,” leaders can identify the current strengths and weaknesses of their organization, as well as the external factors that may impact their industry or market.

The quote also suggests the importance of having a mindset that is not limited by the current realities or constraints. By seeing things in the present, even if they are in the future, leaders can envision a future that is not limited by the current state of affairs. This requires leaders to be innovative, open-minded, willing to challenge the status quo, willing to take risks, and committed to making bold moves that will position their organization for success in the future.

The quote implies that leaders should be proactive in shaping their future rather than being reactive to it. By anticipating future trends and possibilities, leaders can position their organizations to take advantage of new opportunities or navigate potential challenges more effectively.

Conclusion

Thank you for reading Business Lingo Primer. I hope it can be helpful to you and fun.

Your feedback and suggestions are very much appreciated, because this helps the primer improve and evolve.

Repository

The repository URL is:

<https://github.com/sixarm/business-lingo-primer>

You can open any issue you like on the repository. For example, you can use the issue link to ask any question, suggest any improvement, point out any error, and the like.

Email

If you prefer to use email, my email address is:

joel@joelparkerhenderson.com

Thanks

Thanks to many hundreds of people and organizations who helped with the ideas leading to this primer.

Consultancies:

- [ThoughtWorks](#)
- [Accenture](#)
- [Deloitte](#)
- [Ernst & Young](#)

Venture funders:

- [Y Combinator](#)
- [Menlo Ventures](#)
- [500 Global](#)
- [Andreessen Horowitz](#)
- [Union Square Ventures](#)

Universities:

- [Berkeley](#)
- [Brown](#)
- [MIT](#)
- [Harvard](#)

Foundations:

- [Electronic Frontier Foundation](#)
- [Apache Software Foundation](#)
- [The Rust Foundation](#)

Special thanks to [Pragmatic Bookshelf](#) and [O'Reilly Media](#) for excellent books.

Special thanks to all the project managers, teams, and stakeholders who have worked with me and taught me so much.

About the editor

I'm Joel Parker Henderson. I'm a software developer and writer.

<https://linkedin.com/in/joelparkerhenderson>

<https://github.com/joelparkerhenderson>

<https://linktr.ee/joelparkerhenderson>

Professional

For work, I consult for companies that seek to leverage technology capabilities and business capabilities, such as hands-on coding and growth leadership. Clients range from venture capital startups to Fortune 500 enterprises to nonprofit organizations.

For technology capabilities, I provide repositories for developers who work with architecture decision records, functional specifications, system quality attributes, git workflow recommendations, monorepo versus polyrepo guidance, and hands-on code demonstrations.

For business capabilities, I provide repositories for managers who work with objectives and key results (OKRs), key performance indicators (KPIs), strategic balanced scorecards (SBS), value stream mappings (VSMs), statements of work (SOWs), and similar practices.

Personal

I advocate for charitable donations to help improve our world. Some of my favorite charities are Apache Software Foundation (ASF), Electronic Frontier Foundation (EFF), Free Software Foundation (FSF), Amnesty International (AI), Center for Environmental Health (CEH), Médecins Sans Frontières (MSF), and Human Rights Watch (HRW).

I write free libre open source software (FLOSS). I'm an avid traveler and enjoy getting to know new people, new places, and new cultures. I love music and play guitar.

About the AI

OpenAI ChatGPT generated text for this book. The editor provided direction to generate prototype text for each topic, then edited all of it by hand for clarity, correctness, coherence, fitness, and the like.

What is OpenAI ChatGPT?

OpenAI ChatGPT is a large language model based on “Generative Pre-trained Transformer” architecture, which is a type of neural network that is especially good at processing and generating natural language.

The model was trained on a massive amount of text data, including books, articles, and websites, enabling the model to generate responses that are contextually relevant and grammatically correct.

The model can be used for a variety of tasks, including answering questions, generating text, translating languages, and writing code.

Can ChatGPT generate text and write a book?

Yes, ChatGPT has the capability to generate text. However, the quality and coherence of the generated text may vary depending on the topic and the specific requirements.

Generating a book from scratch would require a significant amount of guidance and direction, as ChatGPT does not have its own thoughts or ideas. It can only generate text based on the patterns and structure of the data it was trained on.

So while ChatGPT can be a useful tool for generating content and ideas, it would still require a human author to provide direction, editing, and oversight to ensure the final product meets the standards of a book.

About the ebook PDF

This ebook PDF is generated from the repository markdown files. The process uses custom book build tools, fonts thanks to Adobe, our open source tools, and the program pandoc.

Book build tools

The book build tools are in the repository, in the directory `book/build`. The tools select all the documentation links, merge all the markdown files, then process everything into a PDF file.

Fonts

<https://github.com/sixarm/sixarm-fonts>

The book fonts are Source Serif Pro, Source Sans Pro, and Source Code Pro. The fonts are by Adobe and free open source. The book can also be built with Bitstream Vera fonts or Liberation fonts.

markdown-text-to-link-urls

<https://github.com/sixarm/markdown-text-to-link-urls>

This is a command-line parsing tool that we maintain. The tool reads markdown text, and outputs all markdown link URLs. We use this to parse the top-level file `README.md`, to get all the links. We filter these results to get the links to individual guidepost markdown files, then we merge all these files into one markdown file.

pandoc-from-markdown-to-pdf

<https://github.com/sixarm/pandoc-from-markdown-to-pdf>

This is a command-line tool that uses our preferred pandoc settings to convert from an input markdown text file to an output PDF file. The tool adds a table of contents, fonts, highlighting, sizing, and more.

About related projects

These projects by the author describe more about startup strategy, tactics, and tools. These are links to git repositories that are free libre open source.

- [Architecture Decision Record \(ADR\)](#)
- [Business model canvas \(BMC\)](#)
- [Code of conduct guidelines](#)
- [Company culture](#)
- [Coordinated disclosure](#)
- [Crucial conversations](#)
- [Decision Record \(DR\) template](#)
- [Functional specifications tutorial](#)
- [Icebreaker questions](#)
- [Intent plan](#)
- [Key Performance Indicator \(KPI\)](#)
- [Key Risk Indicator \(KRI\)](#)
- [Maturity models \(MMs\)](#)
- [Objectives & Key Results \(OKR\)](#)
- [Oblique strategies for creative thinking](#)
- [OODA loop: Observe Orient Decide Act](#)
- [Outputs vs. outcomes \(OVO\)](#)
- [Pitch deck quick start](#)
- [Queueing theory](#)
- [Responsibility assignment matrix \(RAM\)](#)
- [SMART criteria](#)
- [Social value orientation \(SVO\)](#)
- [Statement Of Work \(SOW\) template](#)
- [Strategic Balanced Scorecard \(SBS\)](#)
- [System quality attributes \(SQAs\)](#)
- [TEAM FOCUS teamwork framework](#)
- [Value Stream Mapping \(VSM\)](#)
- [Ways of Working \(WOW\)](#)