## quiz on Scans

This set of questions relates to the results of the EXPLAIN query on simple queries.

The quiz is available as a google form <a href="https://forms.gle/5fdjpUSr8YaqA9KNA">https://forms.gle/5fdjpUSr8YaqA9KNA</a>

# Q1. What elements are used by the query optimizer to compute the cost function

- CPU cycles and I/O accesses
- · query time
- · user satisfaction

## Q2. What is a Sequential scan

in the query



The EXPLAIN diagram uses a sequential scan

What is a sequential scan?

- the algo goes over all the table rows one by one
- the algo goes over all the table rows in bulk of 8192 blocks
- the algo goes over all the table columns one by one and then rows by rows

## Q3. difference between EXPLAIN and EXPLAIN ANALYZE

- same. EX AN outputs out more data like the temperature of the query
- ANALYZE actually executes the query
- ANALZYE gets real data on the data distribution EXPLAIN alone uses default stats

# Q4. how does the query planner chooses the algorithms to execute the query

- · the nature of the tables and the data
- the type of filter (WHERE) operator : =, <, between, like '%string' etc
- the data types of the columns involved in the filters
- · the number of rows of the table, the subqueries etc
- · limit, order by
- · full text search is a topic by itself
- · the performance of the machine
- · all of the above

# Q5. compare the 2 queries. why has the cost gone up when we add a filter

```
epita@airdb=> explain select * from passenger;

QUERY PLAN

Seq Scan on passenger (cost=0.00..334838.55 rows=16311855 width=47)

(1 row)
```

#### so we have

cost: 334,838rows: 16,311,855

and

```
epita@airdb=> explain select * from passenger where age > 68;

QUERY PLAN

Seq Scan on passenger (cost=0.00..375618.19 rows=3613778 width=47)

Filter: (age > 18)

(5 rows)
```

#### so we have

cost: 375,618rows: 3,613,778

In the second query the cost has gone up although less rows are returned.

#### Why?

- Seq Scan still has to go over all the 16.3M passenger rows and has to check the condition for each row
- Older people travel less so the algorrithm only finds matching records at the end of the table
- Intermediate results have to be stored before the filter can be applied. This extra I/O adds to the cost function

### **Q6. Index and Bitmap scans**

The passenger table has a id primary key

Explain the difference between Index Scan and Index Heap scan in the 2 examples

```
explain select * from passenger where passenger_id = 8888;

QUERY PLAN

Index Scan using passenger_pkey on passenger (cost=0.43..8.45 rows=1 index Cond: (passenger_id = 8888)

(2 rows)
```

and

```
explain select * from passenger where passenger_id < 8888;

QUERY PLAN

Bitmap Heap Scan on passenger (cost=184.03..31558.41 rows=9754 width=4 Recheck Cond: (passenger_id < 8888)

-> Bitmap Index Scan on passenger_pkey (cost=0.00..181.59 rows=9754 Index Cond: (passenger_id < 8888)
```

Which if the following statement is true

Bitmap Heap Scans are often more efficient than Index Scan when retrieving a larger number of rows. They are the same thing but bitmap scanning is for boolean data types. When retrieving a small number of rows, Index Scan is faster because it avoids building the bitmap. Bitmap Scanning is a 2 step process: create the bitmap, then accesses the table.

### Q7. Index Scans

- Used when: Fetching a small number of rows based on an index condition.
- uses the index to find the location of rows matching the condition.
- Efficient for reading large portions of a table, but can be slow for selective queries on large tables.
- Reads all rows in the table sequentially, one after another.

### **Q8. Bitmap Heap Scans**

- Used when: Fetching a moderate number of rows based on an index condition.
- More efficient than Index Scan when retrieving a larger number of rows, but still selective enough not to warrant a full Sequential Scan.
- Very efficient for retrieving a small number of rows, especially with highly selective conditions.
- Uses an index to create the bitmap, then accesses the table.

### Q9. order of operations

In the following query plan

what is the order of operations?

- bottom to top: Sequential scan on the whole table, then sort by random, then limit to 50 rows
- top to bottom: Limit to 50 rows than sorting by random and finally scanning on the rows
- does not matter. Each step can be done independently