Grading Criteria for Mobile Computing Xianhui Che (Cherry)

	Functionalities and Reliability (40%)	Development Practice (10%)	Usability Practice (30%)	Usability Analysis (20%)
1:1	A full list of required functions including image display, number tapping, image dragging, result verification, result display, and restart the game. The app can run smoothly. No	Well-planned task cards on the Kanban list. Frequently updated lists according to the progress. The project management schedule complies with the version control repository.	Appealing graphic interface, Easy-to-operate functionalities. Thoughtful design with the right sized images and view displays. Engaging interaction (e.g. some animation effect).	In-depth evaluation of the app design and implementation. Theory models applied to the analysis. Future improvement fully discussed. Proper references used.
	(crashes or errors) (after repeated) (testing.)		Screen-fit programming compatible with any iPhone models.	
2:1	Not all the functionalities have been implemented, but with a few improvements the app would be a ready-to-sell product of this kind.	Well managed project on weekly basis overall.	User-friendly interface. Might be lack of animation but still delivers a delightful graphic effect. Relatively easy to operate for a child.	Commendable quality of work containing all relevant analysis and discussions. May be lack of critical analysis. A minor effort of improvements still
	The app can run smoothly for the first or second time, but there may be some errors or crashes after repeated testing.		May work well on certain screens but not others.	required.
2:2	Partial functionalities have been implemented. The app can run most of the time. There may be occasional crashes.	Genuine attempt on the agile management and version control but with relatively large time gap.	Some parts of the app may be not very straightforward to use. Graphical effect is relatively dull. Not compatible with all iPhone models.	Satisfactory level of understanding. Proper concepts are demonstrated with evidence.
3 rd	Genuine attempt on the app, but many modules are incomplete. The app is capable of running, but may be stuck in a few states during operations.	Only a couple of backup and project management attempts during the 8 weeks of development process. Mismatched or doubtful submissions made.	The app is hard to manipulate for a child user. The graphic interface is boring for young-aged user group. The screen layout is not even working well on one particular model.	Brief discussion on the subjects. Familiarity with the usability concept, but unable to form an evaluation.
Fail	The app does not run at all.	No exercise towards agile management and version control. Fabrication of the process.	A non-working app submitted.	Very brief text on the subject. Academic dishonesty.