Prompt for sentiment analysis

Sentiment analysis promopt in ChatGPT VS Local Llama3-70B:

ChatGPT and Llama3 are powerful LLM to do NLP task. We use the data below to compare the two versions of prompt codes results by 2 LLM model. LLM models need to generate 2 things, *summary text* and *score*. The rows highlighted in yellow are our target.

Input:

| Score | Summary | Text |
|-------|------------------|---|
| 5 | Good Quality D | I have bought several of the Vitality canned dog food products and have fo |
| 1 | Not as Advertise | Product arrived labeled as Jumbo Salted Peanutsthe peanuts were actual |
| 1 | My Cats Are Not | My cats have been happily eating Felidae Platinum for more than two year |
| 2 | Cough Medicine | If you are looking for the secret ingredient in Robitussin I believe I have for |
| 3 | Mushy | The flavors are good. However, I do not see any differce between this and |
| 4 | Nice Taffy | I got a wild hair for taffy and ordered this five pound bag. The taffy was all |
| 5 | Great! Just as g | This saltwater taffy had great flavors and was very soft and chewy. Each ca |

Prompt version 1:

Framework: Role + Full context+ Task+ Answer: Cot + 1 shot

Prompt:

You are data scientist and your task is review analysis. The review score is from 1 to 5. We can consider a negative review will have a score between 1 and 2, 3 is neutral and a positive review will have a score equal to 4 or 5. You need to provide summary text and Sentiment Scoring for those reviews.

For example:

You input:

the review text: {I have bought several of the Vitality canned dog food products and have found them all to be of good quality. The product looks more like a stew than a processed meat and it smells better, My Labrador is finicky and she appreciates this product better than most.}

You get:

Summary text: {Good Quality Dog Food}, score: {5}

Could you analyse those reviews and give the summary text and score? review text: {Product arrivedlabeled as jumbo Salted Peanuts..the peanuts were actually small sized unsalted. Not sure if this was an error or if the vendor intended to represent the product as "jumbo".}; review text: {This saltwater taffy had great flavors and was very soft and chewy. Each candy was individually wrapped well. None of the candies were stuck together, which did happen in the expensive version, Fralinger's. Would highly recommend this candy! I served it at a beachthemed party and everyone loved it!}

Result comparing in ChatGPT vs Llama 3-70B:

ChatGPT:

Certainly! Here is the analysis of the provided reviews:

1. Review Text: Product arrived labeled as jumbo Salted Peanuts..the peanuts were actually small sized unsalted. Not sure if this was an error or if the vendor intended to represent the product as "jumbo".

• Summary Text: Mislabeled and Unsalted Peanuts

• Score: 2

2. Review Text: This saltwater taffy had great flavors and was very soft and chewy. Each candy was individually wrapped well. None of the candies were stuck together, which did happen in the expensive version, Fralinger's. Would highly recommend this candy! I


• Summary Text: Highly Recommended Saltwater Taffy

served it at a beach-themed party and everyone loved it!

• Score: 5

Let me know if you have more reviews for analysis or any other requests!

Llama3-70B:



Llama3-70B models give all correct scores but ChatGPT not, two models' summary text are close to the correct answer.

Prompt version 2:

Framework: Role + Full context+ Task+ Answer: Cot + 5 shots(all score examples)

Prompt:

You are data scientist and your task is review analysis. The review score is from 1 to 5. We can consider a negative review will have a score between 1 and 2, 3 is neutral and a positive review will have a score equal to 4 or 5. You need to provide summary text and Sentiment Scoring for those reviews.

Below is some example:

Example 1:

You input:

the review text: {I have bought several of the Vitality canned dog food products and have found them all to be of good quality. The product looks more like a stew than a processed meat and it smells better, My Labrador is finicky and she appreciates this product better than most.}

You get:

Summary text: {Good Quality Dog Food}, score: {5}

Example 2:

You input:

the review text: {If you are looking for the secret ingredient in Robitussin, I believe I have found it. I got this in addition to the Root Beer Extract I ordered (which was good) and made some

cherry soda. The flavor is very medicinal.}
You get:

Summary text: {Cough Medicine}, score: {2}

Example 3:

You input:

the review text: {I got a wild hair for taffy and ordered this five pound bag. The taffy was all very enjoyable with many flavors: watermelon, root beer, melon, peppermint, grape, etc. My only complaint is there was a bit too much red/black licorice-flavored pieces (just not my particular favorites). Between me, my kids, and my husband, this lasted only two weeks! I would recommend this brand of taffy -- it was a delightful treat.}

You get:

Summary text: {Nice Taffy}, score: {4}

Example 4:

You input:

the review text: {My cats have been happily eating Felidae Platinum for more than two years. I just got a new bag and the shape of the food is different. They tried the new food when I first put it in their bowls and now the bowls sit full and the kitties will not touch the food. I've noticed similar reviews related to formula changes in the past. Unfortunately, I now need to find a new food that my cats will eat.}

You get:

Summary text: {My Cats Are Not Fans of the New Food}, score: {1}

Example 5:

You input:

the review text: {The flavors are good. However, I do not see any differce between this and Oaker Oats brand - they are both mushy.}

You get:

Summary text: {Mushy}, score: {3}

Could you analyse those 2 reviews and give the summary text and score? review text: {Product arrivedlabeled as jumbo Salted Peanuts..the peanuts were actually small sized unsalted. Not sure if this was an error or if the vendor intended to represent the product as "jumbo".};

review text: {This saltwater taffy had great flavors and was very soft and chewy. Each candy was individually wrapped well. None of the candies were stuck together, which did happen in the expensive version, Fralinger's. Would highly recommend this candy! I served it at a beachthemed party and everyone loved it!}

Result comparing in ChatGPT vs Llama 3-70B:

ChatGPT:

ChatGPT ~

was very sort and cnewy. Each candy was individually wrapped well. None of the candies were stuck together, which did happen in the expensive version, Fralinger's. Would highly recommend this candy! I served it at a beach-themed party and everyone loved it!}



Sure! Here are the analyses for the provided reviews:

Review 1:

Review text: {Product arrived labeled as jumbo Salted Peanuts..the peanuts were actually small sized unsalted. Not sure if this was an error or if the vendor intended to represent the product as "jumbo".}

Summary text: {Misleading Jumbo Salted Peanuts}

Score: {1}

The score of 1 indicates strong dissatisfaction due to the product not matching its description.

Review 2:


Review text: {This saltwater taffy had great flavors and was very soft and chewy. Each candy was individually wrapped well. None of the candies were stuck together, which did happen in the expensive version, Fralinger's. Would highly recommend this candy! I served it at a beach-themed party and everyone loved it!}

Summary text: {Highly Recommend Soft and Chewy Saltwater Taffy}

Score: {5}

The score of 5 reflects high satisfaction with the product, noting its great flavors, texture, and packaging.

Llama3-7B:



Two models' scores are the same as the correct one. Version 2 is obviously better.

Conclusion

More shots prompt is better than 1 shot prompt.

Web to try Llama3-70B: https://build.nvidia.com/meta/llama3-70b